

8806

No.

Supreme Court of Illinois

Wm. B. Thorn

vs.

Joel F. Watson, Admr.

71641

4

Wm B. Thorn

v

Joel F. Watson,
Admr &c -

disposed of Dec
Term, 1848 -

4

8806

Wm B'klyn.

In re
Isrl G^r Walton admr.
of James Hans decd.

Jefferson Co. Court
Aug. 23rd 1848

Francis P. Hans being duly
sworn says she attended two days as a witness
in the above case at the instance of Jeff
Sulce? & sworn to before me
this 23rd day of Aug. 1848 Francis P. Hans

E. H. Rileyway clk

Know all men by these presents that we William
B Thom and William Edwards are held and stand
firmly bound unto Joel F. Watson admr. of James
Harras in the sum of two hundred dollars
the payment of which will and truly to be made
to him or his assigns our heirs Ex�ps and admr.
jointly and severally firmly by these presents sealed
with our seals and dated this 1st day of November
A D 1848 The condition of the above obligation is
such that whereas at the August term A D 1848 of
the Jefferson Circuit Court the said Joel F. Watson as
administrator as aforesaid, in a certain matter then
at ~~on or appeal~~ obtained a judgment against the said
William B Thom for the cost of suit amounting
to \$

from which said judgment the said William B
Thom taking an appeal to the Supreme Court of the
State of Illinois for the Southern District.

Now if the said William B Thom shall prosecute
his appeal with effect, or in case said appeal shall
be dismissed the judgment of the Circuit Court affirmed
shall well and truly pay or cause to be paid, whatever
judgment the said Supreme Court shall award
against him in the summing. Then the above obligation
shall be null and void otherwise to remain in full
force and virtue in law

Approved Nov. 1. 1848

J. F. F.
Redway CLK

W. B. Thom

Ex�ps

P. M. Hinds Jr.

Wm. Edwards

and all who shall damage us or us with all men
and how each man deserves punishment given such a
man to another would be well done and plain
called reward and for men done with us; all such
that are to pay them their dues in temporal and
eternal life and make them stand in
order deserved and to give them more pleasure
done to us to / will stand here with me when
is required and all to understand with them to
to them selfs and myself will be under God's law
as before and now and then I will make all
will stand and us in him as we stand in
and will receive punishment & punishment will be
given to them that do bad to us

Mr P. H. 8806

No 3 April 1848

for Mr Watson and
of James Ham etc.

April 1. 1848

3011

Pleas at a Circuit Court commenced
and held at the Court House in the
town of Alton in County of Jefferson
and State of Illinois on the 21st day
of August in the year of our Lord
one thousand eight hundred and forty
eight, before the Honorable William
A Denison one of the Justices of the
Supreme Court, and sole presiding
Judge of the Third Judicial Circuit
of the State of Illinois.

It is remembered that heretofore, to wit, on the 30th day
of June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred
and forty eight came William H. Thom and filed herein
the following transcript which is in the words and figures fol-
lowing to wit:

Plaintiff William H. Thom }
vs. } Debt by aff. \$ 19.62
Def. Joel F. Nation }
State of Illinois }
June 8th 1848.

June the 8th 1848. The said Joel F. Nation
waives process and the parties by mutual consent enter into
trial on the 8th June 1848. Parties and witnesses appear.

Nicetus Laroche and examined relative to the accounts.
It is ordered and adjudged that plaintiff's claim is just
and will be allowed if the assets found belonging to the estate
of Ham in the hands of the administrator not inventoried or
accounted for, but not otherwise, his plaintiff's claim not
being proven in two years as the law requires.

State of Illinois }
Jefferson County }
I William Godds Probate Justice of
the peace in and for the County and State aforesaid
do hereby certify that the above proceedings is a true
and correct copy of the original on record in my office
given under my hand and seal this 28th day of June
AD 1848.

Justus for
Docketting 12th Judgment 25
Appeal 25 Transcript 25

W. Godds (P.J.P.G.S.)

And afterwards, to wit, on the said 30th day of June in the year of
our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty eight the
following summons was issued, to wit:

Summons }
Laroy }
State of Illinois }
Jefferson County }
The people of the State of Illinois to the Sheriff
of said County greeting. Be command you to summon and

the command you to summon and

parunally

I warrant it to be found in your County to be and appear before
the Circuit Court on the first day of the next term thereof
to be commenced and held at the Court House in Mifflin
in said County, on the 3rd Monday of August next then and
there to answer William B. Thorn in an appeal taken
from the docket of William Woods Probate Justice of the
peace in and for said County as is alleged: and hence
make due return to our said Court as the law directs.

(W.S.) Witness Edward H. Ridgway Clerk of our said
Court and the judicial seal thereof at Office in
Mifflin the 30th day of June A.D. 1848.

