

No. 12595

Supreme Court of Illinois

Ill. River R.R. Co.

vs.

Zimmer

71641  7

State of Illinois S.S.

In the Supreme Court of said State, April Term 1858

Third Grand Division

The Illinois River Rail Road Company
against ^{Upon Writ of Error from} ^{Judgment of Fayette}
Henry Summer^y County Court,
And the said Plaintiff by her Attorneys com-
municates, that in the proceedings and
judgment of the said County Court,
Manifest Errors have intervened to her
prejudice, and she has set down the
following as the causes of Error do
First; the said County Court Errors
in giving Judgment for defendant
on the answer to the declaration,
Second; the said court Errors in not
giving Judgment for the plaintiff upon
the answer to the declaration.
Wherefore the plaintiffs pray that the
said judgment may be reversed by
Prettyman, Purple
& Thomas for
~~Plaintiff in Error~~

And the Said Henry Summer
comes & says that neither in the record
& proceeding aforesaid nor in the
giving of the judgment aforesaid

is thro my Error Wherefore he pray
that the said judgment so as aforesaid
givn may in all things be affirmed

A. G. Garrison for
Sgtt M'Enor

Pleas to a Term of the County Court
began and held at the Court House
in the City of Peoria within and for
the County of Tazewell and State of
Illinois on the Third Monday of the
Month of January in the Year of our
Lord One Thousand Eight Hundred
and Fifty Eight it being the Eighteenth
day of said Month before the Honorable
John W. Bush Judge of said County
Court.

Be it remembered that on the Sixth day of January
in the year of our Lord One Thousand Eight Hundred
and Fifty Eight a precip was filed in the words
and figures following, to wit:

State of Illinois
Tazewell County 26th of the January Term of the
Tazewell County Court A.D. 1858

Illinois River
Rail Road Company
vs
Henry Zimmer
" Assumpsit
" Damage \$ 200.00

The Clerk of the Tazewell County Court
will please issue process in the above entitled cause
returnable to the next term of said County Court
set the cause in assumpsit and lay the damage
at \$ 200.00 B. D. Pettyman
Atty for Plaintiff

2
And now afterward to wit on the 6th day of
January in the year of our Lord One Thousand
Eight Hundred and fifty Eight. The Clerk issues
Summons in the Words and figures following
to wit:

State of Illinois
Tazewell County The people of the State of
Illinois to the Sheriff of said
County Greeting-

We command you that you
summon Henry Zimmer if he shall be found
in your county personally to be and appear
before the County Court of said Tazewell County
on the first day of the next term thereof to be
held at the Court house in Pekin in said
Tazewell County on the Third Monday of January
1858 to answer unto Illinois River Rail Road
Company in a plea of Abumpst to the damage
of the said plaintiff as they say in the sum of
Two hundred Dollars.

And have you then and
there this Writ with an endorsement thereon in
what manner you shall have executed the same
S. S. Clerk witness John Gridley Clerk of our
said Court and the Seal thereof at
Pekin aforesaid this 6th day of
January A.D. 1858.

John Gridley, Clerk
for Ernest Rhodes. Dept

Which Writ was returned on the 14th day of January 1858. Endorsed Served by reading the same to the within named Henry Zimmer Jan. 8th 1858. as I am Therein Commanded

O Williamson Sheriff P.C.
By J. B. One Dept

And now afterwards to wit on the 8th day of January in the year of Our Lord One Thousand Eight Hundred and Fifty Eight a declaration was filed in the words and figures following
To wit:

State of Illinois The County of Tazewell
Tazewell County Taz County Court
January Term A.D. 1858.
Illinois River Rail. Road Company
vs
Henry Zimmer

Declaration " Rail Road Company complain by B.S. Prentiss
man atz Henry Zimmer of a plea of Prejudice
in the case of promise. For that whereas heretofore
to wit on the 11th day of February 1853 the Legisla-
ture of the State of Illinois passed a certain
act entitled an act to Construct a Rail Road
from Jacksonville in Morgan County to LaSalle
in LaSalle County which act was approved by the
Governor of the State of Illinois the 11th day of February

" 1853, and became a Law of the State of Illinois
" and also afterwards, to wit; on the first day of
March 1854, the Legislature of the State of Illinois
passed another Certain act to amend an act
entitled an act to Construct a Rail Road from
Jacksonville in Morgan County to LaSalle in
LaSalle County which Last Mentioned act
was approved by the Governor of the Said State
of Illinois, on the first day of March 1854, and
became a Law of the State of Illinois, and
also afterwards, to wit; on the 29th day of
January 1857 the Legislature of the State of
Illinois passed another Certain act entitled
an act to amend an act to amend the Charter
of the Illinois River Rail Road Company
which Last mentioned act was approved
by the Governor of the State of Illinois
the 29th day of January 1857, and also
became a Law of the State of Illinois, and also
afterwards to wit; on the 16th day of February 1857
the Legislature of the State of Illinois passed an
other Certain act entitled an act to amend
an act entitled an act to Construct a Rail
Road from Jacksonville in Morgan County
to LaSalle in LaSalle County, approved Febru-
ary 11th 1853 which last mentioned act was approved
by the Governor of the State of Illinois the Said
16th day February 1857 and became a Law of

"The State of Illinois all of which acts before
mentioned are here to the Court shown. And they
were the Law of the State of Illinois at and
before the acts and doings in the premises and
undertakings the said defendant herein often
mentioned and whereas afterward a sufficient
amount of the Capital Stock of the said Rail
Road having been Subscribed according to the
provisions of said acts the first Second above
mentioned and that on the 6th day of September
1851 at Chanceryville, to wit, at Said County
of Fayette and State aforesaid became duly
organized under the provisions of the said several
acts first above mentioned by the stockholders
thereof electing the officers thereof as by said act
is provided which said organization of the said
Company the said defendant subsequently assented
to as will more fully afterward appear and
afterwards, to wit, on the 13th day of November in
the year of our Lord 1856, at the County and
State aforesaid for the purpose of the constructing
of the said Illinois River Rail Road and
pursuance of the provisions of the said act of
the Legislature as aforesaid and for the purpose
of becoming a stockholder in the said Illinois River
Rail Road the said defendant among other indivi-
duals not parties hereto made and subscri-
bed his certain agreement in writing in substance

