

No. 12725

Supreme Court of Illinois

People

vs.

Beach, et al

71641  7

285 - 216.

Cyrus P. Albee

87

The People for usse.

1859

285

1872.5

285

255 - 216

State of Illinois
Cook County Court of
Common Pleas

The People vs. use of
L'and T. Library . . . }
____ w ____
James. S. Beach
Attucks . . . }

Record

Feb 21. 1859.
L. Island
C.R.

Trans \$14.50 paid by R.S.
Blackwell. M.H.C.

State of Illinois &
Cook County . . .) p

Pleas before the Honorable John M.

Wilson was Judge of the Cook County Court of
Common Pleas within and for the County of Cook
and State aforesaid at a Special Term of said
Cook County Court of Common Pleas, began and
held at the Court house in the City of Chicago
on the first Tuesday being the fourth day of
January in the year of our Lord one thousand
eight hundred and fifty nine, due notice of the
time and place of the holding said Special
Term of Court, having been printed & published
in the "Daily Democrat," the Corporation
Newspaper of the City of Chicago, said Notice
having been printed and published twenty days
previous to the holding of said Special Term of
Court, in accordance with the Statute in such
case made and provided and in pursuance
of an order made by the Judge of said Court
on the day of December A.D. Eighteen
hundred and fifty eight.

<u>Present</u>	John M. Wilson Clerge
	Carlos Haven Prosecuting Attorney
<u>Athen</u>	John Gray Sheriff
Falter Kincaid .	Clerk.

Be it remembere that heretofore to wit on the
sixth day of July A. D. Eighteen hundred and fifty
seven, there was filed in the Office of the Clerk of said
Court a Precipe for Summons in a Suit wherein the
People of the State of Illinois for the use of John
Copeland was Plaintiff and James S. Beach and others
were Defendants; together with Security for costs;
which said Precipe and Bond for costs, is in the words
and figures following, that is to say.

"The People of the State of
Illinois for the use of John
Copeland

James S. Beach, Plaintiff
Carlton Drake, Joseph St. Gray
Martin O. Walker & Cyrus. P.
Albee, Sureties

Cook County Court
of Com. Pleas.

The Clerk will please issue
a Summons in the above entitled cause, in an action
of Debt on Official Bond \$10,000, Damages \$350
and returnable according to law.

July 6, 1857 Yours &c

To the Clerk of Cook S. A. Irvin
County Court of Com. Pleas. Illo City

Be it remembere that heretofore to wit on the
sixth day of July A. D. Eighteen hundred and fifty
seven, there was filed in the office of the Clerk of said
Court a Precipe for Summons in a Suit wherein the
People of the State of Illinois for the use of John
Copeland was Plaintiff and James S. Beach and others
were Defendants; together with Security for costs;
which said Precipe and Bond for costs, is in the words
and figures following, that is to say.

"The People of the State of
Illinois for the use of John
Copeland

James S. Beach, Plaintiff
Carlton Drake, Joseph St. Gray
Martin O. Walker & Cyrus. P.
Albee, Sureties

Cook County Court
of Com. Pleas.

The Clerk will please issue
a Summons in the above entitled cause, in an action
of Debt on Official Bond \$10,000, Damages \$350
and returnable according to law.

July 6, 1857 Yours &c

To the Clerk of Cook S. A. Irvin
County Court of Com. Pleas. Illo City

State of Illinois } Cook County Court of
Cook County } Common Pleas.

The People of State of Illinois
for the use of John Copeland
vs _____ Of the September
Term A.D. 1857.

James S. Beach, Carlton Drake
Joseph H. Gray Martin, P. Facker
and Cyrus P. Allbee

I do hereby enter myself security
for costs in this cause and acknowledge myself bound
to pay or cause to be paid all costs which may accrue
in this action either to the opposite party, or to any
of the officers of this Court, in pursuance of the laws
of this State. Dated this 6th day of July A.D. 1857

S. A. Irvin.

And whereupon accordingly on the said Sixth day of
July A.D. Eighteen hundred and fifty eight seven
there was issued out of and under the Seal of the
Clerk of said Court, the Peoples Summons directed to
said Defendants; Which said Summons, with the
Sheriffs return thereon contained is in the words and
figures following, to wit.

State of Illinois The People of the State of
County of Cook } vs Illinois

To the Sheriff of said County, Greetings:

Ife command you that you Summon James S. Beach principal and Carlton Drake, Joseph H. Gray, Martin C. Walker & Cyrus P. Allen, parties, if they shall be found in your County, personally to be and appear before the Cook County Court of Common Pleas of said County on the first day of the next term thereof to be holden at the Courthouse in the City of Chicago in said County in the second Monday of September next, to answer unto the People of the State of Illinois for the use of John Copeland, in a Plea that they render unto the said People of the State of Illinois for use of John Copeland their debt on official Bond, in the sum of Ten thousand dollars which they owe to and unjustly detain from them, to the damage of the said Plaintiffs as they say in the sum of Three hundred and fifty dollars
And have you there and there this Writ with an Indorsement thereon in what manner you shall have received the same.

Walter Kimball, Clerk of our said Court
and the Seal thereof at the City of Chicago in
said County this 6th day of July A.D. 1857.

Walter Kimball, Clerk.

"Served by reading to the within named James S. Beach
and Cyrus P. Allen the 1st day of September A.D. 1857,
the defendants not found in my County.

John L. Wilson Sheriff

(u) By Ira Snow, Deputy

Ave thenceforth to wit on the fourth day of September
A. D. Eighteen hundred and fifty seven the said plaintiffs
by their said Attorney filed in the Office of the Clerk of
said Court their Declaration, together with a copy of the
Bond sued upon; Which said Declaration and Bond is
in the words and figures following, to wit.

"State of Illinois, Cook County Court of Common
Cook County . . . P. Pleas. Of the September Term
A. D. 1857.

The People of the State of Illinois for the use of John
Cleveland Plaintiff in this Suit by S. A. Irvin his
Attorney complains of James S. Brack, Carlton Drake,
Joseph H. Gray, Martin C. Walker and Cyrus J. Allcock all
of said Cook County, defendants of a Plea that they render
to the said People of the State of Illinois for the use of
John Cleveland the sum of Ten thousand dollars lawful
money of the United States, which they owe to and unjustly
detain from him. For that whereas the said defendants here
before to wit on the third day of March in the year of our
Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty six at the City
of Chicago in the said County of Cook and State of Illinois
by their certain writing obligatory sealed with their Seals
and now shewn here to the Court, the day whereof is the day
and year aforesaid to wit March 3rd A. D. 1856
acknowledged themselves to be held and firmly bound
with the said people of the State of Illinois in the sum
of \$10,000. above demanded to be paid to the said plaintiff.

And whereas the condition of said obligation is such that whereas the above named James S. Beach, coroner in and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois has by the decree of James Andrews late Sheriff of said Cook County succeeded to the rights duties office &c of Sheriff of said County by virtue of his said office of coroner. Now of the said James S. Beach shall faithfully and truly perform and discharge all the duties required or to be required of him by law as acting Sheriff of said County of Cook that the above obligation to be void, otherwise to lie and remain in full force and effect.

And whereas the said Bond or Obligation was approved in open Court the 25th day of March A.D. 1856 by the Honorable George Manierre, Judge of the 4th Judicial Circuit of the State of Illinois and Presiding Judge of the Cook County Circuit Court according to the Statute in such cases made and provided and was filed in the Office of the Clerk of said Court on the 26th day of March A.D. 1856 and was duly entered upon the records of said Court, according to law, and the said Plaintiff brings into Court here the said writing Obligatory, sealed as aforesaid - which gives sufficient Evidence to the said Court here of the debt aforesaid in sum aforesaid, the date whereof is the said 3rd day of March 1856.

And whereas afterwards to wit on the said 3rd day of March A.D. 1856 the said James S. Beach Coroner by the office acting Sheriff aforesaid, appointed

according to the Statute in such case made and provided
me Fayette S. Bulkley as his Deputy, which appointment
was in writing, dated the 3rd day of March A.D. 1856
and was filed in the office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court
of said County of Cook on said 3rd day of March A.D. 1856
and was entered of record according to Law.