E. H. Ridgway Clerk
per W. B. Gindi Jr.

Upon which summons the Sheriff made the following
return in the words and figures following to wit, July 25th
1848 Executed the within by reading to the defendant
Serving and returning to me Elijah Pipes Sheriff, &c.

And afterwards to wit on the 22nd day of August in the
Year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty eight
at a Circuit Court convened and held at the Circuit
aforesaid the following order was made in the premises
in words and figures following to wit,

William B. Thorn

vs
Joel S. Watson attorney } Appeal
of James Ham dued }
of James Ham dued }

This day came the plaintiff, by ~~Scots~~
his attorney, and the defendant by Baugh his attorney
and by consent this cause is submitted to the court, the
court having heard the proofs and being fully advised
in the premises find for the defendant. It is therefore
considered by the court that the said defendant recover
of the said plaintiff his costs and charges by him in
and about this suit in this behalf expended &c
Afterwards to wit on the day and year last above mentioned
the plaintiff filed his bill of exceptions taken in this cause
which said bill of exceptions is in words and figures following
to wit.

William B. Thorn

vs
Joel S. Watson attorney } August term Jefferson Court
of James Ham dued } Appeal from the Court of Probate

Be it remembered that on the trial of
this cause the plaintiff introduced the following accounts

Act Marks A

James Ham

To Wm Show Dr

Debits

1839			
Dec 2.	per Mrs Rogers	\$ 10.00	
1840			
Jan 10	Cash	10.00	
June 13	1 Hdo 22 $\frac{1}{2}$ c \bar{g}	2.02 $\frac{1}{2}$	
Sept 19	1 " 18 "	1.62	
1841 Feb 22	1 " 15 $\frac{1}{2}$ "	1.39 $\frac{1}{2}$	
April 8	Cash	10.00	
" "	7 lbs Deer Skins c \bar{g}	.67 $\frac{1}{2}$	
" "	per James Johnson	.50	
May 4	5 $\frac{1}{4}$ lbs Deer Skins c \bar{g}	.47	
June 22	3 " " "	.27	
Oct 14	Cash	3.00	
1842 Jan 17	2 Saddles bridles & martingales	50.00	
" "	2 Saddles	56.50	
" "	per Dozen Martini	1.68 $\frac{1}{2}$	
" "	1 Bridle	4.50	
" 8	per Order Isaac Salterbury	11.25	
Apil 20	35 lbs Hides c \bar{g}	3.15	
May 20	14 Hides each 22-16-18-7 33 lbs	6.57	
" "	Order on Bruce	4.18 $\frac{1}{2}$	
July 11.	Hides per Morris 26 lb c \bar{g}	2.34	
" "	Order with Kirby & Naham	17.00	
Aug 18	J Morris	.25	
1843.	Bridle and Martingale per Wright	6.75	
	149 $\frac{3}{4}$ lbs Hides c \bar{g}	13.27 $\frac{1}{4}$	
May 22.	Collar girth	.25	
1845 Feb 17.	25 lbs Hides c \bar{g}	2.16	
Mar 29.	2 bridle bits and buckles	.50	
May 31.	2 Saddles	39.00	
" "	1 pr Stirrup irons	.25	
1841. Sept 22.	Collar & harness	2.50	
Apri 7	Repairing bridles per Johnson	.50	
June 21	24 $\frac{1}{4}$ lbs Hides per Mat Morris, c \bar{g}	2.20	
1842 July 2	Tacks, Saddle & brass	.25	
" "	1 pr boot traps	.30	
Sept 3	1 pr Calf Shoes	.00	
1843 May 1	per Harness & leather	.25	
Dec 2	Repairing bridles & martingales for Morris	.75	
1844	159 $\frac{3}{4}$ lbs Hides c \bar{g}	14.57	
Aug 27	Collar girth	.25	
Nov 2	Repairing saddle & Stirrup leather	1.00	
" " 14	1 side saddle	20.00	
1845 Nov 15	Repairing saddle	.25	
May 19.	1 Bridle bit and buckles & martingales made per Morris 1 half skin 100 lbs skin	150 308.50	

