

I knew after receiving letters from
Immpertz, she read to me the first
one, that was shortly after Immpertz
had left.

Question - Ask witness to state contents of that
letter (objected to, objection sustained)
Saw no other letter.

The Counsel for the People then proposed
to the witness the following question.

106 " Do you know from anything Sophia said
to you why she left Milwaukee? to
which question the Prisoner by his Counsel
then & there objected on the ground that
the statements of Sophia Turner made
to the witness were hearsay being the
statements of a stranger not admissible
evidence in the case, and also because
the statements called for by the question
were not confined to the time of her leaving
for Chicago, which objection was over-
ruled by the Court on the ground that
it related to matters forming part of
our game of June & nothing else, to
which ruling & decision of the Court
the Prisoner by his Counsel then & there
objected excepted, to which question
the witness then there answered as follows
Because she said that Immpertz wrote

that she should come that was all she told me, she gave no other reason - Immsperg had written that she was to stay there till September or October. she had been to see her in August - she gave me no other reason why they went together, I know what things they had, pretty well - she sold those things

107 The Counsel for the People then proposed to the Judge to put the following question "Why if you did see them?" to which question the Prisoner by his Counsel then & there objected on the ground & for the reasons that the reasons which the deceased Sophia Turner had or gave to the witness for selling her things or property were inadmissible & irrelevant being mere hearsay, in this case

This objection was overruled by the Court, to which ruling & decision the Prisoner by his Counsel then & there accepted

The Witness then answered as follows She said the Defendant had written to her to sell them. The Prisoner here by his Counsel moved the Court to strike out the last answer of the witness on the ground & for the reason that the

108
Same is mere hearsay & is the statement
of the deceased Sophia Werner of the
Contents of a letter from Imperetz the
Prisoner to her and that no foundation
or reason had been shown for intro-
ducing Secondary Evidence of the
Contents of such letters. Nor had the
Witnes read the letters & that the state-
ments of the dead of their contents were
inadmissible, which objection was
overruled on the grounds that the
statements so far as they related to
Contents were not admitted as Evidence
of the Contents of letters but only as
parts of their Conversations, to which
ruling & decision of the Court the
Prisoner by his Counsel then & there
excepted.

The Court here ruled & decided that
he would admit the Prosecution to prove
any Conversations which the deceased
Sophia Werner had held with any person
between the time when Imperetz left
Milwaukee & the time when the deceased
left relating to her reasons for leaving
Milwaukee, to which ruling & decision
the Prisoner by his Counsel then & there
excepted. The Witness further says

109
The Sophie Werner said to me,
Jumpsitz had promised to marry her
when she came here to Chicago, that
was what she always said to me -
She told me about selling the furniture,
this took place shortly before she left,
when she got the letter from Jumpsitz
it might have been three or four days
before she left. She came up stairs and
said she had got a letter, to sell every-
thing and go to Chicago - that she was
to go on the first of March. She
could not do it because it would take
till the third or 4th of March to sell
her things.

The Counsel for the Prisoner here moved
the Court to strike out & suppress all of
the above testimony of this witness purport-
ing to state the conversation of the deceased
because it is mere hearsay & relates to the
contents of letters supposed to be written
by Jumpsitz to deceased & is not admis-
sible in the case, which motion was
overruled & refused by the Court.

The witness proceeded as follows -
She did not speak to me any more
but to others from whom I heard it
She left on the 3^d of March, Wednesday
in the afternoon. I saw her when she

went and the things were taken away

110 I saw her at the house for the last time - I have seen her directions on letters, I have not seen her write otherwise, she wrote fine, I can't say if I should know her writing, she wrote such a scratching hand, crossed & small, I could not say whether I should well know it again, (The letter shown to wife) The handwriting I can't distinguish but as to contents she might have written it - it don't coincide with what I have seen on the covers of letters, that was finer & not equally as distinct, she brought to Chicago a chest and rocking chair some quilts laid on the chest that is all, (It is shown something in a trunk) these are the things that is her trunk - this dress she had on when she came to Chicago, I was by when trunk was packed before she started

Deft Counsel here renewed his motion to strike out all the testimony of this witness importing to state contents of Sophia Bremer. Court overruled Mrs. Deft then & there excepted

Cross -

She lived happily together can't say

they lived otherwise. I could hear
up stairs pretty much all was going
on down stairs. I could have heard
if they had not lived happily.

///
Work all who bought the furniture
which they had when they came, she
brought a good deal from Chicago
Can't say as they bought anything then
Household things they didn't buy.
J. M. Pertz bought fuel, she paid her
rent for half a year before he left
She had wood & coal in the house
when he left for a long time. Can't tell
if he left her any money. She was
washing & he wrote to her to send him
any money she earned as he wanted
to pay for his lot. She celebrated
her birth day before he left & he then
gave her a \$10 gold piece which she
so sent him. She was generally pretty
gay. In her serious moments she was
sometimes desponding, but after she
had been up stairs & come down she
would be gay. She often said how
unhappy she was. If anyone only knew
how unhappy she was! She often said
she had some sorrow at her heart she
dare not tell. Never saw her hand
writing on anything but directions on

112
letters. I remember that Mr. Connes & Sheriff were at my house weeks or so ago. Sheriff gave me a letter to read. The name of the interpreter then was Paul - his given name - don't know his other name - has a beer saloon.

He was asked then if that letter was in the writing of Sophia Themer. I said the same as I have said here. I then said, after reading, that the contents might be hers. I understood what the interpreter said. Could understand some what he said to Mr. Connes. At first I said it might be her writing. Could not say for certain. Said it spoke in the same manner & tone as she often told me. The question was put to me if I did not believe she wrote. I then asked to be permitted to read it and I said as to the contents it might be hers.

I was absent minded on that day. My child was sick. He did not insist upon its being Sophia's letter, but as to the contents. Did you express any opinion as to whether it was the letter of Sophia Themer? I only said as to the contents I did not say I thought it was the hand writing of Sophia.

113

"I did not say it was not,
They gave me the letter & after hearing
it read, I said as to the contents it
could be hers, I was not asked anything
further. She said Werner was the cause
of her misfortune & she could stab him
I could understand English, but not
properly, what the Interpreter said to
McComas. I heard the Interpreter
state this to McComas. He spoke
about Werner & I think he said what
I said, but I could not well under-
stand. I paid not much attention
then my child was crying.

Daphnia said to me often that Werner
was the cause of her moving so much
about. That was a long time before
she left. She only said she wanted to
revenge herself, she could stab him
with a knife if she had him, said
nothing about going on to New York
or Rochester. Don't know anything
about any manufacture of towels -
The latter part of the time she did not
come much up stairs & I did not
go down much -

Question Did you read the letter & if yes, does
it fully portray her state of mind?
Objected to by Comms - & objection

overruled.

Ted it was much like her manner
& mode of Expression.

114 Don't know of a young man by the
name of Charley. Might know him -
don't know. Did hear Mrs Her
ner speak of a young man about
then, who was going to Germany.
She said she had sent by him a letter
& the money to her sister. Said her
sister was in want of it & she want-
ed to send her some money - don't
remember her saying anything about
having jewelry in Germany - don't
know of the young man coming there
that evening - She told me
next morning that he was in there
that evening - Didn't say
he misbehaved - that was not
long before he left. Can't say how
long, perhaps fortnight. Don't know
certain.

Direct resumed

(Letter shown) I read the whole of
it when M. Comas was there
I think that is not the letter all
I saw - she never spoke to me
at all of going to Rochester. That
I didn't read in the other letter

My child was sick & crying so &
I was nervous, that I couldn't
look very well at the letter that
she had written to her sister & that
the young man had been there are
the only things in the letter Mr Comas
showed me, that note seemed like
Sophia, or what she had talked

I can read this letter easily, some
in this letter reads like Sophia, not
all, only the portion as to Werner
I recognize as like Sophia My husband
will know better, He was present &
will tell. Many neighbors bought the
furniture, can't tell for how much.

Cross Examined

This letter shown - I cannot tell if
it is the same shown me by the Sheriff &
Mr Comas - Don't remember that
saying anything about Rochester, May
have overlooked it. I surely don't know
for certain that this is the same hand
writing as the one Sheriff showed me

I think this is written nicer than
that, the one Sheriff showed me I
think was more like Sophia Werner
than this don't know the handwriting
so well as to say with certainty

Direct resumed

I was holding the child at the time
I saw Mr Cornes letter, Child cried
I could hardly read

Frederick William Reable.

116 I now live in 9th Ward, Milwaukee
I am foreman in the DeBothe print-
ing Office. I have known Joseph
& Sophia. They lived with us, knew them
when they came to us, think it was
in the fall of 1887. Horse stood on
Market St, we lived above, at
beginning their being there I did not
know relations of parties, Sophia said
he was her brother, afterwards I heard
he was her betrothed or sweetheart,
Never saw her write, Have taken
to P.O. letters of hers directed to Mr
Henry Joseph Chicago Ill,

Question - How was Henry Spelt.

Objected, Sustained

Think I would not know her hand
writing, think by looking over one
could not distinguish. She gave me
them two letters

Question - State if you know what these letters
Contained.

Objected to, Sustained

Question - was anything contained in the letter? Ans had \$10 in it, I had it registered at the P.O. Don't know as to the other.

Question - at time of registering of letter at P.O. did anything occur as to name?

Objected to.

Jacob Rehm

117
Ans City Marshall have been since last March, I arrested Defendant. I had conversation with Reft as to letters written by Sophia Wemer to him. Reft said he burned them up, did not say how many he had received, said that he had written to her & that she had answered him, said that he burned them and destroyed everything after she was dead.

(Cross)

Conversation took place in the Office in this building, (and might he made his general statement, He said nothing about that letter, said he burned all the letters except this one shown

Reable resumed

Question - Did anything occur at the time of registering that letter as

to the address?

Objected to

Question How was the address spelled?
How was name of Henry on the letter spelled?

Both Questions Objected to & objection overruled by the Court & Exceptions taken by the Defendant at the time. Objection was on the ground that spelling is not admissible to prove writing & that the address on the letter would be the best evidence, while that of witness is secondary, and Henry was the way it was spelled.

118

That was the first one Can't tell how the second was spelled

Question - Is there any reason why you remember how the first was spelled?

Objected to, overruled & exception Because I spelled or read it to the clerk at the P.O. the name on the back.

She left Milwaukee I think 3rd or 4th March 1837. It is a year now. At time she left I had no conversation with her as to the cause of her leaving. She said "Adieu" & that she was going to Chicago. She had a dray, I think two trunks on it & some other things

I did not remark, Before she left it
was as I recollect ~~Sine~~ to Eight weeks
that I took her first letter to the P.O.
The second I took not long after.
Cross

The letter directed "Henry" was the
first, was put in the P.O. in
February don't know exactly, it is
a thing one don't recollect.

The second was sent in a very short
time after, Can't tell how long, Fortnight,
three or four weeks, Can't tell. Second
one was mailed before she left Mil-
waukee, I can't tell by the weeks, it
might be four weeks too, Henry is
spelled in Dutch Huri. In German
it is "Heinrich" these parties lived
very peacefully together. She said that
Jungfer paid the rent for her & had
bought things for her to live on

Adjourned till 9 A.M.

Friday Jan, 28 1859

Mrs Mina Veitenheimer (De Puhl Interpreter)

Lives Corner Johnson & Market St.
Milwaukee, knew Sophia Bremer
knew Jungfer, Believe and acquaint-
ed with him don't know exactly,
don't know the day in the summer
two houses from corner on Johnson St

Last Summer a year - Moved after
wards into Market St. Jumperitz left
Milwaukee - don't know when -
about Christmas or New Years, about
that time, Sophia left 3^d of March.
Had you any conversation with dec'd
at the time of her departure in relation
to her reasons for leaving.

Yes I was there when she went away.
Question - State the whole of her conversation
at the time she went away?

Defendant objected for reason that
statements of dec'd are not admissible
being mere hearsay.

120
(Objection overruled by the Court
and exception taken by Defendant's
Counsel then & there to the ruling of the
Court.

Ans. She said to me that Jumperitz had
written to her to come on, that they
would live together that they would
open business in some small town.
Nothing further.

Had you conversation with deceased
at different times in relation to defend-
ant after he left Milwaukee.

O yes she often spoke to me about
him after she had received letters
from Jumperitz.

Question - State what Sophia stated to you in reference to Imperitz in those conversations?

Judges Amendment No 1

121. Defendants Composed objects to the question on the ground that the conversations asked for were hearsay and immaterial. The Counsel for the people being asked the object of the evidence stated that the Defendant had given the Court and Jury to understand that in his defence he should in part rely upon showing in evidence by proof of acts and conversations of the deceased in state of mind at and for a time previous to her death indicating a tendency to suicide; that the evidence now offered was intended to embrace all the conversations of the deceased from the time Imperitz left her down to the time of her departure from Milwaukee to Chicago on 3^d March for the purpose of enabling the jury to judge of her state of mind at the time of her death in reference to the subject of suicide; that in this sense & for this purpose her conversations were relevant.

The defendant objected to the competency of such evidence even for such purpose

Before deciding the question the Judge asked the defendant's Counsel if they intended to introduce Evidence of the kind stated tending to show a tendency on the mind of the deceased towards suicide at the time of and previous to her death? To which the Counsel responded in the affirmative.