And whereas on the Thirteenth day of September
A.D. 1856 a certain Execution on a judgment previously
rendered in the Recorders Court of the City of Chicago wherein
the said John Copeland was Plaintiff and George S.
Copeland was Defendant, was issued from the office of the
Clerk of said Recorders Court for the collection of the sum
of \$255⁰⁰ Damages and \$9⁰⁰ Costs, and returnable Ninety
days from the date thereof; which execution was on the 14th
day of September A.D. 1856 placed into the hands of said
Fayette S. Bulkley, he being then and there Deputy Sheriff
acting under said written appointment hereinbefore described

And whereas although the said Ninety days have long
since elapsed the said Bulkley Deputy as aforesaid did not
nor never has, nor did the said Beach nor hath he ever
returned said Writ to the Office of said Clerk of the Recorders
Court of the City of Chicago as by law was required to be
done thereby the said Defendants became liable to pay the
said sum of money as aforesaid to said Plaintiff

And whereas also the said Execution having been given
as aforesaid and placed in the hands of the said Fayette S.
Bulkley as aforesaid, he being then and there acting Deputy
as aforesaid and having failed to return said Writs of Executing

in the Office of the Clerk of the Recorders Court of the City of Chicago as aforesaid, and as by Law he was required to do afterwards to wit on or about the Sixth day of February A.D. 1857 notice in writing having been given to said James S. Beach Coroner and Ex Officio Sheriff as aforesaid of said John Copeland's intention to apply to said Recorders Court of the City of Chicago for relief under and by virtue of the Statute in such cases made and provided and said James S. Beach Sheriff as aforesaid having accepted due service of affidavits and notice of Motion and concurred that said Motion should be heard on the Eighteenth day of November 1856; and said James S. Beach Sheriff as aforesaid and he the said Fayette S. Bulkley Deputy as aforesaid having failed to return said Execution or pay the monies collected thereon or to show any cause why return had not been made of said Execution and the money made thereon paid over according to law in satisfaction of said execution an order was made & granted by said Recorders Court at the November term A.D. 1856 thereof, requiring the said James S. Beach or his Deputy the aforesaid Bulkley to make return of the said Execution heretofore issued and delivered to said Deputy Bulkley in favor of said John Copeland and to pay to the Clerk of said Recorders Court the monies made thereon on sale of the property attached and levied upon or before the 9th day of February 1857. That a copy of said Order was duly served on said James S. Beach Sheriff as aforesaid and demand made according to the Statute in such cases made and provided, and said James S. Beach

Sheriff and the said Deputy Buckley having failed to comply
with the Order aforesaid the said Recorder's Court did on
the 13th day of February A.D. 1857 order Judgment to be
entered and Judgment was accordingly entered upon the
records of said Court at the February Term A.D. 1857
against said James S. Beach Coroner and Ex Officio
Sheriff as aforesaid for the money the said John Copeland
had recovered as aforesaid against the said George S.
Copeland with twenty five cent thereon, as by Statute in
such case made and provided being damages Two hundred
and fifty five dollars and fifty one dollars interest and
nine dollars and ten cents costs, and amounting together
to the sum of \$315. And by the consideration of said
Court Judgment was accordingly rendered at the February
Term A.D. 1857 of said Court according to the Statute in
such case made and provided which said Judgment the
said Plaintiff ever remains in full force and effect, not
reversed satisfied or otherwise vacated whereby an action
hath accrued to the said Plaintiff to demand and have of
and from the said Defendants the said sum of money as
aforesaid Yet the said Defendants altho often requested to
do have not as yet paid the said sum of money above
mentioned and demanded or any part thereof And the said
Fayette S. Buckley Deputy as aforesaid though often requested
so to do has neglected and refused to pay to said John
Copeland the said sum of money above demanded or any
part thereof and both he and the said Defendants still
do refuse to the damage of the said Plaintiff of Three hundred

and fifty dollars And therefore they bring suit for

S. A. Irvin

Att^r for Plt.

Copies of Bonds given on

"Know all Men by these Presents That we James S. Beach, Carlton Drake, Joseph H. Gray, Martin C. Walker and Cyrus P. Oliver are held and firmly bound unto the People of the State of Illinois in the sum of Ten thousand dollars lawful money of the United States of America for the payment of which said sum well and truly to be made we bind ourselves our heirs executors & administrators jointly and severally firmly by these Presents - Sealed with our seals and dated this third day of March A.D. 1856.

Now the condition of the above Obligation is such that whereas the above bounden James S. Beach formerly and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois has by the decease of James Andrews late Sheriff of said Cook County succeeded to the rights duties office &c of Sheriff of said County by virtue of his said office of Coroner Now if the said James S. Beach shall faithfully and truly perform and discharge all the duties required or to be required of him by law as acting Sheriff of said County of Cook then the above obligation to be void otherwise to lie and remain in full force and effect.

Approved in open Court this James S. Beach Seal
25th day of March 1856 . . . Carlton Drake Seal
George Manire Joseph H. Gray Seal

Judge of 7th Judicial Circuit M. C. Walker Seal
& Presiding Judge of Cook Co. Court. C. P. Albee Seal

Endorsed on the back thereof

" Filed 25th March 1856

"Recorded" S. D. Heard Clerk"

And afterwards to wit on the sixteenth day of September
(being one of the days of the September Term of said Court)
A. D. eighteen hundred and fifty eight, the following
proceedings were had in said cause, and entered of
record in said Court, to wit:

"The People of the State of Illinois
vs. John Copeland . . . } Delt

{ in Official Bond

James S. Beach, Carlton Drake, Joseph H. Gray

Martin C. Walker & Cyrus P. Albee . . . }

This day comes the said Plaintiff by his Attorney S. A. Iwin
and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that due personal
service of process of Summons if used in this cause had been had on
James S. Beach & Cyrus P. Albee two of the above named Defendants
witnessed with Carlton Drake, Joseph H. Gray & M. C. Walker & they
being severally three times solemnly called in open Court examined
nor did any person for them but herein they make default which
Motion is ordered to be taken and entered of record Wherefore said

Plaintiff ought to have and recover of said defendants James S. Beach
H. P. Albee, mispledged with Carlton Drake, A. Joseph H. Gray & M. O.
Walker her debt in her Declaration mentioned as well as her damages
for the detention thereof & this suit being founded on an instrument in
writing for the payment of money only the Court having heard the
foregoing allegations submitted & being fully advised now finds the
said Defendants as aforesaid indebted to said Plaintiff in the sum
of Ten thousand dollars debt & expenses paid Plaintiff's damages to the
sum of Three hundred & twenty one dollars & seventy five cents.

Therefore it is considered that said Plaintiff do have & recover
of said defts James S. Beach & Cyrus P. Albee, mispledged with Carlton
\$10,000. debt Drake, Joseph H. Gray & M. O. Walker for debt of \$10 thousand dollars
~~321 25~~ damage and also for damages of Three hundred and twenty one dollars
and seventy five cents, in form aforesaid aforesaid by the Court,
together with her costs and charges in this behalf expended
and have Execution therefor.

And it is further ordered by the Court that
the Debt of Ten thousand dollars as aforesaid adjudged
against said defendants shall stand as a security for the
payment of any damages that may accrue by reason of any
breach in the condition of said Bond hereafter occurring
and that Execution issue upon this judgment & endorse with
an order to the Sheriff to make said sum of Three hundred
and twenty one dollars and seventy five cents together
with interest thereon and the costs of this suit, in satisfaction
of such Execution.

And thereupon to wit on the second day of October
A.D. Eighteen hundred and fifty seven there issued out of
and under the Seal of the Clerk of said Court, an Execution
on said Judgment; Which said Execution with the Sheriff
return thereto, is in the words and figures following, that
is to say.

'State of Illinois'

County of Cook &c. The People of the State of Illinois
To the Sheriff of said County, Greeting.

We command you that of the Sumes and Damages, Costs
and Chastis of James S. Beach and Cyrus J. Libel
instituted with Carlton Drake, Joseph H. Gray and Martin
C. Walker Defendants in your County, you cause to be
made the sum of Ten thousand dollars, which the People
of the State of Illinois for use of John Copeland Plaintiff
lately in the Cook County Court of Common Pleas of said
County at a term thereof begun and held at Chicago in said
County on the Second Monday of September A.D. 1857
recured against the said Defendants and which by said
Court was adjudged to the said Plaintiff for their Debt, and
also the further sum of Three hundred Twenty one dollars
and twenty five cents which by the said Court was adju-
dged to the said Plaintiff for their Damages, and also the further
sum of Seven dollars and fifty five cents, which were
adjudged for their Costs and charges in that behalf expended
whereof the said Defendants were convicted, as appears by
Record, and have you these money ready to render to

the said Plaintiffs for their Debt, damages and costs aforesaid, and make return of said Writ, with an Enclosure thereto, in what manner you shall have Executed the same in Ninety days from the date hereof.

Wm. H. Walter Kimball, Clerk of our said

(Seal) Court, and the Seal thereof at Chicago in said County this 2nd day of October A. D. 1857.

Walter Kimball. Clerk.

By virtue of the annexed Writ of Execution No 9019 I do on the 29th day of December 1857, levy upon, Sixty eight (68) and Sixty Nine (69) in Cottage Grove Subdivision of part and in the North east Quarter of Section Thirty four (34) Town Thirty nine (39) North range fourteen (14) East of the Third P. M. at Five dollars per lot (\$5.00)

Also the East quarter of Lot one (1) Block one hundred and forty one (141) School Section addition to Chicago for the sum of Three hundred and forty one dollars and thirteen cents and on the 22nd day of January 1868 between the hours of nine in the morning and the setting of the sun of the same day at the North Door of the Court house in the City of Chicago in Cook County (the time and place of sale having been duly advertised according to law) I did sell at public vendue, to the highest and best bidder at said sale the property levied upon as aforesaid for the sum of Three hundred and fifty one dollars and thirteen cents to W. Enoe \$351.13
and after deducting my fee from execution \$4.90

and the amount of the Bills accompanying Execution
I have paid the balance to Plaintiff's Attorney \$336.23 Febt 13
And I return the said annexed Execution satisfied this
22nd of January 1858.

John S. Wilson Sheriff

By George Haderman, Deputy.