4. in the tenor and effect following to wit
Know all men by these presents that we the under
signed do hereby subscribe the number of Shares
of the Capital Stock of the Illinois River Rail
Road Company herein after set opposite our
names respectively and in Consideration of Our
Mutual Subscription to Said Company for the
purpose of building Said Road and of the
premises herein do severally agree to pay to the
Said Illinois River Rail Road Company the
amount of Capital Stock herein after Subscribed
by us Respectively set opposite our names and
pay all demands to the Said Company when
Called for according to Law by Said Company
(Dated) Peoria Nov 13. 1856 to which Said agreement
the Said defendant then and there Subscribed his
name to wit Henry Zimmer and
place opposite his name the Number 2 Shares
and the amount two Hundred Dollars thereby
the Said defendant became a subscriber to the
amount of two Shares being two hundred Dollars
of the Capital Stock of the Said Company and
the Said Company accepted the Said Subscription
of the Said defendant to the Capital Stock thereof
as aforesaid by means whereaf the Said defendant
became a Stockholder in the Said Company and
became liable to pay Said sum of two Hundred
Dollars to the Said Plaintiff and being so liable

the Said Defendant in Consideration thereof at the
County and State aforesaid on the 13 day of 1856
undertook and faithfully promises to pay the
Said plaintiff the sum of two Hundred Dollars
when they should lawfully be required so to do
according to the tenor and effect of the said written
agreement aforesaid the said plaintiff avers
that at a meeting of the board of directors of
the said Company held at Jacksonville in
the County of Morgan in the State of Illinois
on the 2^d day of December A.D. 1857 Then and
there the said Board of Directors of the said Illinois
River Rail Road Company duly passed an order
in the following words and substance to wit
ordered that each and every subscriber to the Capital
Stock of the Illinois River Rail Road Company
who resides in Paxwell County or whose Subscri-
ption are made on the Books in Paxwell County
shall pay on the first day of January 1858
Sixty five per cent (Sixty five Dollars per share)
on each and every share Subscribed by such
Subscriber to the Capital Stock of said Company
and also five dollars per share on the first
Monday in each month thereafter until the whole
amount of Stock is Subscribed Shall be paid
up the said Subscriber to make such payment
to Joshua Wagenseller or B.S. Prettyman
and that twenty days notice shall be given by

5

" the Said Waggoner & Prettyman of the times
when and place or places where such payment
are to be made by publication in some newspaper
published in the City of Pekin the Said Plaintiff
avers that the Said Waggoner and Prettyman
in pursuance of the Said order so made by the
Said Board of Directors of the Said Illinois River
Rail Road Company as aforesaid Published
a Notice in the Paywell Register a newspaper
published in the City of Pekin as was required
by Said order so made as aforesaid by the Said
plaintiff and the Said Plaintiff avers that the
Said defendant made his Said Subscription
in the County of Paywell as aforesaid and the
plaintiff further avers that by reason of the
Subscription as aforesaid and by virtue of the
premisses aforesaid the Said defendant became
liable to pay the sum of One Hundred ^{and Thirty} Dollars
being the sum of \$65^c dollars a 65^c per cent
on each Share so Subscribed to the Capital
Stock of the Said Rail Road Company as
in Manner and form as aforesaid and the
Said plaintiff further avers that the Said
defendant has not paid the Said sum of money
as before Specified or any part thereof although
the Said B.S. Prettyman and Joshua Waggon
Seller attended at the time and place Specified
in Said notice then and there to receive the

3

Said Sums of Money so above and from the
Said Defendant to the Said Plaintiff
Get to do so refused and still refused to pay
the Said Plaintiff the Said Sum of Money as
aforesaid or any part thereof. But to pay the same
has failed so to the damage of the Said Plaintiff
of two Hundred Dollars. Therefore they bring
the Suit.

And whereas also heretofore to wit,
on the 4th day of January 1858, at the County
and State aforesaid this day and year aforesaid
The Said Defendant was indebted unto the Said
Plaintiff as aforesaid in a further sum of
two Hundred Dollars for so much Money before
that time paid, laid out and expended to
and for the use of the Said Defendant and at
His special instance and request,

and in the further sum of two Hundred Dollars
for so much Money before that time had and
received by the Said Defendant to and for the
use of Said Plaintiff at his special instance
and request and in a further sum of two Hundred
Dollars for so much Money then and there due
and owing from the Said Defendant to the Said
Plaintiff upon an account then and there stated
between them and being so indebted ~~the Said~~
the Said defendant then and there in consist-
eration thereof undertook and promised the

The Said plaintiff to pay them the Said several
 sums of Money when he should be thereunto after-
 wards requested. But the Said defendant notwithstanding
 regarding his Said promise but undertaking
 and continuing to injure and deprive the plain-
 tiff in his behalf hath not paid the Said several
 sums of Money as either of them or any
 part thereof though often requested but hath
 neglected and still doth neglect and refuse
 so to do which is to the damage of said
 plaintiff as they say in the sum of two Hund-
 red Dollars for which cause they bring their
 suit.

Illinois Rail Road Co

vs Henry Prettyman

Copy of Instrument sued on
 set out in first Count above

Atty

Henry Gimmer in account with the Illinois	
Rail Road Company	Dr

To Money Paid	\$ 200, 00
---------------	------------

" " Money Had & Recd	200, 00
----------------------	---------

act Stated	200, 00
------------	---------

amt due on Subscription	130, 00
-------------------------	---------

And now afterward, to wit: on the 28th day
 of January in the year of our Lord One Thousand
 Eight hundred and fifty eight the defendants
 filed their demurrer in the words and figures following
 to wit:

Pazewell County Court January Term 1858
Henry Grimmer vs.
" vs.

" Illinois River Rail
Road Company

And the Said Defendant
Demurrer " Comes and defends &c and says actio non
be causa he says that the first count of the
declaration of the plaintiff and the matters
therein contained are not sufficient in law
for the plaintiff to have or maintain his aforesaid
action thereof against the defendant and this
he is ready to verify wherefore he prays
Judgement.

1st And for cause of demurrer the plaintiff
saith that said declaration is insufficient
in this that it does not alledge that the defen-
dant at the time of signing for the Stock
in the plaintiff Declaration mentioned paid
ten per cent on his subscription

2^d That it does not appear by the said declar-
ation that the Capital Stock (One Million
Dollars) has ever been Subscribed,

3rd It does ^{not} appear from said Declaration
that the Call for which this suit is brought
was general upon all the Stockholders or Subscri-
bers for Stock but it does appear that the
Call is partial and only made on part

" of the Said Stockholders or Subscribers,
4th It appears from the declaration and the
Laws therein referred to that the plaintiff has
procured and adopted such amendments to
its Charter as will enable the plaintiff to build
any or such part of the Said Rail Road and
Run the same as to the plaintiff may seem fit
and leave the plaintiff under no obligation
to ever furnish and complete the Road from
Jacksonville to Lasalle and authorizes the
plaintiff to finish and Complete any portion
of said Road on the route which may be laid
out as a division and abandon any other
part of said Road

5. It appears from the Declaration and
the Laws therin referred to that Said Company
has procured such an amendment of its
Charter as allows the Company to take
Subscriptions to Stocks on any Credit
that may be contracted for and payable in
property labour or any other thing thereby
Enabling Said Company to sell its Stock
upon terms much more favorable to new than
old Subscribers thereby greatly reducing the
Value of the Stock for which the defendants
subscribed

And because the Declaration and the
Laws referred to thereon shows that the Company,

" have procured and adopted an amendment to
" the Charter of the Company by which Subscribers
" are required to pay Calls made by said Company
" on a Notice of 20 days instead of on a
" Notice of 90 Days as the Charter provided when
" the Defendant Subscribed.