122 The Judge thereupon decided that the proposed Evidence was competent for the purpose of showing her state of mind and that for such purpose he should admit it. But inasmuch as this Evidence was not properly admissible except by way of rebutting any Evidence which might be produced by the defendant touching this subject, the Judge desired to know from the Counsel of the defendant whether any objection would be made to receiving the Evidence of these witnesses out of its proper order.

And to this it was said that as to the witnesses here from another State no objection would be urged to the Evidence on the ground of the order of proof.

Thereupon the objection to the question propounded was overruled for the reasons

and upon the grounds above recited
to the overruling of which off, the
defendant thus & there excepts.

123 She told me no further than that she
had received letters from Juniper
& was going away. She said she had
rec'd letters that she was to follow
him, besides this she said nothing, only
said that the last letter she had received
from him he wanted her to speak to no
one, visit herself closely & that he would
call for her, about which she seemed
very sorry. She did not like it,

Of the rec'd a letter after that I
don't know, that was rec'd shortly
before she left, By "calling for her" she
means, she was to wait at the Depot
in Chicago until he called for her.
In a letter which she had rec'd before
that, he had written he wanted her to
sell all the things, put them together and
send them into a second-hand store
and have them sold even if they did not
bring but \$9 or \$8 and send the money
to him, so that they could furnish
themselves anew (the contents of this
letter are given by witnesses told her
by Sophia)

Ann A. Council for R. & F. moved to strike

and all that part of the answering of
this witness which imports to contain
the contents of the letters. Motion
overruled by the Court. Exception
taken to decision of the Court by depts
Counsel at the time.

124

She said she would write to Jumpsitz
that she would like a few days to
see the things so as to get (more for
them, that she would take a few things
along with her as he had written to
her to sell everything, the Dresses too,
He would buy her again nice dresses,
Nothing further said. This last letter
she spoke of was rec'd. Can't say how
long before she left Milwaukee,
The last letter she rec'd I can't remem-
ber either when. Can't say how long
before she left Milwaukee - it was
perhaps 3 or 4 weeks, that she wrote
Jumpsitz that she would take some
time to see the things, I have not
spoken about it to her any further.

I move my house to one Sophia lived
in is 2nd house. I saw her after
Jumpsitz left most every day
she used to come for the children
and so on, for my children, upon
these occasions when I saw her she

125-

was always very, These visits to me continued up to the last moment when she came to bid me good bye, she said she was going to write to us in Milwaukee in four weeks she said she was going to Chicago with him - Jumper. And if he wouldn't have her she would come back to Milwaukee. She took a Dray and packed her things on the Dray and went away. Don't know anything further. As to the business she and Jumper were to be engaged, she said nothing. Did not see anything she was making only said she was making towels, only said she wanted to make towels, Don't know how long, that was before she left, month or six weeks don't know.

I had conversation with her in my store in regard to opening a shop with Jumper. She only said she was going to travel to Chicago & that they were going to open business together. I can't tell how long, it might have been two months before.

The Counsel for defendant moved to strike out all the foregoing statements of the witness, which details the conversations of Deceased as being

hearsay only & incompetent & as
purporting to give the contents of letters
of defendant by the Concoctions
of deceased without producing the
letters themselves, or sufficiently
account for their non production.

The Motion was overruled by the
Court, & the defendant then & there
accepted to the said ruling of the
Court. The Court overruled the motion
on the same ground & for same reasons
heretofore assigned.

126

The business
referred to was barber business. I saw
Jumpsertz and Sophia very little together
that I did see. I wanted her to work
once & went to her house & looked in
the window & saw them sitting on the
Sofa together & they were very loving
together. Then I spoke of her being
gay. She was not very gay, she was
friendly & very civil, not in glee. I
never saw her in low spirits, only when
she rec'd that letter & told me about it
she was in a little low spirits.

Direct resumed

The letter referred to as making her low
spirited was the one when she was to
come alone visited

August Herzberg (D & Puhl Interpreter)

I live in Milwaukee corner of
Main & Johnson St. I work in the
Seebothe Printing Office. I knew
Sophia Werner. Did not know Immsperitz
Don't think I ever saw him, have
known her since her child was born;
Can't say when exactly - think in July
or August 1837. He then lived in
Market St I think lived in Dollands
House, Mr Raabe lived above, as I
heard from others Immsperitz left Mil-
waukee in the month of December,
after he left I did have some conversation
with Sophia relating to Immsperitz,
during that time I had occasion to go
objection to question & exception taken
to Raabe house as he was working with
one in our Office. That was in month
of October I got married & lived in
Imby St. & in consequence came there
more seldom but on Sixth of Jan'y
1838 moved back to town & visited Raabe
oftener, I came then & saw her more
frequently - then I spoke to her; to Mrs
Immsperitz as she permitted herself to be called
after the child was born, the person
who nursed there came up stairs with
a disturbed countenance

127

It was only during the four last weeks before she left that my wife went to see Raabe & Mrs Raabe & Mrs Jumpserts came to see my wife.

It was only because the visit together that I ascertained from them (witnesses stopped) that was three or four weeks before, because Sophia came to my house. She during the conversation (This conversation between the deceased and witnesses was objected to on the same grounds as other, said as Evidence had been before objected to, and the objection was overruled by the Court for reasons heretofore assigned & Deft. Ayres Counsel excepted) spoke as to how she lived with Jumpserts, I was present.

She said among other things that she had rec^d a letter, in which she was called to come to Chicago March 1st.

She said she couldn't do that, as she couldn't sell her things in that time because she had been requested in that letter to sell all her things. Even her dresses, that she had received another letter after that telling her to come, that she was to come veiled & was to speak to no one & she would remain in that room, I can't recollect the description

of it till he should call for her, remain there alone, the room would be pointed out to her, I then warned her not to do it.

129
Vau A. Moves to strike out all the testimony of this Wit. of Conversation with dec. because it is hearsay testimony & illegal by the Court Motion overruled by the Court except for the impressions taken by Defts Counsel at the time. - She was then silent and seemed desponding - Don't recollect anything further, except Sophia said that he was a smart man & did not believe in any God, & such religious matters as she was telling, Don't recollect anything more of that told. Never had any opportunity to know the relations of the parties.

Question - What did she say about the relations between them?

Objection on the same ground as last above Overruled by the Court & Exceptions taken by Defts Counsel at the time.

She told me that she lived with her first husband & that then she became acquainted with Imperitz.

Question - Did she make any statements in relation to her being married to Imperitz? Yes.

Question - State what she said about being married

to Impertz.

(Objection on same ground as above to wit; that it is hearsay, overruled by the Court & Exception taken by Defts Counsel then & there)

I first asked her, as I had heard they were brother & sister, she said she had to do so because Impertz had told her to do so, She said by an American Preacher they were married secretly.

The very last time she said she had written to him that she wanted to go to Chicago, had written several times. She said she was only quietted by Impertz, (Kept quiet) to remain some time longer. Don't recollect any thing further. She left Milwaukee Wednesday ~~the~~ 3^d March, P.M. 2 1/2 - I saw her & spoke to her that day - she said she was engaged in packing up her things & could only converse here & there. Said she was going to Chicago, She should have gone on the first of March but she couldn't manage it - she said she had got money, Had been to her Dollard to get the money which had been paid in advance for rent & with the other money she may have made

131
it amounted from \$60 to \$80. I requested her if she didn't like that Chicago to come back again, she said she would write very soon as to how she was getting along. I only had an hour's time then to go there. I have bought some of the things myself. A table with Oil Cloth, paid \$1.50. Yes she had other money to collect on account of her washing. At this time she told about the money nothing else was said, altho. I recollect that she has at divers times sent money to Chicago to Jumperitz, on the last day I saw her she said nothing else, but before she had often said that Jumperitz had bought a lot that she had to pay off.

Question - Did you make any remark to her in relation to the money, to which she replied? Yes tho. not that day.

Question - State what it was?

Defendant objected to the question & answer because it was hearsay & illegal - the objection was overruled by the Court & Exception taken by Def'ts Counsel then & there. I told her not to send the money to Jumperitz. She said she would not, this was perhaps some days before she left.

Question - At the time she was preparing to leave when you had the last conversation with her, did you make any remarks to her, to which she replied about the disposition of the money?

I don't remember.

Her mode of mind during the time I knew her, was that she was of good humor of good temperment, she was sometimes longing for him. J. M. Spertz,
Cross -

132

I judge after being good humored, because she was gay, of gay temperment, she complained, not much as I knew. Never heard her sing in my house, have seen her in her house - don't know how often, about her daily business. She came several times to my house & thus we were requested to visit her, have seen her there, went with my wife, saw her ironing, saw her at her washing, late, have seen her; how often can't tell, perhaps two or three times, at a time, from half to one hour at such times. We conversed at such times, I & then conversed, can't give the time - it was in the evening, can't say how many times I & my wife sat & talked to her, two or three times.

perhaps at that time we were on
a visit to her. She was never prepared
to receive visit when we came, did
not have opportunities to see her in her
daily business, when she was not
sitting or receiving nosce Company.

Up to some 4 weeks prior to her departure
from Milwaukee was the time we
visited her. Before I only saw her at
Mrs Raab's - I had never had any
occasion to talk to her at Raab's -
I even avoided to speak to her. I
avoided speaking to her because it al-
ways seemed a secret between them,
I sympathized for her owing to her
misfortunes which I have already
detailed & of which I then became aware
that was the reason I shrank off so
as to visit her. It was only
within the three or four weeks that
I heard she was to go to Chicago

Don't remember as she told me where
or when, or before what American Priest
she was married to Imperetz, she
told me so at my house within the
last 4 weeks. No one was by but me
& my wife. I used to call her Mrs
Imperetz. I never called her anything
else. She never objected to being called

133

134

She, in those sorrows she spoke
 of only said there was some person
 came to the house & this person had
 gone away with Werner & left her
 (Sophia) behind. I think she said that
 Werner was her husband. Can't remem-
 ber. This Werner was her first husband.
 Did not know where he was now.
 She thought that he was at Chicago.
 I knew not at that time that it was a
 high crime for a man to marry while
 one wife was living. I did not know
 it was, because she said she had mar-
 ried secondly. I did not know it was
 a crime so to marry. She said she
 was divorced from her first husband.
 By her saying she longed to go to
 Gumpertz. She meant she had lived
 a long time with Gumpertz & wished
 to go back to him. She said but once
 that she had written to him. that
she had written to him. She said she
 had written so to him several times.
 I can only say that she wrote to
 him in reply to what he had written
 to her, that she wanted him to make
 preparations for her to come, don't
 know how many letters she wrote or how often.

Question - If this woman was always cheerful & gay, what was it that excited your sympathy?

I think that lies already in what I have stated about her coming to me.

Elizabeth Debus.

135 I am 15 years old 9th of May. I live on Johnson St. between Market St. & East Water, Milwaukee. I knew Imupertz, knew Sophia Werner, first time they lived close by us up stairs, next house. Next they lived in Market St. between Johnson & Market St. lived 3rd house from us. I was there every time, took the washing away. Sometimes would go with her. I was there three or four times a day. Knew when Imupertz left Milwaukee. It was before Christmas. heard no talk between them as to his leaving. Can't tell if I heard anything from him about it, after he left she did not speak about him, said she would follow him soon, said nothing else. (These statements under objection) She said nothing else. I must tell the truth. She rec'd every week two, sometimes one. Can't tell exactly now.

Have seen Sophia write letters often, Only seen two letters from Jumpsertz, One I read Cant tell how long that was after he left, Leticia said she should sell her things (last remark objected to and objection sustained) Dont know what was done with those letters which were recd by Sophia, Much as I have seen of it, she has kept them all, I only have seen her put them away as she received them.

136

12, 25 - Adjourned till 2, P.M.

Jacob Lehman recalled, (City Marshal)
The Deft. stated that he burned all the letters that he had in communication with deceased, all that had anything to do with conversation between him & deceased except this one letter shown. Dont remember that he, Deft. spoke of having looked over her things & destroying all that had her name on, Deft. said the letters in her trunk he took out and burned them he said he did it so that the property could not be identified.

Elizabeth Debus (continued)

Question State what was in the letter you say you read from Jumpsertz to Sophia Wrenner, state contents?
objection on ground that proper foundation for proving contents has not been laid
on the —

authorship of the letter established, objections
sustained & question over-ruled) —

Don't know who wrote the letter she had.
After Jumper's left, I did not hear Sophia
state anything concerning Jumper, just
as he was going away, I had always heard
he was her mother, but she told my mother
that it was not so, but that they lived together.
Said nothing more about him. She went away
Wednesday P.M. 3^o of March. She did not
tell me why she left Milwaukee I can't tell
that. I last saw her as she was going away,
my mother & Mr. Herzberg accompanied
her to depot. She only said "farewell". After
Jumper left I slept with her often, can't tell
how much; the last time before she left my
mother wouldn't let me sleep there any longer.
Until New Year I always slept with her. She
took me out of my bed & put me with her as
she was afraid to sleep alone. I slept the New
Year night & always till the last three weeks,
then I did not. Always after Jumper left till
the last 3 weeks. Don't know the preparations she
made to go to Chicago. Sold all her things. Mr.
Kacher bought a bedstead ^{& a stove} & Mr. Kaabe a chair
& all. She did not state to me the reason
why she sold these things. Have often seen her
write. Can't tell how often, certainly 4 or 5 times

Question by M^r Comas

Did you ever read her writing? Never,
What opportunities had you to see it?

I only saw it without reading particularly,
I can tell her writing.