580627

Accts. A
bills

James Ham credits

1839

		\$	
	Aug 28	by Dar Skins rhams leather	16.73
	Sept 7	19 lbs Skirting	7.12 1/2
	21	1 side black bridle	3.25-
	27	1 dog dar skins	14.12 1/2
	"	2 sides bridle leather	6.00
	Nov 27	4 1/2 lbs Skirting c 37 1/2	9 18 1/2
1840.	Jan 27	37 dar skins	37.65 1/4
	"	2 sides black harness c 37 1/2	10.81 1/4
	Feb 19	28 lbs Skirting c 37 1/2	10.54 1/4
	" "	1 Dar skin	1.00
	July 16	2 "	2.00
1841.	Feb 12	3 sides black bridle	10.00
	Mar 17	Sunday leather	13.68 1/4
	April 28	1 side black bridle	2.50
	Sept 27	1 side Stirrups leather	3.00
	" 29	" "	2.75-
	Oct 14	66 lbs Skirting c 37 1/2	24.75-
	" "	3 sides black bridle	10.00
1842	Jan 8	4 skins	3.00
	" "	3 sides black bridle	9.75-
	" "	22 lbs Harness c 37 1/2	8.25-
	Mar 11	55 lbs Skirting c 37 1/2	20.81 1/4
	" "	14 Dar Skins & sheep skin	3.75-
	Aug 18	Settled per account	2.5-
	" "	2 sides black bridle	7.00
	" "	2 Harness c 37 1/2	13.45-
	" "	14 Dar Skins	1.75-
1843	April 27 th	skins	3.50
	Nov 11	20 Dar skins	12.18 3/4
1844	May 1.	60 lbs black Harness c 30	18.00
1845	April 2.	1 skin Calf	1.00
	July 1	7 lbs hair	1.00
1842	1842	Skins &c	6.25-
1842	July 26	8 3/4 lbs harness c 37 1/2	\$ 389.75 1/2

Thorns Account \$ 09. 37 1/4
Hams accounts & credits \$ 89. 75 1/2

Dar Thorn \$ 19. 62 1/2

The following is the account filed marked B which
is in the words and figures as follows, to wit:

James Ham deal
1843 to April 19 Thom

1843	January per Inock Martin		
	7 1/2 ball shoe Thread	.18	
Feb 10	1 Calf Skin	.36	
" "	3 1/4 lbs dead hides e 10	3.215	
" "	12 9 lbs green " e 5-	6.45-	
" "	23 lbs dead hides	3.37 1/2	
March 1	2 Horse Collars 1/2 each	3.00	
	1 wolf skin		
April 30	2 Blk collar buckles	12 1/2	
" "	2 hides + weighed 18 & one 20 lbs	3.80	
			20.74

and called Francis A Edwards as a witness who being duly sworn stated that he was an apprentice to plaintiff during the years 1841. & 1842 that during that time plaintiff kept no Clerk. That he was a saddler that he bought and sold and made Saddlery harness &c. That he had dealt with plaintiff and that plaintiff had kept some accounts in his dealings with him, and he believes he kept some books. That the entries in plaintiffs books against said Ham were in plaintiffs hand writing. Said witness further proved that plaintiff and defendant had frequent dealings together during the time witness lived with plaintiff for hides and other articles - but witness could not recollect - particularly of the delivery of but 2 saddles some shoe Thread & some hides to defendant. But he knows but so knows that they had frequent dealings and plaintiff mostly sold & kept his own accounts - but that sometimes his apprentices in his absence sold small articles - such as bridle and martingales.

Plaintiff also called Francis Ham the widow of defendant who being duly sworn stated that she knew that plaintiff and defendant had frequent dealings together, and that recollect of defendant getting seven saddles and a bridle & some collars, and that defendant got hides at different times from plaintiff Mr Morris was also called and deposed by plaintiff - who stated that he lived with defendant five or six years last past. That defendant was a tanner, and was in the habit of getting hides of plaintiff frequently. And from Ham's own statement that he got a good many hides of plaintiff - he knows of defendant getting a leather saddle of plaintiff in June 1845 - for Jacob Ham's daughter, and also a wolf skin to the defendant - got of plaintiff the same year.

which defendant sold to a Mr Bradford. He stated that a common sheep skin was worth one dollar & fifty cents - and the wolf skin was worth as much as a sheep skin. That witness on several occasions got things of plaintiff by the orders of defendant. He stated that a Mr Bright also got articles of plaintiff by the orders of defendant - that Ham had on some occasions sent witness to get repairing done that on one occasion he witness, took for Ham a leather bridle ~~bit~~ to plaintiff which plaintiff got mended by a Smith, Mr Battycat & had the mending charged to him plaintiff & that the plaintiff also repaired this bridle. witness also came once with a wagon for Ham and got Horses of plaintiff the horses were hauled by a Mr Smith. +

Defendant called John Ham who was sworn as a witness to prove the books of said defendant. Whereupon it was admitted by the plaintiff that the accounts were all correct as far as they went - but that they did not include all the plaintiff's accounts against said Ham on the credit side, and defendant called and swore Henry M. Marion who stated he was an apprentice to plaintiff from 15th June 1811 to 15th June 1818 with the exception of one day which plaintiff let him off. That during that time he knew of but one dispute between plaintiff and his customers, and that was for a saddle not charged on plaintiff's books. The purchaser of the saddle a Mr Summers thought that plaintiff had agreed to let him have it for two dollars and plaintiff thought it was twelve dollars, the expression of witness at the time was at the time twelve dollars and that he never heard or knew of any other difficulty or dispute. On cross examination witness stated that he knew of no dealing between plaintiff & a defendant. He stated that plaintiff kept no clerk during the time he was with him. That plaintiff mostly did all the selling & book keeping except when absent his apprentices would sell small articles such as bridles; and that he believes plaintiff kept Compt Books. The book accounts admitted by the plaintiff is as follows -

