

14649

No. _____

Supreme Court of Illinois

City of Chicago

vs.

Larned et al

71641  7

STATE OF ILLINOIS,
SUPREME COURT,
THIRD GRAND DIVISION.

No.

174

J. W. Middleton & Co., Stationers, 100 Lake St.

State of Illinois. }
Third Grand Division } ss.

In Supreme Court, To April
Term, A. D. 1864.

The City of Chicago,
Plaintiff in Error,
vs.

Edwin C. Larned, D. F. W. Peck, Hugh
Maher, J. K. Botsford, Peter Page,
J. M. Wilson, E. Parsons, D. C. Thatcher,
E. Herwick, L. P. Hilliard, A. F. Dick-
inson, Joel C. Walter, S. Madhams,
R. Tripp, H. T. Mather, E. B. Williams,
A. N. Fullerton, A. Burnham, J. G.
Scammon, J. O. Walker, S. Sawyer,
B. Adams, S. Warner, N. A. Jones,
J. W. Hooker, Julia E. Harker, M.
Redmond, Trustees of First Universal-
ist Church, J. D. Jennings, S. P. Adams,
A. J. Avicill, C. J. Mawray, G. E.
Parrington, M. L. Satterlee, J. P. Brooks,
Saml. G. Howard, D. S. Smith, Estate
of D. S. Lee, W. H. Taylor, M. A. Keef,
O. S. Hough, Luther Haven, Wm. Walter,
C. Pine, F. B. Cooley, A. G. Burley,
Alexander White, E. G. Hall, W. Smith,

James M. Adsit, Rosenfield & Rosenberg,
A. D. Scranton, C. B. Hosmer, C. F. Gray,
J. H. Foster, P. Bass, D. Hefo, P. L. Sher-
man, F. D. Gray, J. A. Bassett, B. W.
Thomas, B. Brewster, E. A. Jeffell,
John W. Doane, Mrs Ayres, S. G. D.
Howard, A. Frisbie, E. Aiken, S. D.
Hard, John Fyrell, Harriet Fairlie,
S. H. Laflin, M. Laflin, L. Church,
O. Lunt, Western Marine & Fire Ins.
Co. C. D. Hamilton, Thos. R. Wood, Mrs
Turner, D. B. McMaster, R. B. Stiles,
Jas. H. Woodworth, Rich & Lester, and
Fannie Butler.

Defendants in error

Error to the Superior Court of
Chicago.

at February 1864, A. D. 1864,
Judgment in a writ for a writ
on Warrant No. 384 South, for cutting
filling and paving with Nicholson
pavement, Wabash Avenue, from
Randolph street to Fourteenth
Street.

Issue a writ of error to the
Superior Court of Chicago in the

County of Cook against The
above named defendants, at the
suit of the City of Chicago, return-
able on the Third Tuesday of April
A. D. 1864.

B. F. Ayer,
Attorney for Plaintiff
in error -

Dated at Ottawa
the 18th day of April A. D. 1864.

To the Clerk of the Supreme
Court of the Third Grand
Division.

174

Supreme Court of Illinois
Third Grand Division

The City of Chicago,
Plff. in error,

vs.

Edwin C. Larned,
Def't. in error.

Receipt.

Filed Apr. 19. 1864,
S. Ireland
Clk.

THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS.

THIRD GRAND DIVISION.

APRIL TERM, A. D. 1864.

THE CITY OF CHICAGO,

VS.

EDWIN C. LARNED, ET AL..

*Error to the Superior Court
of Chicago.*

*Filed April 20. 1864
S. Leland MR*

BRIEF OF PLAINTIFF IN ERROR

CHICAGO :

THE TIMES STEAM PRINTING HOUSE, 71 RANDOLPH STREET.

THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS.

THIRD GRAND DIVISION.

APRIL TERM, A. D. 1864.

THE CITY OF CHICAGO,	}	<i>Error to the Superior Court of Chicago.</i>
vs.		
EDWIN C. LARNED, ET AL.		

BRIEF OF PLAINTIFF IN ERROR.

This was a suit for an assessment on Warrant No. 384, South, in the city of Chicago, for curbing, filling and paving with Nicholson pavement, Wabash Avenue, from Randolph
reduced eastwardly.



35-44), some of which were sustained by the Court, and judgment was refused; to which decision of the Court the plaintiff in error then and there excepted, and sued out a writ of error.

The gravest objection made by the defendants, and the one upon which all parties interested are most anxious to have the decision of the Court, is that the provisions of the City Charter relating to assessments for the curbing, filling and paving of streets, and the mode and manner of making such assessments, are contrary to the constitution of this State.


Objections 1, 2, 3, Record p. 36.

All these provisions are contained in Chap. 7, of the Revised Charter of 1863, p. 66.

Chap. 6, of Charter p. 55, establishes and prescribes the duties of the Board of Public Works, who have the control and superintendence of such improvements.

Chap. 9, Rev. Charter, p. 92, provides for the collection of taxes and assessments.

Sec. 21, Chap. 7, Rev. Charter.



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

STATE OF ILLINOIS, COUNTY OF COOK, SS.

Plas, before the Honorable, the Judges of the Superior Court of Chicago, within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, at a regular Term of said Superior Court of Chicago, begun and holden at the Court House, in the City of Chicago, in said County and State, on the first Monday, being the First day of February in the year of our Lord One Thousand Eight Hundred and Sixty ~~four~~ eight and of the Independence of the United States of America the Eighty Eighth

Present, The Honorable John M. Wilson Chief Justice of the }
Superior Court of Chicago. }

Van H. Higgins

Joseph E. Gary

} Judges.

Joseph Kunt

Prosecuting Attorney.


David S. Hammond Sheriff of Cook County.

Attest, Thomas B. Baxter Clerk.

Be it remembered that heretofore writ: on the first day of February in the year of our Lord one Thousand Eight hundred and sixty four. came Frederick Mehnig, City Collector of the City of Chicago, and filed in the office of the Clerk of the said Superior Court of Chicago, a report of all the lands lots and parcels of land on which assessments, damages and costs were then due and unpaid on the warrant for special assessment therein described, and his application for judgment thereon, together with an affidavit of the said Mehnig, and also a Certificate

signed by the Publishers of the Corporation
newspaper of the said City of Chicago of the
due publication of notice that said warrant
had been placed in the hands of the said
City Collector for collection, and also a Certifi-
cate signed by the said Publishers of the
said Corporation Newspaper of the due
publication of notice of the said City Collector's
intended application for judgment, which
said report, affidavit and Certificates are
in the words and figures following to-wit:

State of Illinois }
City of Chicago } S.S.
County of Cook }



Superior Court of Chicago
February Term A.D. 1864

To the Honorable John M. Wilson, Van H. Higgins and Joseph E. Gary, Judges of the Superior Court of Chicago.

The Report of Frederick Mohring, City Collector of the City of Chicago, in said County of Cook, respectfully represents that a special warrant, in due form of law, issued for the collection of a special assessment duly made for the curbing, filling and paving with Nicholson pavement Wabash Avenue from Randolph Street to Fourteenth Street produced Eastwardly, and signed by the Mayor, Comptroller and City Clerk of said City of Chicago, and under the Corporate Seal of said City, which said warrant is known and designated as number 384 South and dated 30th October A.D. 1863, was delivered to him, the said City Collector, for collection, on or before the 31st day of October last past, on the 31st day of October A.D. 1863.

That forthwith after the delivery of

the said warrant to him, he gave notice by ten days' publication in the Chicago Times, the Corporation Newspaper of said City, that such warrant was in his hands for collection, briefly describing its nature, and requesting all persons interested to make immediate payment at his office, and that in default thereof, the same would be collected at the cost and expense of the persons liable for the payment of such assessment, a copy of which said notice, together with a certificate of the due publication thereof from the printer or publisher of said Corporation newspaper is herewith filed, and the same are hereby referred to for a more particular description.

That he has given notice by six days' publication thereof in the Chicago Times, the corporation newspaper of said City, of his intended application to said Court, on the first day of said February Term AD 1864, for judgment against the lands lots and parcels of land specified in said warrant, for the amount of the assessment, damages and costs respectively due thereon, the first publication thereof being on the 19th day of January AD 1864 in which said notice he briefly specified the nature of said warrant and requested all persons interested therein

to attend at said term; a copy of which said notice is herewith filed, together with a certificate of the due publication thereof from the printer or publisher of said corporation newspaper, and the same are hereby referred to for a more full and particular description.

That the annexed Schedule is a correct list of the lands, lots and parcels of land specified in said warrant, on which the assessments are not paid, and that the amounts of the assessments, damages and costs, due on the said lands, lots and parcels of land respectively, are therein correctly stated and set forth.

Wherefore your said petitioner prays that judgment may be rendered according to the form of the statute in such case made and provided.

J. M. Mearns
City Collector.


State of Illinois }
County of Cook }

I Frederick Mearns City Collector in and for the City of Chicago, do solemnly swear, that the foregoing report by me made is true, according to the best of my knowledge and belief, and the annexed

Schedule is a true and correct list of the delinquent lands, lots and parcels of land specified in the special warrant mentioned in said report, upon which I have been unable to collect the assessment as required by law, and that the amounts due upon said lands, lots and parcels of land are therein correctly set forth.

I. McKring
City Collector

Subscribed and Sworn to
before me, this 1st day of
February A.D. 1864



Thomas B. Carter, Clerk

(copy notice and certificate)

Collectors Notice

City Collector's office, Court House
Room 14 Chicago, October 31st 1863

Public Notice is hereby given that the following described Warrants have been placed in my hands for collection, to-wit:

Warrant No 383 South, dated October 30th 1863, and issued for the collection of a special assessment levied for the curbing, filling and paving with Nicholson pavement Wells street,

from Madison street to Van Buren street.

Warrant No 384 South, dated October 31st 1863 and issued for the collection of a special assessment levied for the curbing, filling and paving with Nicholson pavement Mahesh Avenue, from Randolph street to Fourteenth street produced Eastwardly.


All persons interested in said assessments are requested to make immediate payment thereof at my office. In default of such payment the said assessments will be collected at the cost and expense of the persons liable therefor.

Fredrick Mehning
City Collector.

Certificate of publication.

This certifies that the appended Collectors notice has been published in the Chicago Times, the Corporation newspaper of the City of Chicago, County of Cook, and State of Illinois, ten days consecutively, commencing with the third day of November 1863.

Chicago January 30th 1864



Stacy & Horden
Publishers

(copy notice and certificate)

State of Illinois. Cook County, ss.

City Collector's office. Court House

Room 14 Chicago, January 18th 1864

Public notice is hereby given that on the first Monday of February next, being the first day of February, 1864, at a regular term of the Superior Court of Chicago, to be begun and holden at the Court House in said City of Chicago, on said last mentioned day, I shall make report to said Court of the following special assessment warrants, placed in my hands for collection on or before the last day of October, 1863, and ask for judgment against the several lots and parcels of land or other property described in such warrants for the amount of assessments, damages and costs respectively due thereon to wit:

(*inter alia*) Warrant No 384, South, dated October 30th 1863, for curbing, filling and paving with Nicholson pavement Hobash Avenue, from Randolph street to Fourteenth street produced Eastwardly.

All persons interested are requested to attend at the aforesaid term of said Superior Court of Chicago.


Fredrick Mehring
City Collector

Certificate of Publication.

This certifies that the appended Collector's notice has been published in the Chicago Times, the Corporation Newspaper of the City of Chicago, County of Cook, and State of Illinois, six days consecutively commencing with the nineteenth day of January 1864.