Question by Miller

Can you read German writing? Yes;
(Letter shown)

138
Can't tell exactly if that is hers. But in
this manner she wrote. I am not so certain
about it. Her writing was not clear, was like
this not legible. As far as I can recollect the
note in the way this is written, the form of the
letters was like, as far as I have seen she made
her letters like this, perhaps a little longer.
She might have written this. I have never seen
Sophia's letters so separate as this. I have read
but one of Humphrey's letters. He wrote more
plainly than Sophia wrote. I knew it was his
because I got it from the P.O. At the time we
received the letter from Humphrey (This evidence of
the writing like all the other evidence of the con-
versations of Dec. 2 was objected to by Deft's counsel
on the same grounds & the objection was overruled
exception taken by Defendant at the time) she
only said it contained good news and at last
she could go to Chicago - And that when she
got to Chicago Humphrey would go with her to
St. Louis. That is all, did not speak of any

other City or business. The last time she said
she was going to Chicago. I said nothing then
but she was packing 3 or 4 large boxes so that
we should have something to eat at once.

That was said the day before she left. She was
to be here on 1st March as I recollect, but
she could not go so soon, could not sell her
things, but she had written she must be there
before the 6th of March. Can say as she said
anything else then. From the time she rec.^d
the letter containing good news to the time she
packed up to go to Chicago, it might be 3 weeks,
can't recollect, two or three. Before she got this
letter of good news I had stopped sleeping there
regularly, only slept once or twice, my mother
wouldn't let me. This letter was received 3 or 4
weeks after I had ceased to sleep regularly with
Sophia. It was 4 weeks about before she left
I ceased sleeping there. There were, my mother
said, some young men then sleeping over her &
she (Sophia) need not be afraid any more
didn't know what she brought with her —

Edward Tollert (or Tollert)

Live on Market St. Milwaukee, know
Jenny & Sophia since August 1857. they
lived with me in the house in Market Street
didn't see them often. Think he left in
December, saw Sophia 3rd March for last

time in my Saloon. She told me she had rec^d a letter from her husband which desired her to come to Chicago & asked me if I could not return the rent to her which had been paid in advance by Jumper. I gave her \$20 and wrote the receipt which she signed (Receipt shown & identified) said that she would leave the day thereafter in the evening train.

140 This was on the 3rd about 12 o'clock. She said she would get ready for the evening train with her things. She was going to Chicago to her husband. Said nothing about business to her husband. I never saw her after Jumper left except that time. Jumper rented the room I think Aug⁶ 13. 1857. Paid the rent in advance middle of December. When he rented it in August it was my property, no body lived there then. Don't know where Jumper lived before he rented that. This house of mine is on Market St. between Johnson & Madison St. Mr. Reabe foreman of Garbothe lived in the house with them. Jumper paid the rent in December 6 months in advance. Before that also Jumper paid it my Agent.

Dr. Wooster Beech

Live at 93. W. 26th St. I am a physician. Was called to make a post-mortem examination of a mutilated body on 3rd April last year.

Requested to do so by Coroner Hills New
York City. Acted in capacity of Coroner's assistant.

Michael Langley. sworn

141
I am a Drayman. Have lived in Chicago
7 or 8 years. Am engaged at Milwaukee depot
in Chicago ~~am~~ at first as Drayman. Have
been at work there about three years last fall.
I believe I have seen the defendants. I think I
first saw him 5th of March last at Milwaukee
depot in afternoon. About after 3 o'clock he
got me to haul some goods for him, give
me K^o of his room & told me to deliver them
there. The things were unloaded at the Milwaukee
depot in this city. He gave me K^o 30 room.
I got things at the depot, got one barrel of
household goods, one common mattress one
rocking chair one trunk, another trunk with
a bundle attached to it. I delivered the things
up stairs K^o 30 in building close by Clark St.
bridge and near Water St. on North side of water
street up four pairs of stairs. Room was in
Pomeroy's building the upper story. I met a
lady there & she asked me if they were the
goods that were sent. I said yes. I asked
for my pay & she paid me. The room
appeared to be newly moved into - I rapped
at the door & lady opened it. She asked
where was the man that sent them. I said

6
I did not know. Asked if. was any more things, I said no. She paid me half a dollar. Left in the room the things I had on the way. No light then of gas. Seemed a fair haired woman, brown hair, seemed to be combing her hair fixing it. Another Drayman came who had been hired and he could not find the room, forgot the number. Don't think she had a dress at all on, but a shawl around her, think it was about 4 o'clock when I got to the room - She paid me in silver. Did not stay there long - got the date I gave (5th March) out of the receipts book at Depot, that was the way he receipted for the goods. Think I saw the goods again down stairs, being in the Marshalls office (shown trunks &c.). They look like the ones I delivered. I met the Left first at the Depot about these articles. Don't know how long the goods had been at the Depot when I got them - I got them there that afternoon. They came in before that afternoon. Have never seen the rocking chair since. I saw one like it at Marshalls office -

Cross.

Left said he wanted a Dray. I spoke first to him. He gave me N^o 30 Roversays block on a bit of paper, said other man could let

142

find it. It was daylight. No Gas. Had no dress. Did not notice her particularly. Think I should have known her as and saw the other Prayman who seemed to be his pay. Some conversation occurred. Left was not there. Never saw him before that day. Saw no people about the building.

D^r. Beech, continued.

143 I acted as physician & assisted ^{ant} coroner first saw the body at ~~Beethoven~~ ^{Herve} Hospital. Had not been to depot previously, I think head of Barrel was out when I first saw it - It was taken out of the Bbl by John O'Brien, attendant ^{ant} at head house, assisted by myself & Coroner Hills. Some clothes were wrapped around it. We removed them, as also some clothes in the barrel. Took them out, examined them. I then examined the body. I placed the different parts together to see if they belonged to same body. I found they did. I then examined the surface of the body all over for purpose of finding moles or other marks by which to identify body. Also examined the cut places where it had been divided. Found flesh had been cut & bones sawed. Can't remember distinctly every cut. Made report at time (shown paper) This is copy of my report - I can relate where the cuts

were if I don't look at this paper

The defendant objected to the Witness using what purported to be a copy of his notes on the post mortem examinations & contended only the original was proper to be used, but the Court overruled the objection to which ruling the defendant then & there excepted (the ruling that witness might look at paper but must speak from his recollection only) —

144

The Head & the two superior extremities were separated from the Trunk. The Cut that separated the head was made about by Adams apple & continued backwards, could say positively where the muscles were divided. The limbs were severed from the body, were cut into, both of them. The abdomen was laid entirely open commencing at the bottom of the belly, the incision was continued upward & through the breast bone, partially severed a part of breast bone was saved. Another cut traversed at right angles about mid way between the two ends of the first cut on one side. The other side was not cut. The organs in this cavity were removed. The viscera, the Stomach intestines liver, pancreas, two kidneys, bladder & uterus,

The viscera or organs of the thorax not disturbed that is the two lungs & the heart. I examined these organs in the thorax, found them healthy in healthy condition. Examined the brain

found it congested, very much congested all the external surface of it, the internal part was healthy. There are blood vessels, there are vessels on the external surface, thus congestion. I examined teeth particularly for identifying body. Can't recall the appearance particularly. On the external examination found two little incisions on one arm. A cloth was propped round it. My memory is not distinct as to kind of cloth. A long cloth, crumpled up, quite firmly propped round. Covered the cuts.

143- Two cuts in the arm in the inside the bend of the elbow. Think it was in the right arm can't be positive. Feel pretty certain it was. The hair was cut off quite short. The sex was female. Body rather light build. Did not measure the height. Hair brown. Body well preserved. Face preserved. Face preserved the natural appearance. Quite natural. Took ordinary method of examining head. Cut scalp, & saw a bone. Look out brain. Head was left at the Hospital, charge of John O'Brien. I could form no opinion as to cause of death from condition of body at the time I saw it. The eyes were rather sunken, ordinary size, blue color. The body was in a whiskey barrel. There was an address on the barrel. Two initials and "Jennings" & some st. in hand st. (A barrel in the court room was then thrown down)

10
don't ^{look} exactly like the barrel. Head looks
like it. I should say that was the barrel
Question if the stomach had been there could you
have told if death had been caused by poison?
Might or might not. If I had found a
cancer I should have thought that cause
of death.

Cross

146
Meant by surface of body, the surface of skin
Found no peculiarity on the skin. A little
red in some parts, nothing peculiar. I omitted
the spleen which was absent. All the thoracic
signs were there and healthy. Above the membrane
dividing the thorax and abdomen (the diaphragm
was perfect) nothing was ventilated. None of
the organs partially gone & partially present.
Examined the lungs throughout lifted them out
& cut through them in different ways, healthy.
Blood vessels in lungs nothing peculiar.
Almost no blood in them. Found no morbid
appearance about the lungs or membrane con-
necting them. Quite healthy. Heart also healthy
Examined particularly, cut into both cavities
& valves of each. No blood about it. None in the
large adjacent arteries. I removed bone of skull
& the first membrane. That was healthy. Then
the surface of the veins was full of little blood
vessels. In health they can't
be seen. No ligions of any of these vessels -

I took out the brain & examined under surface. cut them into cavities. Found nothing out of the way. There are sinuses in the brain a kind of blood vessel. they contained a little blood, not filled. No more than in a healthy brain dying from natural cause.

The only evidence of ~~congestion~~ congestion was in the blood vessels on the surface.

It was more congested than in health, I have seen brains more congested. So far as I examined it, the condition of the brain might produce death. Congestion of the brain seldom occurs alone. Did not discover any evidence of disease more than I have described.

147
I examined the cuts to see how it had been cut. Thought it was cut by a sharp knife & the bones saved. Can't positively say whether wounds are made by causes before death. If a cut was made then I could tell. I could not positively tell if those cuts were made before or after death. This gash of the abdomen was continuous, the transverse cut went clear round to the bone. Can't say how far up cut went. It ~~passed~~ went to 3rd rib. That is about 6 inches from lower end of Sternum. That cut did not touch the Thoracic region. Couldnt tell if these cuts in the body were made at the same time as the gashes in the arm. These gashes in

the arm were not made very long after death. They were at the bend of the elbow, inside of arm. One over vein running on outside of arm, other on inside of arm. Ordinarily bleed in the median vein, very seldom bleed in the outer, the cephalic. The other is more prominent. The median shows itself more prominently. The cut in the cross vein penetrated the vein. There is an appearance in integuments different after & before death, but made immediately it can't be told.

148

It made within one hour. It might depend upon circumstances. If before death it would be a cut gaping open, if the vital heat had departed, the body become rigid it would (the wound) would gape not so much the cut would stay as the knife left it. Did examine the cuts in the arm to see if they were made before death. The one in the median vein was half an inch in length. The other one was small. The lips of the cut in the median was parted perceptibly. The other I think slightly. I looked at the integuments of the skin there. There was evidence of bleeding under the skin. Some blood had passed out. The blood would not be likely to run long after death. Could not tell if it had bled little or much. There was a slight coagulum outside.

outside the vein & under the integument
X The — vein did not look so. It did not
gape open. Never have seen a person bled
in the outer vein of the arm. It is not
customary. These gashes were smaller
than if the thumb lancet was used. About
same size as spring lancet. The cloth about
the arm was long & wrapped round a number
of times. Can't say if there were clothes on
other parts. It was crumpled up and fastened
like a bandage, not rolled regularly. Don't
remember all the clothes. Don't think it was

149

bloody. Could not tell from looks of body
how long it had been since death. Decomposition
had not commenced. Did not examine sub-
stance in barrel. Saw something white about
it. I opened the thorax by dividing the
cartilages of the ribs and breast bone laying
bare the lungs and heart. Don't think I
examined the pericardial membrane. Did not
examine the throat. Did not cut the neck. I
think I did not. When I examined had no
particular cause of dwelling in my mind.
Appearances did not suggest any cause of
death. Have made great number of examina-
tions of dead bodies as assistant coronor. Did
not see a case anywhere except the brain
Did not discover any appearances that I
have not described. The incisions that

154 that divided the neck seemed to be made
with an instrument pretty sharp —
(Victims was asked to look at the Copy
which he did and then said) my minutes
say it was a somewhat dull instrument.

I have never examined the Head or brain
of any person who died from strangulation.
The effect of strangulation ought to congest
the brain. The heart would get more violently
& the blood would be impeded in its return to
the heart & the brain left fuller. In the minute
x vessels (in a case like this) I should

the congestion. The lungs ought to be congested
same as in case of death by strangulation
after the blood had run out. There are capillary
vessels in both. Not much larger in the lungs
don't think there is any difference in the vessels

155 In case of drowning I have seen the brain was
not congested. Can't explain why. Have
examined brain of persons poisoned effect
of irritant poison would produce congestion
if the death was violent. If it was by a large
dose of arsenic. That would be by the violent
action it produced in the system, the lungs
ought to be congested also. Can't say
x more or less than — In case of

death wouldn't look for any indications on
the surface. Would look at mouth if mucous
membrane had been corroded. All irritant

Poison producing violent death would
produce congestion in brain & very likely
lungs, more likely to be wanting in lungs
than in brain. As to absence from lungs
in strangulation, can't say. Narcotic poison
would produce more congestion. Would find
from such poison in the brain only congestion.
Would expect to find the lungs congested.
Not more likely to occur more than in the
irritant poisons. In my report I said
the brain was ~~intensely~~ ^{intensely} congested. It may
be too strong a term to apply. In case of
death by narcotic poison the brain is fatally
injured. The surface of the brain. Would
see the brain congested in the sinuses if
examined directly after death. Would not
expect to find _____ and the blood extra-
vasated into substance of brain. In such
cases I have found the brain more or less
congested. Have found it more than in
this case. I have found the sinuses nearly
full of blood. In that case the lungs I think
were congested. The brain is the only organ in
that case I can recall. Another physician
was present part of the time, did not
examine particularly. I cut the face across
to examine the teeth -

Direct, renewed

During my examination of the neck I discovered no mark but the cut that divided it from the body. —

Samuel A. Hills.