" And for that the Said Declaration is in other
" respects informal and insufficient

Davison & Parker
of Defendant

And now afterward to wit: on the 3^d day
of February in the year of Our Lord one Thousand
Eight Hundred and Fifty Eight came the
Defendant and filed his plea in the words
and figures following, to wit:

of
Pageville County Court
Henry Grimmer

" vs

" Illinois River Rail Road Company

3
3

" And the Said
" Defendant comes and defends to and says
" actio non as to all the Matter mentioned
" in the plaintiff's declaration except the
" first Count therin because he says he did
" not undertake and promise in manner and
" form as in Said Count the plaintiff's

" hath thereof complained against him
and of this he puts himself upon the
Country &c

Davison & Parker
for Plaintiff

And now afterward, to wit: at a term of the
County Court began and held at the Court
House in the City of Pekin in and for the County
of Tazewell and State of Illinois on the 3^d.
Monday in the Month of January in the Year
of Our Lord One Thousand Eight hundred and
fifty Eight it being the eighteenth day of
Said Month, present the Hon John M Bush
Judge Chapman Williamson Sheriff and
John Gridley Clerk

Thursday January 28th 1858

Illinois R.R. Road Company

vs

Henry Gimmer

And now came

as well the plaintiff by their attorney
Prettyman as the defendant by his attorney
Davison & Parker and the defendant entered
his Special Demurra to the declaration
and the Court having heard argument

of Counsel thereon took the same under
advisement.

Wednesday Feb 3^d, 1858
Illinoi R.R. Co. v.
vs Assump't
Henry Zimmer

And now again came
the parties by their attorneys and the Court
having fully considered the Special Demurrer
to the declaration and being fully advised
in the premises is of Opinion that said
Demurrer be Sustained for Special Causes
Number 344. Thereupon the Plaintiff ask
and obtained leave to amend his Declaration

Friday Feby 5th 1858
Ills. R.R.R. Co. v.
vs Assump't
Henry Zimmer

And now again came
the parties by their attorneys and the Plaintiff
Enters a Nolle prosequi as to Common Court

It is therefore ordered and adjudged by
the Court that the Defendants Recover of the said
Plaintiff the Cost and Charges by him about
his Special Demurrer Expended, and that
Execution Issue therefor.

It is further ordered

that the plaintiff have 30 days to file
Bill of Exceptions in

State of Illinois
Tazewell County

I John Grisley Clerk
of the County Court within and for said County
do hereby Certify that the foregoing fifteen
pages contain a true and perfect Copy of
all the papers and the record of the proceedin-
gs had in the cause therein named as the
same appears of Record in my Office.

Witness John Grisley Clerk of
the said County Court and the Seal
thereof herunto affixed at Pekin
this 8th day of March, A.D. 1858,

115
All D. D. Read Co.
vs
Henry Grisley

Complaint Recd

Recd April 5th 1858
A. Leland
L. L. L.

Recd # 450

Illinoian River Rail Road Company
by Henry Zimmer

Supreme Court
April Term
A.D. 1888

Agreement for Debt

This is a demurrer to the plaintiff's
declaration.

The first objection taken to
the declaration is that it does
not appear by any proper
allegation or averment therein
that there is any such
Corporation. It is nowhere
averred therein that I can
find that the plaintiff was ever
organized & became an
unincorporated Co. - The printed
abstract alleges that he it is not
in that respect sustained by the
Record. See Record page 3.

It will appear to the Court that
the amended Charter required ten
per cent to be paid by each
Subscriber on making his subscription
to the Stock of the Co. and this
whether the subscription was
made before or after the

Co should be organized. It is
conceived that a Subscription
without such payt. would be void.
If this view is correct then it was
necessary for the pess to add this
payt. in his declaration.

The ground upon which this
objection is placed is that such
Subscribe would have no means
or power to compel the Co. to
issue to him Certificate of Stock
the agreement would be all on one
side a mere nudum pactum
and if his subscription was to be enforced
by the Co it will follow that here
is an agreement which one party
can enforce and which could not be
enforced by the other party.

Every agreement to be binding
in law must be mutual.

1 Conn. Cans 86.

2 Am. R. Way Cans 490

13 Ill Rep 504

13 Ill " 520

13 Ill Rep 514

The case cited by the pess 16 B. Meier
p 5 was one where the charter
required \$100 on each share to be
paid at time of subscribing

which was inflicted by left and
the Comt held him bound by his
Subscription, but in that case
he had actually received his
Certificate of Stock and so of
course could not complain of the
Want of mutuality as he already
had received all he would and
any Circumstances he entitled
to even if he had fully paid his
Subscription. But in this case
nothing was paid by Linne &
no certificate of Stock was
issued to him and if they shall
never receive any thing from him
they are left in the same situation
that he found them having
gained nothing & parted with
nothing & they not injured me
in no manner.

But it is said that the 9th
Sec. of the Charter the Directors have
power to prescribe the time & amount
in which instalments, due for Stock
shall be paid or The very words
of this section import that his
reference to balances for Stock
& not to the entire amount of the
Subscription presupposing that
at least the first part, had been made

On a careful examination it does not appear that in the original or first amended Charter there is any permission to the Directors or others to dispense with the first payt.

I think it will be found in every well considered case where an action for calls has been sustained that the first payment had either been made or the Certificates of Stock had been issued

Another objection to the dictation is that it does not allege that the Capital Stock of the Co had ever been Subscribed (One Million Dollars)

It is not denied that Subscribers when the Subscription is regularly made and after the Co. organized may be called on for such small part of their Subscription as will be sufficient to defray the minimum expenses of organization ascertaining the feasibility of the objects of its creation even before the whole Capital is subscribed ~ But when a Co. of a million dollars

Capital is created for a specific purpose each subscriber expects & understands when he subscribes that the whole amount is to be made up before his subscription can be demanded — No one thinks when he subscribes to such a Co that if but a quarter or half the amount shall be obtained that his subscription is to be waited in the vain endeavor to accomplish with the small portion subscribed what it would take twice or four times the means at the disposal of the Co to ~~achieve~~ achieve —

That the subscription should be full before calls for the general purpose of the Corporation are allowed appears consonant to good sense and fair dealing and indispensable to economy

Angel & Ames on Cor. Sec 5 43

6 Pick (Mass) R. 23

9 do 187

10 do 142

1 Am. R. W. Com 422

It is further objected to the declaration that it shows that the call sued for was not general upon all the stock holders but partial & only upon such as resided or resided in Saginaw Co. the nature of this call is specifically alleged in the declaration ~

This objection attempted to be answered by claiming that 3rd Section of the Charter authorizes such calls and if not that the amendment of 1857 January 29th ~

The view ordinarily taken of the rights & liabilities of stock holders in such a Co. is that it is in the nature of a private partnership, an association of individuals uniting jointly some enterprise in which to the extent of their interests they are to share precisely alike both as to the burdens & profits of the uniting and this view seems to have been entertained by this Court

13 Ill. Rep. 516 Ryd. & A. S. R. R. Co.
A. & A. on Corp See

Generally men would not be willing to invest their means in such projects where they would be exposed to the danger of any man or set of men associated with them as being & requiring them to leave the heat & burden of the day while they held back from the work and only prepared to take active measures themselves when profits were to be divided - The dividing this work up as by the last recited act in Counties And again Sub-dividing it indefinitely as provided for in the act of Feb 1857 into such Sections as then were or as might thereafter be laid ^{out} and subdividing the call so that even in one Co. half the subscribers might be called upon to pay up entirely and the rest in the same Co. not called on at all certainly has an unfair look and indicates not only that there may be cheating round the board, but as if this continuance was made on purpose to advance selfish ends & promote personal interests & not the general good -

It is worthy of note that the
the very able Counsel for the
plff. have not been able to furnish
the Court with any authority to
justify this call. In my
limited reading I have ^{not} found
any thing analogous to it, and
the reason probably may be that
no Corporation before ever
attempted to enforce ~~so grossly~~
~~injurious~~ a rule so grossly
injurious.