Chicago January 30th 1864

Strey & Boden
Publisher



Names	Part of Lot or Land	Sub Lot	Lot	Block	Amt of Assment		Deductions		Net		Total Due		Remarks
					Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Cts	Dollars	Cts	Dollars	Cents	
Fort Dearborn Addition to Chicago													
E C Larned	N $\frac{1}{2}$		1	12	281	49	2	81	10		284	40	
Estate of Ira Couch			2	"	281	49	2	81	10		284	40	
P & W Peck			3	"	281	49	2	81	10		284	40	
S Burton			4	"	281	49	2	81	10		284	40	
H Fuller	N $\frac{1}{2}$		6	"	140	74	1	40	10		142	24	
Church			7	"	281	49	2	81	10		284	40	
"			8	"	327	86	3	27	10		331	23	
Wright Mather			1	13	281	19	2	81	10		284	10	
"			2	"	281	49	2	81	10		284	40	
"			3	"	281	19	2	81	10		284	10	
J K Batsford			4	"	233	49	2	33	10		235	92	
"	N $\frac{1}{2}$		5	"	140	74	1	40	10		142	24	

Port Dearborn Addition to Chicago

Names	Part of Lot or Land	Sub Lot	Lot	Block	Amt of Assessed		Deductions		Cost		Amt Due		Remarks
					Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Cts	Dollars	Cts	Dollars	Cents	
Dearborn Survey	S $\frac{1}{2}$		5	13	140	75	140	10	142	25			
"			6	"	306	49	306	10	309	65			
Peter Page	E 100 ft		1	14	327	36	327	10	330	73			
"	E 103 ft		2	"	286	36	286	10	289	32			
"	E 103 ft of N $\frac{1}{2}$		3	"	143	18	143	10	144	71			
J M Wilson	S $\frac{1}{2}$		"	"	143	18	143	10	144	71			
E Parsons			5	"	293	25	293	10	296	28			
"	N $\frac{1}{2}$		6	"	146	63	146	10	148	19			
M L & J S Pearce		1	7 $\frac{1}{2}$ 6	"	144	08	144	10	145	62			
"		2	"	"	138	53	138	10	140	01			
D Rutter		3	"	"	138	53	138	10	140	01			
Mark Skinner			8	15	282	14	282	10	285	06			
"			9	"	289	92	289	10	292	91			
"	S $7\frac{1}{2}$ ft		10	"	45	41	45	10	45	96			
D C Thatcher	N 40 $\frac{1}{2}$ ft		"	"	204	11	204	10	206	25			
K Tuttle			11	"	289	92	289	10	292	91			
D P Wilder			12	"	282	14	282	10	285	06			

Fractional Section 15 Addition to Chicago

E Herrick		Lot	6	243	1	612	03	612	10	618	25	
J Whitehead		1	748	"	"	196	53	196	10	198	59	
"		2	"	"	"	171	53	171	10	173	34	
E L Kimberly	N $\frac{1}{4}$		6	"	"	128	15	128	10	129	53	
Estate of P L Updike	S $\frac{1}{2}$ of N $\frac{1}{2}$		"	"	"	128	15	128	10	129	53	
J D Davis	S $\frac{1}{2}$		"	"	"	256	29	256	10	258	95	
L P Willard			7	"	"	525	51	525	10	530	86	
E H Haddock			10	"	"	423	23	423	10	427	56	

Fractional Section 15 Addition to Chicago

names	Part of Lot or Land	Sublot	Lot	Block	Acres of Sublot	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Cents	Acres Due	Dollars	Cents	Remarks
Church			1	2	410	12	4	10	10	414	32		
Sisters of Mercy			4	"	573	11	5	13	10	578	34		
A F Dickinson	N 26 ft		5	"	230	91	2	30	10	233	31		
J Walter			9	"	538	84	5	38	10	544	32		
N N Heald	N 53 ft of E 85 ft		1	3	387	23	3	87	10	391	20		
E S Hall	S 27 ft of E 85 ft		"	"	177	40	1	77	10	179	27		
	N 1/4		4	"	131	41	1	31	10	132	82		
S Madhams	S. 25 ft		"	"	164	27	1	64	10	166	01		
D Burn	35 ft N x 25 ft S. 25 ft		"	"	229	97	2	29	10	232	36		
A Smith	S 1/2		5	"	271	87	2	71	10	274	68		
S P Warner	N 1/4		8	"	151	96	1	51	10	153	39		
R Tupp	S 1/2 of N 1/2		"	"	129	08	1	29	10	130	47		
J H Kedzie	S 1/4		"	"	121	92	1	21	10	123	23		
A Kendall	N 1/2 of S 1/2		"	"	121	92	1	21	10	123	23		
H F Matter	N 30 ft of E 100 ft		9	"	184	34	1	84	10	186	28		
E B Williams			2	4	559	80	5	59	10	565	49		
"			3	"	520	81	5	20	10	526	11		
A N Fullerton	N 54 ft		6	"	355	49	3	55	10	359	14		
B W Raymond	S 26 ft		"	"	175	18	1	75	10	177	03		
H Bunkham	N 1/4		7	"	110	66	1	10	10	111	86		
J H Scammon			10	"	388	82	3	88	10	392	80		
M O Walker			3	5	510	87	5	10	10	516	07		
S Sawyer			6	"	510	85	5	10	10	516	05		
B Adams	N 3/4		7	"	383	15	3	83	10	387	08		
M O Walker	S 1/4		"	"	127	71	1	27	10	129	08		
"			10	"	524	33	5	24	10	529	67		

Fractional Section 15 Addition to Chicago

Names	Part of Lot or Land	Sub-Lot	Lot	Block	Acreage of Sub-Unit		Damaged Sub-Unit		Acreage of Sub-Unit		Remarks
					Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Cents	
J. Warner			1	6	549	87	549	10	555	46	
J. S. Raymond	S. 34 ft		4	"	217	12	217	10	219	19	
N. A. Jones	N. 23 ft		"	"	146	87	146	10	148	43	
J. M. Hooker	S $\frac{1}{2}$ of N. 46 ft		"	"	146	87	146	10	148	43	
J. B. Carter	S $\frac{1}{2}$		5	"	255	44	255	10	258	09	
O. D. Taylor			8	"	471	46	471	10	476	27	
Henry Warner	S 25 $\frac{1}{4}$ ft of E 110 ft		9	"	204	79	204	10	206	93	
E. Aiken	25 ft N. & S. 25 $\frac{1}{4}$ ft of E 110 ft		"	"	159	64	159	10	161	33	
E. Rossiter	N 25 ft of E 100 ft		"	"	147	90	147	10	149	47	
J. C. Williams			1	7	547	39	547	10	552	96	
G. I. Abbey	N $\frac{1}{2}$		4	"	213	98	213	10	216	21	
C. Haven	S $\frac{1}{2}$		"	"	254	19	254	10	256	83	
M. Redman	N 50 ft		5	"	317	75	317	10	321	02	
Orphan Asylum	S 30 ft		"	"	190	63	190	10	192	63	
"		1	8	"	254	20	254	10	256	84	
"		2	"	"	254	19	254	10	256	83	
Church	E 120 ft	1	9	"	254	21	254	10	256	85	
"		2	"	"	267	79	267	10	270	56	
C. Beers	W 90 ft & S 22 ft of N 68 ft of W 90 ft		2	8	410	45	410	10	414	65	
	W 90 ft		3	"	508	36	508	10	513	54	
J. B. Bryan	S $\frac{1}{2}$		6	"	254	17	254	10	256	81	
S. P. Adams	N. 50 ft		7	"	317	72	317	10	320	99	
A. J. Avenue	S 30 ft		"	"	190	63	190	10	192	63	
"	N $\frac{1}{2}$ of W. 100 ft		10	"	241	48	241	10	243	99	
A. Carter	S $\frac{1}{2}$ of W. 100 ft		"	"	280	48	280	10	283	38	

Fractional Section 15 Addition to Chicago

Names	Part of Lot or Land	Sublot	Lot	Block	Acre of Sublot		Acre of Sublot		Acre of Sublot		Name
					Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Cents	
C. J. Mamm	W 28 1/2 ft		2	9	547	44	547	10	553	01	
"	W 28 1/2 ft of N 1/3	1	3	"	169	47	169	10	171	26	
Estate N A Gross	S 1/3	2	"	"	142	62	142	10	144	14	
G E Rivington			6	"	508	44	508	10	513	62	
J Y Hammond			7	"	508	44	508	10	513	62	
"		4	10	"	158	88	158	10	160	56	
J P Millard		6	"	"	229	67	229	10	232	06	
J Lammie	E. 110 ft	1	1	10	293	18	293	10	296	21	
"	E 110 ft	2	"	"	254	18	254	10	256	82	
M L Satterlee		1	4	"	254	18	254	10	256	82	
G C Cook		2	"	"	254	18	254	10	256	82	
B R Quincy	N 50 ft	5	"	"	317	73	317	10	321	00	
G D Dickinson	S 30 ft	"	"	"	190	64	190	10	192	64	
Church		8	"	"	508	56	508	10	513	54	
B R Quincy	E 120 ft		9	"	547	36	547	10	552	93	
J E Aiken		1	4	11	253	40	253	10	256	03	
P Hannah	N 24 ft	2	"	"	152	04	152	10	153	66	
W G D Howard	S 16 ft	"	"	"	101	57	101	10	102	48	
"	N 32 ft	1	5	"	202	73	202	10	204	85	
D S Smith	S. 8 ft	"	"	"	50	68	50	10	55	84	
"		2	"	"	253	41	253	10	256	04	
L. Sawyer		1	8	"	253	40	253	10	256	03	
Estate of D S Lee		2	"	"	253	41	253	10	256	04	
Church	E 115 ft	1	9	"	253	40	253	10	256	03	
"	E 115 ft	2	"	"	292	41	292	10	295	43	
W H Taylor			2	12	545	81	545	10	551	36	

Fractional Section 15 Addition to Chicago

Names	Part of Lot or Land	Sublot	Lot	Block	Acre of adjacent		Damp & Costs		Acre & Costs		Remarks
					Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Cents	
W H Taylor		1	3	12	253	40	253	10	256	03	
"		2	"	"	253	41	253	10	256	04	
M A Keef		6	"	"	506	80	506	10	511	96	
J Adams	S $\frac{1}{2}$		7	"	253	41	253	10	256	04	
W Corrigan	N $\frac{1}{2}$		10	"	253	40	253	10	256	03	
A L Howe	S $\frac{1}{2}$		"	"	292	41	292	10	295	43	
L Haven		1	2	13	293	12	293	10	296	15	
W J Allen		2	"	"	254	13	254	10	256	77	
C & W Price		1	3	"	254	13	254	10	256	77	
"		2	"	"	214	02	214	10	216	26	
J B Cooley		1	6	"	214	03	214	10	216	27	
"		2	"	"	254	12	254	10	256	76	
W Kimball		2	7	"	254	12	254	10	256	76	
Wm Brackett	S $\frac{1}{2}$ of W 100 ft		10	"	214	03	214	10	216	27	
"	N $\frac{1}{2}$ of W 100 ft		"	"	254	13	254	10	256	77	
Thos Allen	E 103 ft	1	1	14	293	12	293	10	296	25	
"	N 8 ft	2	"	"	50	86	50	10	51	46	
A J Buley	S 24 ft of N 32 ft	"	"	"	152	53	152	10	154	15	
J R Valentine		1	5	"	254	22	254	10	256	86	
	E 15 ft	2	8	"	158	87	158	10	160	55	
P Humer	E 85 ft	1	9	"	254	23	254	10	256	87	
"	E 85 ft	2	"	"	293	23	293	10	296	26	
Alex White		1	1	15	293	23	293	10	296	26	
"	N 8 ft	2	"	"	50	86	50	10	51	46	
M Moody	S 24 ft of N 32 ft	"	"	"	152	54	152	10	154	16	
"	S 8 ft	"	"	"	50	85	50	10	51	45	

Fractional Section 15 Addition to Chicago

Names	Part of Lot or Land	Sublot	Lot	Block	Acres of Sublot Selling cut	Drainage sold to	Lot to	Acres of Sublot Selling cut	Remarks
McNeely		1	4	15	254 22	2 54 10	256 86		
R. McLeary		2	"	"	254 23	2 54 10	256 87		
J. H. McVicker		1	5	"	254 22	2 54 10	256 86		
"		2	"	"	254 23	2 54 10	256 87		
E. G. Hall		1	8	"	254 22	2 54 10	256 86		
"		2	"	"	254 23	2 54 10	256 87		
Church		1	9	"	254 22	2 54 10	256 86		
"		2	"	"	293 23	2 93 10	296 26		
W. Smith		2	16	547 48	547 10	553 05			
M. J. E. Hubbard		3	"	508 48	508 10	513 66			
"	N 1/3	6	"	169 47	1 69 10	171 26			
J. M. Asch		1	54 6 47 10	"	139 11	1 39 10	140 60		
"		2	"	"	139 12	1 39 10	140 61		
Rosefield Thorsen		3	"	"	139 12	1 39 10	140 61		
"		4	"	"	139 12	1 39 10	140 61		
"		5	"	"	139 12	1 39 10	140 61		
S. D. Newhouse		6	"	"	139 11	1 39 10	140 60		
John C. Harris		7	"	"	306 80	3 06 10	309 46		
J. B. Woodruff		2	17	547 75	547 10	553 32			
C. Beers	N 30 ft	3	"	"	190 79	1 90 10	192 79		
S. D. Newhouse		1	6	"	254 37	2 54 10	257 01		
"		2	"	"	254 37	2 54 10	257 01		
A. R. Stranton	N 90 ft	2	7	"	254 37	2 54 10	257 01		
J. Dunison	S 38 1/2 ft	2	10	"	283 84	2 83 10	286 77		
Edgar Loomis	N 1 1/2 ft	"	"	"	9 54	9 10	9 73		
"		1	"	"	254 37	2 54 10	257 01		