152 In April last lived in New York City I was a Coroner there then. I am a physician Have been so 12 years. Have lived in Harlem N.Y. since 1847. My attention on the 2^d April last was called to fact that there was at N. R. R. depot a cask supposed to contain dead body of human being. That P.M. I went to this depot & there was shown the cask in question. I examined it & its contents through the bung. The bung had been removed. On rolling the barrel it was found to contain some solid body & light in the bung hole showed flesh, a head — The barrel looked like an ordinary alcohol barrel the heads had been recently painted the number was on it & address "Jennings" Leonard & Co., don't remember the number There were no indications of its being ever opened after it was painted. Paint was not — Ordered the head to be taken out of the barrel. Then found it was a human body. It was not examined particularly then, only as to show the head and

limbs separated from the body. The clothes were not removed. I left the task in charge of agent. Called on Sup of Poor Mr. Keller and stated to him the facts & asked to have it sent to ^{the} ~~Beall~~ Hospital dead house. He had it sent. Order was given that afternoon to Dr. Beech to examine the body next morning. It was done. The inquest was summoned & the jury impanelled, body viewed & they were adjourned subject to my call. Object was to wait and see what we could learn.

153

I saw the same body and barrel at dead house made no particular examination. Looked on most of time. The body was in a good almost perfect state of preservation. Looked at head & countenance, no close examination. Think no marked change from what was natural. Perhaps the skin a little pressed, eyes sunken, closed or nearly so.

I heard testimony of Dr. Beech as to removal of limbs. One leg was severed above the knee sawed half way to the hip, the leg of the same below the knee, and bone broken, not sawed.

Other one severed at knee, saw nothing on the arm but two small incisions apparently attempt at bleeding. A wide stripe of muslin 2 inches wide, two yards in length was wound round the arm, partially not regularly, round

the elbow both above and below the cuts.

The hair was cut ^{very} short rather light, perhaps brown. Nothing difficult ^{with} as to teeth, some gone, some decayed, can't say which were gone. From the condition in which the body was at that time I could not form any conclusion as to the manner of death.

The body was left in charge of John O'Brien who has charge of Dead House the Head also cask and clothes in the cask (barrel shown)

154 The barrel looks much changed since I saw it I think it is the same, marking and head seem the same. This barrel, after Marshal Rehm had obtained possession of it, I went with him to the yard & recognized it as the one I had sent to dead house. Saw at that time nothing of contents of barrel. I identified this same barrel at the depot, at dead house & when Marshal Rehm had it, also the body was the same at the dead house as at the depot.

Crops

I did not critically examine the body. Did not make any examination as to cause of death. I did notice face, saw no marks or abrasures. Could not describe the punctations on the body. In the barrel the body was enveloped in clothes wound around it.

Both clothing & stripes of cloth wound round it. A piece of muslin four or five yards long, a shirt, an old shawl & some papers - Was not there when it was taken from the barrel. Nothing in the barrel except some white substance. I saw these clothes at the depot before the body was removed from the barrel. I took no means to preserve it. I don't know if any means were taken to preserve it before it was sent back.

Hugh Masterow

155- Live in the City and County of New York. Am detective Police. In month of April saw a Barrel in 5th Ward N. R. R. depot on 2^d April. I was passing through Canal St 2^d April near the N. R. R. depot. my attention was drawn to it by a 5th Ward Policeman telling me there was some human person in that Barrel (I asked him if he had notified the (objected to). I had not before seen it. I saw the Barrel that day at the depot. The barrel was headed up same as any ordinary liquor barrel, being knocked out. I only saw it. I saw it again about 18th of May. I saw the body at the dead house, Marshal Reine was there at that time. He came to our City so he said, in search of a dead body -

30
156
(I then went to the City Inspector, objected)
I found the body on Wards Island in City &
Co., N.Y. I disinterred the body all except
the head & brought it back to New York -
The head was in Bellevue Hospital in
charge of the head D^r there. A man named
John O'Brien was with me when we ex-
amined the body. There was no clothing taken
off with the body. Clothing was put in the
box at the head house. The head was put
there. After I took body to head house I
repacked it in the same cloths, and in
Barrel as it was before. I had to wait an
hour for the D^r before we could get the head.
We examined there the head and neck. The
hair was cut short on this head, Color a
Bright Brown. These remains were put in
the barrel. I did examine the neck to see
if there was any mark on it. Found none
only only as the cut taking it from the body
I had charge of it til it was shipped for
Chicago - (Barrel shown) That is the
same barrel. I kept it in my charge til
I gave it in charge of Marshall Rehn.

Cross.

I am a detective Policeman. Have been one
since 1849. I examined the neck carefully
because the Doctor at the Hospital made a

remark of something he had seen in the Herald.
It stated there was a mark on the neck as if
from a cord. Don't recollect how this remark
started. The body had been there before that time
from the time it was removed from Ward's
Island to the Dead house $3\frac{1}{2}$ hours. It had
been in N. Y. about a month & a half. This
Dead house is a place for putting bodies found
dead. This head the C.^m I suppose wished to
preserve & had it put in alcohol. I examined
the face not very carefully. It was in a horrible
looking state, the mouth was cut from ear to
ear. The face skin did not seem broken. I did
not cut off any part of the throat, I merely
it by turning it round & looking at

157
it. (Question) How do you know the fact
that this body was the one you saw in the barrel?

I only know by report. The Barrel was headed
up at the depot. Only know by what the others
said -

Jacob Rehn

Last season I was employed in capacity
of City Marshall of Chicago. Went to N. Y. in
May last. Went down to find this body that
was so much talked of. This Barrel & Body
arrived in N. Y. about 15 or 16 of May.

I went to old chief of Police Matzell
stated to him my errand. He gave me Mr.

Masterson to assist me. We went to
 Coroner Hill for information. He told us
 to get a permit from City Inspector. I got
 a permit to dig up this body. I gave permit
 to Masterson & I went to Boston. Left all in
 his charge. From there I went to Middletown
 There I saw a woman named Mrs. Ebert
 Between 2 & 3 miles from Lowell. I rec'd
 a letter from her little girl. I went there to
 get some trunks. The woman Mrs. Ebert
 gave me the letter. I went in to ask for the
 trunks. Denied having them. I looked in vain
 for trunks. Finally little girl said she had
 a letter her mother had better give me.
 She gave it (Letter shown) This is the letter
 It looks like jumpcut hand writing. In the
 jail he acknowledged that he had sent a
 letter there. I think he said he saw it in
 a newspaper. I returned to Lowell. Looked
 for these trunks, finally I found them in
 the depot, shipped them to Chicago (Trunks
 shown) These are the trunks. Contain same
 articles as these, only I took out a likeness of
 Jumpcut. At Lowell the trunks were
 fastened with iron bands, no locks. Called
 at N.Y. Saw the barrel I shipped it to Chicago
 did not see contents of Barrel or trunks
 down there (Barrel shown). This is the barrel
 I sent for Coroner Hill & Masterson. I opened

158

the Barrel in the other Court room. Body was all cut up. Cant well describe it. Dr. Freer was present & Dr. Max Myers & Coroner Hanson. Was all cut up and Rmelt Had, Legs were cut off

John O' Briang

159
Live in N.Y. I am the Keeper of the Dead house at Bellevue Hospital. Have had charge of it 2 1/2 years. I received at dead house a Barrel in beginning of April, containing a dead body. The Barrel was open when it was delivered to me, don't know who opened it. I and assistant of Dr. Beech took body from Barrel. The body was in good preservation. One leg cut, and one off entirely & skin cut with gun axe like. Body was cut in the abdomen. Head was off. Clothing in the Barrel. Quilt, Petticoat, chemise, pair of Canton flannel drawers & a long strip of muslin new like. A piece of tape round the arm, twisted like, a yard & 1/4 long or about that. About 1 inch wide, doubled like on the arm. I washed these articles of clothing. The Body I put into a Coffin after inquest. Sent to be buried with other bodies. The Head was preserved in alcohol in the Dead house. I kept the clothing to see if any name was on them. Kept them

in the dead house. Also kept the Barrel
 Saw the Body some 6. or 7. weeks after on
 Wards Island. Boatman who removed the
 bodies from Wards Island & me & ~~Master~~
 Master son searched for digging up the body
 in the pit. I knew the body was the same
 body from the cuts on it. It was taken
 back to dead house. Put with clothes into
 Barrel again. The Head was put with the
 rest of body. I knew it as the same head
 This is the Barrel (Barrel shown). I
 delivered the barrel to the clerk who drives
 the dead waggon. Said it was going to the
 to Chicago. Master son was not there. He
 left it in my charge. I fastened up the
 Barrel. I put it back in the dead house
 barrel the same body that was taken out.

The Hair was short. Don't remember which
 limb was sawed off. Think it was the left

The other was cut with a saw above the knee
 flesh still adhered. One leg was off entirely
 The other being by the flesh behind. Don't
 know which arm had the tape on.

Cross

All was put back into the barrel, that was
 taken out. Don't know if the top of the skull
 was put back. I had very strict orders about
 the clothing & paid attention to it.

Juryman asked O'Brien if that muslin was the piece round the arm. etc. The piece round the arm was twisted, like the piece of an old dress, it was narrow -

Examination by
Chas. Comas. Can't say if it was as broad as my three fingers, I don't know really what a piece of tape is. This was white cotton, $1\frac{1}{2}$ wide. It was not bandaged on the arm neatly. It was twisted carelessly -

By Miller Can't say if this round the arm was fastened, the end was tucked in. It was put over the cuts. There was another piece of muslin in the barrel. Dr Beech was there when I wound it. That was as soon as I took it out of the barrel. That was the same strip that was round the arm that the Dr described -

161
Jacob Rehn, continued,

Visited N. 30 Powers building on Monday before arrest of left George Werner & C. P. Bradley were with me. It was about 3rd of May, can't be sure. perhaps 2nd. Before I went to N.Y. & Boston. Found there all the stuff, chairs, bedstead & bed, table, trunk some dishes in a barrel, barrel full of stuff, some dishes stood on a shelf also coffee mill & hatchet, also clock & looking glass, all porcelain chairs but one rocking chair.

Saw a saw, a knife and other instruments
a chisel some instruments to cut, think
it was a lancet. Room was so dirty could
see no blood. Found blood on the chisel,
on the handle of it. Nothing particular
about the room same. This room is in upper
story of Poncey's building facing the river.

Don't know as it is the last story, think
it is 4th windows on the North side. One
door in room. Windows front North on river
No articles were taken at that time. 6th
of May they were taken. Left was arrested
I think on Wednesday after on 5th of May
in evening 9 o'clock. Arrested him on
Leaborn St. Visited room again next
morning after with Bradley & Werner and
perhaps another young fellow in partnership
with Werner. The things were then removed
to my office. I think our silver spoon was
found in room marked I think Lphi's C.
or W. I don't know it was S. I don't know
which, don't know "L.C." or "S.W." I have a
few of those articles now. The rest is delivered
up to order of Jumper. Kept lancet about
me wanted for evidence. Jumper has stove
bed, table, chairs, gun (that was found
in the room) barrel with lot of dishes rocking
chair, clock looking glass. I have still saw
instruments, letters, box with some old iron

The drift was taken to my office when he was arrested. John C. Miller & Bradley were present. Drift wished to know what he was arrested for, asked me before we got to the office. I would not tell him. I had no conversation with him in the office. Bradley did. I was present. He told his name, Henry Jampert, He stated he came from Prussia his old country said he was 24 years old. He was asked if he had any acquaintances. I can't tell his whole statement. Stated when he first got acquainted with Sophia. I got acquainted with her at Mr. Wegleners, one night he came home drunk. Said he had worked Newhall House, Milwaukee & other places, worked here. Asked if Bradley, if he was the judge. Bradley said not. Bradley told him who we were & he need not answer any questions unless you are a mind to. He asked for water. Asked if he knew any females. Said he had been acquainted with one or more. Think he was asked who she was. Replied "I guess you know" Don't know as the name was mentioned. He went on with his story without interruption. That this female came in bed to him one night at Wegleners. That one Sunday he went home & found her hanging in his room. At one o'clock as he

163

found something against the door as he went in he found her hanging about a foot from the ground. The Corpse was on the table as he went in. He sat down by the window. don't know whether he took it off first or not.

Sat by Window $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{3}{4}$ hour at any rate he took her down & laid her on the bed. Said there was a line or letter on the bed saying "good bye" but the wind blew this out of the window. Said she hung on a cotton rope or cord on a plain screw with a hook, same as they use in barbers shops to hang clothing on. did not know what to do, to see the coroner or what to do. Concluded to cut her up for fear friends would hear of it. Said it was nasty work to cut her up. Said he took out intestines & buried them in prairie, away out in the prairie, 1. or 2 miles. Cut her hair off. don't know as he burned or buried the hair destroyed it. don't remember as he mentioned what he did with the rope. He cut her up & put her in barrel & kept it some 8 or 10 days.