It is submitted that the
Subscribers intended to take whatever
interest they subscribed for in the
whole road & not in any particular
County or division ^{or section} of the road.
The road was to be commenced &
fully completed by a certain time
limited in the Charter. Now
these amendments. And this
objection of dividing up the road
into Sections & making & running
first so much or many of them
as they choose to make & abandoning
the rest is made another species
of ground of demurrer. It is
insisted that this extraordinary
power authorizing the directors

of the road in the discretion
to make only such portions
as they shall see fit is
such a radical alteration
as to discharge the subscribers

Bennet v. A & S R R 13 M 506
Argued & Adjudged in Court
5th March 1854

It is no fair answer to their
objection to say as the ~~peff~~
does that Dift was a member
of the Co & that they were known
by him or those lawfully
authorized to act for him.
Before any Stockholder should
be held responsible for such
radical changes as have
been made to this Charter
& which the declaration may
have been adopted by the
Company it should appear
that he was active in procuring
them & Were passive,
not doing & not knowing
what was being done in the
process is not enough.

Clearly a man ought not to be
charged with giving away his

nghts

under at least a knowledge of the means by which they are sought to be affected is brought home to him —

Upon the authority of
The P. O R R Co v Elting reported
in 17 Ill Rep it is claimed if
I understand the argument of
Counsel that every alteration
which may be made in a Charter
is founded upon all the subjects
upon an indefinable assumption
that all alterations are for the
benefit of the Co, & made on
the particular application
of all the members. This case
is I believe by one or the other of
the learned Counsel brought before
against every objection made by the
Deft and judging by the apparent
confidence with which it is on all
occasions produced it is supposed
that there may probably ^{be in it} some hidden
efficacy which I have as yet been
unable to discover — If so much
as Counsel claims has been decided
in that case it is humbly submitted
that the cause of justice & fair
dealing would be greatly

promoted by an early review
of that case and a clearer
elucidation of what the court
did decide therein —

But as I read that case,
it is by ^{no} means decisive of this
one and perhaps much of the
opinion was delivered too loosely
& without sufficiently criticising
the language used —

Another ground of objection
is that the directors in their
amendment got themselves (see 2.)
authorized to take subscriptions
in any sort of property and
to give any price for it & to
wait & give any indefinite
credit on the sale of Stock
whether they are promising money
or property in the end — The
very fact of such unusual traffic
in Stock would have the
inevitable tendency to degrade
the price of all the stock of
the Co thereby injuring each subscriber.

Besides such power is liable
to great abuse & might be used
by the directors to their great

pecuniary profit & to the loss
of the rest. It is a power that
might be used by a dishonest
director to retain the control
of the affairs of the Co., if they
were cheating all the time.

It is an unfair & fallacious
answer to this objection to say
that Courts will presume that
these powers will always be
exercised to promote the interest
of the Co. & not for selfish &
improper ends — If it were
a fact that RR directors were
always honest & capable of
rightly conducting the affairs
entrusted to them these extra-
ordinary powers might not be
so objectionable. But experience
has shown that they do not
always know what is to the best
interest of their associates and
it has sometimes happened &
no doubt will happen again
that they seek their interest so
steadily as to render this Company
utterly bankrupt.

The Charter of the Co at the
time Zimmer Subscribed required
that calls should be made & notice
of 90 days given. The amendment
proposed in 1857 provided that
20 days notice shall be sufficient.
The declaration alleges the making
of the order by the Board &
advertising three weeks and
this record shows that before
Ninety days had expired this
suit was brought. It is
conceived that the shortening
of the time of payment is such
an essential alteration of the
contract as could not lawfully
be made without the express
consent of Zimmer.

The Court will see by the p^r declaration that the amendments
to the Charter have all been adopted
by the p^r. And we think the
changes wrought by them are so
radical & may be said to be
disastrous to defeat the first
object of this Co's organization
that if a case ever arise
where subscribers may be

relieved from the consequences
of such unwise & hasty legislation
that the Court ought to interpose
in this case -

Now Farmer can be
chargeable with assisting to
procure these amendments
is not proved & no act
of his has been shown and
besides no certificate of stock
is alleged to have been issued
to him - He knew nothing
about these amendments & even
if he had known he was in
no position where he could
properly have by his act or
vote done any thing to
prevent them -

The abstract does not show
but the record does, that the
2d Comit was A. Pro. by
the plffs -

A. L. Drivim,
for defendant

M.R.R. Leo

Henry Zimmer

Draft Argument

N.L. Darrow

Atty.

Filed May 8. 1858

S. Leland

Blank

The Illinois River
Rail Road Company }
vs } Error to Lowell
Henry Zimmer }
}

Brief & Argument of Plff
by Purple

The Declaration alleges the passage,
and makes inquest of -

- X 2. An act of 1. March 1854. Laws 1854. 227
1st " " 11 February 1853. Laws 1853. 53
3rd & 9. Aug - 1857. Laws 1857. 105
4. 6 February " " " 838.
Incorporating the " Illinois ~~River~~ River
Rail Road Company,"

That on the 6th Sept 1856.
a sufficient amount of the capital stock
having been subscribed as required by the
acts of 1853 & 1854. The company became
organized by the election of ~~state officers~~
officers by the stock holders. as provided
by the said acts. That defendant subse-
quently assented to the organization -

That on the 13th Nov. 1856 defendant
subscribed 2 shares \$200. to the stock
of said company and became a stock holder

out liable to pay, and being liable
promised to do so when lawfully required

That on the 2nd Dec, 1857 the Board of
Directors passed an Order requiring Sub-
scribers to Stock residing in, or whose
Subscriptions were made in Coshocton County
on the Books in that County to pay 65.
per cent or \$65. on each Share of Stock
Subscribed — And \$5.00 per Share on
the 1st Monday in each Month thereafter until
the whole amount subscribed should be paid
Payments to be made to Waggoner and
Prettyman — 25 days notice of the time
and place of payment to be given by publi-
cation in a newspaper printed in Piketon.

That Notice was given and published in
the Coshocton Register a newspaper published
in Piketon as required by law and the
Order of the Board

That defendant made his subscrip-
tion in Coshocton County, and thereby became
liable to pay \$135. \$65. per Share, that
Prettyman & Waggoner attended at the time
and place specified in the Notice, but defendant
did not pay, but refused to,

The Defendant demand specially to the Declaration for the following reasons.