Fractional Sections 15 Additions to Chicago

Names	Part of Lot or Land	Sub Lot	Lot	Block	Acreage of Assignment		Surveyed		Acreage		Remarks
					Seller	Buyer	Seller	Buyer	Seller	Buyer	
C B Kummer		1	1	18	293.16	293.10	296.19				
"		2	"	"	254.15	254.10	256.79				
"		1	4	"	254.15	254.10	256.79				
N Tuttle		2	"	"	254.16	254.10	256.80				
"		1	5	"	254.15	254.10	256.79				
C F Gray		2	"	"	214.15	214.10	256.79				
E Ayres	N 26 ft	2	8	"	164.89	164.10	166.63				
J H Foster		1	1	19	292.50	292.10	295.52				
"		2	"	"	253.51	253.10	256.14				
"		1	4	"	253.50	253.10	256.13				
J Y Sammons		2	"	"	253.51	253.10	256.14				
J H Foster		1	5	"	253.50	253.10	256.13				
W D Phillips		2	"	"	213.25	213.10	215.48				
D Hess		1	8	"	253.51	253.10	256.14				
E Huntley		2	"	"	253.51	253.10	256.14				
J L Scripps		1	9	"	253.51	253.10	256.14				
S Hess		2	"	"	213.26	213.10	215.49				
J W Eldridge		2	20		545.51	545.10	551.06				
"	N 3 $\frac{13}{100}$ ft	3	"	"	21.10	21.10	21.41				
Kellogg & Co	50 ft S. 1/2 of N 1/2 of W 1/2 of T 200 ft	"	"	"	316.57	316.10	319.83				
D Hess	S 1/3 of N 160 ft	"	"	"	168.85	168.10	170.63				
"	N 1/2 of N 160 ft	6	"	"	253.25	253.10	255.88				
D Lyman	S 1/2 of N 160 ft & S 6 $\frac{7}{100}$ ft	"	"	"	211.61	211.10	213.82				
J W Smith	S 6 $\frac{7}{100}$ ft of N 160 ft	"	"	"	35.55	35.10	36.00				
"	N 1/3	8	"	"	142.18	142.10	143.70				
"		1	20	S 1/3	144.64	144.10	146.18				

Fractional Section 15 Addition to Chicago

Names	Part of Lot or Land	Sublot	Lot	Block	Acres of 40 Acres		Dm. of 40 Acres		Acres of 40 Acres		Remarks
					Dm. of 40 Acres	Acres	Dm. of 40 Acres	Acres	Dm. of 40 Acres	Acres	
D R Kendall				S ¹ / ₃ 20	95	96	95	10	97	01	
					95	96	95	10	97	01	
					95	96	95	10	97	01	
					95	96	95	10	97	01	
					95	96	95	10	97	01	
					95	96	95	10	97	01	
C L Hamman				7	95	96	95	10	97	01	
M Smith	W. 80 ft		2	21	545	01	545	10	550	56	
J A Bassett			3	"	506	01	506	10	511	17	
Estate of S W Davis		1	6	"	253	01	253	10	255	64	
R W Shureman		2	"	"	253	01	253	10	255	64	
B W Thomas		1	7	"	253	01	253	10	255	64	
"	N ¹ / ₃	2	"	"	84	31	84	10	85	25	
R Brewster	S ¹ / ₃	"	"	"	168	69	168	10	170	47	
M A Keef		1	10	"	252	96	252	10	255	58	
"	N. 13 ft	2	"	"	82	22	82	10	83	14	
E A Jessel	N 25 ft	1	11	"	158	12	158	10	159	80	
E H Pitkin	S. 15 ft	"	"	"	94	88	94	10	95	92	
"	N. 10 ft	2	"	"	63	25	63	10	63	98	
R Luddington		1	1	22	291	96	291	10	294	97	
C P Kellogg	N 30 ft	2	"	"	189	72	189	10	191	71	
H H Kestled	S 10 ft	"	"	"	53	14	53	10	53	87	
"	N. 15 ft	1	4	"	79	86	79	10	80	75	
A J Miland		2	"	"	252	58	252	10	255	10	
"		1	5	"	252	59	252	10	255	21	
E Ayres	N ¹ / ₃	2	"	"	135	81	135	10	137	26	
Henry Hou		1	9	"	252	96	252	10	255	58	

Fractional Section 15 Addition to Chicago

Names	Part of lot or land	Sublot	Lot	Block	Acreage of Sublot				Acreage of Section		Remarks
					Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Cents	
Jerry Shaw		2	9	22	252	96	252	10	255	58	
J H Foster		1	12	"	252	96	252	10	255	58	
Church		2	"	"	252	95	252	10	255	57	
Assessors Division of NW fractl $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec 22, T. 39 N. R. 14 E.											
Henry Millett	S 25 ft of N 96 ft of NW $\frac{1}{4}$			2	158	64	158	10	160	32	
M S Bouton	S 25 ft of N 171 ft of NW $\frac{1}{4}$			"	134	55	134	10	135	99	
E Aiken	S 25 ft of N 196 ft of NW $\frac{1}{4}$			"	133	85	133	10	135	28	
A J Aueill	S 25 ft of N 221 ft of NW $\frac{1}{4}$			"	133	85	133	10	135	28	
C B Farnell		1	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	3	336	87	336	10	340	33	
L D Hard		2	"	"	133	85	133	10	135	28	
John A Tyrrell		3	"	"	146	44	146	10	148	00	
M W Farlin		4	"	"	133	85	133	10	135	28	
M S Kummer		5	"	"	313	58	313	10	316	61	
L A Smith		7	"	"	273	22	273	10	276	05	
G R Roberts		1	8 $\frac{1}{2}$	4	203	33	203	10	205	46	
"		2	"	"	158	85	158	10	160	53	
"		3	"	"	158	85	158	10	160	53	
M Laflin	Sec 23 $\frac{84}{100}$ ft Stay N. 51 $\frac{1}{2}$ ft	4	"	"	466	96	466	10	471	72	
"		5	"	"	317	70	317	10	320	97	
"	N $\frac{1}{4}$	6	"	"	158	85	158	10	160	53	
B F Quinby		7	"	"	317	70	317	10	320	97	Paid
L Hallack		8	"	"	158	85	158	10	160	53	
W W Smith		9	"	"	158	85	158	10	160	53	
A J Aueill		2	5	"	133	85	133	10	135	28	
L Church		3	"	"	133	85	133	10	135	28	

Assessor's Division of NW 1/4 of Sec 22 T.39 N. R. 14 E

names	Part of Lot or Land	Sub-lot	Lot	Block	Rent of Assessment		Damages		Rent		Remarks
					Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Cents	
L Church			4	5	133	85	1	33	10	135	28
E B Talcott			5	"	133	85	1	33	10	135	28
Hill Thompson			7	"	133	85	1	33	10	135	28
Gould Bros			8	"	133	85	1	33	10	135	28
W H King			9	"	158	65	1	58	10	160	33
N B Smith			11	"	158	65	1	58	10	160	33
"			12	"	158	64	1	58	10	160	32
J H Pollard			13	"	158	64	1	58	10	160	32
"			14	"	158	65	1	58	10	160	33
N W Hunsdale			15	"	158	65	1	58	10	160	33
"			16	"	158	65	1	58	10	160	33
John Nutt			1	8 1/2	158	64	1	58	10	160	32
"			2	"	158	65	1	58	10	160	33
I P Hunt			3	"	158	65	1	58	10	160	33
"			4	"	158	65	1	58	10	160	33
O Hunt			5	"	158	64	1	58	10	160	32
"			6	"	158	65	1	58	10	160	33
"			7	"	156	82	1	56	10	158	42
Thos Richardson			8	"	267	70	2	67	10	270	47
"	N 2 ft		9	"	12	68		12	10	12	90
O Hunt	S 40 ft		"	"	253	83	2	53	10	256	46
A R & S D Fay		12	1	744	152	50	1	52	10	154	12
"		13	"	"	152	49	1	52	10	154	11
"		14	"	"	152	49	1	52	10	154	11
W B Boyington		15	"	"	151	49	1	51	10	153	10
Mrs Kenne		17	"	"	152	49	1	52	10	154	11

Assessors Division of NW fractl 1/4 of Sec 22 T. 39 N. R. 14 E

Names	Part of Lot or Land	Sublot	Lot	Block	Amt of Assessment		Assessors		Amt Due		Remarks
					Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Cents	Dollars	Cents	
W. C. Cure		18	1	749	152	49	152	10	154	11	
T. B. McEoy		19	"	"	152	49	152	10	154	11	
"		20	"	"	152	49	152	10	154	11	
"	N 1 ft	21	"	"	6	36	6	10	6	52	
Thos R. Wood	S 23 ft	"	"	"	146	13	146	10	147	69	
"		22	"	"	171	56	171	10	173	37	
J. D. Sherman		1	10		698	93	698	10	706	01	
"		2	"		317	70	317	10	320	97	
A. J. McNeill		3	"		254	15	254	10	256	79	
Fisk Lester		4	"		321	95	321	10	325	26	
J. D. Sherman		5	11		321	53	321	10	324	84	
"		6	"		253	83	253	10	256	46	
"		7	"		317	50	317	10	320	57	
H. K. Elkins	N 25 ft	8	"		158	65	158	10	160	33	
J. S. Turner	S 25 ft of N 50 ft	"	"		158	64	158	10	160	32	
J. D. Sherman	S. 60 ft	"	"		380	74	380	10	384	64	

And afterwards writ: on the third day of February in the year aforesaid came the owners respectively of the certain lots and parcels of land, mentioned and described in the said report and application for judgment of the said City Collector, set opposite to their respective names, and filed and entered their objections to the entering of judgment against the said lots and parcels respectively owned by them, which said names of owners, and the lots and parcels of land by them respectively owned together with the objections to judgment by them respectively made, are fully set out mentioned and described in the Bill of Exceptions filed in this cause and hereinafter incorporated into this record

And afterwards writ on the nineteenth day of February in the year aforesaid, the following proceedings were had in the said cause and entered of record writ:

And now on this nineteenth day of February A.D. Eighteen hundred and sixty four. comes said City of Chicago by Francis Adams City Attorney, and due notice having been given of the intended application for a judgment against said lands and other property mentioned in said Warrant, and the following objections to taking of judgment having been filed herein on the third day of February A.D. 1864. by the respective owners of the following described property set opposite to their respective names to wit:

Fractional Section fifteen Addition to Chicago.
Estate D. L. Lee. Sublot 2, Lot 8, Block 11;

Port Dearborn Addition to Chicago.

Hugh Meeker, Lot 1, Block 13; Lot 2, Block 13; & Lot 3, Block 13 appearing by J. V. Le Moyne their attorney.

Fractional Section 15 Addition to Chicago.