William M. Ham Dr
To James Ham

		\$
Sept 6 th		200
1839.		220
Sep 27	Deer skins & Harness	16.75
Sept 6	19 lbs Skirting at 37 $\frac{1}{2}$	71.25
" 19	+ 1 side black bridle	3.25
" 27	1 doz Deer Skins	14.12 $\frac{1}{2}$
" "	2 Sides Brde & Harness	6.00
Nov 27	24 $\frac{1}{2}$ lbs Skirting @ 37 $\frac{1}{2}$	918 $\frac{3}{4}$
1840		
Aug 22	27 deer skins 27.87 $\frac{1}{2}$ 3 sides black hair 3 lbs each 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ per	39.68 $\frac{3}{4}$
Sept 18	28 lbs Skirting at 37 $\frac{1}{2}$ 10.54 $\frac{1}{2}$ 1 Deer Skin 1.00	11.54 $\frac{1}{4}$
	11.	

Acct. 6 Continued

1841.	Feb 16	3 sides black bridle	\$ 10.00
	Mar 17	Sundry leather	15.68
	April 28	1 side black bridle	2.50
	Sept. 25	1 side Stirrup leather 2.75 1 side Stirrup 3.00 5.75-	
	Oct. 14	66 lbs shirtings at 37 $\frac{1}{4}$ 24.75 3 sides bridle 34.75-	
1842.	January 7	4 skins 3.00, 3 sides black bridle 9.75-	12.75-
	"	22 lbs black harness at 37 $\frac{1}{4}$ 8.25-	8.25-
	Mar 5	55 $\frac{1}{4}$ lbs shirtings at 37 $\frac{1}{4}$, 26 81 $\frac{1}{4}$, 5 duck skins & sheepskins 56.56 $\frac{1}{4}$	
	Aug 18	2 sides black bridle 7.00 2 sides black harness 17 $\frac{1}{4}$ 8.57 $\frac{1}{4}$ 6.65 13.65-	
	"	2 Deer Skins 1.75-	
1843.	April 27	Skins -	3.50
	Nov 11	12 Deer Skins	12.18 $\frac{1}{4}$
1844.	-	66 lbs black Harness at 30	18.00
1845.			.00
	April 2.	1 calf skin	1.00
	July 1	7 bushels hair	1.00
	Feb. 28.	Skins &	6.98
			\$ 280. 23 $\frac{1}{4}$
		6 $\frac{1}{2}$	
1839.	Dec 2.	By Mothie Lee	10.00
1840.	Aug 10.	By Leash	10.00
	June 13	1 hide 12 $\frac{1}{4}$ at 9	2.02 $\frac{1}{2}$
	Sept 30	1 hide 18 lbs	1.62 $\frac{1}{2}$
1841.	Feb 22	1 hide 15 $\frac{1}{4}$ lbs at 9	1.39 $\frac{1}{4}$
	April 9.	Cash 10.00 7 $\frac{1}{4}$ lbs Deer Skins at 9 $\frac{1}{2}$ per lb	10.67 $\frac{1}{4}$
	" "	James Johnson	.30
	May 1	Deer Skins 5 $\frac{1}{4}$ lbs	.47
	June 12.	3 lbs deer Skins	.27
	Oct 17	Cash	3.00
1842.	Jan 8	order with Isaac Pauthenay & JP Baugh	11.25-
	17	2 saddles bridles & martingales	50.00
	"	2 Saddles	56.50
	"	Brooch Martini	1.68
	"	1 Bridle	11.50
	April 20.	35 lbs hides at 9	3.15-
	May 28.	4+ hides each 22 lbs 16. 18 $\frac{1}{4}$ dy & 33 lb grain	6.57
	"	Order on Bruce	4.18
	July 16	26 lbs hides @ 2.55 per pair M. Morris	2.34
	"	order with Kirby & Nathan	17.00
	Aug 18	By Jerrit Morris	.95-
	Nov 1.	By Wright for Bridle & Martingales	6.75
	"	11.97 $\frac{1}{4}$ lbs hides at 9	13.27
1844.	May 22.	Cotton girth	.25-
1845.	Feb 17	24 lbs hide at 9	2.16
	Mar 27	Bridle Bits & Buckles	.50
	May 31	2 Saddles 39.00 1 per pair various 25-	39.25-
			\$ 359. 57 $\frac{1}{4}$

It was further admitted by the defendant - that the Estate of James Goss deceased defendant's intestate is entirely solvent - and that there will be a large surplus to distribute to the children of deceased. It was further admitted by the plaintiff that the account sued on by plaintiff was not sued on until a few days after the expiration of two years from the issuing of letters of administration to the defendant. Defendant further admitted that there had not been a final settlement of the Estate of said defendant.