1. No allegation that at time of signing for the Stock Defendant paid ten per cent.
 2. Declaration does not show that \$1,00,000~~00~~ Capital Stock of the Company has ever been Subscribed
 3. The call for payment of subscription was partial being made on part of the subscriber only
 4. That the Charter was so amended that the Plaintiff might finish any portion of the road and abandon any other portion - so that Plaintiff would be under no obligation, even to complete the road from Jacksonville to Lasalle
 5. That the Amendments to the Charter authorized the company to take Subscriptions on Credit payable in property or labor &c, thereby reducing the value of Defendants Stock.
- 6th Amendment, to Charter authorizes Stock called to be made on 20, instead of 90 days notice as provided when defendant subscribed

The Special Courts of Remunr assigned all except the first are Specially authorized by the charter and its amendments, all of which are presumed to have been made and passed by the Company for the general benefit of the Stockholders who compose it. All alterations and amendments to the charters of Rail Road Companies are presumed in the first place to have been made upon their application and at their request.

Whether one member of such Company, or Stockholder could, if an issue was formed upon that question be permitted to prove that he protested against or objected to the alteration, is a question which can not arise upon a demand to a declaration.

The Remunr admits the existence & passage of the laws as set forth, the organization of the Company, and all the material averments in the Declaration — And also the presumption arising from these enactments that they were made by the consent and for the general benefit of all the corporators.

(5)

The 14th Sec. of the act of 1853. p. 57.
permits the directors to call for payment
of stock subscriptions in the discretion
of the Directors on 90 days notice, this
act. requires \$5.00 to be paid on subscribing.

The act of 1854. p. 207 appoints 3 Commissioners to receive subscriptions — authorizes the
election of Directors when \$100,000 shall be
subscribed — requires \$10.00 on a share to be
paid on subscription — authorizes the company
to connect with any other road and dispenses
with the necessity of building the road north
of such connection.

The act of January 29. 1857. — p 107. provides
that the company may commence their
road within 5 years after its passage,
may own and operate the portions completed
though the road may not be finished — and
the 9 Sec. provides that calls for sub-
scription may be made in any one
county, alone, provided the money is to be
expended in such county

Sec. 12. provides that calls may be made upon 20, instead of 90 days notice as provided in the original act.

The act of Feb. 14. 1857. p 839 Sec. 2. authorizes subscriptions to be received payable at any time or in any manner to be agreed upon by the parties —

Also I believe on all the provisions of the laws complained of by the Special Committee

If the proposition with which I set out, that all these amendments to the original charter are presumed to have been made at the request and by the consent of the Corporation; be true — then that is an end of this whole case.

There is nothing complained of which has not been done, under authority of law and by the consent of the Plaintiff himself.

The Reason Ist urged that it does not appear that the Plaintiff paid his ten per cent when he subscribed, it seems to me is a slim excuse for not paying the residue —

(7)

If the Plaintiff below assumes that he did, he ought not to find any fault on that account; He can pay it now if he chooses.

The Subscription as to him would not be invalid even if he had got trusted for the 10 per cent, or if the Company chose to give it to him - The Contract to pay the balance is binding, Notwithstanding,

As to the Ind Special cause of Demurrer the secklaration does alledge that a sufficient Subscription had been made to authorise the organization of the Company, so that this assigned cause has no foundation in fact.

The partial Coll of Subscription from the Loyal County Subscribers was authorized by the amendment to the charter -

There is nothing unjust or inequitable in it. It is expended within the County where it is collected and where the parties Subscribed, and are supposed to live,

8)

As to the 4th, 5th & 6th Special causes of
Remain to the Declaration, I need only say,
that as to them, and indeed as to all
causes assigned which would appear to be
material — They are covered by the deci-
sion and principles asserted, in the case
of "The Piova & Ogallala Rail Road Company,"
no. 877 17 Ill. R. p where all or nearly
all the questions are learnedly discussed
and judiciously considered.

I refer to that case, as being of
controlling authority in this, and suppose
it must be decisive of the questions presented
by this record; and in conclusion I state
with confidence that it no where appears
in this record, nor can it be made to
appear outside of the record, that the
Defendant has, or can sustain any in-
jury or loss on account of these amend-
ments to the Charter of the company, or
by reason of any thing which has been
done in the premises

A. C. Murphy

¹¹⁵
Illinoian River
Rail Road Co
Henry Zimmer

Argentum
Purple

Filed May 3 1858

L. Leland
Clerk

W. D. Leland

Illinoian RailRoad Co / Supreme Court
vs
Henry Zimmer
Argumt for Appellant

The Argument already on file in this cause for appellant, by Thomas one of the Counsel, fully reciting the acts of incorporation, Allegation of Declaration of Services of Zimmer, we respectfully refer to them as their set forth.

And the first Point we make in the case is, That it is not necessary to allegge in the Declaration that the defendant paid ten p. st. on his subscription at the time of subscribing.

Because it is not material whether the subscriber paid 10 p. st. at the time of subscribing or at any other time afterwards and the payment at the time, or the payment of services is the subject matter of protest and the amount of amount of payment at the time of subscribing does not render the Declaration obnoxious to Zimmer See 16th B Monroe 5, & 21 Vermont R. 30, 13 Hls 514.

And if the Argument was in duty bound to pay the 10 p. st. at the time of subscribing the

As far as now Manifestly uncon-
-Ceivable allowing a Part to take adun-
-tage of his own wrong See Pierce on Railways
page 14. And when Charters required the
first contribution of 5 p. et. to be in Cash &
& parties gamarrat for it, the subscription
is held good 13 Ills 514 & 5 Adelancy 807.
and also when the Body subscribing
had refused to pay the rate see Pierce on
Railways 65 & 66. & 21 December R 30. &
5 Pick. 145. And in Kentucky it is
decided that "Although the Commission-
ers might have refused to receive the
"subscription when made without paying
"at the time. Yet if they did not reject it
"they contract, after the stock had been
"received by them, will out Payment,
"was binding on Both Parties" It was
"considered the Duty of the Subscriber
"to pay the contributions when he subscribes
"and he was not allowed to take adun-
-tage of his own wrong" - See 11 B
Monroe page 5, and same Principle
13 Ills. 516.

And this subscription was made
after the organization of the Company
and by the 9th Sec. of the original Charter
All instruments on all stock so

"to be paid at such times and
in such sums as said Directors may
prescribe" And the Directors have pur-
-sed, and made the same Paid as aforesaid
upon,

And the Legislature by the act of 1857
page 839 ratify & confirm this suscep-
-tion, And as the state alone has a right
& Complain the same will ratify and
assent to the act of the Portris, even if it were
irregular, the Port being unrepresented cannot
complain.

2^d It is not necessary to aver in the Declaration
that aff 10000000. has been subscribed,
Because this is a subject matter of Proof
and may be shown by the Books of the
Company. See 39 Maine R. page 587. 13 H. &
51b. And the Charter of the Company Author-
-izes the organization thereof, directs proceeding
on the subscription & collect. Acts (53) page 55.
Acts (54) page 207. And the subscription
nor the Charter, any when more than the
subscription of aff 10000000. of Dollars,
a Condition precedent to recover Colts.
And if the taking of the entire capital
stock is not made by the agreement subsantial
or the act of incorporation a condition

incident to the right of the Corporation to recover Calls & the express of a subscription, the Subscriber will be liable for the same although the full amount has not been subscribed or taken.

