

14176

No. _____

Supreme Court of Illinois

Dishon et al.

vs.

Schorr

IN THE SUPREME COURT:

DISHON & PROVO,

vs.

JOHN C. SCHORR.

FIRST GRAND DIVISION,

NOVEMBER TERM, 1857.

1 This was an action commenced by the plaintiffs against the 1
2 defendant, before Thomas Hileman, a Justice of the Peace of 2
3 Union county, on an account for \$348 11, credited \$286 30, leaving a 3
4 balance of \$61 81, to recover which balance this suit was brought. 4
5 On the 24th day of July, 1852, a trial was had before said justice, and 5
6 a judgment rendered in favor of the defendant for \$71 87. Plaintiffs 6
7 appealed to the Union County Circuit Court. At the May term of 7
8 said court, 1854, this cause came on to be tried, and plaintiffs obtained 8
9 a verdict for \$51 81, against defendant; verdict set aside by the court 9
10 and a new trial granted. At the December special term of said court, 10
11 this cause came on to be tried, when a verdict was rendered in favor 11
12 of the defendant for \$79 50. Plaintiffs entered a motion for a new 12
13 trial, which was refused by the court, and a judgment rendered against 13
14 the plaintiffs, and in favor of the defendant for \$71 86, and costs of 14
15 suit, and this cause is brought to this court by writ of error. The 15
16 bill of exceptions not being on file at the time the record was made out, 16
17 a written agreement is appended, making the same a part of the record. 17

J. DOUGHERTY, for Pl'ff.

IN THE SUPREME COURT:

DISHON & PROVO

vs.

JOHN C. SCHORR.

FIRST GRAND DIVISION,

NOVEMBER TERM, 1857.

This was an action commenced by the plaintiffs against the 1
defendant, before Thomas Hileman, a Justice of the Peace of 2
Union county, on an account for \$348 11, credited \$286 30, leaving a 3
balance of \$61 81, to recover which balance this suit was brought. 4
On the 24th day of July, 1852, a trial was had before said justice, and 5
a judgment rendered in favor of the defendant for \$71 87. Plaintiffs 6
appealed to the Union County Circuit Court. At the May term of 7
said court, 1854, this cause came on to be tried, and plaintiffs obtained 8
a verdict for \$51 81, against defendant; verdict set aside by the court 9
and a new trial granted. At the December special term of said court, 10
this cause came on to be tried, when a verdict was rendered in favor 11
of the defendant for \$79 50. Plaintiffs entered a motion for a new 12
trial, which was refused by the court, and a judgment rendered against 13
the plaintiffs, and in favor of the defendant for \$71 86, and costs of 14
suit, and this cause is brought to this court by writ of error. The 15
bill of exceptions not being on file at the time the record was made out, 16
a written agreement is appended, making the same a part of the record. 17

J. DOUGHERTY, for Pl'ff.

Dishmond

my
School

1417

14176