The foregoing was all the testimony before the Court in this cause whereupon the Court found for the defendant under the Statute of Wills & Testaments, that the plaintiff's claim was barred by section 115 of said Act - and therefore refused to ascertain the balance due said plaintiff. And to render a judgment thereon to be collected out of future dividends adits - but a judgment against the plaintiffs for the costs of suit which several findings opinions or dies adiudicatae the plaintiff there are there excepted and prays this his bill of exceptions to be signed sealed and allowed of which is done accordingly by

W. A. Denning Esq.

State of Illinois
Jefferson County 3d

I John Wilbanks Clerk of the Circuit Court
in and for said County do hereby certify that the
foregoing are true and correct transcripts of the record
and proceedings had in said cause now on file in my
office

In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand
and affixed the judicial seal of said court
at Mount Vernon this 5th day of December
A D 1824.

John Wilbanks Clerk
for Lewis & Leasy Esq.

Wm. B. Thom

December Term Sup Court

v
Soul F. Watson admt
of James Ham died }

And the said pff by Walter B. Scates
his counsel comes & says that in the
record and in the proceedings and
the rendition of judgment in this cause in the Circuit Court
there is manifest error in this viz:

- First The circuit Court decided that pff's claim & demand against the
intestate's estate was barred by the Statute of Limitations in the 115th
section of the Statute of Wills - because it had not been filed within
two years from the issuing of ^{the} letters of administration to deft.
- Second The Circuit Court refused to audit, adjust, settle & determine the
indebtedness between the parties, until the pff should discover
assets & effects not inventoried & accounted for by the deft.
- Third The Circuit Court refused to give a judgement in the nature
of a judgement quando accidens in favor of the pff
for the amount proven to be due said plaintiff upon a
full & fair settlement of accounts between said pff & deft's intestate
- Fourth The Circuit Court found for the defendant, when by the proofs
~~and~~ testimony it ought to have found for the plaintiff
- Fifth The circuit Court gave judgement for costs against the
plaintiff - when by the law & the testimony, judgement
should have been given in his favor.
Wherefore for the errors aforesaid and others apparent upon
the record in the proceedings, orders findings & judgement
of the court, he prays that the same may be reversed
annulled and for nothing esteemed, and he restored
to all his rights in the premises & that he recover
his costs &c.

Walter B. Scates for Pff in error

Supreme Court of

William H. Penn

vs. Republic from Epham

Set & Return Without
James Penn Penn

Filed Dec 7th, 1848

Wm. Banks et al
vs. Lewis & Lewis Bell

Dismissed & judgment
affirmed Descendants
Decr, 1848 -

William B. Thorne

vs

John T. Watson Adm'r
of the Est^t of James Ham Deed

Proces[er]a

The Clerk will please issue subpe
for Frances Ham & James M Morris to testify on
part of Plaintiff August Term 1848

W. B. Thorne
Jr.

W^m Thorn

vs

J. T. Watson Adm^r
of Jms Ham Est
August 2nd 1848

Filed 18th August 1848
L. Atchafayway cl^r

Ipl

Wm B. Thorn
v
Sol J. Watson admr
of James Ham decd

} August Term 1848 off estch
Appeal from the Court of Robt

Be it remarkead that on the trial of this cause - The plaintiff introduced the following accounts (here inserted accounts marked A & B.) and called Francis H. Edwards as a witness who being duly sworn stated, that he was an apprentice to plff during the years 1841 & 1842 - that during that time plff kept no book - that he was a saddler - that he bought & sold - & made & sold saddlery, harness &c - that he had dealt with plff and that plff had kept correct accounts in his dealings with him, and he believed he kept correct books - that the entries in plaintiff's books against said Ham were in plaintiff's hand writing - ~~and that the items on the plaintiff's account so far as they were entered on the books of plaintiff were the same as those on the books~~ - said witness further proved that plaintiff and decedent had frequent dealings together during the time witness lived with plaintiff for hides and other articles - but ^{witness} plaintiff could not recollect particularly of the delivery of but two saddles ^{some sheep traps & some hives} to decedent

but he knows that they had frequent dealings - and mostly sold & kept his own accounts - but that sometimes his apprentices, in his absence sold small articles - such as bridles & martingales

Plff also called Frances Ham the widow of decedent, who being duly sworn stated that she knew that plff and decedent had frequent dealings together - and that recollects of decedent getting seven saddles - 4 men's & three women's saddles - and a bridle & some collars, and that decedent got hides at different times from plaintiff

Mr Morris was also called to sworn by plff - who states that he lived with decedent five or 6 years last past