Charles B. Mosner, Sublot 1, Lot 1, Block 18; Sublot 2, Lot 1, Block 18; Sublot 1, Lot 4, Block 18; Lot 4, S $\frac{1}{2}$ of Block 20, and Lot 5 S $\frac{1}{2}$ of Block 20; Arthur G. Busley, S 24 ft & adjoining north 48 ft of Sublot 2, of Lot 1, Block 14; John Tyrrell, Lot 3 of E $\frac{1}{2}$ Block 3 in Assessors Division of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec 22 T. 39 R. 14. — David Hess. S $\frac{1}{2}$ of N. 160 ft of Lot 3 & N $\frac{1}{2}$ of N. 160 ft of Lot 6 in Block 20 Fractional Section 15 Addition; also, Sublot 1, Lot 8 Block 19 Fractional Section 15

6
Addition; S. D. Ward, Assessors Division NW 1/4
Sec 22 T. 39 R. 14. Lot 2 of E 1/2 Block 3; Fractional
Section 15 Addition, Julia E Haven Administrator
of C. Haven, S 1/2 Lot 4. Block 7; Fort Dearborn
Addition, J. K. Botsford Lot 4, Block 13; Kirk Hester
Lot 4 in Block 10 in Assessors Division of NW 1/4
of Sec 22. 39. 14; H. K. Mather, N 30 ft of E 100 ft
in depth of Lot 9, Block 3 Fractional Section 15
Addition; J. Y. Seaman, Fractional Section 15
Addition Lot 10. Block 4; Lot 7, Block 9; N 25
Lot 10, Block 9; Sublot 4, Lot 10. Block 9; Sublots
1 & 2, Lot 1, Block 10 & Sublot 2. Lot 4 Block 19;
appearing by Mosner & Peck their attorneys —
Fractional Section fifteen Addition to Chicago —
E. A. Jesel. North 25 ft Sublot 1, Lot 11 Block 21;
John W. Daane, South 15 ft Sublot 1, Lot 11, Block 21;
and John W. Daane, North 10 ft Sublot 2, Lot 11,
Block 21; Eves Ayres, North 1/3 of Sublot 2. Lot 5,
Block 22; Assessors Division NW 1/4 Sec 22. 39.
14 E; Annie Butters. North 25 ft Sublot 8, Block 11;
appearing by Hugh A. White their attorney —
Jonathan Burr owner of the East Eighty (80)
feet of Sublots one (1) and two (2) of Lot ten (10)
in Block seventeen (17) in Fractional Section
fifteen (15) addition to Chicago. appearing
by Sanford B. Perry his attorney —
Fort Dearborn Addition to Chicago, — Edward
Parsons, Lot 5 & Lot 6, Block 14; Peter Page, Lots

1, 2 & $N\frac{1}{2}$ of Lot 3. Block 14; David C Thatcher,
 $N. 40\frac{1}{2}$ ft Lot 10. Block 15; P. F. W. Peck, Lot 3, Block
 12; E. C. Lamed. $W\frac{1}{2}$ Lot 1, Block 12; John M. Wilson
 $S\frac{1}{2}$ Lot 3. Block 14;

Fractional Section 15 Addition to Chicago —

Bassett, Lot 3. Block 21; E. W. Herrick, Sublot 6,
 of Lots 2 & 3, Block 1; Laurin P Hilliard, Lot 7, Block
 1; A. F. Dickinson, $N. 36$ ft Lot 5, Block 2; Joel C.
 Walters, Lot 9, Block 2; Seth Bradhams, $S. 25$ ft Lot
 4, Block 3; R. Tripp, $S\frac{1}{2}$ Lot 8, Block 3; Eli B.
 Williams, Lot 2, Block 4. And Lot 3, Block 4; A. N.
 Fullerton. $N. 54$ ft Lot 6, Block 4; A. Burnham
 assessed to H. Burnham. $N\frac{1}{4}$ Lot 7, Block 4; Williams
 Jones, $S\frac{1}{2}$ Lot 7, Block 4; M. O. Walker, Lot 3, Block
 5; S. Sawyer, Lot 6, Block 5; M. O. Walker, Lot 7,
 Block 5; B. Adams, Lot 7, Block 5; M. O. Walker, Lot
 10, Block 5; Spencer Warren, Lot 1, Block 6; W. A.
 Jones, $N. 23$ ft Lot 4, Block 6; J. W. Hooker assessed
 to J. M. Hooker, $S\frac{1}{2}$. $N. 46$ feet, Lot 4, Block 6; S. P.
 Maurer, Lot 8, Block 6; Newton Redmond, North
 half Lot 5, Block 7; J. D. Jennings assessed to
 C. Beers, $W. 90$ ft Lot 3, Block 8; A. J. Aveill, $S. 30$
 ft Lot 7, Block 8; S. P. Adams, $N. 50$ ft Lot 7, Block
 8; A. J. Aveill. $N\frac{1}{2}$ of $W. 100$ ft Lot 10, Block 8;
 C. J. Mauran, $W. 28\frac{1}{2}$ ft Lot 2, Block 9; C. J. Mauran
 $W. 28\frac{1}{2}$ ft of $N\frac{1}{3}$ Sublot 1 of Lot 3, Block 9; George
 E. Purnington, Lot 6, Block 9; M. L. Latterslee,
 Sublot 1, Lot 4, Block 10; B. F. Quimby, Lot 5, Block

10 and Lot 9. Block 10; J. P. Brooks. N. 24 ft Sublot
2, Lot 4. Block 11; Samuel G. D. Harvard, S. 16
feet Sublot 2. Lot 4. Block 11 and N. 32 ft Sublot
1. Lot 5. Block 11; David S. Smith, S. 8 feet of Sub
Lot 1 & Sublot 2 of Lot 5, Block 11; William H.
Taylor, Lot 2. Block 12; Sublot 1 of Lot 3. Block
12 and Sublot 2 of Lot 3. Block 12; O. S. Haugh.
S. $\frac{1}{2}$ Lot 10 Block 12; M. A. Neef. Lot 6. Block 12;
Luther Haven. Sublot 1 of Lot 2. Block 13; Wm
Haller, Sublot 1 of Lot 3. Block 13; C. Price assess-
ed to C. W. Price. Sublot 2 of Lot 3. Block 13;
J. B. Corley. Sublot 1. of Lot 6. Block 13 and Sublot
2. of Lot 6. Block 13; W. Smith. Lot 2. Block 16;
Alex White. Sublot 1 of Lot 1. Block 15 and Sublot 2
of Lot 1. Block 15; James M. Adsit. Sublot 1 of
S. $\frac{1}{3}$ of Lots 6. 7 & 10. Block 16. and Sublot 2 of S. $\frac{1}{3}$ of
Lots 6. 7 & 10 Block 16; Rosenfield & Rosenberg, Sub-
lot 3 of S. $\frac{1}{3}$ of Lots 7. 6 & 10. Block 16; Sublot 4 of
S. $\frac{1}{3}$ of Lots 6. 7 & 10 Block 16 and Sublot 5 of S. $\frac{1}{3}$ of
Lots 6. 7 & 10 of Block 16; C. F. Gray. Sublot 2 of Lot
5. Block 18; A. D. Scranton. W. 90 ft. Sublot 2 of
Lot 7. Block 17; J. H. Foster. Sublot 1 of Lot 1. Block
19; Sublot 2 of Lot 1. Block 19. and Sublot 1 of
Lot 4. Block 19; P. Bass assessed J. H. Foster. Sub-
lot 1 of Lot 5. Block 19; P. L. Sherman. all of Lot
3. Block 20; F. D. Gray. Lot 6. Block 20 and Lot 7.
Block 20; C. S. Hosmer. Lot 7 of S. $\frac{1}{3}$ of Block 20;
B. Brewster. S. $\frac{1}{3}$ of Sublot 2 of Lot 7. Block 21;

Benj. M. Thomas, N $\frac{1}{2}$ Sublot 2 of Lot 7. Block 21; L. G. D. Howard. Sublot 1. of Lot 9. Block 22; Benjamin M. Thomas. Sublot 1 of Lot 7. Block 21; L. G. D. Howard, Sublot 2 of Lot 9. Block 22; M. A. Keef. Sublot 1 of Lot 10. Block 21; and N 13 feet of Sublot 2 of Lot 10. Block 21; J. H. Foster. Sublot 1. Lot 12. Block 22; and Sublot 2 of Lot 12 Block 22; E. G. Hall. Sublot 1 of Lot 8. Block 15 and Sublot 2 of Lot 8. Block 15; Trustees of 1st Universalist Church. East 120 feet assessed as E. 120 feet of Sublots 1 & 2. of Lot 9. Block 7; E. G. Hall. Sublots 6 & 7. of Lots 6. 7 & 10. Block 16;

Assessors Division of N.W. fractional $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 22. T. 29. N.R. 14 E.

A. Friskie. S. 25 feet of N. 96 feet of W $\frac{1}{2}$. Block 2; A. J. Averill. 25 feet South & adjoining the N. 221 feet of W $\frac{1}{2}$ of Block 2; E. Aiken. S. 25 feet of N. 196 feet of W $\frac{1}{2}$ of Block 2; Harriett Laffin. Lot 4, E $\frac{1}{2}$ of Block 3; L. A. Laffin. Lot 7. E $\frac{1}{2}$ of Block 3; M. Laffin assessed S. Haller. Lot 8. E $\frac{1}{2}$ Block 4; A. J. Averill. Lot 2. Block 5; L. Church. Lot 3. Block 5; A. Burnam. Lot 7. Block 5; O. Lunt. Lot 5, W $\frac{1}{2}$ Block 8. and Lot 6. W $\frac{1}{2}$ Block 8. and Lot 7. W $\frac{1}{2}$ Block 8. and S. 40 ft. of Lot 9. W $\frac{1}{2}$ Block 8; Thos. R. Woods. Sublot 21. Lot 1. Blocks 7 & 9; Sublots 22. of Lot 1. Blocks 7 & 9 and N. 18 feet of Lot 1. Block 10; Wm. Turner. D. B. Mc Master. R. B. Stiles and James H. Woodworth, Lot 1. Block 10; A. J. Averill. Lot 3.

Block 10; A. M. Harrington. Lot 1. Block 16; Lot 2. Block 16; Lot 35. Block 17 and Lot 36. Block 17; Western Marine & Fire Insurance Company, Sublots 12 & 13 of Lot 1. Blocks 7 & 9; and C. D. Hamill, Sublot 14. of Lot 1. Blocks 7 & 9, described in the report of the City Collector of said City. filed herein severally appearing by Baiker & Suley their attorneys — and counsel being now heard on the said several objections to taking of judgment against the several lots or parcels of Land or other property in said several objections set forth and as herein before set forth and described, and due deliberation being thereupon had and the premises being fully understood, it appears to the Court that the said several objections are sufficient. The said several objections to taking of judgment against the Lots or parcels of Land or other property mentioned in said objections, are therefore hereby sustained and judgment refused as against the lots or parcels of Land or other property set forth in said several objections, and as herein before set forth and described at costs of said City, to which ruling of the Court in sustaining said several objections to taking of judgment, said City of Chicago by said City Attorney, then and there accepted, and it is ordered that twenty days be allowed to file bill of Exceptions, And on motion

of said City Attorney.

It is therefore considered by the Court that judgment be and is hereby entered against the aforesaid lots and parcels of land and other property, mentioned in said Warrant, (to which objections have not been filed) in favor of the City of Chicago for the sum annexed to each Lot or parcel of Land or other property being the amount of the assessment, damages and costs due severally thereon.

And it is ordered by the Court that the said several Lots and parcels of Land or other property mentioned in said Warrant (not hereinbefore Excepted) or so much thereof as shall be sufficient of each of them to satisfy the amount of the assessment, damages and costs annexed to them severally, be sold as the law directs

John M. Wilson }
Joseph C. Gary } Judges

And afterwards writ, on the fifth day of
March in the year of our Lord one thousand
Eight hundred and sixty four. the said City
of Chicago by the City Attorney filed in the
office of the Clerk of the said Superior Court
of Chicago, its certain Bill of Exceptions. which
said Bill of Exceptions is in the words and
figures following writ:

State of Illinois }
County of Cook } ss.

