

State of Illinois 334

In the Supreme Court
of the State Term 1836

Grimley & Levering

vs
Joseph N. Kim.

On appeal.

And now at this day come the
said appellants by their Counsel, and say that in the
judgment of the Court below, as appears from
the record and proceedings, there is manifest
error in this

1st That the Court overruled the motion
of the appellant to dismiss the writ for want of
jurisdiction

2^d That the Court permitted the leave
from appellee to the Defendant in Execution
to be read in Evidence

3^d That the Court permitted the State-
ments of the Defendant in Execution to be proved
in Evidence

4th That the Court ~~permitted~~
decided the Distress warrant to be sufficient
and

5th That the Court gave judg-
ment for the appellee whereas by the law
of the land judgment should have been
given for the appellants.

For which said errors
and other ~~errors~~ in the judgment afore-
said, as appears from the record and pro-
ceedings aforesaid, the appellants pray that
this judgment may be annulled, reversed
and wholly held for nothing &c

Ston, Thomas & Prickett
for appellants

Verdict in error

J. Stuart atty
for Deft

Hansley & Levering
10 3/4 apt. - of Crown.
Jos. Klein

To 93

~~92~~

Filed Dec. 14, 1836
J. W. Duman