That decedent was a tanner - and was in the habit
of getting hides of plaintiff frequently - and from
Hann's own statement that he got a good many
hides of plff - he knows of decedent getting a side
saddle of plff in June 1845 - for Jacob Hann's daughter
and also a wolf skin he decedent got of plff the
same year which decedent sold to a Mr Bradford
He stated that a common sheep skin was worth one
dollar & fifty cents - and the wolf skin was worth
as much as a sheep skin. That witness on
several occasions got things of plff by the orders
of decedent. He stated that a Mr Wright also got articles
of plff by the orders of decedent - that Hann had
on some occasions sent witness to get repairing
done - that on one occasion he witness took for Hann
a broken bridle-bit to plff - which plaintiff got
mended by a Smith Mr Battzel & had the mending
charged to him plaintiff & that plaintiff also repaired
the bridle. Witness also came once with a wagon for
Hann & got hides of plaintiff - the hides were hauled by
a Mr Smith

Defendant ~~so~~ called John Hann who was sworn as a
witness to prove the books of said decedent - whereupon
it was admitted by the plaintiff that the accounts on
the books of decedent were all correct as far as
they went - but that they did not include all the
plaintiff's account against said Hann on the creditor
side - thereupon said witness stood aside - and defendant
called and swore Harry H. Watson - who stated that
he was an apprentice to plaintiff from 15th June 1845 to
15th June 1848 - with the exception of one day which plff
let him off - that during that time he knew of but one
dispute between plaintiff & his customers - and that
was ~~one~~ for a saddle not charged on plaintiff's books
The purchaser of the saddle a Mr Summers thought that plff

had agreed to let him have it for ten dollars and plaintiff thought it was twelve dollars - the impression of witness at the time was that it was for twelve and that he never heard or knew of any other difficulty or dispute - On cross examination witness stated that he knew of no dealings between plaintiff and decedent - He stated that plaintiff kept no clerk during the time he was with him - that plaintiff mostly did all the selling & book keeping - except when absent his apprentices would sell small articles such as buckles & that he believes plaintiff kept correct books

The book account of decedent admitted by the plaintiff is as follows - (here inserted copy of Book account marked C)

It was further admitted by the defendant that the estate of James Ham deceased, defendant's intestate is entirely solvent and that there will be a large surplus to distribute to the children of decedent. It was further admitted by the plaintiff that the account sued on by plaintiff was not sued on until a few days after the expiration of two years from the issuing of letters of administration to the defendant. Defendant further admitted that there had not been a final settlement of the estate of said decedent.

The foregoing was all the testimony before the court in this cause - whereupon the cause was submitted to the court - ~~the~~ defendant pleading all the statutes of limitations. Whereupon the court found for the defendant under the Statute of Wills & testaments that the plaintiff's claim was barred by section 115 of said act - and thereupon refused to ascertain the balance due said plaintiff - and to render a judgment therefore to be collected out of future discovered assets - but

a judgment against the plaintiff for the cost of suit
to which several findings, opinions, orders and
judgments the plaintiff then had there excepted
and prays this his bill of exceptions to be
signed sealed & allowed, which is done accordingly

W. A. Donning Seal

Aug 3rd 1828
William S. Thorne
v.
Abel H. Nations and James Penn Dan
Bill of Exceptions

Plead at a Circuit Court
commenced and held at the Court
House in the town of Mount Vernon
County of Jefferson, and State of Illinois
on the 3rd day of August in the year
of our Lord eighteen hundred and forty
eight, before the Honourable William A.
Kemming one of the Justices of the Supreme
Court and sole presiding Judge of the
Third Judicial Circuit of the State of
Illinois.

Be it remembered that heretofore, to wit, on the 30th
day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight
hundred and forty eight came William B. Thom and filed
hunc the following transcript, which is in the words and
figures following to wit:

Transcript
William B. Thom 3 debt by ac. #19. 42
Sed f. Waten admt. 3 of Capus Ham dec? 3 Jun the 8th 1848. The said
Sed f. Waten waives process and the parties by mutual consent
enter into trial on the 8th June 1848. Parties and witnesses
appeared. Witnesses sworn and examined relative to the
account. It is ordered and adjudged that plaintiff's claim
is just and will be allowed if other assets belonging to the
estate of Ham, in the hands of the administrator not
inventured or accounted for, but not otherwise his plaintiff's claim
not being proven in two years as the law requires.

W. Dodd (P.P.)

State of Illinois
Jefferson County ss I William Dodd Probate Justice of the
Peace in and for the County and State aforesaid do hereby certify
that the above proceeding is a true and correct copy of the
original on record in my office. Given under my hand
and seal this 28th day of June AD 1848.