Superior Court of Chicago
February Term A.D. 1864

City of Chicago

vs

Edwin C. Larned

et al

Suit for assessment on
Warrant No 384 South for curbing, filling
and paving with Nicholson pavement Wabash
avenue from Randolph street to Fourteenth
street produced Eastwardly

Bill of Exceptions

Be it remembered that on filing the City

Collectors report and application for judgment in this cause, in the Superior Court of Chicago, on the first day of the February Term A.D. 1864, the following named persons, owners respectively of the premises described and set opposite their respective names, said:

Fort Dearborn Addition to Chicago

name of owner	Part of Lot or Land	Sub-Lot	Lot	Block
E. E. Lamed	$N\frac{1}{2}$		1	12
P. F. W. Peck			3	12
Hugh Maher			1	13
" "			2	13
" "			3	13
J. H. Botsford			4	13
Peter Page	E 100 ft		1	14
" "	E 103 ft		2	14
" "	E 103 ft of $N\frac{1}{2}$		3	14
J. M. Wilson	$S\frac{1}{2}$		3	14
E. Parsons			5	14
" "	$N\frac{1}{2}$		6	14
D. C. Thatcher	$N 40\frac{1}{2}$ ft		10	15

Fractional Section Fifteen Addition to Chicago

E. Herrick	6	243	1
L. P. Hilliard	7		1

Traditional Section Fifteen Addition to Chicago

name of owner	Part of Lot or Land	Sub Lot	Lot	Block
A F Dickinson	N. 36 ft		5	2
Joel C Walter			9	2
L. Madhams	S. 25 ft		4	3
R Lipp	$\frac{1}{2}$ of $N\frac{1}{2}$		8	3
St J. Matthee	N. 30 ft of E. 100 ft		9	3
E B Williams			2	4
" " "			3	4
A N Fullerton	N. 54 ft		6	4
A Bumann	$N\frac{1}{4}$		7	4
J Y Scammon			10	4
M O Walker			3	5
L. Saenger			6	5
B Adams	$N\frac{3}{4}$		7	5
M O Walker	$S\frac{1}{4}$		7	5
" " "			10	5
L Warner			1	6
N A Jones	N. 22 ft		4	6
J W Hooker	$\frac{1}{2}$ of $N\frac{1}{2}$ 46 ft		4	6
L Warner			8	6
Julia E. Haven	$S\frac{1}{2}$		4	7
M Redmond	N. 50 ft		5	7
Trustees of First Universalist Church	E. 120 ft	1	9	7
" " " " "		2	9	7
J D Jennings	N. 90 ft		3	8
L P Adams	N. 50 ft		7	8
A J Averill	S. 30 ft		7	8

Fractional Section Fifteen Addition to Chicago

name of owner	Part of Lot or Land	Sublot	Lot	Block
A J Aveill	N $\frac{1}{2}$ of W 100 ft		10	8
C J Mauran	W. 28 $\frac{1}{2}$ ft		2	9
" " "	W. 28 $\frac{1}{2}$ ft of N $\frac{1}{3}$	1	3	9
G. E. Purington			6	9
J Y Hammon			7	9
" " "		4	10	9
" " "	E. 110 ft	1	1	10
" " "	E 110 ft	2	1	10
M L Sattler		1	4	10
B K Quimby		5	5	10
" " "	E 120 ft		9	10
J P Brooks	N 24 ft	2	4	11
Saml G Howard	S. 16 ft	2	4	11
" " "	N 32 ft	1	5	11
D S Smith	S. 8 ft	1	5	11
D S Smith		2	5	11
Estate of D S Lee		2	8	11
W H Taylor			2	12
" " "		1	3	12
" " "		2	3	12
M A Keef			6	12
A S Hough	S $\frac{1}{2}$		10	12
Luther Haven		1	2	13
Wm Waller		1	3	13
C Price		2	3	13
K B Cooley		1	6	13

Fractional Section Fifteen Addition to Chicago

name of owner	Part of Lot or Land	Subst	Lot	Block
H B Cooley		2	6	13
A G Busley	S. 24 ft of N. 32 ft	2	1	14
Alexander White		1	1	15
" "		2	1	15
E G Hall		1	8	15
" " "		2	8	15
W Smith			2	16
James M Adsit		1	$\frac{543}{6.7 \times 10}$	16
" " "		2	"	16
Rosefield Rosenberg		3	"	16
" "		4	"	16
" "		5	"	16
E G Hall		6	"	16
" " "		7	"	16
A D Scranton	N. 90 ft	2	7	17
C B Hosmer		1	1	18
" " "		2	1	18
" " "		1	4	18
C H Gray		2	5	18
J. H Foster		1	1	19
" " "		2	1	19
" " "		1	4	19
J. Y. Scammon		2	4	19
P Bass		1	5	19
D Hess		1	8	19
P L Sherman	all of		3	20

National Section Fifteen Addition to Chicago

Name of owner	Part of Lot or Land	Sublot	Lot	Block
R D Gray	all of		6	20
J A Bassett			3	21
B W Thomas		1	7	21
" " "	N $\frac{1}{3}$	2	7	21
B Brewster	S $\frac{4}{3}$	2	7	21
M A Neef		1	10	21
" " "	N 15 ft	2	10	21
E A Jessell	N 25 ft	1	11	21
John W Dague	S 15 ft	1	11	21
" " "	N 10 ft	2	11	21
Elias Ayres	N $\frac{4}{3}$	2	5	22
L G D Howard		1	9	22
" " " "		2	9	22
J W Foster		1	12	22
J H Foster		2	12	22

Assessors Division of NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 22, T. 39, N.R. 14, E.

A Trishie	S. 25 ft of N. 96 ft of NW $\frac{1}{4}$		2	
E Aiken	S. 25 ft of N. 196 ft of NW $\frac{1}{4}$		2	
A J Averill	S. 25 ft of N. 221 ft of NW $\frac{1}{4}$		2	
L D Ward		2	3	$\frac{8}{3}$
John Tyrrell		3	3	$\frac{8}{3}$
Harriet Tallin		4	3	$\frac{8}{3}$
L H Laflin		7	3	$\frac{8}{3}$
M Laflin		8	4	$\frac{8}{4}$

Assessors Division of N.W. 1/4 of Section 22. T. 39. N. R. 14 E

name of owner	Part of Lot or Land	Sublot	Lot	Block
A. J. Averill			2	5
L Church			3	5
A Burnam			7	5
O Leuit			5	7 1/2 8
" "			6	7 1/2 8
" "			7	7 1/2 8
" "	S. 40 ft		9	7 1/2 8
Western Marine & Fire Ins Co		12	1	7+9
" " " " "		13	1	7+9
C. D. Hamill		14	1	7+9
Thos R Wood	S. 23 ft	21	1	7+9
" " "		22	1	7+9
Wm Junes, & B. McMaster			1	10
R B Stiles & J H Woodworth				
A J Averill			3	10
Fish & Lester			4	10
Laurie Butler	N. 25 ft		8	11

made and filed the following objections and
 defenses to said application for judgment
 against the above described lots, pieces
 and parcels of land to wit:

Objections

First, That all the provisions of the City

Charter relating to assessments for the filling, curbing or paving of streets are unconstitutional and void.

Second - That the mode of making and levying the assessment upon which said warrant was issued, prescribed in the City Charter, and the manner in which said assessment was made and levied, is contrary to the constitution of the State of Illinois.

Third - That said assessment is unconstitutional in assessing the cost of said improvement upon lots fronting on said street according to the number of front feet without reference to the value of said lots or to the benefits or damage that might result to said lots, or any of them from the contemplated improvement.

Fourth - That no notice was given to the owners or any of the holders of property fronting or abutting on said improvement as contemplated by Section 3, Chapter 7 of the City Charter.

Fifth - That the ordinance for the making

of the said improvement and of said assessment was passed by the Common Council without being petitioned for by the owners of a majority of the property to be assessed therefor, and none of said owners had notice, nor was any notice previous to the passage of the said ordinance given to them or any of them of the intention of the Board of Public Works or of any Commissioners of said Board to recommend to the said Common Council the making of said improvement, or the pendency of any such recommendation or matter before the said Common Council.

Sixth - The recommendation made to the Common Council for the making of said improvement was signed by the three Commissioners of said Board of Public Works, when it should have been signed by all of the members of said Board

Seventh - That no recommendation for the making of said improvement, accompanied with an estimate of the expense thereof, and the proper ordinance directing the work, was made by said Board of Public Works to said Common Council; nor did the

owners of a majority of the property to be assessed petition therefor, nor was any application made either to said Board or to said Common Council for the making of said improvement -

Eighth - That no estimate of the Expense of said improvement, as required by the City Charter, was reported by said Board to said Common Council

Ninth - That there is no valid ordinance or order of said Common Council for the making of said improvement or assessment

Tenth - That the pretended recommendation of said Board of Public Works, and the ordinance accompanying the same was referred by said Common Council to the Committee of said Council of Streets and Alleys of the South Division of said City; which Committee made their report thereon upon the 19th day of October AD 1863, and the Common Council upon said day without authority of law, passed said pretended ordinance without deferring the said report to the next regular meeting of said Council

10
Eleventh - The Commissioners of said Board were not sworn, nor did they take the oath required by Sec 6. Chap 7 of the City Charter, before proceeding to make said assessment

Twelfth - No notice was given by said Commissioners of the time and place of their meeting for the purpose of making the said assessment as required by the City Charter.

Thirteenth - The notice given by said Commissioners of the time and place of meeting for the purpose of making said assessment was insufficient; and said Commissioners had no authority to make said assessment

Fourteenth - That in making said assessment, said Commissioners did not estimate, nor take into consideration the damages as well as benefits to the said property owners as required by the City Charter

Fifteenth - That said assessment roll is defective in not showing damages as well as benefits to property owners, and because

no balance is struck and carried forward on said assessment roll, as required by said City Charter

Sixteenth - That no sufficient assessment roll in this cause was ever filed with the Clerk of said City, or any duplicate thereof with the Board of Public Works, as required by said City Charter, and because said pretended assessment roll was not signed by said Commissioners

Seventeenth - That no notice as required by said City Charter was given by said Commissioners of the filing of said pretended assessment roll with said Clerk, and of the application by said Commissioners to said Council for a confirmation thereof.

Eighteenth - That said Common Council had no authority to confirm said assessment, and the same was never confirmed by said Council, and its alleged order of Confirmation thereof is illegal and void;

Nineteenth - Said assessment was not made according to the requirements of law -

Twentieth - That there are two assessments made for filling, curbing and paving with Nicholson pavement, said Kabash Avenue from Randolph to 22^d street, which could & should have been comprised in one assessment; and said City has no authority to levy two assessments in such cases -

Twenty first - That said assessment was not made upon all the real estate fronting or abutting on said contemplated improvement as required by the City Charter

Twenty second - That Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7 of C. L. Harmon's subdivision of Lots 10, 11, 12, 13 & 14 of the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of Block 20, Prairie Avenue Section 15 Addition to Chicago, are not assessed for said improvement, although they front and abut thereon, and the improvement is to be made in front thereof; and lots 4 & 5 of the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of said Block 20, which front on Michigan Avenue, and do not front or abut on said contemplated improvement are assessed for said improvement

Twenty Third - That the ordinance for the making of said improvement, and the

said notices and all the proceedings of said Board and of said Council are not sufficiently certain and definite as to street & part of street to be improved, and it is uncertain where said improvement begins and ends.

Twenty Fourth - No assessment roll signed by the commissioners who made said assessment, was filed in the office of the Board of Public Works, as required by the Charter

Twenty Fifth - That the order of confirmation of said assessment was passed by the Common Council without proof of the publication of the notice of the application for confirmation of said assessment roll, & of the filing thereof as required by the City Charter

Twenty Sixth - That said assessment is not so made that each separate Block, lot, piece or parcel of land fronting or abutting on the line of the improvement shall sustain as nearly as may be the cost and expense of making the improvement upon that half of the street directly adjacent to

11
or in front of the same

Twenty Seventh - That the corner lots abutting on said improvement are assessed higher than the adjoining inside Lots of the same size, contrary to the City Charter.

Twenty Eighth - That the assessment roll as made out by the Commissioners in this case does not show or make any assessment against the City of Chicago for the intersections and crossings of streets and alleys that are laid out over and across, and front and abut on the line of said improvement -

Twenty Ninth - That there has been no confirmation of any assessment roll in this case, there being no record in the proceedings of said Common Council showing a confirmation of any assessment roll for the proposed improvement in this case as required by section 7. Chap. 4 of the City Charter -

Thirtieth - A judgment is claimed for one percent a month damages from the 2^d of January, 1864; and this percentage is not

levied for any specific purpose. and this Court has no authority to render judgment therefor in this case.

Thirty First, That no notice was given by the collector, as required by Sec 9 Chap 9. of the City Charter

Thirty Second - That the Collector has failed to give proper notices, and the notices published by him in this case are informal & void

Barker & Suley,
Hugh A White,
Roscoe & Peck,
J V Le Mayne,
Attorneys for parties objecting

Evidence

And on the trial of said cause at the February Term of this Court. A.D. 1864. the said objectors to maintain the issue on their part, and in support of said objections gave in evidence to the Court the following report from the Board of Public Works to the Common Council, with the accompanying ordinance and the certificates annexed to and endorsed thereon. to wit:

Report and Ordinance for improvement of streets.

To the Mayor and Aldermen of the City of Chicago, in Common Council assembled:

The Board of Public Works recommend to your Honorable Body that Mahesh Avenue from Randolph street to Fourteenth street (produced Eastwardly) be paved with Nicholson pavement; said avenue to be first curbed with a suitable wall and filled to grade

The contemplated improvement is not asked for by the petition of the owners of a majority of the property to be assessed for such improvement

We herewith submit an estimate of the expense thereof, accompanied by a general plan or description of the proposed work, together with a proper ordinance directing the work.