See Peoria on Railway 687 also 7 Barb. 157.

3^o It is not necessary that the Declaration shew that the Calls are general on all of the Stockholders of the Company because the Amended Charter requires authorizes, the calls to be made upon subscribers of particular countries for Money to be paid in the Company in Promoting the same General object. By the Building of the Road, See Act 37 page 107. Sec 7. And this is calculated to promote the interest of the Company, And additional Powers and privileges conferred on a corporation by an Astor Act, do not nullify the Contracts and Obligations of the Stockholders to the Company see Peoria & O. R. R Co v. Elting 17 Ill. page 432 And for the same Reasons the objections No 4 & 5 are also untenable.

It is in our Desire a Change of the
Character of the Enterprise, which still
remains, that for building and opera-
ting a Railroad, with enlarged capacities
and privileges, which may be highly
promotion of its welfare and success.

See acts 57 page 107. & 838. - 13 Hls 506,
17 Hls. 432.

And is the 9th Sec. of the original Charter
acts 53 page 56. which provides, that all the
establishments required to be paid for stock
taken after the organization of the Company
shall be paid at such times, and
in such manner as the Directors may
~~prescribe~~, with out effect? The
Directors presentely the time & manner
accorded upon and so agreed Paying
and if the Charge of the time of notice
in Cases whereof futures may be had
on non payment. has by the annual
act from 20 to 20 day. Is that such
a Change of the Charter, as nullifies
the Contract of the Stockholders
with the Company? Is the annual act
unconstitutional & void? Is not that
act manifestly designed to promote
the general Welfare, and interest
of the Company. And can the

Defendant to the attorney of that
act proceeding his welfare in commun
with other Members of the Company
to think out of his subscription &
permit it would be manifestly
unjust, as well as contrary to the
Law. See Pierce on Railroads page
87 & note 93 & note, 19 Eng Law & Equat Rep.
page 11 817 & Ill 432 & case there
cited. And I cannot think therefore
but that the declaration in this case
shows good cause of action against
the defendant. And that the sum
should have been over ruled & judg-
ment rendered for the plaintiff.

B. W. Pittman
for appellant

Klinow R.R. Co
vs 115^d
Amy Lyman

Argument for
PLK

Filed May 8, 1838
L. Leland
Clerk

STATE OF ILLINOIS, } ss. The People of the State of Illinois,
SUPREME COURT,

To the Sheriff of the County of *Sagwell*

Greeting:

Because, In the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment
of a plea which was in the *Bounty* Court of *Tazwell*
County, before the Judge thereof, between *The Illinois River Rail
Road Company* plaintiff, and *Henry Zimmer*

defendant, it is said that manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the said
Plaintiff

as we are informed by *the* complaint, of said plaintiff the record
and proceedings of which said judgment we have caused to be brought into our Su-
preme Court of the State of Illinois, at Ottawa, before the Justices thereof, to correct
the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; Therefore, We
Command You, That by good and lawful men of your County, you give notice to the said

Henry Zimmer

that *he* be and appear before the Justices of our said Supreme Court, at the next
term of said Court, to be holden at Ottawa, in said State, on the first Tuesday after the
third Monday in April ~~next~~, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and
the errors assigned, if *he* shall see fit; and further to do and receive what said
Court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom
you shall give the said *Henry Zimmer* notice, together with this writ.

Witness, The Hon. JOHN D. CATON, Chief Justice
of our said Court, and the Seal thereof, at Ottawa,
this *5th* day of *April* in the
Year of Our Lord One Thousand Eight Hundred
and Fifty-Eight.

S. Leland
Clerk of the Supreme Court.

by J. D. Rice Deputy

115

Henry Zimmer
Post Master
Post Road Company
or

Service 52
Mileage 5.
Post Writ 210.65

April 7th 1858
Delaware
Clark
Telegraph

Received Post April 7th 1858
By reading to the Within Named Henry Zimmer
C. Williamson S.T.C
By J. C. Reeves Deptt

to account for the services rendered by him
in the month of April 1858

STATE OF ILLINOIS, } ss. The People of the State of Illinois,
SUPREME COURT,

To the Clerk of the Bounty Court for the County Tazwell Greeting:

Because, In the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Bounty Court of Tazwell County, before the Judge thereof, between The Illinois River Rail Road Company

plaintiff, and Henry Zinner

defendant, it is said manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the aforesaid Plaintiff

as we are informed by the complaint ~~had Plaintiff~~ and we being willing that error should be corrected; if anywhere be, in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly, without delay, send to our Justices of the Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plaint aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our Justices aforesaid at Ottawa, in the County of La Salle, on the first Tuesday after the third Monday in April next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law!

Witness, The Hon. John D. Caton, Chief Justice of our said Court, and the Seal thereof, at Ottawa, this 5th day of April in the Year of Our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty-eight.

S. Leland

Clerk of the Supreme Court.
by J. B. Rice Deputy

(12595-3)

1151

The All. River R.R. Co

07

Henry Guiney
Writ in Engr

Filed April 3^d 1838

S. Leland
PLK.

The U. S. N. & R. R. Co
or
Henry Guiney
writer now
Filed April 5th 1858
L. Lelane
Plk.

Ills R.R. & Co { ^{Charter} Supplemental term
Wm Zimmerman } 1858 }

Powers & Authority to Reg.

- 1st It is not necessary to allege in the Declaration that Dft paid 10 per cent. on his Subscription at the time of subscribing
See Acts 1853 page 53. act 54 page 208.
16 B Monroe 5. 21 Barnard & 30. 13 Ills 514.
Power on Railways 54, 55, 56, 57 & 58 Alabama 807
5 Pick. 145. 13 Ills. 515. Acts 1857 page 839
- 2d It is not necessary to aver in the Declaration that \$1,000,000.00 have been subscribed
See 37 Maine 587. 13 Ills. 515.
Act 53 page 53; act 54 page 207 power
Railways page 18. 7 Barb. R. 157.
- 3d The Legislature of Illinois to amend
the Charter of Railroad Companies and Corporations
on them additional powers and privileges
so as to promote the interest of the
Company and advance the general
object of the Corporation, and
these amendments tend to promote
those objects. See Act of 1853 page 53, acts
1854 page 208. act 57 pages 107, 839, 13 Ills
501. ~~17 Ills 432~~ Power on Railways
89 & 93 & note. 17 Eng Law & Eq. Rep. page 11.
17 Ills 432 excuse other acts

W. H. Green for W. H. G.

Ill. R.R. Co.

is

Banner

W.W.

Painted Antler

STATE OF ILLINOIS,

SUPREME COURT.

April Term,

3d GRAND DIVISION.

Illinois River Rail Road Company,

vs:

Henry Zimmer

Error to Tazewell

Abstract of the Record.

This was an action of assumpsit brought by the Illinois River Railroad Co., vs : Henry Zimmer, of the January term of the Tazewell County Court, 1858, to recover a sum of money of him as a subscriber to the Capital stock of said company.