And thereafter to wit on the sixteenth day of September
in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred
and fifty eight, came the People of the State of Illinois
affrocia for the use of Daniel T. Olney by C. M. Hawley
their Attorney, and filed in the Office of the Clerk of said
Court their suggestion of further and other Breaches in the
Condition of the aforesaid Bond, together with Security for
Costs; Which said suggestion and security for costs is in
the words and figures following, that is to say,

"The People of the State of Illinois
who sued for the use of

James S. Beach and Cyrus J. Albee
united with Carlton Drake,
Joseph H. Gray, & Martin O. Walker

In the Court County
Court of Common Pleas

Afterwards to wit on the 16th day of September
A.D. 1858 and during the September Term of this Court
before the Judge thereof came the People of the State of

Illinois who now sue for the use of Daniel S. Alney by
C. M. Hawley his Attorney and according to the form of
the Statute in such case made and provided gives the same
Court here to understand and be informed that the said debt
so by the People of the State of Illinois recovered as aforesaid
was and is a certain penal sum of Ten Thousand dollars
mention'd in a certain Bond or Writing obligatory sealed with
the Seals of the said Beach, Allis, Drake, Gray & Walker
and bearing date the third day of March A.D. one thousand
eight hundred and fifty six, which said Writing obligatory
was and is subject to a certain Condition hereunder written
as follows, to wit.)

"Now the Condition of the above Obligation is such
that whereas the above bounder James S. Beach, Coroner in
and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois has by
the decree of James Andrew late Sheriff of said Cook
County succeeded to the rights, duties, office &c of Sheriff of
said County by virtue of his said office of Coroner, Now if
the said James S. Beach shall faithfully and truly perform
and discharge all the duties required or to be required of him
by law as acting Sheriff of said County of Cook then the above
Obligation to be void otherwise to be and remain in full
force and effect."

And the said people Plaintiffs also give the
Court here to understand and be informed that the said
action in which the People recovered Judgment as aforesaid
was commenced on the sixth day of July A.D. 1851 and
that the said action was brought and commenced upon an

for a certain Breach of the said Condition of said Bond by
the said Defendants before the commencement of the suit
aforeaid.

But the people of the State of Illinois upon the relation
and for the use of Daniel T. Alney for further and other
Breach of the aforesaid Condition of said Bond according to
the Statute in such case made and provided give the said
Court to understand and be informed that heretofore to wit
on the seventh day of February A.D. 1856 at the North door
of the Court house in the City of Chicago in said County,
James Andrew, then Sheriff of said County by virtue of a
certain Writ of Execution to him as such Sheriff directed from
the Circuit Court of Cook County in favor of William H. Cuday
and against Matthew Saffin, Benjamin Wilder and George A.
Springer defendants for Three hundred and thirteen dollars
and ninety eight cents damages and costs of suit dated the
first day of November A.D. 1855 etc. etc. (the time and place
aforeaid having been duly advertised according to Law)
at public Auction. All the right title and interest of
Matthew Saffin in and to that certain piece of land known
and described as Lot two (2) in Block Seven (7) in Fort
Dearborn Addition to Chicago to Mark T. Green for the sum
of Three hundred thirty nine dollars and ninety cents (\$339.90)
and thereupon issued his Certificate of such sale to said
Mark T. Green and filed a Duplicate thereof in the Office of
the Recorder of said County or by said Certificate now on
file therein, will more fully and at large appear And
that afterwards and on the first day of September 1856 the

Paid Mark T. Green sold and assigned his said Certificate
of paid Sale to said Daniel T. Oliver by writing endorsed
on a Copy thereof under his hand and seal And that after
the death of said James Andrew who departed this life
on the 1st day of March A.D. 1856 after said Sale, the
said Matthew Saflin to redeem said Land from said
Sale paid into the hands of said James S. Beach so
then being Coroner and Ex Officio Sheriff of said County of
Cook as aforesaid the sum of Three hundred Sixty three
dollars and fifty two cents, which said sum of money
the said Beach then and there accepted and received as
such Coroner and acting Sheriff as aforesaid for the use
of said Green the purchaser at said Sale and his assigns
and therupon made and granted to the said Saflin
a Certificate of such redemption under his hand, in the
words and figures following, to wit.

"State of Illinois
County of Cook ..

I James S. Beach Coroner and acting
Sheriff of Cook County Do hereby certify that by virtue of
a certain Writ of Execution from the Circuit Court of Cook
County in favor of William H. Adams and against
Matthew Saflin Benjamin Wilder and George C. Spring,
dated the first day of November Eighteen hundred & fifty
five on the seventh day of February Eighteen hundred
and fifty six James Andrew then Sheriff of Cook County
did sell lot two (2) in Block Seven (7) in Fort Dearborn
Addition to Chicago to Mark T. Green for the sum of

Three hundred thirty nine dollars and thirty cents, and
on this 17th day of October A.D. 1856 Matthew Laflin has
paid to me, as such Coroner and acting Sheriff of said
County the sum of Three hundred sixty three dollars &
fifty two cents for the benefit of said purchaser, on the
purchase of said Lot together with interest thereon at the
rate of Ten per Centum from the time of Sale."

"James S. Beach, Coroner
Ex officio acting Sheriff
Cook Co."

As by said Certificate now on file in said Recorder's
Office, will more fully appear.

And that afterwards and after the said assignment
to him the said Daniel J. Gray of said Certificate of
Sale, to wit, on the first day of January A.D. 1857 at
Chicago aforesaid the said Daniel J. Gray demanded of
and from the said James S. Beach the said sum of
money he had to him as aforesaid Yet the said Beach did
not then or at any time since ever have any of the said
Defendants then or at any time since paid the said Gray
aforesaid or the said Gray the said sum of
money, or any part thereof, but to say the same have
hitherto wholly refused, of all which premises the said
Defendants afterwards and before the making of this paper
to wit on the first day of September 1858 at Chicago aforesaid
had notice to the damage of said Gray five hundred
dollars. By means whereof the condition of said Bond

hath become broken and the penalty thereof forfeited, which
said breach of the said Condition of the said writing obliging
so herein affixed the said Plaintiffs do aver and give the
Court here to understand and be informed was a further
and other breach than the breaches by reason whereof they
obtained the said judgment so recovered by them and
aforeaid.

And herewith the people for the use of said
Daniel J. Oley according to the form of the Statute in such
case made and provided pray that upon the said judgment,
so obtained as aforesaid a Writ may be issued to the Sheriff
of said County suggesting the said further and other
breach of the said Condition of the said Bill or Writing
Obligatory hereinbefore affixed and commanding the said
Sheriff (the said Defendants or their Attorneys having due
Notice of the time and place of Executing such Writ) that
by the Oath of twelve good and lawful Men of the County
to inquire what damages the People of the State of Illinois
for use of the said Daniel J. Oley hath sustained by
reason of the further and other breach of the Condition of
said Writing Obligatory, returnable as the Court shall
direct.

C. M. Hawley
Atto City
(Security for costs)

The People of the State of Illinois
who sued & are who are for
the use of Daniel T. Gray . . .

In the Cook County
Court of Common Pleas

James S. Beach & Cyrus P. Allen
represented with Carlton Drake,
Joseph H. Gray & Martin C. Walker

Suggestion of
further Branch.

I do hereby enter myself
severally for Costs in this cause and acknowledge myself
bound to pay or cause to be paid all Costs that may
accrue herein either to the opposite party or to any of
the Officers of the Court under the laws of this State.

Sept: 16: 1858.

H. J. Burgess.

And therafter to wit on the twentieth day of
September A. D. eighteen hundred and fifty eight there
was filed in the Office of the Clerk of said Court, a certain
Notice to said Defendants, which said Notice, with the
proof of Service thereon endorsed is in the words and
figures following, to wit.

The People of the State of Illinois In the Cook County
who sued & } Court of Common Pleas

James S. Beach & Cyrus P. Allen with
Carlton Drake, Joseph H. Gray and
Martin C. Walker } Suggestion of further
Branch of Office
Bind.

To said Defendants

You are hereby notified that a suggestion for the use

and on behalf of Daniel T. Oliver, of further breach of the
Official Bond of said Beach as coroner of said County
upon which Bond judgment has been entered at the
September Term of said Court A.D. 1857 has this day
been filed and that I shall apply on the 20th instant at the
opening of the Court in the morning for a Trial of
Inquiry to assess the damages under the Statute in that
behalf. - Dated September 16: 1858.

Yours &c

C. W. Hawley.

P. W. Atty.

"State of Illinois
County of Cook

Moses Hallett being duly sworn says
that he did on the Sixteenth day of September 1858 serve
a true copy of the within Notice upon Louis P. Oliver
and also upon the 17th instant 1858 a copy thereof upon
James S. Beach, by delivering the same to them in
person.

Subscribed & sworn to before Moses Hallett.
on this 20th Sept 1858 . . .

C. W. Hawley

Notary Public

And afterwards to wit on the twenty fifth day of
September being one of the days of the regular September
Term of said Court A.D. Eighteen hundred and fifty
Eight, the following proceedings were had in said court

and entered of record in said Court, to wit:

"The People of the State of
Illinois who sue for the use
of Daniel T. Almy

(P)

Debt in Bond.