Indicates for

W. Dodd (P.P.Q.S)

Docketing 12 1/2 Judgment 25
Appeal 25 Tax. 25

And afterwards to wit, on the said 30th day of June
in the year of our Lord eighteen hundred and forty eight, the
following summons was issued, to wit:

State of Illinois

Jefferson County ss The People of the State of Illinois to
the Sheriff of said County Gaveling:

We command you to
summon Joel A. Watson if to be found in your County
personally to be and appear before the Circuit Court on the first
day of the next term thereof, to be commenced and held
at the Court House in Mount Vernon, in said County, on the
3d Monday of August next, then and there to answer
William B. Thorn in an appeal taken from the docket of
William Dodge Probate Justice of the peace in and for said
County as is alleged; and hereof make return to our said
Court as the law directs.

I I Witness Edward H. Ridgway Clerk of our said Court
and the judicial seal thereof at office, in Mount
Vernon this 30th day of June AD 1848

H. Ridgway Clerk
per R. M. Ingalls D.C.

Upon which said summons, the Sheriff made his return
in the words and figures following, to wit: July 25th 1848.
Executed by reading the within to the defendant - serving
and returning, b2^½ E. Piper Shff. C.C.

The following account, marked A, was filed by the
parties, which is in the words and figures following to wit

Debit	Credit	Dr	Wm B. Thorn	Pr
1839				
Dec. 2	for Mrs Rogers			\$ 10.00
1840	Cash			10.00
Jan. 10				
June 13	1 Side 22 ^½ c 9			2.02 ^½
Sep. 19	1 " 18 "			1.62
1841	Feb 22 1 " 15 ^½ "			1.39 ^½
April 8	Cash			10.00
" "	7 lbs Deer skins c 9			17 ^½

1841				
April 8	per Dr. Johnson		50	
May 4	5 $\frac{1}{4}$ lbs deer skins c 9		47	
June 22	3 lbs " " "		27	
Oct 14	Cash		3 00	
1842				
Jan 17	2 Saddles bridles Martingals		50.00	
" "	2 Saddles		56.50	
" "	per Enoch Martin		168 $\frac{3}{4}$	
" "	1 Bridle		450	
" 8	per Order Isaac Faulkner		11.25	
April 20	35 lbs Hides c 9		315	
May 20	4 Hides each 22-16-18 & 33 lbs		6.57	
" "	Order on Bruce		4.18 $\frac{3}{4}$	
July 11	Hides per Morris 26 lbs c 9		2.34	
" "	Order with Kirby & Rahm		17.00	
Aug 18	1 Morris		25	
1844	Bridle & martingal per Night		6.75	
May 22	149 $\frac{3}{4}$ lbs Hides c 9		13.27 $\frac{3}{4}$	
1845	Cotton girth		25	
Feb 17	25 lbs Hides c 9		2.16	
Mar 27	2 bridle bits & buckles		50	
May 31	2 Saddles		39.00	
1846	1 pr. strap irons		.25	
Feb 22	Collar & harness		2.50	
April 7	Repairing bridles per Johnson		.50	
Aug 21	24 $\frac{1}{2}$ lbs. hide per Mat. Morris c 9		2.20	
1847	July 1 tacks, saddles & brass		25	
" "	1 pr. boot tray		.50	
Sept 3	1 Jr. Calf shoe		2.00	
1848	1 Jr. Harness & collar		2.50	
May	Repairing bridles Martingals per Morris		.75	
Dec 2	159 $\frac{3}{4}$ lbs c 9		14.37	
1849	Collar & girth		25	
July 29	Repairing saddle strap buckles		1.00	
Aug 2	1 Side saddle		20.00	
" 24	Repairing saddle		.25	
Nov. 15	1 Bridle bit, buckles & Martingals nags per Dr. Johnson		1.50	
1850	1 Wolf skin		308.20	
May 17			1.25	

Appleton & James Ham Cr.	
Credited	1839
Aug 28	By deer skins & harness leather
Sept 7	19 lbs Skirting
" 21	1 Sides black bridle
" 27	1 dog deer skin
" "	2 Sides bridle leather
Nov 27	4½ lbs. Skirting c 37½
1840 Jan 29	37 deer skins
" "	3 sides black harness 31½ lbs c 37½
Feb 19	28 lbs Skirting c 37½
" "	1 deer skin
July 16	2 " "
1841 Feb 22	3 Sides black bridle
Mar 17	Sundry leathers
April 28	1 Sides black bridle
Sept 27	1 Side stamp leather
" 29	1 "
Oct 14	16 lbs Skirting c 37½
1842 Jan 8	3 Sides black bridle
" "	4 Skins
" "	3 Sides black bridle
Mar 11	22 lbs Harness c 37½
" "	55 lbs Skirting c 37½
Aug 18	4 deer skins & sheep skins
" "	Saddles for Morris
April 27	2 Sides black bridle
Nov 11	2 " " harness c 37½
May 1	4 deer skins
1843 April 2	Skins
July 1	20 deer skins
1844 May 1	10 lbs black harness c 30.
1845 April 2	1 Skin Calf
July 1	7 Bur. Hair
1846 July 25	Skins 40
	8 3/4 lbs harness c 37½
	1
	6.25
	3.37
	<u>289.75</u>