Began to leak. Put Flour in it to stop it. On 16th he got a Drayman & had a good deal of trouble in rolling barrel down steps.

Drayman he scolded at because he did not carry the barrel & not roll it & make such a noise. Then took barrel to depot & sent it to N. Y. gave his name there a John Miller.

164

found something against the door as he went in he found her hanging about a foot from the ground. The Corpse was on the table as he went in. He sat down by the window. don't know whether he took it off first or not.

Sat by Window $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{3}{4}$ hour at any rate he took her down & laid her on the bed. Said there was a line or letter on the bed saying "good bye" but the wind blew this out of the window. Said she hung on a cotton rope or cord on a plain screw with a hook, same as they use in barbers shops to hang clothing on. did not know what to do, to see the coroner or what to do. Concluded to cut her up for fear friends would hear of it. Said it was nasty work to cut her up. Said he took out intestines & buried them in prairie, away out in the prairie, 1. or 2 miles. Cut her hair off. don't know as he burned or buried the hair destroyed it. don't remember as he mentioned what he did with the rope. He cut her up & put her in barrel & kept it some 8 or 10 days.

Began to leak. Put Flour in it to stop it. On 16th he got a Drayman & had a good deal of trouble in rolling barrel down steps.

Drayman he scolded at because he did not carry the barrel & not roll it & make such a noise. Then took barrel to depot & sent it to N. Y. gave his name there a John Miller.

164

Said he destroyed the receipt. That is about
all I recollect. Said about Leonard H. that
he did not know any such number or
name as he shipped it to. Aint positive
as he stated about the N^o on the Barrel
Said afterwards he had heard of a Leonard
H., but dont know as to the N^o. Said he com-
menced to cut her up in the belly - Said
it looked nasty. Dont know as he made
any other expression. Laughed as he told
the whole story. Dont know as he ~~exactly~~
said blood came. Said he cut her open
a little blood came, not much. I had talk
with left next morning. I asked if he could
tell where he buried the insides. Did not
think he could find them. I told him if
he could find the place I would go with
him. Said he couldnt find it. Did not ask
him this the night before. He said that on
his own motive. Then Mr. Beck chief police
Milwaukee came in and asked to have
prisoner taken in other room. Said he
thought he knew the man in Milwaukee.
He told Beck that the lining built on a
plain hook & same as they have in Barber
shops to hang clothes on. They talked on
about this thing. Beck told him he had got
himself into a bad scrape. He said he thought
not. Kinder laughed as he always has -

Adjournd til 4 A.M. Jan'y 29. 59.

Jacob Rehn.

(Articles produced that Rehn had in his possession & had brought into Court.)

This is the saw, Hatchet & chisel & knife. This chisel looked when I took it as it does now. Blood on it as now. This knife is the same. (The book with saws shown). They were found in the box. Scissors on the table or in drawer. Gimlet found in Box.

166 These books have been kept in my drawer. I have kept them separate since. Jumper said Sophia was hung on books. They were in same condition as now. Next morning after arrest of Left I found these books in box and took them to our office. The Left made different statements in different conversations as to where he buried intestines. First night said he buried them on prairie. Next morning said it was on the sand on the Lake shore. I offered to go with Left some days after if he would go and help find the remains. I said if he would know the place we would go first and dig them up. He said he wouldn't know. That was before the body was brought back. A day or 3 after his arrest. When I went to room N. 30 after I had heard statements of Left

Adjournd til 4 A.M. Jan'y 29. 59.

Jacob Rehn.

(Articles produced that Rehn had in his possession & had brought into Court.)

This is the saw, Hatchet & chisel & knife. This chisel looked when I took it as it does now. Blood on it as now. This knife is the same. (The book with saws shown). They were found in the box. Scissors on the table or in drawer. Gimlet found in Box.

166 These books have been kept in my drawer. I have kept them separate since. Jumper said Sophia was hung on books. They were in same condition as now. Next morning after arrest of Left I found these books in box and took them to our office. The Left made different statements in different conversations as to where he buried intestines. First night said he buried them on prairie. Next morning said it was on the sand on the Lake shore. I offered to go with Left some days after if he would go and help find the remains. I said if he would know the place we would go first and dig them up. He said he wouldn't know. That was before the body was brought back. A day or 3 after his arrest. When I went to room N. 30 after I had heard statements of Left

167 I went to see and examine the door. Found two holes in it. Found no indentations in it besides. It is now same as then, the holes are the same as then, the lower hole we have tried the screw in, shoved it clear through. At first neither hole went through, only the part of the pinlet evidently went through. This letter was found in his room, in his trunk or on the table. This is the same envelope. There was writing on it then. The envelope was about the same. It has been torn since. We put our marks on it at the time. I put my name in pencil on the letter - I have in my office list made by Dept of his things delivered to him (Hofw shown) It is a lease. I found it in room. In this Box. The clock and rocking chair I gave up to Deputy Sheriff on order of Jumper, part gave to George Merriam - (Letter shown) In german, the translation on it has been written since. The order of Jumper to deliver rocking chair and clock described them.

Cross.

The man is dead who gave me the first clue to Jumper. It was a man who kept butcher's shop on Wells St. opposite Court house Henry Rockart. That was Tuesday before

I arrested him. Think it was Wednesday. It was 5th May when I arrested him. The door of his room was locked when I came to it. George Turner had the key. He went in ahead of us. It (key) might have been laid up by the door. I went to get into the room. George said he slept there. He took out some clothes of his. We examined the floor bedding & door, saw the saw. Looked at the floor on account of the holes in it. Had no key to his trunks. I'd not try to open them. They were locked. I think so. I think the trunks were locked. Don't know I tried to ascertain. Think they were locked because we got a key afterwards. They were not wide open. Could not tell by looking at them if they were open. Could not tell if there was any blood on the floor. We then examined the tool. Stayed 10. or 15. minutes. Took nothing away from. Did not read any letters then, Don't think I saw any. Think we found letters in trunks afterwards. Arrested him at Harbour St. Got George to go with me because he roomed with him. Told him my purpose. Have seen George often since this case began at my office and in the street. Been in office to identify clothing & trunks. Last talk with him was last of last week in my room or first of this week. Talked only during

this trial as to whether he could go or stay
M. Closky is the name who indicted
questions. He came up & told me. I never
saw the man on the day it was spoken of
The name is Stamposki -

169
This left was disposed to make a full
statement. Seemed excited some. It might
be his general manner. His manner I can't
say as it is always vehement. I wouldn't say
as his manner was always quick. Talked
slow sometimes. In that confession he said
when he came home for dinner he found
her hanging there. He sat down by the
window there was a paper on the bed & said
good by Henry. Took her down perhaps before
or after he sat down. Said nothing about
the trunk that night. He told where he had
sent the trunks. Found the address as he said.

Told me how Sophia had come to Chicago from
the depot to his room. Found the man who
had sold the barrel. He said he didn't know
where he got the barrel. Think he said it was
on a street down by the river. Did not remem-
ber the place. Said he did not know the day
man. We could never find him. Don't
know as he said it was a boy sold the barrel.

Said the barrel was marked "Levinson"
On the first night he pointed towards the
prairie towards the North & said the intestines

were that way buried. Next morning he located the place on the sand on the Lake shore on North Side. The sand is in some places a block off from the Lake. In some places by the Cemetery, 3 miles off it is sandy $\frac{1}{2}$ mile from the Lake. Sand runs out 2 or 3 blocks this side Cemetery. Block about 700 feet long by Cemetery. It is all in blocks, some open ground this side Cemetery.

No wood. Some shade trees. Couldnt tell by his first statement that it was far or near from the Lake. Said he carried it out at night late, he might have said he carried it in a pail or cloth. Took him several times to carry it. Dont know as he said he took more than one night. Dont know as he said he put them all in one place or at one time. Said he did not know as he could find it because it was at night.

Said it was hard work to bury them as the ground was frozen. Said nothing about lower hole in door. Said woman was hanging there on the door. Did not hear him say he bored the holes. Think he never said so nor hanging his coat or hat there. I think I read some of the statements in Newspapers next day some in the press. I cant swear as the statement was correct. Dont think I saw any error in it. I took most all the

170

papers then Tribune & Press. The Editors came
to office next day & I think ^{gave}
it to them. I can't say if he gave it all to
them from his papers. I tried the small lock
in the door. I think it went in by screwing
went further than we expected. He screwed it
in with his hand Bradley did. Couldn't say
how easy it went. That statement was made
the same night he was arrested, can't say if
it was all written out then.

Adjourned at 2 P.M.

171
Have seen Bradley since dinner. The con-
versation we had was about Pittsburg murder
We might have talked about books. Have only
just seen him since dinner. When the con-
fessions were finally reduced to writing, the
reporters were there Joe Forrest, Clerk in Ct. Mr.
Matterson and Myers of "National Union". There
was one from Press & Tribune, Will Peck or Smith
think it was Will Peck. I was present some
of the time. I don't think I said anything
about it, don't think I did help make up the
statements. Don't think I did to my best recol-
lection. Don't think Bradley was present.

I had other business. Was in and out a good
many times. I did go out to see Jumper
once or twice to ask him about the name
of the killer. I think I went twice but
don't know. I asked him where the trunk

were after that. I don't think I did then. I did not tell him to speak to me in German for fear the others would understand - We talked together in German. I heard about the hooks that night, don't recollect as I did hear of it in the morning. I did not to say it was a plain screw with hook. He might have said about a plain screw with a hook. If I said it was a plain screw, I said it was a plain screw with a hook. If I called it so I don't know. I might have seen a statement about that in the papers. I can't say I did. I don't recollect that with Mr. Matteson in his presence, that was stated over about the screw. I have been partly in this case guess some others here. I went to N. Y. got the Barrel, went to Milwaukee. Don't know how many witnesses have been hunted up that I have not hunted. Probably got the most of them myself. I got to be Marshall in March. I had had a job before. I made arrests before for stealing. This might have been the first murder case I had. I don't recollect that anything was said about a plain screw attached to a hook before I said it here. Dentists instruments there for pulling teeth.

172

Direct returned

The first information of Left I got from a man named Backus a butcher my attention was called to it in the German theatre. I heard this Butcher say something to some one about what a Woman said about a barrel. That was after the news-paper reports from N.Y. about a barrel

I called this Butcher outside & ~~told~~ talked to him. He did not know Left.

I went to see the woman that the butcher spoke of (Articles in Napkin shown) Found in his trunk there are several towels 18 towels and 2 table cloths

Cross,

173 I think I can't say if these towels are old or new. Should not take them to be very old. Can't say if they had been used. Look as if they had been used, some of them.

C. P. Bradley

Last summer I was in detective police for last four years. I have acted with Marshall Rehm in relation to Jernipetz. Looked him up. Know N.º 30 Pomeroy's building.

First visited at 3.º May last P.M. Monday met with George Werner & Rehm. Found Note at my office Monday a.m. to have me go to a certain place. Had intimations which led me to follow up this thing. Room in S.

story Pomeroy's building looking towards
the water. On going into room found bed
in N. E. corner, Cook stove in S. W. corner. front
of bed, trunk, barrel & some other articles.
West side of room gun hung on wall. North
side near window was table, minor articles
rocking chair, tool box, saw, hatchet, chisel, scissors
examined tools. on the chisel had appearance of
having blood on it. Can't say whether it was
blood or not. The following day Mr. Rehn
procured the freighter and at Mich. Cent.
depot to go to barber shop under Matteson
House. He went into shop and got shaved

174 that evening. Left was arrested by Rehn
in my presence & of flock at night. Wanted
to arrest him quietly. I met them as he took
him & we walked to Marshall's office. On
the way up, he asked what he was arrested
for. Did not tell him, that he would find
out in due time. Miller was waiting at
the office, no one else. Sofa on S. side Marshall's
office. Miller sat at table. Jumpy sat on
East end of sofa. I sat in chair.
Asked his name, the place where he had
lived &c. Asked name, said Henry Jumpy
from Prussia, 24 years old, had been in
U. S. 4 years. Had worked in N. Y. beer
in stillerantie. Asked about his
associates & how long he had lived in N. Y.

and in Chicago & Milwaukee. Said here
didn't know, in Milwaukee 4 to 6 months
Came here 2 weeks before Christmas
1857. Been here ever since. Asked if he
had many acquaintances, not many.
Not in habit of going out much nights.
Asked if he had any particular
female friends. Not in the habit of
going out much. Said, why I did not
to point, hesitated, asked if I was Judge
Told him he was not bound to answer
any questions. Said guess he had to tell
Judge if any body. Asked him about
his female friends. Said guess she had
gone East, guess you know, had no quarrel
at parting, no trouble or hard words -
Asked Name of woman. Said Sophia
Werner said her husband had formerly
kept shop under Young America
Said he had lived with Woman here.
Got acquainted with her, when she
boarded on N. side with Wegelinow.
That one night Mrs. Werner got into bed
with him some feet at the house.

Slept with her there pretty constant.
Went some where else to board. She became
pregnant. Some Dutch farmer told him
he to escape went to Milwaukee

and there lived with her. Left her
there with rent paid and premises
furnished. Did not want anything
more to do with her. Told her so. She
wanted to marry him and live
with him here. He would not. He
wrote for her to come finally. She came
fore part of ~~November~~ March. He told
her to come P.M. train. That he met
her and took her to his room.

Nobody saw her in his room. He
worked in shop Sunday til 12.

