

No. 3094

Supreme Court of Illinois

John B. Hunter.

vs.

and other cases.

Nesley A. Ladd.

(379)  7

+
EVANS V. MERRIWEATHER

+
LEA V. VAIL

+
WHEELER V. GREEN

+
BILLHAM V. STATE BANK

Charles W Hunter
vs
Amos Ladd

Supreme Court
December Term 1838
Attachment

This was an action in Covenant on a promissory note commenced by attachment. Defendant moved to quash the attachment for want of a Bond. Plaintiffs filed a cross motion to amend the Bond.

Be it remembered that the Defendant came into Court and filed his ~~cross~~ motion and reasons therefor to dismiss this suit which motion and reasons are in words and figures following To Wit

Charles W Hunter
vs
Amos Ladd

Municipal Court
City of Alton October
Term 1838 Attachment

And the said Defendant moves the court to dissolve this attachment and dismiss this suit for the following reasons
1st Want of a Sufficient Bond
2nd Want of a Sufficient affidavit

James D. Wolf
Defendant

And at the same time the Plaintiff by his Attorneys moved that the said Hunter be allowed to affix a Seal or Scrow to the instrument on file as an attachment Bond and filed at the commencement of this suit which motion of the Plaintiff the court overruled and sustained the

the motion of the Defendant to dismiss the said
 Plaintiffs attachment and ordered judgment for
 the Defendant. To which opinion of the court the
 Plaintiff excepts and prayth that exceptions be signed
 and sealed and made of record

William Martin Seal
 Judge of the Municipal
 Court of the city of Alton

Chas W. Hunter

vs
 Amos Ladd

Copy
 Bill of Exceptions

Errors Point to.

Judge Moore

no Bond they ever been
 filed in this Court, therefore
 nothing to bind by.
 attachment to a an
 Denial of answer
 look at the motion
 in & the law in relation
 to attachment assigned
 yet want sufficient
 for the defendant.
 the Court had no bond
 were to answer the
 men McCallister & Co
 my A

SUPREME COURT

OF

ILLINOIS.

SECOND GRAND DIVISION.

121

3094

*Bunker
vs
Ladd*

1861