James S. Beach & Cyrus P. Allen
implied with Carlton Drake,
Joseph H. Gray & Martin Walker

And now on this day comes
the said People who now sue for the use of Daniel T.
Almy, by C. M. Hawley his Attorney, and it appearing
to the Court from the Suggestions heretofore filed herein
by said Plaintiff that further and other breaches of the
Condition of the said Bond or Writing Obligatory of the
said James S. Beach former and Ex Officio acting Sheriff
have occurred, it is therefore Ordered that a Writ of Inquiry
issue to the Sheriff of Cook County returnable tenth of October
next, suggesting the said further and other breaches of the
said Condition of the said Bond or writing obligatory tenth
next aforesaid, commanding him, the said Defendants, or their
Attorneys, having notice of the time and place of Executing
said Writ, that by the Oath of twelve good and lawfule men
of his County, he inquire what damage the People of the
State of Illinois for the use of the said Daniel T. Almy
hath sustained by reason of the further and other breaches
of the Condition of said writing obligatory complaint of.

And thereafter to wit on the twenty fifth day of September A. D. Eighteen hundred and fifty eight, in pursuance of the said Order of said Court, there accordingly issued out of the Office and under the Seal of said Court a Writ of Inquiry directed as in said Order mentioned, which said Writ of Inquiry, with notice thereof and the Sheriffs return thereto, duly filed in the office of the Clerk of said Court on the twenty sixth day of October in the year last aforesaid, is in the words & figures following, to wit.

"State of Illinois
County of Cook J. S.

The People of the State of Illinois
To the Sheriff of said County, Greeting

Whereas the People of the State of Illinois who sued for the use of Daniel, P. Olney heretofore to wit in the September Term in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty seven in the Cook County Court of Common Pleas before the Judge thereof at the Court house in the City of Chicago by Writ and by the Judgment of the said Court recovered against James, S. Beach & Cyrus, P. Albee unpleaded with Carlton Drake, Joseph H. Gray and Martin O. Walker a certain Debt of Ten Thousand dollars and also their Costs of suit whereof the said Beach and Albee unpleaded were convicted as by the record and proceedings thereof remaining in the said Court before the Judge thereof manifestly

appears. And afterwards to wit on the 16th day of September
in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
fifty eight the said People, Plaintiffs for the use and
upon the relation of Daniel T. Olney by C. W. Hawley his
Attorney came into the said Court before the Judge there
at Chicago aforesaid and according to the form of the
Statute in such case made and provided gave the said
Court here to understand and be informed that the said
Debt so by the People of the State of Illinois recovered
as aforesaid was and is a certain penal sum of Ten
thousand Dollars mentioned in a Certain Bond or writing
obligatory, sealed with the Seal of the said Beach, Albas
Drake, Gray and Walker, & bearing date the third day of
March A.D. one thousand eight hundred and fifty six
which said writing obligation was and is subject to a
certain Condition thereunder written as follows, to wit;

"Now the Condition of the above obligation is such that
whereas the above bounden James S. Beach Coroner in &
for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, has by the
desire of James Andrew late Sheriff of said Cook County,
succeeded to the rights duties office &c of Sheriff of said County
by virtue of his said office of Coroner. Now if the said Jas.
S. Beach shall faithfully and duly perform and discharge
all the duties required or to be required of him by law as
acting Sheriff of said County of Cook, then the above obligation
to be void, otherwise to be and remain in full force & effect."

And the said People Plaintiffs also gave the said
Court here to understand and be informed that the said

action in which the People recovered judgment as aforesaid was commenced on the sixth day of July A.D. 1857 and that the said action was brought and commenced upon and for a certain Breach of the said Condition of said Bond by the said Defendants before the commencement of the suit aforesaid.

But the People of the State of Illinois upon the relation and for the use of Daniel J. Olney for further & other breach of the aforesaid Condition of said Bond according to the Statute in such case made and provided give the said Court to understand and be informed that theretofore to wit on the seventh day of February A.D. 1856 at the North door of the Courthouse in the City of Chicago in said County, James Andrew then Sheriff of said County by virtue of a certain Writ of Execution to him as such Sheriff directed from the Circuit Court of Cook County in favor of William H. Adams Plaintiff and Matthew Laffin, Benjamin Wilder & George A. Springer, defendants for three hundred thirteen dollars and ninety eight cents damages and costs of suit dated the first day of November A.D. 1855 did sell (the time and place aforesaid having been duly advertized according to law) at public Auction, all the right title and interest of Matthew Laffin in and to that certain piece of Land known and described as Lot two (2) in Block Seven (7) in First Dearborn Addition to Chicago, to Mark J. Gran for the sum of three hundred thirty nine dollars and ninety cents (\$339.90) and thereupon issued his Certificate of such sale to said Mark J. Gran and filed a Duplicate

thereof in the Office of the Recorder of said County as by said
Certificates now on file therein will more fully and at large
appear.

Know that afterwards and on the first day of September
1856 the said Mark T. Green sold and assigned his said
Certificate of said Sale to said Daniel T. Tracy by writing
Endorsed on a Copy thereof, under his hand and Seal, and
that after the death of said James Andrew, who departed
this life on the first day of March A.D. 1856 after said
Sale, the said Matthew Laffin to redeem said Lands from
said Sale paid into the hands of the said James S. Beach
so then being Coroner and Ex Officio acting Sheriff of said
County of Cook as aforesaid the sum of Three hundred Sixty
Three dollars and fifty two cents, which said sum of money
the said Beach then and there accepted and received as such
Coroner and acting Sheriff as aforesaid for the use of said
Green the purchaser at said Sale and his assigns - and
thereupon made and granted to the said Laffin a Certificate
of such redemption under his hand in the words & figures
following, to wit:

State of Illinois }
County of Cook }

I, James S. Beach Coroner and acting Sheriff
of Cook County do hereby Certify That by virtue of a certain
Writ of Execution from the Circuit Court of Cook County in
favor of William H. Adams and against Matthew Laffin
Benjamin Wilder and George A. Springer dated the first
day of November Eighteen hundred and fifty five, on the

Seventh day of February Eighteen hundred and fifty six
James Andrew then Sheriff of Cook County did sell lot two
(2) in Block Seven (7) in Fort Dearborn Addition to Chicago
to Mark T. Green for the sum of Three hundred thirty nine
dollars and ninety cents And on this 1st day of October
A.D. 1856 Matthew Saflin has paid to me as such coroner
and acting Sheriff of said County, the sum of Three hundred
sixty three dollars and fifty two cents for the benefit of
said purchaser on the purchase of said lot together with
the interest thereon at the rate of ten per centum from the
time of Sale."

"James S. Beach, Coroner.

"Ex Officio acting Sheriff

Cook County "

To my said Certificate now on file in said Recorder's
Office will more fully appear

And that afterwards, and after the said assignment
to him the said Daniel T. Olney of said Certificate of Sale
to wit on the first day of January A.D. 1857 at Chicago
aforesaid the said Daniel T. Olney demanded of them
the said James S. Beach the said sum of money so
paid to him as aforesaid Yet the said Beach did not then
or at any time since, nor have any of the said Defendants
then or at any time since paid the said Olney a sum as
aforesaid or the said Green the said sum of money or
any part thereof, but to pay the same have hitherto wholly
refused, of all which premises the said defendants, afterwards
and before the making of this suggestion to wit on the first

day of September 1858 at Chicago aforesaid had notice, to the
damage of said Olney, five hundred dollars. By means
whereof the Condition of said Bond hath become broken
and the penalty thereof forfeited, which said breach of the
said Condition of the said writing obligatorily so herein aforesaid
the said Plaintiff did aver and give the Court here to
understand and be informed was a further and other breach
than the breaches by reason whereof they obtained the said
Judgment so recovered by them as aforesaid And thereupon
the People for use of said Daniel T. Olney according to the
form of the Statute in such case made and provided having
prayed that upon the said judgment be obtained as aforesaid
a Writ may be issued to the Sheriff of said County suggesting
the said further and other breaches of the said condition
of the said Bond or writing obligatorily hereinbefore aforesaid
and commanding the said Sheriff (the said Defendants or
their Attorneys, having due notice of the time and place
of executing said Writ) that by the oath of twelve good and
lawful men of the County he inquire what damages the
People of the State of Illinois for the use of the said Daniel
T. Olney hath sustained by reason of the further and
other breach of the Condition of said writing obligatorily
returnable as the Court shall direct and we being willing
that Justice should be done. Therefore according to the
form of the Statute in such case made and provided the
said defendants are hereby charged for being first noticed according
to the Statute We command you that by the Oath of twelve
good and lawful men of your County which you inquire

what damages the People of the State of Illinois for the
use of said Daniel T. Oliver hath sustained by occasion
of the premises aforesaid and that you proceed to the said
Court before the Judge thereof on the third day of
November next if the said Court shall then be in session
if not, then on the first day of the next term thereof the
inquisition you shall thereupon take under your seal and
the seals of those, by whose oath you shall take that
inquisition together with this Writ.

Philip Fletcher Kimball Clerk of our said
Court and the Seal thereof at Chicago in
said County, this 25th day of September
A.D. 1858.