176 Sunday at noon as he went to room
door opened hard, he found Mrs. M.
hanging by a cotton cord on a strong
hook attached to a plain screw which
she screwed into the door herself. Very
much frightened for $\frac{1}{2}$ hour. First
thought to go for coroner. Then thought
as he was stranger and nobody knew
him, feared the disgrace of papers
and thought finally he could dispose
of body by cutting it up in small
pieces. While thinking matter over
saw near window on table piece
of paper written

to him, that she was tired of living, forgave him &c.
He said paper blew out of window, said he took
X after he had taken her down and put her
on bed, then took her on matrap on floor and
tried to bleed her to see if dead. Found only a
little drop of blood from arm and thought her dead
asked him why he did not then call in, said
as he had commenced the A. B. C. he must go through
as he had begun to eat, he must go on. He destroyed
cord and buried entrails in ground on prairie, could
not put in river, ice, Destroyed hair, got barrel
on Water Street & drayman.

177
Had body in room before put in barrel till next
Friday, not positive. Did not ship it till 13th
or 16th. Put barrel in hall with body in it and
found it to leak. Put floor in, shipped it early
in morning, having first painted heads of barrel
Was afraid when the drayman took barrel, that he
would roll it & break it open. ^{Took} ~~Went~~ to Central
Depot, took receipt, gave his name as John Miller
marked directions on barrel on card "H. B. Sen-
nings, 185 Leonard St. N. Y." knew no such
person or number. Said he destroyed the receipt
Asked him how he could make up his mind, to
cut up a woman who had lived with him. Said
when dead, that was the end, it was nothing to
cut up dead body. Next morning went to P. 30
again to examine it. Found cooking utensils, With
Rehm brought every thing to Marshall's office. I

looked for looks in nail box, took longest one and tried it in hole, in door in lower hole (looks shown) same looks, screwed it in and found that when the point went through on other side, the shoulder of screw did not touch door. This is $2\frac{1}{8}$ inches. The other screw if screwed up would not go through the door. I helped bring the articles to Marshall's office (articles shown) same one. He said he used this saw to saw her up.

These German letters I could not read. Put no private mark on it. This one contained a deed or contract for lot in Milwaukee (Identifies lease of No 30)

(Door produced)

(Letter heretofore shown identified as found there)

The door swings into the room. I examined the door with reference to see if either screw had been in the door, saw no mark of shoulder of screw touching the door or that either had been through. I was in room since once, the door is the same as then. About this day trial commenced I went & brought door up to Marshall's office.

Crap.

I went next morning to the room to look for the screws. How did you know they were two? Did not tell I found them. I thought there was two looks from there being two holes, that was the suggestion to my mind. He did never mention two

179
holes to me, near I to him. I found the looks
in the nail box. I went to room next morning,
looked at door, found two holes and looked for
looks. When Rehm & I first went there I did
not see the two holes. I am certain I did not see
them. Don't know as the Marshall looked or not.
While I was looking for looks he might have been
looking for door. I was not by when the confession
was given to the Newspaper Reporters next morning.
I read them the next morning, they were very near
correct. Not correct in putting 'a plain strong screw'
Thought not correct then. Have not talked to Rehm
about it, only since this case was opened. He told
his statement so fast no one could take it down
in full. When you opened this case, I went to see
Miller's copy & found in his copy 'a plain strong
screw'. Told him it was wrong. Thought it was a
mistake of the printer. That the printers got it from
Miller or some one. Think I read it in Times at
and afterwards read it in Tribune.
my office. Never spoke of this mistake till after you
opened this case. Did not see Miller give out a re-
port to reporters. He only spoke of one look, & said
it was a plain screw on it. He said so. I don't
know any difference between screw plain or otherwise.
When Sumpertz first came into the room he said
he took her at once and laid her on the bed, said
he felt bad & hesitated & did not know what
to do. Went to window, and then saw paper there.
Don't know as he said he felt sick, went to window.

for air. Said soup was ready. said he found a paper as he went to window, window partly open.

(Read the paper addressed to him). While he sat hesitating, the wind blew the paper out of the window, while he hesitated. Won't be positive as he said the wind blew it out of his hand.

Don't think I misunderstood him. I give the substance of what he said. Then he took her off of the bed and laid her on a Mattrap. Don't remember when he said he felt of her to see if there was any life before or after he took her from the bed.

180
After he had hesitated as I say, he said she was dead & cold & then tried to bleed her. I judge he had plenty of chance to feel of her when on the bed. After he had hesitated $\frac{3}{4}$ of an hour and read the paper, then he felt of her, cut her arm twice and got only one drop of blood. Laid her on — the mattrap on the floor. Cut her open had a bad time to get out the entrails. Regretted he had made the first cut, but then had to go on with it. Don't know as I saw his passport. Don't know whether he said there were two holes or one.

Think he said he found her hanging to a strong plain screw with a hook. Don't think I am mistaken about the two holes. I can not tell if he said he cut two holes or one. Won't say he did not say but one. I remember positively in this case. Don't remember positively about the two cuts in the arm I know nothing about the holes being cut in the

arm told he told me. I might have read all in
the N. Y. paper. I can't say if it was stated in that
paper that two holes were cut in the arm. When
Marshall Pehon came back don't think he described
the body to me. The post mortem at N. Y. was publi-
shed here & I read it. At time of confession he stated
he cut her arm, how many times I cannot say. Don't
think he said he cut it but once. While I was
looking for one hook I found two. Now I don't know
whether I was looking for one or two hooks. There was
nothing more impressive about his statement of the
hook than his other statements. So long since I have
read this printed confession, I don't remember what
else is wrong in it. I did not go near enough the
body to see the two holes in the arm. I was told
of that at that time. My remembrance was that
there was only one cut. Don't remember how it was
printed, one, two or three. The confession was not full.
I can't tell the other mistakes in that printed
confession. I don't know as that is a mistake. It
may be an omission. I can't tell why he stated so.
It is written in all I have seen printed or written
'a plain screw'. I don't know as I can tell an
other such omission. This is a hook. He said he
took the bowels and ^{2 miles} entrails in the Prairie. Did
not point in any direction. Think it was on the
North side. He said he thought he could find
the place if some one went with him. Don't know
how I got the impression of direction. He hesitated

181

to tell me the place. Said nothing about how he
killed her as I remember, with lance or not. Don't
know as he said any thing about tying anything
around the arm. Said he put in $1\frac{1}{2}$ pound
of flour to stop the leak. Said he had des-
troyed all the letters from her but one. That she had
written that she wanted to die, to kill Warner &c.
Wouldn't tell where he sent the clothing. Did not
tell what directions he put on. Said they were sent
to respectable people, didn't like to tell. Had
sent to a real person. Never put screw into the upper
hole. Have stated the only error I know in the
printed statement. He did not say which hole
she hung in, said nothing about holes. May have
said look in upper part of door. Said it was
hole she had made herself.

(Daily Tribune May 4. 1858 produced & read
by Mr. Comas. Bradley looking over)

182
I remember no more from reading that than before.
I have stated what I have stated from my recollection
of what he said & not what is printed or written, a
screw put in with the grain or across would give
correct, I think with the grain. This door is fine, don't know
of white or yellow pine. Think it is of white. That
is the only description he gave of this thing. I think I
have stated the whole that he stated & left out nothing
He did not add 'that it was such a look as was
used in a barber's shop' I certainly have no feeling in

this matter. I have not had a deep feeling to con-
vict this man. I may have said that I would hang
him on that hook, if I did I said it in joke. I
said to you I think that the hook would hang him.

John C. Miller

183 I was present in Marshall's office when
Deft. was arrested. There was an interim, present Deft.
Rehn, Bradley & myself. I have the notes I made
at that time. They have not been changed or out
of my possession. I was acting for City at time. We
all came into back office together. I sat at table
middle of room under gas. Bradley began talk, Questions
were not taken down. Said he roomed at No. 30 Pon-
roy's Building. Name Henry Sumpert. Paid rent
\$6. per month.

who had gone to . Lived at
Madison St. Worked at Tiboli 16 months, was in
Milwaukee at Marshall house. If you got any
charge I would like to know it. I got proof. Worked
in N. Y. Now in Second Street, worked here about
4 months. Commenced here work before Christmas.
24 years old. Born in Prussia. roomed
Werner roomed with me. Does now, knew him before
I went to Milwaukee. (Prisoner asked for water,
walked about room) Not much acquainted with
women in Milwaukee. Knew a few here. Don't go
out much nights. Had one particular friend. Think
you know who she is. Why did you take me ^{up} ~~with~~

Guess you know where she is now. At present don't know where she is now. Know Sophia Werner sister in law of George, kept shop in Young America. Mrs. Werner went to Europe. Asked when she went away. Don't know exactly when she left me. Think she went to N. Y. took every thing away. Think she left nothing. Asked: Are you the judge? Guess I got to see him, want to answer him. Asked when she left? Can't tell when she left. He said he couldn't tell where she was. She left a little over two months ago. I had no quarrel. I got acquainted with her, got pretty far with her. Heard how she was abused. I took her home. She washed for young men. I sleep with her (I boarded with) came home, kept barber shop in Sherman house. He came home drunk. They came down Mrs. Negleher and Mrs. W. without clothes. He went in my bed. I went in with her. It was a cold night. I slept with her often. Roomed afterwards in Pitkin's building. She got in family way. Low Dutch didn't like me. I got her to go to Milwaukee. She talked of marrying me. Told her I could not, she had no divorce. Stayed there two months till birth of child. Came back to Chicago last of December, to get work. Came here 14 days before Christmas. She said it would be the last time I see her. Said I should marry her. Said I could not. Baby was born dead. Have heard from her since I left. She got plenty washing. Wrote to her to stay. Paid rent in advance. Told her ^{very plain} ~~very plain~~ I did not want her to follow me.

184

She loved me very much. (Deft. talked very rapidly without questions being asked.) I was here two months before I wrote my last letter. She said she liked ^{to} ~~be~~ ^{be} ~~dead~~. Told her to come in evening train & come to my room & nobody see her. She wrote she got my letter was going to die, did not want to die alone. She staid to my room till Sunday noon. She said she must go away. I got stove & provisions. Sunday noon I come home. Door opened hard. She hung by rope. I took her down, laid her on bed. I could not move () she left paper on table, saying H. I thought to get Coroner. Nobody knew me. Went to cut her to pieces. Could not throw her in river so much ice. Took knife cut her belly, came blood. Dinner was on table. Took lamet. Blood no come. Sure she dead. Cut her up. Found liver & intestines out in ground two miles. Thought I got so far I could not stop. Put her in barrel & sent her to N. Y. I read the statement in papers. It was all right. I went to Central Depot. Gave name John Miller. After I put in barrel, blood & water came out. I put in flour $1\frac{1}{2}$ pounds, I puttred the holes & painted the heads. The dragman made an awful noise, taking out the barrel. I cut her up with a common knife and the saw. Guess you have been in my room. Thought you could find out the dragman No chlorid of lime was in the barrel, think that Dr. was a great fellow not to know to flour from chlorid of lime, must have been a damn fool.

185-

186
When I cut her up it was nasty, by God it was. The
hang from a cotton rope on a plain strong screw,
had a hook, such as they use at barber's shops to
hang cloths on. Said she hung by the dead style of the
door. I slept with her night before. On the morning
she said 'Good bye Henry: did not kiss me when
I left. The window was open, I lay in it to read
the note. Wind blew it away. I never told anybody
of this before. I expected you would come for me
before. I have never told this before. Have told every
thing about it now. Declined to give name of person
to whom trunk was sent 1 1/2 Sunday noon I found
her changing. Sophia Eleton name before marriage
29 years old. I hired the Sexton in Milwaukee to
bury my child. Did not take certificate of burial

Those newspaper reports were made by reports who
wanted my notes to copy from them. I did not
give them my notes. I took this memorandum and
read from that and gave them other facts as I remem-
bered. Changed the language somewhat. I am not
mistaken what the kind of hook he said Left.
was hanging on (see exhibit "A" for copy of statement of Confession)
referred to by witness Miller & made copy of the report
the same having been read by him & incorporated into his testimony

Drop

I read from this here, stated what I remembered
added to the reporters. Rehm was there some of the
time. I think I referred to him now & then for
help of memory. The statement was made hurriedly

Direct resumed.

He made statements at two times about the note blown out of the window. Said he had it at the window, reading it. The wind blew it away. He waited $\frac{3}{4}$ of an hour before he cut her.

Crap.

187 He bled her. Did not state how many times. I can't remember that. Did not say how many times there were in the door. I don't know that I did not hear that there were two holes in the arm. I am as positive about the hook as any fact in my mind. I won't swear I am not mistaken. I understood this. He stated that he took her down, cut her belly & blood came. Then in dependency of this, he stated that he cut her arm. The statements are rambling, but given in the manner, in which he gave them.

Direct.

He did not state any thing as to the appearance of her face, said she hung by a great cotton rope. He said he destroyed the rope.

The room is in 5th story Pomroy's building. Window is about opposite door, one is of other corner of room. Room 14 X 12 Window fronts river. Is first door next corner of hall I think.

Harold L. Edwards

In March last employed at Smith Mr. Cleary's. Have seen Dept. at Smith Mr. Cleary's 130th Water St. that is half block from Pomroy's building. On 9th of March Dept came there and

asked if I had a barrel to sell. He picked out one on the wharf & asked price. I told him 50 cents he said he only had half that. If I would take half he would leave his gloves. He did so. Took the barrel. Afterwards some days came back & redeemed the barrel. That was about 12. I saw a cask afterwards said to be the same. The one I saw had a coat of blue paint on each end. The one I sold had not. Two months after the cask was bought I saw it again. I fix date I guess by my book of sales.