Estimate

Curb Walls	\$ 15,036.12
Filling	\$ 6,712.09
Grading	\$ -----
Nicholson pavement	\$ <u>76,469.27</u>

Total Estimate of Expense	\$ 98,217.48
Less Cost of Intersections	\$ 17,127.11
Amount to be Assessed	\$ 81,090.37

CROSS SECTION.

Ordinance

Be it ordained by the Common Council of the City of Chicago:

Section 1. That Wabash Avenue from Randolph street to Fourteenth street produced Eastwardly be and is hereby ordered paved with Nicholson pavement; said Avenue to be first curbed with a suitable wall and filled to grade - said work to be done under the superintendence of the Board of Public Works, conformably to the general plan or description prepared by said Board, and hereto annexed

12
Sec. 2. That the sum of Eighty one thousand
ninety $\frac{3}{4}$ Dollars be assessed upon the
real estate fronting or abutting on the im-
provement hereby ordered, according to the
provisions of the Revised Charter in such
case made and provided, and that said
sum when collected, be applied to the
payment of the said improvement.

Respectfully Submitted

(Signed) J. G. Grindele

Fred Letz

Robert Law

J. C. Sherman

} Board of Public Works
of the City of Chicago



Chicago Aug 10th 1863

State of Illinois }
City of Chicago } S.S.

I H W Zimmerman, Clerk
of the City of Chicago aforesaid, do hereby
certify that the above and foregoing is a
true copy of a Report and ordinance for
curbing, filling and paving with Nicholson
pavement, Mahesh Avenue from Randolph
street to Fourteenth street produced Eastwardly,
as passed by the Common Council August
24th A.D. 1863.

I further certify that I am
entrusted with the custody and safe keeping

of the original of which the above and foregoing is a true and certified copy



Witness my hand and the
corporate seal of the City of
Chicago, this Twenty third day
of February 1864

H W Zimmerman
City Clerk

(on the back of which Report & Ordinance is endorsed)

Document No 251

Report and ordinance for curbing, filling and paving with Nicholson pavement. Wabash Avenue from Randolph st to Fourteenth st.

Referred to Comm. Streets & Alleys, South Div.
Aug 10th 1863

Ordinance passed Aug 24th 1863

- Presented to the Mayor for his approval
Aug 26th 1863. 14 W Zimmerman Clerk

Approved — 186. J. C. Sherman Mayor.

The proceedings of the Common Council upon the foregoing report and ordinance, as shown by the record thereof. Kept by the City Clerk. were then introduced in.

Evidence -

The Record is as follows:

Council Proceedings
Regular Meeting. August 10th 1863.

"The Board of Public Works reported ordinances authorizing the following improvements in the South Division:

For curbing, filling and paving with Nicholson pavement Wabash Avenue from Randolph street to Fourteenth street,

For curbing, filling and macadamizing Wabash Avenue from Fourteenth street to Twenty second street.

Referred to Committee on Streets and alleys of the South Division."

Regular Meeting. August 24th 1863

"Ald Schall, of committee on streets and alleys of the South Division, to whom was referred the ordinance for curbing, filling and paving with Nicholson pavement Wabash Avenue from Randolph street to Fourteenth street, reported in favor of the passage of the ordinance.

Report concurred in and ordinance passed by ayes and noes as follows:

eyes - Ald Hahn, Schall, Roberts, Barrett,
 Gallup, Sheridan, Walsh, McDonald, Connisky,
 Ulrich, Clark, Brown, Talcott, Hinwood,
 Holden, Van Hatten, Bond, Gastfield, Cassle-
 man, Armstrong, McKuer, Rich, Kottenger,
 Sullivan, Goodman - 25
Noes - None "

Evidence was then introduced showing that
 the said ordinance was presented to the
 Mayor for his approval, by the City Clerk, on
 the 26th day of August, 1863. and that it
 was duly approved by the Mayor on the same
 day

The assessment roll, in this case, with the
 accompanying notices, papers, certificates and
 order was then introduced in evidence, and
 is as follows, to wit:

Certified Copy of Assessment roll for the
curbing, filling and paving with Nicholson
pavement. Wabash Avenue from Randolph
street to Fourteenth street. produced Eastwardly

(Commissioner's Oath)

The undersigned, Commissioners to assess the
amount directed by the Common Council of
the City of Chicago. to be assessed on the real
estate fronting or abutting on the contemplated
improvement of Wabash Avenue from
Randolph street to Fourteenth street (produced
Eastwardly) by filling, curbing and paving
with Nicholson pavement the same, do
severally solemnly swear that we will faith-
fully execute our duty to the best of our
ability

J. G. Gindale } Commissioners
Fred. Lutz } of the
A. J. Rose } Board of Public Works

Sworn and Subscribed before
me this 22nd day of September
A.D. 1863

Geo. H. Deering
Notary Public
U.S. Rep. St. Louis, Mo.

Port Dearborn Addition to Chicago

Name of Owner.	Part of Lot or Land.	Sub-Lot.	Lot.	Block.	Assessment.	
					Dollars.	Cents.
E C Lamed	N $\frac{1}{2}$		1	12	\$281	49
Ira Couch Estate			2	12	281	49
P J W Peck			3	12	281	49
L. Burton			4	12	281	49
Est John High Jr			5	12	281	49
H Fuller	N $\frac{1}{2}$		6	12	140	74
R A Williams	S $\frac{1}{2}$		6	12	140	75
Church			7	12	281	49
"			8	12	327	86
Hugh Maher			1	13	281	49
"			2	13	281	49
"			3	13	281	49
J. H. Botsford			4	13	283	49
"	N $\frac{1}{2}$		5	13	140	74
Dearborn Seminary	S $\frac{1}{2}$		5	13	140	75
"			6	13	306	49
Town 29. R 13			1	14	548	85
Peter Page	E 100 ft		1	14	327	36
"	E 103 ft		2	14	286	36
"	E. 103 ft of N $\frac{1}{2}$		3	14	143	18
J M Wilson	S $\frac{1}{2}$		3	14	143	18
A Gale			4	14	293	25
E. Parsons			5	14	293	25
"	N $\frac{1}{2}$		6	14	146	63
M L & J J Pearce			1	15	144	08
"			2	"	138	53
D Rutter			3	"	138	53
Mark Skinner			8	15	282	14
Aunt carried forward					\$6848	97

Port Dearborn Addition to Chicago

Name of Owner.	Part of Lot or Land.	Sub-Lot.	Lot.	Block.	Assessment.	
					Dollars.	Cents.
	Amt brot for'd				\$6848	97
M Skinner			9	15	589	92
"	S. $7\frac{1}{2}$ ft		10	15	454	1
D C Thatcher	N. $40\frac{1}{2}$ ft		10	15	204	11
H Tuttle			11	15	289	92
N P Wilder			12	15	282	14
S. L. Brown	$W\frac{1}{2}$		13	15	282	14
	$W\frac{1}{2}$		14	15	323	14

Traditional Section Fifteen Addition to Chicago

Name of owner	Part of Lot	Lot	Sublot	Lot	Block	
E Herrick			6	243	1	612 03
J Whitehead		1	748	243	1	196 53
"		2	748	243	1	171 53
C S Kimberby	$N\frac{1}{4}$			6	1	128 15
Est of P L. Updike	$S\frac{1}{2}$ of $N\frac{1}{2}$			6	1	128 15
J D Davis	$S\frac{1}{2}$			6	1	256 29
L P Hilliard				7	1	525 51
E H Haddock				10	1	423 53
Church				1	2	410 12
Sisters of Mercy				4	2	513 11
A F Dickinson	N 36 ft			5	2	250 91
Matt and Leanton	S. 44 ft			5	2	282 18
Thomas Church				8	2	445 66
J Waller				9	2	558 84
Amount carried forward						\$1347 99

Fractional Section Fifteen Addition to Chicago

Name of Owner.	Part of Lot or Land.	Sub-Lot.	Lot.	Block.	Assessment.	
					Dollars.	Cents.
	Amount bro't forward				\$1342	99
H N Heald	N. 53 ft of E. 85 ft		1	3	387	23
E S Hall	S. 27 of E 85 ft		1	3	177	40
"	N 1/4		4	3	121	41
S Wadham	S. 25 ft		4	3	164	27
D. Burr	35 ft N x ag. S 25 ft		4	3	229	97
P Peck	N 1/2		5	3	263	26
A. Smith	S 1/2		5	3	271	87
T. P. Haines	N 1/4		8	3	131	96
R Trapp	S 1/2 of N 1/2		8	3	129	08
J. H. Kedzie	S 1/4		8	3	121	92
A Kendall	N 1/2 of S 1/2		8	3	121	92
H F Matthes	N. 30 ft of E. 100 ft		9	3	184	54
J B Rice	S. 47 ft of E. 100 ft		9	3	322	94
E B Williams			2	4	559	80
"			3	4	520	81
A N Kullenton	N. 54 ft		6	4	355	49
B. W. Raymond	S. 26 ft		6	4	175	18
H Burr	N 1/4		7	4	110	66
L. H. Whitcomb	S 1/2 of N 1/2		7	4	107	56
Wm Jones	S 1/2		7	4	203	63
J. G. Hammond			10	4	388	82
Est L. M. Boyce	W. 50 ft		2	5	430	85
M O Walker			3	5	510	87
S. Sawyer			6	5	510	85
B Adams	N 1/4		7	5	383	15
M O Walker	S 1/4		7	5	127	71
"			10	5	524	33
55.	Amount Carried forward				\$20.975	27

Fractional Section Fifteen Additions to Chicago

Name of Owner.	Part of Lot or Land.	Sub-Lot.	Lot.	Block.	Assessment.	
					Dollars.	Cents.
	Amount brought forward				\$209	52
J. Hauser			1	6	549	87
J. L. Raymond	S. 34 ft		4	6	217	12
N. A. Jones	N. 23 ft		4	6	146	87
J. M. Hooker	$\frac{1}{2}$ of N. 46 ft		4	6	146	87
J. B. Carter	$\frac{1}{2}$		5	6	255	43
"	$\frac{1}{2}$		5	6	255	44
A. D. Taylor			8	6	471	46
Henry Houser	S. $25\frac{3}{4}$ of E. 110 ft		9	6	204	79
E. Aiken	25 ft N. 25 ft of E. 110 ft		9	6	159	64
E. Rossiter	N. 25 ft of E. 100 ft		9	6	147	90
J. C. Williams			1	7	547	39
J. T. Abbey	$\frac{1}{2}$		4	7	213	98
C. Haven	$\frac{1}{2}$		4	7	254	19
M. Redman	N. 50 ft		5	7	317	75
Orphan Asylum	S. 30 ft		5	7	190	63
"		1	8	7	254	20
Church	E. 120 ft	1	9	7	254	21
"		2	9	7	267	79
C. Beers	N. 90 ft		2	8	547	34
"	N. 90 ft		3	8	508	36
H. M. Talbot	$\frac{1}{2}$		6	8	254	18
Thos B. Bryan	$\frac{1}{2}$		6	8	254	17
L. P. Adams	N. 50 ft		7	8	317	72
H. J. Averill	S. 30 ft		7	8	190	63
	Amount carried forward				\$2815	73

Fractional Sections Fifteen Additions to Chicago

Name of Owner.	Part of Lot or Land.	Sub-Lot.	Lot.	Block.	Assessment.	
					Dollars.	Cents.
	Amount b ^o forward				\$28.15	39
H J Aswell	N $\frac{1}{2}$ of W. 100 ft		10	8	241	48
H Curtiss	S $\frac{1}{2}$ of W 100 ft		10	8	280	48
C & J Mamm	W. 28 $\frac{1}{2}$ ft		2	9	547	44
"	W. 28 $\frac{1}{2}$ ft of N $\frac{1}{3}$	1	3	9	169	47
H C Shuman	S $\frac{1}{3}$ of	1	3	9	71	62
"	N $\frac{1}{3}$ "	2	3	9	71	31
Est of W A Groves	S $\frac{1}{3}$ "	2	3	9	142	62
G S Purrington			6	9	508	44
J. Y. Seamon			7	9	508	44
"		4	10	9	158	88
W. W. Baynton		5	10	9	158	91
J. P. Willard		6	10	9	249	67
H Seamon	E 110 ft	1	1	10	293	18
"	E 110 ft	2	1	10	254	18
M L Sattulce		1	4	10	254	18
G C Cook		2	4	10	254	18
B F Quimby	N. 50 ft	5	4	10	317	73
G. D. Dickinson	S. 50 ft	5	4	10	190	64
Church			8	10	508	56
B F Quimby	E. 120 ft		9	10	547	36
C H McCormick			1	11	292	40
"		2	1	11	253	41
J. E. Aiken		1	4	11	253	40
P Hamrah	N. 24 ft	2	4	11	152	04
W. G. D. Howard	S. 16 ft	2	4	11	101	37
"	N. 32 ft	1	5	11	202	73
D L Smith	S. 8 ft	1	5	11	50	68
	Amount carried forward				\$35.17	99