Philip Fletcher Kimball
Clerk

(Copy Noted)

The People of the State of Illinois In the Cook County
for use of Daniel T. Oliver Courts of Common Pleas

James S. Beach, Cyrus P. Allard } Suggestion & C
unpledged }
To said Defendants,

The Plaintiff will proceed before John L. Wilson
Sheriff of Cook County at his office in Chicago on the 26th
day of October instant at 10 o'clock A.M. of that day to
afford by a Jury of inquest the damages under the
suggestion in this cause. Dated October 15th 1858
Yours &c C. M. Hawley New York.

State of Illinois
County of Cook

Moses Hallett being duly sworn says that he did on the 15th day of October A.D. 1858 serve a Notice of which the above is a true Copy upon James S. Beach and also that he did on the 16th day of October A.D. 1858 serve another and similar Notice of which the above is a true Copy upon Cyrus P. Gilber by delivering the same to them respectively.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 26th day of October A.D. 1858 . . .

J. R. Kimball,

Clark

(Copy Inquisition)

State of Illinois
County of Cook

An inquisition taken at the Sheriff's office in Chicago in the said County on the 26th day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty eight before John L. Helm Sheriff of the County affresed by virtue of a Writ of the People of the State of Illinois to the said Sheriff directed and to this inquisition annexed to inquire of certain matters in the said Writ contained and specified by the Oaths of Thomas Maddy, M. J. Miles, C. G. Conley, William Silverton, Horace Lewis, James Pihers, D. J. Moore

P. A. Barker, L. W. Church, O. S. Fay, R. H. Armstrong
J. N. Hustis, twelve good and lawful Men of the County
aforesaid who being sworn and charged upon their oaths
say that the said Plaintiff for the person aforesaid hath
sustained damage by occasion of the breaches suggested
as specified in said Trial of inquiry the sum of Three
hundred, Eighty nine dollars and Ninety two cents
(\$389.92).

Before swearing and summing the Jury.
The Defendants appeared by Blackwell Cummings their
attorneys and made the exceptions to these proceedings
which signed by them are hereto attached.

In witness whereof as well of the said Sheriff as the
said Jurors have set our hands and seals to this
inquisition the day and year above written.

(Signed)	Thomas Maddy	(Seal)
	O. S. Fay	(Seal)
	Hiram Lewis	(Seal)
	Daniel P. Ward	(Seal)
	C. G. Buckley	(Seal)
	Mrs. Melvinton	(Seal)
	L. W. Church	(Seal)
	James J. Tracy	(Seal)
	P. A. Barker	(Seal)
	R. H. Armstrong	(Seal)
	J. N. Hustis	(Seal)
	M. J. Miles	(Seal)
	John L. Wilson, Sheriff	(Seal)
(32)	By Geo. D. Snow Deputy	(Seal)

In the Cook County
Court of Common Pleas.

The People of the State of Illinois October 26: 1858.
for the use of Daniel P. Oney Assessment of Damages
(to) on Writ of Inquiry

James S. Beach Cyrus P. Allbee Before the Sheriff
unpledged

Before the Jury were empanelled to award
the defendants objected to any further proceedings in this
cause before the Sheriff on the ground that the Sheriff has
no jurisdiction to assess the damages in part caused upon
the Writ of Inquiry issued therein.

Second - The assessment of damages by the Sheriff
being a judicial act, and the Sheriff being absent, his
Deputy, Ira Snow, before whom the assessment in this cause
is now to be taken, has no jurisdiction to perform such
judicial act,

Third - The defts also object to any further proceeding
in this cause before the Sheriff or his Deputy on the ground
that no notice was given the defts of the application for
or issuing the Writ of Inquiry to assess the damages herein.

Blackwell & Cumming

Atts for Dfts.

Objections overruled by Ira Snow, deputy Sheriff, to
which claim the defts duly excepted

Dfts further object to the several items of evidence
given before the Jury before the same was given

Objections overruled by Deputy Sheriff as above and
Defendants duly excepted.

Defendants also object to the Jury assessing the damages
in this cause for want of sufficient proof to authorize
the same.

Blackwell & Cunningham
Atts for Dfts.

The proof offered and given being as follows.

P. Waite, being sworn says I am Deputy recorder,
This is the Certificate Record Book kept in my office
Book is No 2.

Certificate of Sale by former Sheriff, recorded
in Book No 2, of Certificates page 67 ^{recorded} in Evidence.

Objected to by Defendants.

Assignment of Certificate read in evidence after
the Execution thereof was duly proved.

Objected to by Defendants.

Moore Waite, Sworn. In middle of June 1858 I
called on Dft Beach for the money named in the
Certificate of Sale.

Objected to by Defendants.

Date of redemption Oct 1st 1856.

Amount £363, 59

Blackwell & Cunningham
Atts for Dfts.

And thereafter to wit on the twelfth day of November
A. D. Eighteen hundred and fifty eight the said D. T.
Olney by his attorney filed in the Office of the Clerk of said
Court a process for scire facias, which thereupon issued
which said process and Writ of Scire facias, with the Sheriff
return thereto, is in the words and figures following, being
"People of

as } Beach et al. } Iffuo Sci fac to make N. C. Walker, Carlton
Drake and Joseph H. Gray, parties to the judgment in
this cause.

Ag. M. Hawley
for D. T. Olney:

"State of Illinois
County of Cook } The People of the State of Illinois
To the Sheriff of said County Greeting
Whereas at the Term of the Cook County Court of
Common Pleas held in and for the County of Cook and State
of Illinois to wit on the 16th day of September A. D. 1857
before the said Court then judicially sitting at the Court
House, in the City of Chicago in said County, the People
of the State of Illinois for the use of plaintiffs by the
judgment and consideration of our said Court, recovered a
judgment in a certain sum of Debt then pending in said
Court against James S. Beach and Cyrus P. Allbee who
was impleaded with Martin C. Walker, Carlton Drake and
Joseph H. Gray, for the sum of Two thousand dollars.

Debt and the Costs of Suit; which paid judgment still
remains in full force and unsatisfied as to the said Beach
and Aller, Due wherev^es at the time of the rendition of
the said Defendants judgment the said Defendants Walter
& Drake and Gray had not been found, as appears by the
return of the Sheriff of Cook County, Executed upon the process
issued against the said Defendants therein, so that judgment
could not be entered against them, together with the said
Beach and Aller & Gray for the said damages & Costs.

We do therefore hereby command you, that you summon
the said Martin O'Walter Carlton Drake and Joseph H.
Gray, if they shall be found in your County, personally to
be and appear before the said Cook County Court of
Common Pleas, in the first day of the next term thereof,
to be held at the Court House in the City of Chicago,
in said County on the fifth Monday of November instant
there and there to show cause if any he have or can show,
why he should not be made a party to said judgment
and further to do and receive what shall then theretofore
be adjudged by our said Court in the premises.

And have you then and there this First, with your
return thereon in what manner you shall have
executed the same.

Witness Walter Kimball, Clerk of our said Court,
and the Seal thereof at Chicago in said
County, this 19th day of November A.D. 1855.

Walter Kimball - Clerk

Seal.

Entered " Served by reading to the within named
Defendants Martin C. Walker and Joseph H. Gray the
other defendant not found in my County this 16th day
of November 1858.

John S. Wilson, Sheriff

By George Anderson Deputy.

And afterwards to wit on the twenty ninth day of
November being one of the days of the November Session
term of said Court A.D. Eighteen hundred & fifty eight
the following proceedings were had in said cause and
entered of record in said Court to wit.

"The People of the State of Illinois
who sued for the use of John Copeland

(u)

James S. Beach, Carlton Drake,
Martin C. Walker, Joseph H. Gray
& Cyrus P. Allée

And now comes the said Plaintiff

by its Attorney aforesaid and the said Defendant Martin
C. Walker by Blackwell its Attorney, as well the said
Defendant Joseph H. Gray by Hromer his Attorney also
comes, and on Motion of Defendants' Attorney and by
Consent of Plaintiff's Attorney, it is Ordered that ten days
hence be allowed said Defendants to file on their facias
if we're herein.

And there after to wit on the first day of December A.D. Eighteen hundred and fifty eight the said Defendants accordingly filed in the Office of the Clerk of said Court their Pleas to said Writ of Scire facias; which said Pleas are in the words and figures following, that is to say.

"The People of the State of Illinois In the Cook County
for the use of ye Court of Common Pleas

(2) Of the Term of November
Carlton Drake, Joseph H. Gray and A.D. 1858 - Dethn
Martin G. Walker unpleaded with Sheriff's Bond. Sei fa
James S. Beach & Cyrus P. Atlee Stomake parties to a
former Judgment.