John F. Johnson

188 In March last was Clerk in Mich. Cent. R. Office for ^{out} freight. In March I gave receipt for a barrel 16th day of March. It seemed to me a camphine barrel, painted blue, and marked 185 Leonard St. at the end (as before stated). Person who left it gave his name as John Miller. I think this is person (Deft.) won't swear positive. Saw him in barber's shop after, thought it was this man. That was before he was arrested. From circumstances I think it is him, what he said subsequently. The barrel weighed 170 pounds. I did not weigh it, man who got the receipt weighed it. Don't know weight of empty barrel. Saw a barrel that came back on the same road. The mark put on when it went was still on when it returned.

Michael Fitzgerald In March 1859 I was in M. C. R. employed to check goods at door of out freight office. Saw now in same place.

Received barrel in March 16th. Men came with it on a droy. A good looking man. Don't know I would know him. Don't know as I ever saw him. Saw a man at barber shop. I thought looked like him. This Deft. looks like the man. I marked barrel. I gave man check for him to go into office & get a receipt for it. It was marked at R. Depot. It was before that marked on a paper " Jennings 135 Leonard St. N.Y." When the barrel came back it had my mark on it & that is why I know it. (barrel shown) This is the barrel. It is the one I received on the 16th.

Adjourned till Monday Jan. 31 9 A. M.

George Werner

189 No business on Clark St. have been in this country 4 years. Talk english not very well. Knew Sophie Werner. She was my brother's wife. My brother's name was ^{Frederick} Ferdinand. My brother's wife maiden name was Sophie.

First time I saw Sumpert was in Young America about 2 1/2 years ago. (Dr. Pfahl sworn here as Interpreter.) Got acquainted with Sumpert end of June or first of July about 2 1/2 years ago. At that time Sophie Werner was in Chicago don't know for certain where lived. My brother Ferd. came to Chicago first time 3 years ago last August. He was in Young America as Barber. Had shop of his own. After he come don't know how long Sophie came. Can't tell. Came before New Year's Sophie came here before I got acquainted with Sumpert can't tell how long. When I got acquainted with

190
Sumpertz don't know where he boarded. Afterwards understood he boarded at Meglehner's. I did know of Sophie & Sumpertz living together in Chicago. First time I knew it he was boarding at Meglehners. Then he took a room at S. Water Street, then he took room at Illinois St. He had a room in that brick building that burnt down. Can't say the time where he ~~boarded~~ ^{room} hired that. After he left Meglehner's can't say how long. He had room in S. Water St. at same time Sophie had one in Illinois St. He moved from S. Water to Illinois St. He & I boarded with Sophie. I can't say how long I boarded there. Less than 1/2 year. Sophie washed me, Sumpertz & Zimmermann were the only boarders. They lived also in Indiana St. Don't know who rented it. I boarded there also & Zimmermann & Sumpertz too. Stayed there not long. He went from there to Milwaukee. I was not there when she went. Sumpertz went to Milwaukee. He went first. He did not come back before Sophie went to Milwaukee. Before she went to Milwaukee, I was not there. I noticed change in her, she was in the family way. After she left to go to Milwaukee. I never saw her alive. I saw her dead afterwards. In the Court house, the other side, in Records Court. She was cut up. I knew it, was Sophie by the eyes, teeth, because the cheek bones were pretty high, but I knew her most by teeth & eyes. I only identified her by teeth and eyes. When alive she ^{wore} combed her hair, smoother, had no curls. On this dead head the hair was cut off.

Saw this body in the other court room. I think in May last. From the time I saw her go to Milwaukee till I saw her dead in Ct. House it was about a year. A good many people were there. It was at time of Coroner's Inquest.

(The Court permits Sheriff Gray to take the door from custody of Marshall & to keep it in his own)

At time I saw body there, I saw trunks in Marshall Rehn's office, about that time. They belonged to Sophie Werner. I examined articles in them. They were Sophie's a dress. Can't tell how many dresses, a parasol, a muff I saw the clothing exhibited here last week. They were the same I saw exhibited at Marshall's office. They belonged to Sophie Werner. Saw Lumpert after Sophie went to Milwaukee. He came over to Chicago, I asked him how she was doing, said "well". Said she could not make as much by washing in Milwaukee as in Chicago. Then he went back to Milwaukee. He came back to Frazini & Rebelli, think it was last of December a year. He said he had paid rent for Sophie, had bought provisions for her, & she was doing well. Said he had paid rent for half a year.

191
Question

Did you have any talk about child & what was it?

(Objection - Sustained)

He said something about moving, a few days before she was confined they moved, & that she had said carried heavy baskets & that he had pressed her legs against her body. (To this testimony so far as relates to the deceased child or to prisoner pressing her legs

pc. was objected too by the prisoner as irrelevant & tending to prove a distinct crime by the defendant. The Court overruled the objection & the defendant then & there excepted. The court ruled that the acts of the Deft. to deceased were competent.)

That he had asked him, how child was. He (Jumpsbury) said child was still born.

Question. State what Deft. did, said or did, that she came to carry these baskets?

(Objection)

A. Don't know.

Question. Tell all Deft. said at that time?

Objection.

Don't recollect any thing further.

Question. What did Deft. say as to his having given directions if any in regard to baskets?

192 (Objection by Van et. Judge says on reflection, this testimony is not admissible as to the child as it shows no ill intent of prisoner as to Sophie. All Witness's Testimony as to this child is excluded.) (The part about preping her knees is excluded also)

Since Deft. returned from Milwaukee to time of his arrest. we worked together at Frazer & Pitblin till last of April. I have been in No. 30 Pomeroy's Building Can't tell when I was first there. Went there first because Jumpsbury took me up. Can't give the time. That was before I left Frazer & Pitblin. Can't give time, don't know month, before April.

Question. What was said at time of going into room by Jumpsbury?

Question.

(Objection sustained)

Was any thing said by Jumpertz at that time?

Not the first time. As I opened the door I went in, not first time, ~~was~~ ^{nor} second, asked me if I couldn't smell anything. I said no. He said gas must be escaping. Was smell, I could not tell what sort. Not gas. Three times he asked me if I could smell anything. Can't tell how room looked. Carpet was not before bedstead as formerly. A piece laid before bedstead, perhaps yard wide. Stone on floor. There was no carpet there at all at that time. The piece there before was gone at that time. At an other time I went up I found carpet there again. This was, can't recollect how long after he asked me about the smell. At time he asked about smell, I saw clock, breast pin, cup, saucers, belonging to Sophie. I once asked him if Sophie was still there, he said she had travelled away. don't know as it was at that time he ~~had~~ said so. He said she had gone to Rochester. He told me she had gone to my brother's. Asked me if my brother was in Rochester. I told him he was not. Jumpertz then said: "I did not tell her, that he (my brother) was not there any more."

Question.

Did he say any more?

I can't recollect as he did. I said he was not there. I said nothing else. Afterwards asked him, if he had heard from her? He said no, he got no letter, did not hear from her any more, did not come to him any more, was not.

Question.

troubled by her any more. Can't recollect as he said anything else. Said nothing further. Can't recollect.

Did he say anything as to marrying?

He did not.

Can't recollect as he said anything about renting at No. 30. Don't know as he said why he rented the room. He said he rented the room to sleep in it. Can't say when he said so. He never told me he rented the room to sleep in. I said that. Can't say as this was before or after he asked me if I smelt anything.

Question.

When Sumpertz told she had gone to Rochester. did you remark that if she had gone to Rochester she would come back.

I said she would come. He said she would not. He appeared at that time very well.

194
I went to No. 30 with Rehn & Bradley. I had talk with Sumpertz about the smell, how long before this I can't tell, don't know, not 3 or 4 months & days. It was of a Sunday ^{Evening} ~~Morning~~, 27th of April or 28th. I slept in room till he was arrested. It was before 27th April I noticed the smell. I slept with him from 27th April till he was arrested, for ten days. Went ^{to room} with Bradley & Rehn, because on Monday Rehn came to my shop and asked me if I did not know something about a woman. Asked if I did not know something a woman whose description was in Newspaper & about a barrel. (Objected to, sustained)

Rehm left, came back with newspaper. Then went to room. He asked me if we could not get in. Got in. key was there over the door & we went in. Was there when he was arrested, again with Rehm & Bradley. The clock I have spoken of I saw in my room.

Sumpert ordered to put it there. I have stove, bedstead, looking glass, bed, rocking chair. When I got these things I saw Sumpert in jail, this was ^{about} a week or so ago. Got the articles from the armory, from Marshall & Rehm. know about a ring. It was Sophie's, Sumpert said it was her's. He sold it to Peter Dow, my partner, can't say when, it was before I slept there. Can't recollect as it was before or after conversation about

195

smell. know Weglehner. Did see him on the stand here. I was with him, don't know as it was the day before. There was a conversation with him about Sophie. What was it? Did Weglehner in that talk ask him (this intup) whether Sophie took prison?

Question.

Objection. Objection sustained. ruled out.

Question.

Did Weglehner state to you the day before he came upon the stand that he would come here & swear that Sophie Werner had taken prison?

Objection—ruled out.

Question.

Did you ^{see} Sophie Werner in barber shop or any where when she took any thing in a tumbler?

Don't know whether it was a glass or a cup. Came in between 8 & 9. went back where baths are now. She and brother used to sleep there. She took something out of paper. Put it in glass, stirred it up, drank.

a little & ^{spit out.} ~~swallowed it~~, drank more & swallowed it. Rest thrown away, then went back to room I went home at 9. Wiegler laid up stairs and my brother down. I felt restless & bad, I told Adolphine Trautman's mother, that she had taken something.

Crop.

Adolphine told Wiegler, she had taken something, I said I had seen her take something. Could not say if it was poison. Wiegler went to shop My brother had given her warm water. In that barbers shop I speak of a door led into street, and a door through partition leading into sleeping room. Two doors into sleeping room as I think. One door in the middle of partition, don't know if there was another near by the washing apparatus. Not certain if that door was in middle of partition. It was at the side of the partition. That partition run North & South like Dearborn Street. The door in this partition was just as you come in. Door was next South partition. From the shop I could not see into her bed room. I did not follow her to her room. She first took that from the paper behind the first door, the one you go through from the shop. She stood back by the wash stand when she took it. No warm water there. Put in cold water. What she took out of paper she took out behind in the ^{room} ~~door~~. I saw through the door. She was just so far off as one could see as she pounded it in the cap or glass. Can't say how far.

He said, "I think you are" Then he said he has to be very careful now.

(Van et. moved to strike out all the last answers since mention was made of servant girl as irrelavent) overruled by exception by Deft's Counsel at the time.

Crap.

I left boarding house, because the boarding house did not suit me, it was not convenient. Mrs. Comb got confined and that changed the house. That was the reason I left. Also the landlord was about to move to Cincinnati. Don't know if he went for 4 or 5 weeks afterwards.

William J. Teubroek.

197
I was jailor of Cook County last season Deft was arrested and delivered to my custody in April or May can't tell, I did admit Dr. Hahn to see Deft. There was conversation between them. They commenced talking in German. I told them to go on in English, as it is easy for me to understand what was said. Sumpertz sent for him. Said: I have sent for you Dr. as this body is to be here in a few days to see if they could tell whether it had been poisoned or died with poison, so long. I think the Dr. said he thought not, don't remember what he did say. I said they could tell if they had the stomach. Sumpertz said he had taken every thing out but the lungs. I said if she had been hung they could tell by mark on her neck. He said: I guess not, I think I cut it off when I cut her head off. This was, I

can't tell how long after arrest of Deft, think, don't know, it was before body came, don't know Don't remember any thing else that was said After this interview a man made me present of a snuff box, think he said it came from Sumpertz. (Objection to this what man said) It was a silver box (Objection as it is not connected with Deft.) Think I had talk with Sumpertz afterwards. Told him it seemed to be presented as from him, told him I had a little, rather it had not been presented to me. Think he said it was only a kindness as the ⁱⁿ description, it here, shows. Don't recollect what he said, whether it was from him or his friends, but I said had a little rather it had not been presented.

Crop.

198
I have been jailor 2 years. always in this jail. Think I have pretty clear recollection of conversation I have stated. They shook hands as they spoke. Don't know how long he had been in jail, might have been a week or ten days. Can't say as it was before body arrived, think it was. Told him gentleman wanted to see him. Sumpertz first commenced to speak in German. I think he did. I understood now & then a word. They only spoke two or three words, only a few before I checked him. Can't tell if he said something in German & Haken answered him. Dr. Kahn did not offer to translate to me what had been said. Thought they were acquainted because he said he had sent for him. Don't know anything else to show they were ac-

199
guainted. If he said, I sent for you Dr. because I knew you & I wanted to have somebody who could inspect it & tell the truth for me, I did not hear it. If he said any such thing I did not hear it. Did not Dr. say? I learn stomach & bowels are gone? Don't know, if he did say it I did not hear it. I heard all that was said. Only some Dr. H. said when I subpoenaed him. We spoke then of what he said in jail. He did not then tell me that in jail Sumpertz said he wanted him to go and see the body so as to tell the truth for me I did not say then what he said. I said in substance it was as he said. Said I did not want to come to testify in Court. It was the same thing in substance. Said he sent for him to go & see the body and see if poison could be told after so long. I don't recollect as he said anything to the Dr. about being present at the examination of the body.