Fractional Section Fifteen Additions to Chicago

Name of Owner.	Part of Lot or Land.	Sub-Lot.	Lot.	Block.	Assessment.	
					Dollars.	Cents.
	Amount bro't forward				\$55,171	99
D. S. Smith		2	5	11	253	41
S. Sawyer		1	8	11	253	40
Est D. S. Lee		2	8	11	253	41
Church	E 115 ft	1	9	11	253	40
"	E. 115 ft	2	9	11	292	41
W. H. Taylor			2	12	575	81
"		1	3	12	253	40
"		2	3	12	253	41
M. A. Keef			6	12	576	80
A. Miller	N 1/2		7	12	253	40
J. Adams	S 1/2		7	12	253	41
W. Cammagan	N 1/2		10	12	253	40
C. S. Haugh	S 1/2		10	12	292	41
L. Haven		1	2	13	293	12
Wm F. Allen		2	2	13	254	13
C. & W. Price		1	3	13	254	13
"		2	3	13	214	12
F. B. Cooley		1	6	13	214	03
"		2	6	13	254	12
G. S. McKnight		1	7	13	254	13
Wm Kimball		2	7	13	254	12
Wm Brackett	S 1/2 of W. 100 ft		10	13	214	03
	N 1/2 of W. 100 ft		11	13	254	13
	Amount carried forward				\$41,550	00

Name of Owner.	Part of Lot or Land.	Sub-Lot.	Lot.	Block.	Assessment.	
					Dollars.	Cents.
	Amount bro't forward				\$41,550	02
Thos Allen	E. 103 ft	1	1	14	293	22
"	N. 8 ft	2	1	14	50	86
A. G. Burley	S. 24 ft of N. 32 ft	2	1	14	152	53
Mrs L M Bayce	S. 8 ft	2	1	14	50	86
"	N. 16 ft	1	4	14	101	69
E. R Ray	S. 24 ft	1	4	14	152	54
E. Jackson		2	4	14	254	23
J. R Valentine		1	5	14	254	22
R. D King		2	5	14	254	23
R. L King	N. 30 ft	1	8	14	190	67
E Andrews	S. 10 ft	1	8	14	63	55
"		2	8	14	254	22
P. Warner	E. 85 ft	1	9	14	254	23
"	E. 85 ft	2	9	14	293	23
A White		1	1	15	293	23
"	N. 8 ft	2	1	15	50	86
M Moody	S. 24 ft of N. 32 ft	2	1	15	152	54
"	S. 8 ft	2	1	15	50	85
"		1	4	15	254	22
Richard M Clevey		2	4	15	254	23
J. H McVicker		1	5	15	254	22
"		2	5	15	254	23
E G Hall		1	8	15	254	22
"		2	8	15	254	23
Church		1	9	15	254	22
"		2	9	15	293	23
W Smith		2	16	16	524	48
	Am't carried forward				\$47,338	06

Fractional Section Fifteen Addition to Chicago

Name of Owner.	Part of Lot or Land.	Sub-Lot.	Lot.	Block.	Assessment.	
					Dollars.	Cents.
	Acct list forwarded				\$4,238 06	
Mr J. E. Hubbard			3	16	508	48
"	N 1/3		6	16	169	47
J. M. Asit		1	536 7+10	16	139	11
"		2	"	16	139	12
Rosenfield Rosenberg		3	"	16	139	12
"		4	"	16	139	12
"		5	"	16	139	12
L. D. Newhouse		6	"	16	139	11
John C. Haines		7	"	16	306	80
J. B. Woodruff			2	17	547	75
C. Beers	N. 20 ft		3	17	190	79
W. H. Adams	S. 50 ft		3	17	317	96
L. D. Newhouse		1	6	17	254	37
"		2	6	17	254	37
J. H. Beebe		1	7	17	254	38
A. R. Scranton	N. 90 ft	2	7	17	254	37
J. Demmisau	S. 38 1/2 ft	2	10	17	283	84
Edgar Loomis	N 1 1/2 ft	2	10	17	9	54
"		1	10	17	254	37
C. B. Hosmer		1	1	18	293	16
"		2	1	18	254	15
"		1	4	18	254	15
N Tuttle		2	4	18	254	16
	Amount carried forward				\$52,834 87	

Fractional Section Fifteen Addition to Chicago

Name of Owner.	Part of Lot or Land.	Sub-Lot.	Lot.	Block.	Assessment.	
					Dollars.	Cents.
	Amount brought forward				\$52,834	87
N Tuttle		1	5	18	254	15
C H Gray		2	5	18	214	15
C L. Goodridge		1	8	18	214	15
E Ayres	N. 26 ft	2	8	18	164	89
M C Stearns	S. 14 ft	2	8	18	74	96
"	N 1/2 Except S. 14 ft		9	18	192	75
J. J. S. Flint	S. 44 ft		9	18	237	07
J. H. Foster		1	1	19	292	50
"		2	1	19	253	51
"		1	4	19	253	50
J Y Seamon		2	4	19	253	51
J. H. Foster		1	5	19	258	50
W. B. Phillips		2	5	19	213	25
D. Hess		1	8	19	253	51
E Hundley		2	8	19	253	51
J. L. Siep		1	9	19	253	51
L. Reeves		2	9	19	213	26
J. W. Eldridge			2	20	545	57
"	N 3 33/100 ft		3	20	21	10
Kellogg & Slade	50 ft 54 ft N. 3 33/100 ft of W. 200 ft		3	20	316	57
D Hess	S 1/3 of W. 160 ft		3	20	168	85
"	N 1/2 of W. 160 ft		6	20	253	25
D Lyman	S 1/2 of W. 160 ft & S. 6 1/2 ft		6	20	211	61
J. W. Smith	S. 6 1/2 ft of W. 160 ft		6	20	35	55
"	N 1/3		8	20	142	18
	Amount carried forward				\$58,375	17

Municipal Section Fifteen Addition to Chicago

Name of Owner.	Part of Lot or Land.	Sub-Lot.	Lot.	Block.	Assessment.	
					Dollars.	Cents.
	Amount list forward				\$8375	17
			1	20	144	64
			2	20	95	96
			3	20	95	96
			4	20	95	96
D. R. Kendall			5	20	95	96
			6	20	95	96
E. L. Harmon			7	20	95	96
M. Smith	W. 80 ft		2	21	545	01
J. A. Bassett			3	21	506	01
Estate of S. H. Davis			1	6	21	253 01
R. W. Shureman			2	6	21	253 01
B. W. Thomas			1	7	21	253 01
"	N 1/3		2	7	21	84 31
R. Brewster	S 1/3		2	7	21	168 69
M. A. Keef			1	10	21	252 96
"	N 1/3 ft		2	10	21	82 22
J. L. Wilson	S. 27 ft		2	10	21	170 78
E. A. Jessell	N. 25 ft		1	11	21	158 12
E. H. Pitkin	S. 15 ft		1	11	21	94 88
"	N. 80 ft		2	11	21	63 25
J. Beecher	S. 30 ft		2	11	21	189 76
"			1	14	21	303 68
"			2	14	21	509 32
	Amount carried forward				\$62783	59

Practical Section Fifteen Addition to Chicago

Name of Owner.	Part of Lot or Land.	Sub-Lot.	Lot.	Block.	Assessment.	
					Dollars.	Cents.
	Amount brought forward				\$61	783 59
N. Luddington		1	1	22	291	96
C. P. Kellogg	N. 30 ft	2	1	22	189	72
H. H. Kusted	S. 10 ft	2	1	22	53	24
"	N. 15 ft	1	4	22	79	86
J. J. Flint	S. 25 ft	1	4	22	133	09
A. J. Willard		2	4	22	252	58
"		1	5	22	252	59
E. Ayres	N 4/8	2	5	22	135	81
C. T. Wheeler	S 1/8	2	5	22	77	14
Flint & Wheeler	N 1/8	1	8	22	70	96
D. Thompson	S 1/8	1	8	22	141	99
Flint & Wheeler		2	8	22	252	96
Kerry & Lou		1	9	22	252	96
"		2	9	22	252	96
J. H. Foster		1	12	22	252	96
Church		2	12	22	252	95
H. & A. Keck		1	13	22	255	53
"	E. 100 ft	2	13	22	260	05
	Amount carried forward				\$66	242 90

Assessor's Division of NW 1/4 of Section 22. T. 39. N. R. 14 E

Name of Owner.	Part of Lot or Land.	Sub-Lot.	Lot.	Block.	Assessment.	
					Dollars.	Cents.
	Amount not forward				\$66	42 90
W. R. Arthur	N. 71 ft of W 1/2		2		380	72
Henry Willett	S. 25 ft of N. 96 ft of W 1/2		2		158	64
H. J. Pierson	S. 25 ft of N. 121 ft of W 1/2		2		158	65
"	S. 25 ft of N. 146 ft of W 1/2		2		158	65
R. L. Bouton	S. 25 ft of N. 171 ft of W 1/2		2		134	35
E. Aiken	S. 25 ft of N. 196 ft of W 1/2		2		133	85
A. J. Aschell	S. 25 ft of N. 221 ft of W 1/2		2		133	85
M. Laflin	S. 39 1/2 ft of W 1/2		2		209	87
C. B. Farwell		1	E 1/2	3	336	87
L. D. Ward		2	E 1/2	3	133	85
John A. Tyrrell		3	E 1/2	3	146	44
Mrs. Follin		4	E 1/2	3	133	85
H. L. Munroe		5	E 1/2	3	513	38
C. Hall		6	E 1/2	3	174	73
L. A. Smith		7	E 1/2	3	273	22
G. R. Roberts		1	E 1/2	4	203	33
"		2	E 1/2	4	158	85
"		3	E 1/2	4	158	85
M. Laflin		4	E 1/2	4	610	39
"		5	E 1/2	4	317	70
"		6	E 1/2	4	317	70
B. F. Quimby		7	E 1/2	4	317	70
L. Haller		8	E 1/2	4	158	85
W. W. Smith		9	E 1/2	4	158	85
	Amount not forward				771	62 04

Assessor's Division of N.W. 1/4 of Section 22, T. 39, N.R. 14 E.

Name of Owner.	Part of Lot or Land.	Sub-Lot.	Lot.	Block.	Assessment.	
					Dollars.	Cents.
	Amount last forward				\$71.62	04
			1	5	51	40
A. J. Averill			2	5	133	85
L. Church			3	5	133	85
"			4	5	133	85
E. B. Talbot			5	5	133	85
Mrs A. C. Thomas			6	5	133	85
H. M. Thompson			7	5	133	85
Gould Bros			8	5	133	85
W. H. King			9	5	158	65
"			10	5	158	65
M. B. Smith			11	5	158	65
"			12	5	158	64
J. K. Pollard			13	5	158	64
"			14	5	158	65
H. W. Hunsdale			15	5	158	65
"			16	5	158	65
John Kutt			1	8 1/2	158	64
"			2	8 1/2	158	65
J. P. Lunt			3	8 1/2	158	65
"			4	8 1/2	158	65
A. Lunt			5	8 1/2	158	64
"			6	8 1/2	158	65
"			7	8 1/2	156	82
Thos Richmond			8	8 1/2	267	70
"	N. 2 ft		9	8 1/2	12	68
A. Lunt	S. 40 ft		9	8 1/2	253	83
	Amount carried forward				\$75.52	48

Assessors Division of NW 1/4 of Section 22. T. 39. N. 14E

Name of Owner.	Part of Lot or Land.	Sub-Lot.	Lot.	Block.	Assessment.	
					Dollars.	Cents.
	Amount bid forward				\$752648	
A R & L D Kay		12	1	749	152	50
"		13	1	749	152	49
"		14	1	749	152	49
W. B. Bayington		15	1	749	152	49
W. H. King		16	1	749	152	49
Mrs Lene		17	1	749	152	49
"		18	1	749	152	49
L B McAvoy		19	1	749	152	49
"		20	1	749	152	49
"	N. 1 ft	21	1	749	6	36
Thos R Wood	L. 23 ft	21	1	749	146	13
"		22	1	749	171	56
J D Sherman		1	10		698	93
"		2	10		317	70
A J Averill		3	10		254	15
Risk & Lester		4	10		321	95
J D Sherman		5	11		321	53
"		6	11		253	83
"		7	11		317	30
H A Eckins	N. 25 ft	8	11		158	65
J L Turner	L. 25 ft of N. 50 ft	8	11		158	64
J D Sherman	L. 60 ft	8	11		380	74
A M Herrington		1	16		158	65
"		2	16		195	65
	Amount bid forward				\$8073567	

Assessors Division of NW ^{1/4} of Section 22. T. 39. NR. 14 E

name of owner	Part of Lot or Land	Sublot	Lot	Block	Assessment	
					dollars	cents
	Amount list forward				\$80,35	67
A M Herrington			35	17	195	85
			36	17	158	85
					\$81,090	37

Office of the Board of Public Works

Chicago October 3rd 1863.