1. And now come the said Martin G. Walker (the
said Carlton Drake not being present with process) by
Blackwell Cumming his Attorney and defend the wrong
and injury whereof he and pay that the said writing
obligating in the said Original Declaration, and in the
said Writ of Scire facias mentioned is not his Due, and
thus they pray may be Enquired of by the County of
Blackwell Cumming -

2. And the said Defendants for further Plea in
this behalf by leave of the Court here first had obtained
ye say Plaintiff's Action because they say
that on the Tuesday next after the first Monday of
November 1851, at an Election then held at and within
the County of Cook aforesaid one James Andrew was

by the legal qualified voters of said County duly Elected Sheriff of the said County for the term of two years or until his successor should be elected and qualified And the said Defendants further aver that the said James Andrew is Elected Sheriff as aforesaid, afterwards that is to say on the 20th day of November 1851 was duly commissioned and qualified as such Sheriff then the said Defendants further aver that the said James Andrew under and by virtue of the Election commission and qualification aforesaid assumed to act as such Sheriff, and did act as such Sheriff from the said date of his qualification aforesaid until the 27th day of February A.D. 1856, where the said James Andrew died, leaving the said Office of Sheriff within and for the said County Vacant. And the said Defendants further aver that on the said Tuesday next after the first Monday of November 1856 at the said Election aforesaid the said James S. Beach was duly and legally Elected Coroner within and for the County of Cook aforesaid, and was afterwards, that is to say, on the 20th day of November 1856 duly commissioned and qualified as such Coroner, and afterwards to wit on the said 20th day of November 1856, the said James S. Beach executed and delivered to the said Plaintiff an official Bond as such Coroner in the words and figures following, that is to say.

I Know all Men by these Presents, That we James S. Beach, Theodore Doty, A. R. Fifer, P. C. Thatcher, &c. Glynn are here and firmly bound unto the People of

The State of Illinois in the sum of \$2000. lawful
Money of the United States, for the payment of which
sum well and truly to be made we bind ourselves
our heirs executors administrators jointly and severally
firmly by these presents. Sealed with our seals and
dated this 18th day of November A.D. 1854.

The Condition of the above obligation is such that
whereas the said James S. Beach has been duly elected
to the Office of Coroner of Cook County in the State of
Illinois. Now if the said James S. Beach shall faithfully
and truly discharge and perform all the duties
required or to be required of him by law as such
Coroner of said County of Cook, then the above obligation
to be void, otherwise to lie and remain in full force
and effect.

Approved in open Court this James S. Beach *(Seal)*
20th day of November A.D. 1854 *(Signature)* Theodore Doty *(Seal)*

B. S. Morris *(Signature)* A. Reposter *(Seal)*

Judge of Circuit, Ills D.C. Thatcher *(Seal)*
A. Clybourne *(Signature)* *(Seal)*

Filed November 20th 1854.

Which said Bond was duly approved by the Judge
of the Circuit Court, within and for said County, and
duly filed and entered of record in the said Circuit
Court according to the form of the Statute in such case
made and provided; which said Official Bond is still
in full force and effect; And the said Defendants
further aver that after the death of the said James
(Signature)

James Andrew Sheriff as aforesaid, to wit, on the said
24th day of February 1857 Charles B. Farmer Clerk of
the said County of Cook Notified the Governor of the
said State of Illinois of the death of the said James
Andrew in due form of law; And the said defendants
further aver that the said Governor failed & neglected
to issue a Writ of Election to supply the vacancy aforesaid
in the said Office of Sheriff in the said County of Cook
according to the form of the Statute in such case
made and provided; And the said James S. Beach
Coroner as aforesaid assumed to execute and continued
to execute the duties of the said office of Sheriff by virtue
of his said Office of Coroner from the said day of the
death of the said James Andrew Sheriff Elect as aforesaid
until John S. Wilson the Successor of the said James
Andrew Sheriff Elect as aforesaid, was duly Elected,
Commissioned, qualified and entered upon the duties of
his Office as Sheriff of said County, to wit, until the

day of November C. D. 1856. That the
said defendants further aver that afterwards, that is to
say on the 25th day of March 1856 at and within the
County of Cook aforesaid, the said James S. Beach
Carlton Drake, Joseph H. Gray, Martin C. Walker and
Cyrus P. Allis made and executed the Bond in the
said Original Declaration and Writ of Seizure aforesaid
mentioned, and afterwards to wit on the day and year
last aforesaid, delivered the same to the said Plaintiff
And that the said defendants are ready to verify the

Wherefore they pray judgment &c
Blackwell & Cumming.

And the said Defendant for further Plea in this
behalf say Plaintiff, Actio Non, because they say, that
at the time of the issuing and delivery of the Execution
in the said Original Declaration and Writ of said
service mentioned James Andrew the Sheriff of said
County of Cook was dead and the said Office of Sheriff
vacant, that the Clerk of said County of Cook duly
notified the Governor of the said State of Illinois of said
vacancy in said Office of Sheriff, without and for said
County by reason of the death of the said incumbent,
and the said Governor wholly neglected and refused
to supply said Vacancy by ordering an Election of
a successor to the said James Andrew the deceased
Sheriff aforesaid And the said defendants aver that
at the said time when the said Writ of Execution was
issued and delivered to the said James S. Beach the
Coroner of the said County as aforesaid the said John
Copeland for whose use this suit is brought, and who
was the Plaintiff in said Execution wholly failed to
make and file in said Court any Affidavit of the
death of the said James Andrew, nor did the death of
the said James Andrew appear of record or upon the
file of the said Recorders Court in any manner or
form whatsoever And this the said defendants are

ready to verify.

Wherefore they pray judgment for
Blackwell & Cumming

11. And the said Defendants for a further Plea in this
behalf say, Plaintiff actio non, because they say,
that the said Fayette S. Buckley was not at the time
of the issuing and delivery of the said Writ of Execution
in the Original Declaration and Saire facias mentioned
to him the said Buckley a deputy of him the said
James S. Beach Coroner and acting Sheriff as aforesaid
and that the said Defendants are ready to verify &c.
Wherefore they pray judgment for
Blackwell & Cumming.

And thereafter to wit on the first day of December
A D. Eighteen hundred and fifty eight the said
Plaintiff filed in the Office of the Clerk of said Court
its Pleadings, Demurrer and Replication to said Pleas,
in the words and figures following that is to say.

"In the Cook County Court
of Common Pleas

The People of the State of Illinois, Of their Special
(w) Form 1858

Carlton Drake, Joseph H. Gray & Martin G. Walker wife &c . . . party to

And the said plaintiffs as to the said Plea

of the said Factor by him firsty above pleaded, and
whereof he hath put himself upon the Country, doth
the like &c

And as to the said Plea by Walker
secondly above pleaded, they say that the same and
the matters and things therein contained are not
sufficient in law to bar or preclude them &c and
they demur thereto, Wherefore for the insufficiency
of said Plea they pray judgment &c

And as to the said Plea by said Walker
thirdly and fourthly above pleaded they say that
the same and each of them and the matters & things
therein alleged & contained are not sufficient to bar
or preclude them &c and they demur thereto?
Wherefore for the insufficiency of said Pleas and
each of them, they pray judgment &c

And thereafter to wit on the sixth day of
December A. D. Eighteen hundred and fifty eight the
said Defendant Gray by Hooper his Attorney filed in
the Office of the Clerk of said Court his Demurrer to
said Declaration; Which said General Demurrer is in
the words and figures following, that is to say.

* People vs. for us of } Brook County Court of
John Copeland . . . } Common Pleas

(us) { November Special Term
Joseph H. Gray et al on } A. D. 1858.

Sic sae unplea to (44)

And the said Defendant Joseph H. Gray by
Hoover his Attorneys comes and defends the wrong and
injury where ~~to~~ and says that the said Declaration
and the matters therein contained are not sufficient
in law for the said Plaintiff to have or maintain his
aforesaid action hereof against the said Defendant and
he is not bound by law to answer the same And this
he is ready to verify Wherefore he prays judgment ~~for~~
and the said Defendant states and shows the following
Causes of demurrer vizt

1st Said declaration contradicts itself, it shows that a
Notice was given on Beach in Feby 1857 to return
Ex: yet an Order was made in the Recorders Court
at Novr Term 1856. of final judgment entered against
Beach at the Feby Term 1857

2nd The Declaration shows that the Plaintiff Copeland
Elected to pursue Beach under the Statute for the Debt
and Penalty and thereby discharged Beach' Sureties.

3rd The Declaration seeks to charge Beach's Sureties for
a Penalty as well as the Debt, which cannot be legally
done.

4th The Declaration although it sets out a Bond is really
upon a Judgment against Beach obtained in Recorders
Court to which this defendant was no party.

5th For that the said Declaration is in other respects
defective, illegal and insufficient.

Hoover & Park

And thereafter to wit on the twenty fourth day of January
(being one of the days of the January Session of said
Court) A. D. eighteen hundred and fifty nine, the following
among other proceedings were had and entered of Record in
said Court, to wit,

The People of the State of Illinois
vs. Daniel T. Ulrey . . .

On { Scio facias &c.
James S. Beach, Carlton Drake, Martin
O. Walker, Joseph H. Gray, Hyatt, P. Allard

And now at this day come the People
by Hawley their Attorney and the Defendants Beach, Gray, Walker
& Allard by their respective Attorneys also come. And the Motion
of the defendants Allard and Beach to suppress the Spirit of Inquiry
issued and returned in this cause, cause on to be heard and was
sustained by the Court. (the said last named defendants
submitting the assessment of damages under the suggestion had
on behalf of said Ulrey to the Court, without further notice of the
time and place of taking the same).

And now comes on to be heard the Demurrer of the
Defendant Gray to the Declaration and the Court being of the
opinion that said Declaration is sufficient in law, overrules
said demurrer and the said Defendant Gray excepts thereto and
stands by his demurrer, and being solemnly called comes not
over when he may ought such judgment should not be rendered
against him.