It kinder seems to me that he did say he would like to have him go there. Don't know as Dr. H. said he would be there, that stomach was gone & he could not tell much about it. Don't remember what Dr. said in his office. At his office he was telling me something said in German & I can't tell what it was.

Question.

In this talk at Dr. H.'s office did not Dr. H. tell you that he did reply to Sumpertz request to go and see the remains & (Dr. H.) had said that he understood that the stomach & bowels were gone, that it

could be impossible to tell whether there was poison or not? We did not say so, did not say so many words, said same thing, in my view, in substance.

Question.

Did not he tell you that he told Jumpsitz that as the Stomach was gone it would do no good to go to the examination?

Don't know he said so.

Question.

After this talk did you not say, you saw no need for you to be sworn as he & you recollected it just alike?

Certainly I did, as I understood it. I said perhaps he knew more about it as I did, as there was something in German I did not hear. We agreed only on a point or two.

Question

Did not you just tell me that you & Dr. K. did not talk over what was said in the jail?

250

I answered that we about agreed. We did not agree on some words I did not hear, that were spoken in German. I did not charge my mind with the talk with the Dr., I charged my mind with what Jumpsitz said. I thought it my duty to hear the previous conversation. I charged my mind with it, because it was a horrid case and so much was said about it. I listened so that in case anything happened I could know what was going on. After this talk I don't know how long before the box was presented. Can't tell day or month or what man handed it to me, might have been four or five or six months. I have treated the prisoner kindly. I think I had allowed the prisoner jail

off I stood 6 or 8 feet. as she poured it I could
 not see color of it, it was so dark. She poured it
 out of a paper. Don't know what it looked like. I
 can't tell how it looked. Can't recollect. Never did know
 how it looked. Saw she poured something out, paid
 no attention what it was. I saw paper and tumbler
 substance in it could not see. In the room she was
 in no light, there was light in shop. She stood so in
 light I could see her. After she took this, it was 9 o'clock
 I went home, said nothing to her. I was sitting in
 chair & saw her go by, saw her dress. saw her put some
 thing in glass & take it. I was not troubled about it
 When I saw she had taken it, I was troubled, because
 she often before that had wanted to kill herself. Once
 she wanted to jump into water. When she saw water
 she came back. Twice before that. I stated to Adolphine
 & mother that I had seen her take something, spit out
 take more, swallow it and throw away rest. Neglehnur
 came down. I saw him before that evening in shop.
 Adolphine told him of it, I did not, I told Adolphine.
 I had no conversation with Sophie in regard to this
 She said nothing to me or I to her. I saw next day
 she was vomiting. Recollect we told in presence of
 Sophie

Adjourned till 2. P. M.

Cross ex. of George Wernier resumed.

Question

Did you see at shop of Frazz & Piobole a letter of
 Deft. about 1st of March?

[1885-10]

(Question deferred till farther time when he may be

recalled) Question is with drawn.

Direct resumed.

Question Did you ever see a letter produced by Deft?

Objected to because re examination can not go into new matter. Question put.

Ans. Is it a letter that he wrote?

Question Did you ever see a letter in possession of Humphreys?

(Objection)

Yes.

In End of February or beginning of March I saw such a letter. He got it from the Post Office. (Objection on ground of immateriality) He came from the P. O. took off envelope then threw envelope into stove. Feild (a boy) & myself were present. Read it to us. He said he would keep it so that in case of trouble he would have it, as the letter contained something about her committing suicide. He read to us that she wanted to come back, kiss him once more and go to Rochester, was going to kill my brother and herself too. Don't know as he said anything further about it. Said if she was to commit suicide & people came to him, he would have something to show. Don't recollect as he said what the letter would do for him. Don't know what day of month it was. I don't know as I took letter in hand. Did not read it. Did not see him at P. O. & he did not say he had been there. Don't recollect either. Said when he left the shop, told boy he wanted to go to P. O. & get a letter.

202

Prop.

Can't read german writing very good. Can't write letter very well or read them. Seiglitz, don't know him. In the room a that time John (boy) and one of Freyer & Reholi. Don't know boy's name. Think his name is Letz. A barber named Joseph was present.

Sumpertz said he talked to me & Seiglitz. Don't know as I did go to No 30 before it was furnished, or while only stove and bed was up; before he slept there. Sumpertz did propose for me to go and occupy it with him. Did not go because I had one. I did suggest that a journeyman of Peter Douse (or Douche) might occupy it with him. I told the journeyman he could go there with Sumpertz.

203 Can't give the time when. Don't know if it was before this letter was brought in. It was before I went to Racine, can't give time. This journeyman's first name is John. He is barber at Tremont house, works with (Seiglitz) Seiglitz. About my size, 1 inch taller, dark hair, little curled. Did not see in the German Newspaper a letter advertised for Sumpertz. Don't know where Zimmermann lives that I spoke of. I am a little hard of hearing.

Joseph Mohr (or Moore)

Lived in City 2 1/2 years, knew Sumpertz since year ago last December. Did not know Sophie Werner. Boarded with Deft. last winter in Madison St. Mr. Combs kept the house. Left there 16th or 17th of Feb. Commenced to board in Fall

204
in Lake St. Boarded with him 5 or 6 months. Deft. came there to board in Dec. He came there to board then. He was there after I left I guess, last February. Deft. boarded there then. I left there. Talked with him that time about a room, he told me he was to have a room. About 2 weeks before I left, I said he had some furniture in Milwaukee. He told me he had found a room on Water & Clark St. I knew time of his arrest. He shaved me usually. Had conversation with him 2 or 3 weeks before he was arrested. We talked about a certain girl or so. Did not then refer to Lottie Werner. One day we talked about a certain girl: He told me he had to be careful because he was in a scrape now and he had to be careful, and he lived once with a woman, one in Milwaukee & people thought they were sister & brother till she came with child. I asked what had become of the woman & he said he had 'settled' with her, or something like that, or 'arranged' with her. The word he used was a German one, might mean accomplish, arranged, finished, ended. Can't tell exactly the German word he used. He said no more, I was in his chair at time. Got out & went away. Conversation came up between us about another woman, as servant girl in the house.

Question

What was said?

(To this conversation the Defendant objected on the ground of irrelevancy - but the Court overruled the objection & the defendant excepted at the time. I asked Humphrey if he was not pretty intimate with this girl)

liberties unusual. Treated him kinder than others. Found advantage might be taken if he escaped of my having had this box. I understood box was given in consideration of my kind treatment. During this interview at jail, did you suggest that prison would leave a green spot on the neck back of the ear. Perhaps I did. Think prisoner said he should be glad if it would.

Dr. James et. Hahn.

Came to Chicago 4 years ago. Last June, knew Sumpertz before his arrest. Only had one interview with Reft. in jail. He sent for me to go & see him at jail, and I went at his request. The jailor went in with me and showed me the cell. Sumpertz commenced speaking with me in German, wished me to do him favor if I could, said I would if I could. Said then (jailor objected to his speaking in German then I spoke in English) that he had understood that the body was to be here in a day or two and that they were trying to make out that it had been poisoned. Said he wanted me to examine or be present when it was examined to have some one in whom he had confidence to do him justice & tell the truth about it. I said, I had heard that as the insides were removed we could tell nothing about it. He said he had taken out all below the partition. I then said, as the stomach & bowels were gone, you can tell nothing about it. That was about all that came of it.

Crop.

A little boy in the barber shop came to say Sumpertz

206
wanted to see me. I used to know Stumpertz as a bar-
ber. He shaved me. Mr. Teubrock subpoenaed me. My
son was there, think both sons were there. We had
a little conversation about this matter. I said he knew
about as much as I did & I did not see why they wanted
me. I repeated what I have just said it was in
substance as he understood it so, except as to that what
I have stated that we (Stumpertz & me) spoke in German.
He ^{said} did not ~~say~~ want to be sworn as I knew all as
he did. I stated it once in substance to him as I
saw him. The part said in German "that he wanted
me to do him a favor & I said I would", was the
part that Teubrock said he did not remember. Deft.
did not ask me if they could discover poison if sto-
mach was gone. I said that. He did not say that
he wanted me to see if they could detect poison after
so long. Said no such thing. There is a faint impression
that something was said about cutting off mark of
rope on neck, but I can't swear to that.

Direct resumed.

Don't know as Teubrock joined in the conver-
sation only to tell me, to speak in English. Have only
an impression of any thing said about a rope. Think
I asked him, or said, can you tell where the stomach
is buried. Said he could not tell exact place,
but thought if somebody would go with him, he
thought he could find it. Said something about
going over a bridge on N. side. Can't say what bridge

Ora Coleman

207
Have lived in City 21 years, knew Sophie Warner, knew her within a day or two after she came here. Then her husband was living in Deacon Pillsbury house, with that girl. Her coming kicked up a mess & he came & rented house of me. Don't know how long he had my house. I knew Sophie all the time she lived in City, till she went to Milwaukee, I saw her after she left my house. Knew Sumpstz. First knew him very day as he went to his meals. That was not to my house. I think Sophie occupied that house 5 or 6 months, knew Deft. at time he boarded with Wegelner, as he (Wegelner) lived in part of that house. Only knew that Sophie and Sumpstz lived together by report. Before she went to Milwaukee said she was married to Deft.

(Van et. moves to strike out the last sentence of witness answer because statement of dec. is not admissible. The motion was overruled. Exception then there taken by Deft.) He said she was going to Milwaukee to live with Sumpstz. That was the last time I ever saw alive. Met her on Lake St. at that time.

(Van et. Deft's counsel moves to strike out statement that she was married to Sumpstz & that she was going to Milwaukee to live with Sumpstz & was married. The motion was by the Court overruled and the defendant then & there excepted to the ruling.) Saw her body when it was brought back here in Court room. Knew face from general appearance, face, teeth, eyes &c. Thought

when I knew Sophie that she had remarkable spirits under the circumstances. Always when I saw her she was cheerful. Saw her every day. She got water at my hydrant. Have heard of her not being cheerful. I never saw anything of the woman but that she was a nice woman. I never saw her but that she was pleasant. She was a good sized woman, a little taller than my wife, not quite so fleshy. Would weigh 140 to 145 pounds. I heard of the report at the time of her having taken something. I saw her next day. Saw no change in her appearance next day. Heard rumor she had taken poison. Think she is a trifle taller than my wife. Don't know how tall my wife is. She was above medium height.

Crop.

208

I belong to police force, fire - I remember some one talking next day or days after she took poison. Did not see her on the day I heard it. I saw her next day after I heard it. Think Mrs. Weglehnur told it in my house. They lived in rear of lot of I in front. Have heard her ~~sing~~ sing, don't know as I saw her wash. Never saw any of the carrying they spoke of. She did wash & she has told me of her troubles, before she went to Germany and after. She never looked desponding. Told me her suffering cheerfully, never cried in my presence. I thought she was a remarkable woman to bear up under the troubles she had. She had great griefs on account of her husband.

Ernst Friedel.

I knew Sophie Warner by sight. Saw her twice. In my saloon in 1857. Once Mr. Meglemer was with her. Twice she was there and asked for washing with my hair tender. Don't know if I ever saw her with Deft. Can't say when it was. I never saw her again alive. Saw her dead in Court house. Knew her by teeth, one tooth short, had short nose, weighed 145. To me she came up about to my nose. She was a little shorter than I. I identified the body in Court House as hers. I knew it right away. Did not know when I saw her, It was not Carner's inquest.

Upton.

209

Knew Sophie Warner. Saw her once or twice a week for a year. I met her in Warner's barber shop. I saw her body laid on 25th last May. Saw it in Recorder's Court. Knew & identified body by prominent features, teeth, eyes & hair.

George Anderson

Knew Sophie Warner. Saw her great many times. Saw her in Court house dead after taken from barrel. Could not identify body. Could faint tell by physiognomy, face, nose, eyes, hair.

Dr. Joseph W. Free.

I am surgeon & physician in Rush Medical College Prof of Anatomy. Have been in that College 9 years: I made examination of remains of body in May last. The body was an old Council

room I think. The body was taken out of a barrel.
Drs. Cheaney, Shumway, Asham & Dr. Max Meyers.
came in I think top of head
had been sawed off & brain removed. Cut on left side
of nose, straight cut. Bones of bridge of nose were
broken. Two lateral incisions back. One made in cor-
ner of mouth. No appearance of violence in the mouth
Head had been sawed from body at 5th bone counting
from head. Had been sawed to all appearance. Right
arm had an incision $1\frac{1}{2}$ long on under side, running
obliquely, in direction of vein. Cut extending from top of
breast bone to middle of body to pubic an other across
it coming at navel ^{men} & extending round the side. Breast
bone had been cut again & bone taken out, incision in
cartilage & bone ~~taken~~ removed. Contents of chest had
been removed. All organs had been separated. The right
lung lay loose in chest. Contents of abdomen were all
gone intestines, liver, — kidney, spleen, pancreas,
stomach. Contents of pelvis gone Nothing
left. The left thigh was sawed in middle, bone
sawed through. Some flesh left attached. The knee
on left side joint was severed. The thigh & knee
left were sawed. The left was sawed in different man-
ner from other. Bones seemed to have been ^{severed} sawed with
a dull instrument, flesh was cut, not with a sharp
knife. incisions — ragged. Hair had been cropped
color light brown. Teeth in lower jaw, molar teeth
had been removed for long time. I never examined.
Upper jaw two decayed molars & one incisor. From