The undersigned hereby certify that they have completed the Assessment Roll for the curbing, filling and paving with Nicholson pavement, Wabash Avenue from Randolph street to Fourteenth street produced Eastwardly.

That before proceeding to make such assessment they were duly qualified before entering upon their duties, as appears by the oath herunto attached; that they published a notice of the time and place of their meeting, for the purpose of making said assessment, in the Chicago Times, the Corporation newspaper of the City of Chicago, for the period of six consecutive days previous to such meeting, a certificate of which publication is herunto annexed; that they were present at the time and place, and for the purpose designated in the said notice, and

did then and there, and do hereby, assess the respective amounts set opposite to each separate block, lot, subplot, piece or parcel of land in the foregoing Assessment roll mentioned in their appropriate columns, as the amount directed by the Common Council of the City of Chicago to be assessed on the real estate fronting or abutting on said contemplated improvement.

We further certify that said Assessment Roll embraces all the separate blocks, lots, sublots, pieces or parcels of land fronting or abutting on the proposed improvement, and that the assessment has been made in such manner, as nearly as may be, that each separate block, lot, subplot, piece or parcel of land, on either side of the street or part of the street to be improved, shall sustain the cost and expense of making or completing the improvement upon that half of the street adjacent to or in front of the same.

u. s. Rev
5 Cents

J. G. Gindale } Commissioners of
Fred Ledy } the Board
A. J. Rose } of Public Works

Corporation Notice
Office of the Board of Public Works }
Chicago Sept 26th 1863 }

Whereas, The Common Council of the City of Chicago has ordered that Wash Avenue, from Randolph Street to Fourteenth Street, produced Eastwardly, be curbed, filled and paved with Nicholson pavement, said work to be done under the superintendence of the Board of Public Works, and that the sum of Eighty one thousand ninety $3\frac{7}{100}$ dollars be assessed upon the real estate fronting or abutting on the improvement so ordered, according to the provisions of the Revised Charter in such case made and provided;

Now, therefore, public ~~xxxx~~ notice is hereby given to all persons interested, that the Commissioners of the Board of Public Works will meet at Room N^o 15, in the Court House, in said City, on the 3^d day of October, A.D. 1863, at the hour of 10 o'clock A.M. to assess the amount directed as aforesaid by the Common Council to be assessed on the real estate fronting or abutting said contemplated improvement.

The amount of said assessment will be \$81090.37-

J. G. Girard
Fred Letz
A. J. Rose } Commissioners,
of the Board of Public Works —

This certifies

70
that the appended Corporation notice has
been published in the Chicago Times, the
Corporation newspaper of the City of Chicago
County of Cook and State of Illinois, six
days consecutively, commencing on the
26th day of September. A.D. 1863
Chicago. October 3^d 1863

u.s. Rev
stamp
5 cents

Strong & Hudson
Publishers

State of Illinois }
City of Chicago } ss.

I do hereby certify that the
foregoing assessment roll was returned
to me and filed in my office by the Board
of Public Works, this Tenth day of October
1863

u.s. Rev
stamp
5 cents

H W Zimmerman
City Clerk

Certificate of Publication

Assessment Notice

Office of the Board of Public Works.
Chicago, Oct. 11th, 1863 }

Public notice is hereby given to all persons interested, that the Board of Public Works of the City of Chicago has completed the Assessment Roll for the curbing, ~~filling~~, and paving with Nicholson pavement Wabash Avenue, from Randolph street to Fourteenth street, produced Eastwardly, and such assessment Roll has been filed in the office of the City Clerk.

The Board of Public Works will apply to the Common Council of said City at its next regular meeting, to be held on the 19th day of Oct., 1863, at the hour of 7^{1/2} o'clock P.M. for the confirmation of such assessment, at which time all parties interested will have the right to be heard. All persons wishing to object to said assessment must file their objections to the same, in writing, in the office of the City Clerk, at least one day prior to such meeting of the Common Council.

J. G. Gindale,
Fred Letz,
A. J. Rose } Commissioners of
the Board of Public Works

This certifies

that the appended Assessment Notice has been published in the Chicago Times, the Corporation Newspaper of the City of Chicago, County of Cook, and State of Illinois, six days consecutively, commencing with October 11th. 1863.

Chicago October 19th 1863.

u s Rev
stamp
5 cents

Stacy & Boardman
Publishers.

Application for Confirmation -

Chicago October 19th 1863
To the Mayor and Aldermen of the City of Chicago in Common Council assembled;

The undersigned, Commissioners of the Board of Public Works of the City of Chicago, hereby apply to your honourable body for a confirmation of the foregoing Assessment Roll.

J. G. Guidice }
Fred Lutz } Commissioners of the
A. J. Rose } Board of Public Works

Order of Confirmation.

In Common Council October 19th 1863
Whereas due notice has been given by the
Commissioners of the Board of Public Works
of their filing with the City Clerk the foregoing
Assessment Roll, and Whereas the said
Commissioners have applied to the Common
Council for Confirmation of said Assessment,
and no objections thereto having been filed,
it is therefore ordered, that the said Assess-
ment, as revised by the Common Council,
be and the same is hereby confirmed.

It is further ordered that a warrant
be issued for the collection thereof.

Passed,

H. W. Zimmerman
City Clerk,


New Warrant issued - - - - - 186.

State of Illinois }
City of Chicago } S.S.

J. H. W. Zimmerman, Clerk
of the City of Chicago aforesaid do hereby
Certify that the above and foregoing is a

true copy of. of an assessment Roll, for the curbing, filling and paving with Nicholson pavement, Wabash Avenue from Randolph street to Fourteenth street produced Eastwardly.

I further certify that I am entrusted with the custody and safe keeping of the original of which the above and foregoing is a true and certified copy.



Witness my hand and the Corporate Seal of the City of Chicago this Twenty Third day of February 1864

H. W. Zimmerman
City Clerk

(on the back of which roll notice certificate is endorsed)

Document No. 430.

Assessment Roll for Paving Wabash Avenue from Randolph to Fourteenth St.

- Enframed October 19th 1863

- Presented to the Mayor for his approval, October 21st 1863. H. W. Zimmerman Clerk.

- Approved - - - - - 186. F. C. Sherman, Mayor

It was admitted by the parties that the Chicago Times and the Corporation Newspaper of the City of Chicago, while said assessment proceedings were pending - that Storey and Norden were publishers of said Newspaper and that the two several notices appended to said assessment roll were published in said newspaper, as stated in the two several Certificates of publication attached to said roll -

The proceedings of the Common Council in relation to said assessment, as shown by the record thereof, kept by the City Clerk were then introduced in Evidence. The record is as follows:

"Council Proceedings

Regular Meeting, October 19th 1863"

"The Board of Public Works returned and asked for the confirmation of sundry assessment rolls, to which no objections had been filed, for the following named improvements,

x x x x x x x x

For curbing, filling and paving with Nicholson pavement, Wabash Avenue from Randolph Street to Fourteenth Street -

x x x x x x x x

The rolls were severally confirmed by the passage


of the order of confirmation appended to each"

Evidence was then introduced showing that the said order of confirmation appended to the above assessment roll was presented to the Mayor for his approval by the City Clerk on the 21st day of October 1863 and that it was duly approved by the Mayor on the same day -

It was admitted by the parties that by a mistake of the Commissioners and by their Clerk, arising from an erroneous description and delineation of the property upon the map used by them, that Sublots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7 of Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, & 14 of the subdivision of the S $\frac{1}{3}$ of Block 20 in Fractional Section 15 Addition to Chicago, and also the North $\frac{1}{3}$ of Lot 7 in said Block 20 were not assessed for the improvement in question, although they front and abut thereon; and that in consequence of the same error on the map, Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7 in the subdivision of the S $\frac{1}{3}$ of Block 20 aforesaid, and also the N $\frac{1}{3}$ of Lot 8 in said Block 20, were erroneously included in the assessment roll. None of the lots or sublots were erroneously ~~omitted~~ omitted. The lots omitted were contiguous lots fronting

on Hobash Avenue. The lots erroneously substituted for them. But on Michigan Avenue and do not abut on the contemplated improvement. By consent of the City, judgment was refused against the lots erroneously assessed as aforesaid.

It was further admitted at the trial of said cause that the corner lots abutting on the said contemplated improvement were assessed for the expense of the curbing at the corners adjacent to said lots respectively, in addition to the expense of the improvement upon that half of the street directly in front of such lots - The red lines upon the following diagram exhibit the line of curbing; and the extra amount of curbing assessed to the corner lots is sufficiently indicated on said diagram -



It was further admitted on the trial of said cause that no duplicate of the foregoing assessment roll was filed by the Commissioners in the office of the Board of Public Works.

17
The foregoing is the Evidence introduced
at the trial on either side in this case; and
it was then and there considered and ordered
by the Court that the said objections to the
taking of judgment against the several parcels
of land in said objections specified and herein-
before described. be sustained. and judgment
against the said several parcels of land was
then and there refused

Whereupon the said City of Chicago made
its exceptions then and there to the said
decision of said Court and the counsel for
the said City, prayed that said Court would
set their hands and seals to this bill of
Exceptions which is done accordingly.


John M. Wilson
Joseph E. Gary

Seal
Seal

State of Illinois
County of Cook ss

J. Thomas B. Carter Clerk
of the Superior Court of Chicago in said
County and State. do hereby certify that
the above and foregoing is a true and
correct transcript of the Report and applica-
tion for judgment of the City Collector of

the City of Chicago. together with the
 order of Court thereon and of the Bill of
 Exceptions filed in said Court in a certain
 cause lately pending therein wherein the
 City of Chicago was plaintiff and E. C.
 Leland and others were defendants



In testimony whereof I have
 hereunto set my hand and affixed
 the seal of said Court this
 Seventeenth day of March A.D. 1864

Thomas B. Carter

Clerk

State of Illinois
Third Grand Div.

In Supreme Court
To April Term A. D. 1864.

The City of Chicago,
Plaintiff in Error.

vs.

Edwin C. Larned, et al.

Defendants in error.

Assignment of Errors.

And now the said City of Chicago, plaintiff in error, comes and says, that in the record and proceedings aforesaid, there is manifest error, in this, to wit:
I. The Superior Court of Chicago erred in sustaining the objections filed by the said defendants to the application made by the collector for judgment against the several lots and parcels of land in said objections set forth.

II. The said Court erred in refusing to render judgment in favor of this City of Chicago against the several lots and parcels of land set forth in the objections filed by said defendants.

III. The judgment rendered by said Court in favor of the said defendants was contrary to the law and evidence, and should have been for the plaintiff.

By reason whereof the plaintiff prays that said judgment may be reversed, &c.

By B. F. Ayer, ^{* Francis Adams} Attorneys for Plaintiff in Error.

And the said Edwin C. Larned, and the other
 defendants in error in the foregoing record men-
 tioned, now come and say that there is no error,
 either in the record and proceedings aforesaid, or in
 giving judgment aforesaid, in manner and form
 as above assigned; and therefore they pray that
 the said judgment may be affirmed, and that
 their costs may be adjudged to them, &c.

Barker & Tuley attys
 for defendants in
 error

174
 Supreme Court of
 Illinois. Third Grand
 Division.

The City of Chicago.
 Plff. in error.

vs.
 Edwin C. Larned
 et al.

Record.

Filed Oct. 19. 1864.
 L. Deland
 Clk.