And the said demurrer of the said Ulrey to the De-

3rd and 11th Pleas of the Defendant Walker came on to be heard
and the Court (pro forma without agreement) sustain the
same and are of the opinion that the said Pleas are insufficient
in law to bar or preclude said Plaintiff, and the defendant
Walker excepts thereto and stands by his Pleas and the issue
joined upon said first plea of said Defendant Walker unpleaded
came on for trial, and the trial of the same & the assessment
of the damages under the breaches aforesaid in the said suggestion
as to said Defendants Gray, Beach and Albee unpleaded to w^t
by agreement submitted to the Court, a jury h^t by the same being
sworn by the parties. And the Court being fully advised in
the premises finds said issue for the Plaintiff & that the said
Bond is the Due of the said defendants, and that the said
Walker Gray unpleaded with said Beach, Albee & Drake owe
and are indebted unto the said Plaintiff in the said sum of ten
thousand dollars in sum aforesaid recovered against said Beach
and Albee, penalty of the said Bond - and the Court assesses the
damages by reason of the further breaches suggested of the
Condition of said Bond - at the sum of Four hundred and
Seven dollars and ninety five Cents.

It is therefore Ordered and Considered by the Court
that the said Walker and Gray unpleaded & be made parties
to the judgment heretofore rendered in favor of said Plaintiff
against said Defendants Beach and Albee unpleaded &
and that the People of the State of Illinois for the uses aforesaid
do have and recover against the said Walker Gray unpleaded
with said Drake, Albee & Beach the said sum of Ten thousand

dollars their debt in sum aforesaid recovered against said Alice
and Beach unpleaded the auct their Costs of this suit to be taxed
and that execution issues herefor against said Beach, Alice
Walker and Gray unpleaded with paid Drake with an order
to make the said sum of
Interest and Costs, in satisfaction of such execution and that
said judgment stand as a security for such further breeches as
may happen of the Condition of said Bond.

That the Lots Gray, Beach, and Walker and Alice
pay an Appeal to the Supreme Court of this State and it is
granted them on filing an Appeal Bond in ten days in the
sum of Six hundred dollars conditioned as the law directs
to be affirmed by the Court and twenty days to prepare
and file Bill of Exceptions.

State of Illinois
Cook County

I Walter Kimball Clerk of the Cook County Court
of Common Pleas within and for the County and State aforesaid
Do hereby Certify the foregoing to be a full true and correct
Manuscript of the papers now on file in my Office, together
with all orders entered of Record in said Court in a certain
Suit therein wherein The People of the State of Illinois for
the use of — — — and Plaintiffs and James S. Beach
unpleaded with others are defendants.

In testimony whereof I the said Walter
Kimball have hereunto set my hand and
affixed the Seal of said Court at Chicago
in said County this twelfth day of April
A. D. 1859.

Walter Kimball
 Clerk

18725-25

STATE OF ILLINOIS, SUPREME COURT,

THIRD GRAND DIVISION.

APRIL TERM, 1859.

CYRUS P. ALLBEE, *et. al.* }
vs.
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS. }

The facts are, that on the first Tuesday next after the first Monday of November, 1854, James Andrew was elected Sheriff, and James S. Beach Coroner of the County of Cook, and State of Illinois. That on the 27th of February, 1856, the said Sheriff died. The Clerk of the County Court of Cook County notified the Governor of the vacancy. The Governor neglected to issue a Writ of Election to fill said vacancy. On the March 25th, 1856, the said Beach, with Carrollton Drake, Joseph H. Gray, Martin O. Walker, and the said Cyrus P. Allbee entered into a bond which was approved by the Circuit Court of said Cook County, in the penal sum of \$10,000, and payable to the People of the State of Illinois, conditioned as follows: "That, whereas the above bounden James S. Beach, Coroner in and for the County of Cook, and State of Illinois, has by the decease of James Andrew, late Sheriff of said Cook County, succeeded to the rights, duties, office, &c., of Sheriff of Said County, by virtue of his said office of Coroner, now if the said James S. Beach shall faithfully and truly perform and discharge all the duties required or to be required of him 'by law as acting Sheriff' of said County of Cook then the above obligation to be void; otherwise to be and remain in full force and effect."

On July 6, 1857, the People of the State of Illinois, for the use of John Copeland, sued the bond aforesaid in the Common Pleas Court of Cook County aforesaid. The writ of summons was served upon the said Beach and Allbee alone. On September 16, 1858, a judgment by default was entered against the said Beach and Allbee, for \$10,000, the penalty aforesaid, and the damages were assessed in favor of the said Copeland to the amount of \$321.75. An execution issued upon said judgment for the damages aforesaid October 2d, 1857, *vs.* the said Beach & Allbee. On September 16, 1858, Daniel T. Olney suggested a further breach of said bond, and recovered, on September 25, 1858, upon an assessment, damages for such further breach to the amount of \$389.92. On November 12, 1858, a *sci. facia* was issued on the original judgment aforesaid, to make Walker, Drake and Gray parties thereto. This writ was served upon Walker and Gray. Drake not found. To this *scire facias* Gray demurred, and Walker plead in substance the facts aforesaid. The plaintiff demurred to the said several pleas. Judgment was rendered upon the demurrer, making Gray and Walker parties to the said original judgment.

Errors assigned:

1. The Court erred in rendering the original judgment aforesaid.
2. The Court erred in assessing damages in favor of the said Copeland.
3. The Court erred in assessing damages in favor of the said Olney.
4. The Court erred in making the said Gray and Walker parties to the said original judgment.

R. S. BLACKWELL, *Plffs. Attorney.*

285-216

Byron P. Albee

v

The People

Abstract

Filed May 20, 1859

L. Leland
 Clerk

In the Supreme Court
Cyrus P. Atter Stal

as

The People of the State
of Illinois

v. Wm. Cook
Com. Phon-

The vacancy occasioned
by the death of the Sheriff is filled by the
coroner until a new Sheriff is elected
either by a special election or at the
next succeeding general election -
In this case Andrews died in March
and Prach the coroner acted as Sheriff
of the county until in November
following the Governor not deeming
it advisable to call a special election
during all this time Prach was not
only coroner but also Sheriff of the
county. He could execute writs
directed to the Sheriff - In fact held
two distinct offices -

"In case of a vacancy in the office
of Sheriff or Sheriff by death etc. the
coroner shall do and perform all
the duties pertaining to the office of
Sheriff near the proper fees and
allowments and be liable to the same
penalties and proceedings as if he
were Sheriff until such vacancy
shall be filled by the election and qualifi-
cation of a new Sheriff" - S 18. c. 99. R.S.

It is to be liable to the same penalties
and proceedings That is shall do all
acts which are required to be done by the

Sheriff - The Sheriff is required to give bond in the penalty of ten thousand dollars or vacate his office - When the coroner succeeds to him he must do the same otherwise it is a refusal to qualify and the office remains vacant - It is better that it should be so than that an irresponsible person should assume to act under the new responsibilities placed upon him without giving any security whatever. His bailment for ^{his} acts as coroner, but he is now acting as Sheriff - additional duties are added for which additional security should be required - By holding this to the proper construction of the law no injury is done to any one and the public good is subserved - It cannot be supposed that it was the intention that the only security suitors in court should have for the acts of the coroner for the time he might act as Sheriff should be only the paltry sum of two thousand dollars - That bond is given in reference to such acts as casually the coroner may be required to do through the impropriety of the Sheriff - But then the whole responsibility of the office of Sheriff is thrown upon him and may be for the whole term of two years lacking one day A construction of the law should be adopted if possible to favour the security of suitors others who are compelled by law to trust to the fidelity & integrity of

public officers - These securities gain this bond with their oaths open voluntarily and it can be forcing them no injury to hold them to their obligation while the public may suffer if they are discharged.