Horn's account 309. 37 $\frac{3}{4}$
Hans account & Credits 389. 75 $\frac{1}{2}$

Due to Horn 119. 62 $\frac{1}{4}$

The following is the account filed marked B. which
is in the words and figures following to wit:

James Ham dec'd. Dr. to Wm B. Horn

1842

Aug.	Spz. Enoch Martin	
Feb 10	To 1 Bull skin thread	.18
" "	" 1 Calf skin	.36
" "	" 34 $\frac{1}{4}$ lbs dried hides c 10	3.45
" "	" 129 lbs green hides c 5	6.45
" "	" 23 $\frac{3}{4}$ lbs dried hides	3 37 $\frac{1}{2}$
Mar 1.	" 2 Horse Collars 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ each	3.00
" "	" 1 Wolf skin	
April 20.	" 2 BLK. collar buckles	12 $\frac{1}{2}$
" "	" 2 Hides weighed 18 & 120 lbs	3.80
		20.74

And afterward, to wit at the August term eighteen hundred
and forty eight, of the Jefferson Circuit Court, on the second
day thereof, the following proceedings were had in said
court to wit:

William B. Horn 3 Appeal
Suz T. Watson adm'r of 3
James Ham deceased

This day came the plaintiff
by Scales his attorney, and the defendant by Bangs
his attorney, and by cause this cause is submitted to the
Court, the Court having heard the proofs, and being fully
advised in the premises, find for the defendant. It is

therefore considered by the Court that the said defendant
reverer of the said Plaintiff his costs and charges by him
in and about his suit in this behalf expended to

And afterwards to wit, on the first day of November
A D 1848 came the said William B Thorn and
filed the following bond to wit:

Bond
Know all men by these presents that we William B Thorn
and William Edwards are held and stand firmly bound unto
Sol G Watson admr. of James Ham demands in the
sum of two hundred dollars, the payment of which
will and truly to be made us bond ourselves our heirs exec^t
and admr. jointly and severally firmly by these presents
sealed with our seals and dated this first day of November
A D 1848

The condition of the above obligation is such
that wherein at the August term A D 1848 of the
Circuit Court the said Sol G Watson or admr.
of James Ham are in a certain matter then at issue
in an Appeal obtained a judgment against the said
William B Thorn for the cost of suit, amounting to
from which said judgment the said
William B Thorn takes an appeal to the Supreme
Court of the State of Illinois for the Southern District
Now if the said William B Thorn shall prosecute his
appeal with effect, or in case said appeal shall be
dismissed or the judgment of the Circuit Court affirmed
shall well and truly pay or cause to be paid, whatever
judgment the said Supreme Court shall award against
him in the premises; then the above obligation shall
be null and void, otherwise in full force & virtue in law

W B Thorn Seal

Wm Edwards Seal

Approved Nov 1 1848

J H Ridgway Ck
Jr W B Hunderd Ck

Style of Illinois
Tazewell County ss.

Edward H Ridgway

Clerk of the Circuit Court in and for said County do
hereby certify that the foregoing are true and correct
transcripts of the records and proceedings had in said
case, now on file in my office.

In testimony whereof I have hereunto set
my hand and affixed the judicial seal of
said Court at Mt Vernon this first
day of November A.D. 1818

E H Ridgway Clerk

Supreme Court S.D.

William B. How

No 3 Broad Street

One of the
attorneys of
James Ham Jr.

Third November 1st 1828

At this day and year
I do C. H.

William B. Ham } Debts by & at \$19.62

vs June the 8th 1848

Joel F. Watson admt^d The said Joel F. Watson
of James Ham dead leaves process and the
justices

doth by mutual
judgment

12th Consent enters into

35 trial on the 8th June 1848

25 parties and witnesses

35 appeared witnesses sworn

8th and Examined Relative to the

account it is Ordered and
Adjudged that Plaintiff's

Claim is just and will be allowed

if other assets found belonging to

the estate of Ham in the hands

of the Administrator not be introped

as Plaintiff for bid it is ordered

his Plaintiff's Claim not being

known in less than as the

law requires

W. DODDS J.P.

State of Illinois
Jefferson County } I Wm Dodds Probate
Judge of the Peace in and for the County and
State aforesaw do hereby Certify that the above
proceedings is a true and correct Copy of the
Original on record in my office

Given under my hand and seal
this 38th day of June A.D. 1848

W. DODDS J.P.

John B. Moore

of
unscripted
L.

L. Appalac

J. L. Nathan

Massachusetts

March 1825

Biddeford 1825

Uruguay en
1825

Paris — France

1848

PPC

Amico

No 8