The plaintiffs' set out in their declaration, that on the 11th day of February, 1853, the Legislature of Illinois Incorporated the said company for the purpose of building a Railroad from Jacksonville in Morgan county, to La Salle, in Lasalle county, and was approved by the governor the same day, and became a law of the State of Illinois, also afterward to-wit: on the 1st March, 1854, the Legislature passed another Law amendatory to the above law, and was approved the same day, and became a law and afterwards on the 29th January, 1857, the legislature passed another law entitled "An Act to amend an act to amend the Charter of the Illinois River Railroad Company," and approved January 29th, 1857, and became a law and afterwards on the 16th day of February, 1857, the Legislature passed another additional act, entitled 'an act to amend an act entitled an act to construct a Railroad from Jacksonville, in Morgan county to Lasalle in Lasalle county, and approved 11th February, 1853, which last act was approved 16th February, 1857, which

Averment.

several acts the declaration, makes profeet, and the several acts were in force at that time and that there having been sufficient amount of the capital stock of said railroad company subscribed according to the provisions of said charter on the 6th day of September, 1856, the said company became duly organized under the provisions of the several acts first above mentioned, by the stockholders electing the officers &c., and that the defendant assented to the said organization, and that the defendant on the 13th November, 1856, for the purpose of constructing said railroad, among others subscribed to the following agreement, to-wit: 'Know all men by these Presents, that we, the undersigned, do hereby subscribe the number of shares of the capital stock of the Illinois River Railroad company, herein after set opposite our names Respectively, and in consideration of our mutual subscription to said company for the purpose of building said road and of the premises herein do severally agree to pay to the said Illinois River Railroad company the amount of capital stock herein after subscribed by us and set opposite our names and pay all demands to the said company when called for according to law by said company. Dated Pekin, Nov, 13th, 1856; and the said plaintiffs' aver the defendant subscribed his name for ~~ten~~ ² shares, amounting to One thousand Dollars, and that the company accepted his subscription by means of which he became liable to pay plaintiffs according to the terms of said subscription, and that at the meeting of the Directors of said company, at Jacksonville on 2nd December 1857, the directors passed an order requiring subscribers who resided in Tazewell county, or whose subscriptions was made payable there, should pay on the first January, 1858, 65 Dollars per share on each and every share so subscribed, and they should on the 1st Monday in each month thereafter pay \$5 on each share, until all was paid, and that the payment to be made to Joshua Wagonseller or B. S. Prettyman, and that they should give twenty days notice of time and place where such payments were to be made by publication in some Newspaper published in Pekin; the plaintiff avers notice, by publication as required by the last made order, and also avers that the defendant made his subscription in Tazewell county, and that Wagonseller and Prettyman attended at the time and place given in said notice to receive payment, the plaintiff avers that by reason of the premises the defendant became liable to pay the sum of \$130 or \$65 on each share, so subscribed, also, to which declaration was added, the common counts, the plaintiff's also files a copy of account sued on.

Contract.

2 shares +
* 2 hundred

Averment.

Pleas.

Demurer.

Cause.

Cause.

Cause.

Cause.

The defendant pleaded the general issue to all but the first count.

To the first count the defendant demurs and assigus for cause of demurer,

1st, there is no allegation in the declaration that the defendant paid 10 per cent. on his subscription.

2d. It does not appear that the One Million of dollars has ever been subscribed to the capital stock.

3d. If does not appear in the declaration that the call on which the suit was brought was general upon all the stockholders, but it appears the call was partial and only upon part of the subscribers.

4th. It appears from the declaration and the laws therein referred to that the plaintiff's have procured and adopted such amendment to its charter as will enable the plaintiff's to build any and any such part of the railroad and run the same as as the plaintiff's may see fit and leave the plaintiff's under no obligation to ever finish and complete said road from Jacksonville to Lasalle and authorized the plaintiff's to finish and complete any portion of said road road on the rout which may be laid off as a division, and abandon any other part

of said road.

Contract. 5th. It appears from the declaration and the laws therein referred to that said company has procured such an amendment of its charter as allows the company to take subscriptions to stock on any credit that may be contracted for and payable in property, labor or any other thing, thereby enabling said company to sell its stock upon terms much more favorable to new than old subscribers, and because the declaration and the laws referred therein shown that the said company have procured and adopted an amendment to the charter of the company by which subscribers are required to pay calls named by said company on a notice of 20 days instead of a notice of 90 days, the charter provided when the defendant subscribed, and that the said declaration is in other respects informal and insufficient, and upon the issue on the demurrs.

Issues. The court entered the following Judgment :

Illinois River Railroad company, vs. } January term, Tazewell County
John W. Casey } Court, 1858.

And now again comes the parties by their attorneys, and the court having been fully considered the special demurrer to the declaration and having been fully advised in the premises it is ordered that the demurer be sustained for the special causes, No. 3 and 4.

The court rendered judgment for costs against said plaintiff, to all which orders and Judgment the plaintiff excepted and prayed an appeal.

il 5^o 1858

Lelain
CLR

J. Leland
CLR

STATE OF ILLINOIS,

SUPREME COURT.

April Term,

3d GRAND DIVISION.

Illinois River Rail Road Company,

vs:

Henry Zimmer

Error to Tazewell

Abstract of the Record.

This was an action of assumpsit brought by the Illinois River Railroad Co., vs : Henry Zimmer, of the January term of the Tazewell County Court, 1858, to recover a sum of money of him as a subscriber to the Capital stock of said company.

Averment.

Contract.

Averment.

Pleas.

Demurer.

Cause.

Cause.

Cause.

Cause.

The plaintiffs' set out in their declaration, that on the 11th day of February, 1853, the Legislature of Illinois Incorporated the said company for the purpose of building a Railroad from Jacksonville in Morgan county, to La Salle, in LaSalle county, and was approved by the governor the same day, and became a law of the State of Illinois, also afterward to-wit: on the 1st March, 1854, the Legislature passed another Law amendatory to the above law, and was approved the same day, and became a law and afterwards on the 29th January, 1857, the legislature passed another law entitled "An Act to amend an act to amend the Charter of the Illinois River Railroad Company," and approved January 29th, 1857, and became a law and afterwards on the 16th day of February, 1857, the Legislature passed another additional act, entitled 'an act to amend an act entitled an act to construct a Railroad from Jacksonville, in Morgan county to LaSalle in LaSalle county, and approved 11th February, 1853, which last act was approved 16th February, 1857, which several acts the declaration, makes profeet, and the several acts were in force at that time and that there having been sufficient amount of the capital stock of said railroad company subscribed according to the provisions of said charter on the 6th day of September, 1856, the said company became duly organized under the provisions of the several acts first above mentioned, by the stockholders electing the officers &c., and that the defendant assented to the said organization, and that the defendant on the 13th November, 1856, for the purpose of constructing said railroad, among others subscribed to the following agreement, to-wit: 'Know all men by these Presents, that we, the undersigned, do hereby subscribe the number of shares of the capital stock of the Illinois River Railroad company, herein after set opposite our names Respectively, and in consideration of our mutual subscription to said company for the purpose of building said road and of the premises herein do severally agree to pay to the said Illinois River Railroad company the amount of capital stock herein after subscribed by us and set opposite our names and pay all demands to the said company when called for according to law by said company. Dated Pekin, Nov. 13th, 1856; and the said plaintiffs' aver the defendant subscribed his name for ten shares, amounting to One thousand Dollars, and that the company accepted his subscription by means of which he became liable to pay plaintiffs according to the terms of said subscription, and that at the meeting of the Directors of said company, at Jacksonville on 2nd December 1857, the directors passed an order requiring subscribers who resided in Tazewell county, or whose subscriptions was made payable there, should pay on the first January, 1858, 65 Dollars per share on each and every share so subscribed, and they should on the 1st Monday in each month thereafter pay \$5 on each share, until all was paid, and that the payment to be made to Joshua Wagonseller or B. S. Prettyman, and that they should give twenty days notice of time and place where such payments were to be made by publication in some Newspaper published in Pekin; the plaintiff avers notice, by publication as required by the last made order, and also avers that the defendant made his subscription in Tazewell county, and that Wagonseller and Prettyman attended at the time and place given in said notice to receive payment, the plaintiff avers that by reason of the premises the defendant became liable to pay the sum of \$130 or \$65 on each share, so subscribed, also, to which declaration was added, the common counts, the plaintiff's also files a copy of account sued on.