I contend therefore that this is an official bond required by law to be given and as such the officers are under an obligation upon it -

But calling this a common law obligation - It is upon its face for the benefit of these persons it is given to the People of the State of Illinois - in their corporate capacity for the benefit of such persons as might be injured by the misfeasance malfeasance or nonfeasance of Brack while acting as Sheriff of the County filling a vacancy occasioned by the death of a former Sheriff. ~~Has~~ This bond bears to the People & its penalty payable to them and has its condition provided for the duties which Brack as Sheriff owes to the People of the State of Illinois in their collective or corporate capacity alone. The proposition made by the counsel that no Sheriff to it could have a suit instituted upon it for his benefit might hold. But that is not so upon its face it is given for the use of these persons - and can it lie in the mouth of the obligors of the bond to say we have done a unless they

true we gain our bond for the benefit of those persons who should intrust Branch with the execution of process, running to the People in their corporate capacity but inasmuch as no particular local statute can be found authorizing a suit to be brought upon it therefore we are not liable -

The objection that this suit was not instituted in the court below by the State's Attorney comes too late now. This court will presume all things necessary to sustain the regularity of the proceedings in the court below - Admits that this should be prosecuted by the State's Attorney. How does this court know but that the Attorney who appears ~~to~~ was the State's Attorney at that particular time - How does this court know even if they can judicially know that the State's Attorney was another person - but that he was intrusted or absent and so the court appointed those who did in fact act to act in his place. It is not to be presumed that the court below recognized any person as acting on behalf of the people unless they were authorized to act. And if the defendant below claimed that they had no authority to act it was their duty to call the attention of the court below to it at the outset - It is in the nature of a plea in abatement, respecting a

With remedy but not denying the right
and with all other dilatory matters should
be opposed in the first instance -

The question upon which the
counsel submits this case is not
presumably the next at all - a
stranger does not recover in this
instance. The People of the State of Illinois
are the obligees in the bond. The plain
terms of Recd and record the judgment
They sue for the use of the persons,
whose benefit the court must hold the
^{obliges} defrosts has in view when they
signed the bond to give it any efficacy
whatever of the persons for whose
use this bond was given had record
it in their own names there
might be some propriety in the claim
But the distinction between the obligee
suing for the use of persons interested
though not expressly named - and
those persons suing in their own names
strangers as they are upon the face of
the instrument & matters alimode Con-
necting them with it is most obvious -

The real point is this - The bond
may be sued on as a common law
bond that the counsel concedes - but
the States Attorney must appear for the
people - But somebody did appear
for them who styled himself plaintiff's
attorney but whether States Attorney or
not does not appear The court below
had the power to appoint some one to

Non constat but they did appoint
in any event that court recognized
an appearance for the people and
will not this court presume right
unless some thing affirmatively
appears to show that it was otherwise

The case of the appointment of
a special master is analogous -
and that of a sheriff acting under
a writ in chancery -

M. W. Brupp
for Selfs -

285 - 216

Sup. Court

Albu
vs
Proper

Opposite.

Filed May 28, 1879
L. Leland
Clark

W. W. Bishop
for the

STATE OF ILLINOIS, SUPREME COURT,

THIRD GRAND DIVISION.

APRIL TERM, 1859.

CYRUS P. ALLBEE, *et. al.* }
vs.
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS. }

The facts are, that on the first Tuesday next after the first Monday of November, 1854, James Andrew was elected Sheriff, and James S. Beach Coroner of the County of Cook, and State of Illinois. That on the 27th of February, 1856, the said Sheriff died. The Clerk of the County Court of Cook County notified the Governor of the vacancy. The Governor neglected to issue a Writ of Election to fill said vacancy. On the March 25th, 1856, the said Beach, with Carrollton Drake, Joseph H. Gray, Martin O. Walker, and the said Cyrus P. Allbee entered into a bond which was approved by the Circuit Court of said Cook County, in the penal sum of \$10,000, and payable to the People of the State of Illinois, conditioned as follows: "That, whereas the above bounden James S. Beach, Coroner in and for the County of Cook, and State of Illinois, has by the decease of James Andrew, late Sheriff of said Cook County, succeeded to the rights, duties, office, &c., of Sheriff of Said County, by virtue of his said office of Coroner, now if the said James S. Beach shall faithfully and truly perform and discharge all the duties required or to be required of him by law as acting Sheriff of said County of Cook then the above obligation to be void; otherwise to be and remain in full force and effect."

On July 6, 1857, the People of the State of Illinois, for the use of John Copeland, sued the bond aforesaid in the Common Pleas Court of Cook County aforesaid. The writ of summons was served upon the said Beach and Allbee alone. On September 16, 1858, a judgment by default was entered against the said Beach and Allbee, for \$10,000, the penalty aforesaid, and the damages were assessed in favor of the said Copeland to the amount of \$321.75. An execution issued upon said judgment for the damages aforesaid October 2d, 1857, *vs.* the said Beach & Allbee. On September 16, 1858, Daniel T. Olney suggested a further breach of said bond, and recovered, on September 25, 1858, upon an assessment, damages for such further breach to the amount of \$389.92. On November 12, 1858, a *scire facias* was issued on the original judgment aforesaid, to make Walker, Drake and Gray parties thereto. This writ was served upon Walker and Gray. Drake not found. To this *scire facias* Gray demurred, and Walker plead in substance the facts aforesaid. The plaintiff demurred to the said several pleas. Judgment was rendered upon the demurrer, making Gray and Walker parties to the said original judgment.

Errors assigned:

1. The Court erred in rendering the original judgment aforesaid.
2. The Court erred in assessing damages in favor of the said Copeland.
3. The Court erred in assessing damages in favor of the said Olney.
4. The Court erred in making the said Gray and Walker parties to the said original judgment.

R. S. BLACKWELL, *Plff's. Attorney.*

288-216

Cyrus R. Steele

v

The People

Abigail A.

Filed May 20, 1889

L. Leland
Clerk

in the People et al

v.

The People of the State of Illinois

3

in the Supreme Court
of the State of Illinois
of the third Grand Division
April Term 1839.

The only question I purpose discussing
in this cause is as to the validity of
Beach's bond - The bond is not a
statutory obligation. There is no law
of this state which authorizes the
giving of a bond by the Coroner
upon the death of the Sheriff. If
there is not the opposing Counsel
ponit to it. On the contrary
the Statute contemplates that upon
the death of the Sheriff the vacancy
shall be filled by the election of a
new Sheriff - that until such
vacancy is filled the Coroner
shall perform the duties and
receive the emoluments of the
Sheriff, and that law evidently
contemplates that the Coroner's
bond of Two Thousand Dollars
is ample security to indemnify
all persons injured either by
the malfeasance or nonfeasance

or nonfeasance or misfeasance
of the Coroner until the Clerk
of the County and Governor of the
State perform their duty by
calling an election to fill the
vacancy. (Cook's Statute, 1126
Sec 18 - 1425. Sec 15 - 199 -
802 - 471 Section 35 - 479 - no
Section top of the page - 1122 Section
1. 2. 3 & 4) This Statute Board
is not therefore upon a fair
construction of the Statute a
statutory obligation - although
the condition is a substantial
compliance with the form does
not oblige.

2dly Is this a voluntary command
obligation independent of the
Statute? I answer yes - but
what is the extent of the obli-
gation? Upon this interpretation
this cause must turn - The
Court will remember without
the citation of authority that
it is a well settled principle
of the Common Law that Courts
cannot be held beyond the

3d

the very terms of this under-taking. That nothing is to be taken by implication against them - That they have a right to stand upon the letter of their bond. That the Court will give them the benefit of even a technicality to save them from liability. This doctrine has been peculiarly illustrated by two decisions of this Court - and the Sardis discharged. The first case being that of "Young vs Mason" 3rd Gilman R. 55. in which an appeal Bond varied from the Statutory form in omitting the words "or trial" in all other respects the bond was in strict conformity with the requirements of the Statute, Mason appealed. His appeal was neither tried nor disposed but a judgment of affirmance was entered in the Circuit Court. It was insisted that an affirmance was equivalent to a disposal of the appeal, and the bond therefore forfeited.

4

It was also insisted that the condition "to prosecute the appeal with effect" was broken by the judgment of affirmance. This Court held first that the bond was not a statutory obligation because of the omission aforesaid - Secondly - that it was a common law obligation - third - that the Surety was only liable to the extent of his obligation - fourth - that the extent of that particular obligation made the Surety liable only for six cents damage.

The Second Case was that of
Sharp v Bedell 3 Gilw R 88.

which was also an action upon an appeal bond. The Statute provides that the appellant should pay "whatever judgment may be rendered by the Court upon demurrer or trial of said appeal". The Bond in question recited an appeal from the judgment

5th

In view of a petition of the
People of Hancock County to
the Circuit Court that the
Condition was in fact to prosecute
the appeal with which - and
pay whatever judgment might
be rendered by the Circuit
Court of Hancock County upon
the trial or reversal of
said appeal - The Appellant
obtained a change of venue
from Hancock to McDonough
County and in the latter
Court upon the trial of said
appeal a judgment was
rendered in favor of the
Appellee - This Court held
that the Surety upon the
Appeal bond was not liable
because the Statute form was
departed from - This decision
we rely upon as establishing
the principle that a Surety
is not liable beyond the extent
of his obligations according
to his very letter - Now let
us apply this principle to the
fact of this case - The Bond

6

The Bond in this suit is pay-
able to the People of the State
of Illinois - The People of the
State of Illinois have not been
injured by a breach of the
Condition aforesaid nor could
they be except under Cook's
Statute 644 Sec 58 and 797
Sec 2 - and other Sections which
provides for the collection of
debt due to the State through
the instrumentality of State
Attorneys. At Common Law
no Stranger to an obligation
could sue and recover upon
it - There is no provision in
our Statutes which authorizes
a Stranger to sue for a breach
of an official bond ^{except in} ~~Special Cases~~
Now the simple question
I submit to this Court is whether
or not when a Sheriff or
Coroner bond does not conform
to the Statute and there is no
general law authorizing a
recovery upon the bond in
behalf a Stranger to the obligation
Can that Stranger recover? By

7

as upon a Common Law obliga-
tion? This question I
submit without further
argument.

R. S. Blackwell
for plaintiffs in error

512725-40

2 - 216

Cpa bee etab

vs S.

The People & c

Arguement of R S
Blackwell for
Appeal from the
Cook County Court
of Common Pleas

Filed May 28. 1859

L. Leland
clerk

Referred