The defendant pleaded the general issue to all but the first count.

To the first count the defendant demurs and assigus for cause of demurer,

1st, there is no allegation in the declaration that the defendant paid 10 per cent. on his subscription.

2d, It does not appear that the One Million of dollars has ever been subscribed to the capital stock.

3d. If does not appear in the declaration that the call on which the suit was brought was general upon all the stockholders, but it appears the call was partial and only upon part of the subscribers.

4th. It appears from the declaration and the laws therein referred to that the plaintiff's have procured and adopted such amendment to its charter as will enable the plaintiff's to build any and any such part of the railroad and run the same as as the plaintiff's may see fit and leave the plaintiff's under no obligation to ever finish and complete said road from Jacksonville to LaSalle and authorized the plaintiff's to finish and complete any portion of said road road on the rout which may be laid off as a division, and abandon any other part

of said road.

Contract. 5th. It appears from the declaration and the laws therein referred to that said company has procured such an amendment of its charter as allows the company to take subscriptions to stock on any credit that may be contracted for and payable in property, labor or any other thing, thereby enabling said company to sell its stock upon terms much more favorable to new than old subscribers, and because the declaration and the laws referred thereto show that the said company have procured and adopted an amendment to the charter of the company by which subscribers are required to pay calls named by said company on a notice of 20 days instead of a notice of 90 days, the charter provided when the defendant subscribed, and that the said declaration is in other respects informal and insufficient, and upon the issue on the demurrers.

The court entered the following Judgment:

The court entered the following judgment:
Illinois River Railroad company, vs. { January term, Tazewell County
John W. Casey. } Court, 1858.

And now again comes the parties by their attorneys, and the court having been fully considered the special demurser to the declaration and having been fully advised in the premises it is ordered that the demurer be sustained for the special causes, No. 3 and 4.

The court rendered judgment for costs against said plaintiff, to all which orders and Judgment the plaintiff excepted and prayed an appeal.

118

The Illinois R.R. Co.

13

Gymnus

Dr. H. L. April 80 1838

Levi Leland
Clark

12095

for the same effecting general and special rights
Grants benefit to corporations may be presumed
to be accepted as well as grants to individuals and
an express acceptance is not necessary

that never before is written since 7 P.M. 469,506

The same presumptions are made ~~in favor of~~ ^{in favor of} corporations
as of individuals - grants and writings ~~not~~ ^{beneficial}
to corporations are presumed to be accepted - no is
there any difference ~~in respect to~~ ^{in respect to} a resumption or
~~the~~ ^{direct} ~~resumption~~ ^{of} an original charter from acts alone
under it & the same presumption of the acceptance of
a corporation already in existence
a particular charter by ~~any~~ ^{expressing} ~~corporation~~, the
either case the acts of the corporate officer, are
ascertainable evidence ~~of~~ ^{of} their true intent as
acceptance - in respect to ~~the~~ ^{resumption of} the original charter
or of a particular charter by another corporation, or
of grants of any kind ~~given~~ ^{beneficial} to the corporation
no particular form of acceptance, or manner of evidence
is necessary - this doctrine applies equally in favor of &
against corporations

Bank of Alaska Nats vs. Duncandre 6 U.S. 1 Cond. 445-448
~~against corporations~~

Wetumpka & Coose R.R. Co vs Bentheim 5 Alas. 657

Hampshire vs Franklin 16 Mass 76

By most or certainly by many of the real No. 2 charters
in Illinois, it will be found, on examination of the charters,
that all the "powers of the corporation" are expressly
vested in the Board of Directors;" - thus enabling such
the acts, or the acts of agents employed by them, & the
acts of other officers of the corporation acting in subordi-
nation to them much stronger & more conclusive
evidence of acceptance, of a additional charter, ~~than~~
~~such~~ or other grants favorable to the corporation, than
~~the~~ true of the United Nat's Bank, ~~&c~~ except to where
the foregoing ~~and~~ principles were taken over in the
case of Bank N. Y. is demanded - the Board of Directors
of the Bank being little more than a business Board
& by no means invested with all the corporate powers

But as a general rule it seems that the Board of
Directors possess all the powers of the corporation
unless expressly limited by the charter or by the
by Laws

Received on Real May 290

As to the acceptance of ~~charter~~, to charters, & do not
find any express ~~charter~~, nor any thing that

determines the mode of our acceptance or the
nature of moving it, from which it results in
ordinary cases of acceptance of original charter

so far as the fact of acceptance or non-acceptance
where no specific mode of acceptance is prescribed by the act
is concerned. ^{see Angel & Andes on Corp pg 81-87}

(Of course there the charter or its amendment provide a particular
mode of applying changes, or of returning to them, this mode must
be observed. But is it following & many other cases, where seen
to be entirely different from ours, where a new mode is prescribed, though
it bears them closely resemblance in ours exist, & since they have no real analogy
Case of St. George Church v. St. George Church 6 Id N. 498. 504-5)

Acts done by the corporation under an amendment
of the charter are sufficient evidence of its acceptance
though no express acceptance has been given.

*Trustees of School District vs Gibbs 2 Cush 39
~~Arguing case~~

Borrowing first, written or otherwise, by the
director of a corporation is an acceptance of the act
by the corporation.

Surrolnd Kinnabish Bank vs Rushmore 1 Penn. 81

Though in the following case there is ~~was~~ a very acute question as to the nature of the act
inthiscasewasoneofhotcontestforthecontroloftheCompany
whowantedtocountdirectlythattherewerenoacceptance
in favor of an amendment to a charter, nor any thing from
which such acceptance could be inferred, yet the court in
its fullest measure recognized the doctrine that of an implied
acceptance, ~~by~~ ^{by} ~~consent~~ ^{consent}, by the acts of the body of the corporation,
or by the acts of the corporate officers, & by acts of their under
it ^{in proportion to the business nature of the agent} ~~agent~~
communicated by the Glasgow vs Cullen 13 Penn 133, 140-1

The Atlantic River
Rail Road Company

Henry Grinnier

115

12595

1858