

No. 12761

Supreme Court of Illinois

~~DIMON~~ ^{MON} Dimon Dimon

vs.

People

71641  7

Samuel Diccon
vs
The People &c.

1 P.C.

1856

Aug 2d

This bundle did
not contain the record
Book

Samuel Simon 3
The People 3 Argument

Simon was indicted for fencing across a publick road, described in the indictment as being "a public Road leading from the City of Picoua into County of Picoua to the City of Knoville in the County of Knov" "

There is no evidence in the records of the existence of any such Roads.

Now the place where Simon built his fence there were several travelled tracks over the Prairie - He fenced across one of them - And the Rebutting Evidence tended to prove that there was a legal Road from Picoua to Charlottown across which, or along in the track of which the fence was placed

The Evidence given by the People in chief did not tend to prove a Record Road. It only proved that there was a travelled track, and tracks over the Prairie where this fence was built

The Defendant, Simon, then proved by the Clerk of the County Court, that there was no record of any Road from "Picoua to Knoville", and that no such road had ever been laid out or established.

Defendants then proved that there were several travelled tracks across the prairie at

to the place where the Roads was fenced
Extending over a Space of 14 or 15 Rods in width

He then Offered to Prove, that the lands above
the fence was built was Patented to Ezra King
on 10th Aug 1818. That King conveyed the same to Nathan
Nicholson on the 27th March 1818. and sold by him
to Jacob Harr July 15th 1824 - and conveyed by
Harr to Nancy Simon - lefts wife in July 1849.
and that during all that time the Roads was travelled
King Nicholson & Harr were Non residents and
never received any Compensation or Damages for
using the lands for a road - (Excluded.)

The People then by way of Rebutting
Evidence only proved a legal Road from
"Pine to Chardtown". This Evidence and the
ruling of the Court in admitting it was accepted
to.

(For particulars in relation to the
Evidence see Miller abstract).

After the People had closed their rebutting
evidence the Defendants Offered to prove, that when
the roads from "Pine to Chardtown", was laid out
the lands was owned by Jacob Harr who was
a citizen and resident of the state of N.Y. That
he had no Notice of any of the proceedings Establishing
the Roads, that no damages were addressed to
him or compensation made to him or any other person
that in the 30th July 1849. he conveyed the lands to
Nancy Simon. lefts wife - (Excluded)

The instructions as Read and given and
Refused will be found in the Miller abstract.
It is unnecessary to repeat them.

The substance of the whole case as
given by the Court is, that Private property
may be taken for Public use without compen-
sation, until that a waggon track over
a Prairie makes a legal public Road "Prima
facie", and that this "prima facie" Evidence
can not be rebutted. and that the owner
of lands may dedicate the same to the Public
without his knowledge or consent.

If this is the law the judgment ought
to be affirmed, otherwise, Reversed.

I call the attention of the Court particularly
to the Records as Stated in the Abstract and
also to the 6th Assignment of Error. That the
Jury in their retirement were not attended by
a Sworn officer.

J. H. Phelps

Verdict Guilty.

Motion for New Trial

Reasons

1. Verdict against law & Evidence
2. Court Refused proper instructions asked by Def't.
3. Court gave improper instructions asked by the People
4. Court rejected proper evidence offered by Def't.
5. Court permitted People to introduce improper & irrelevant testimony
6. Jury when they retired to consider of their verdict would not be attended by a Sworn officer
7. Bill of Indictment NOT founds by legal Grand Jury.
8. Norton Bristol one of Owners Not a Resident of the County, which was unknown to Defendant until after Jury was impaneled.

Dec. 1. 1853.

Minow filed an affidavit that No Officer was known to attend the Jury & that neither he nor his Counsel agreed to dispense with the attendance of such sworn Officer.

Same day he filed an affidavit that Grand Jury was selected & summoned by the Supervisors - Referring to the Records & Reviewing the same Part of his affidavits, also that Norton Bristol was not a resident of Penna County, was one of the Owners who tried the cause & that neither he nor his Counsel had any knowledge that he was not a resident of the County until the Jury was impaneled.

The People file the affidavits of Clark that he was
Const. attendant on the Jury. Kept them together
all regular - See affidavit. Byner put them into
his charge.

M^r for New Trial Orouled
Dec. 2, 1853.

Errors assigned
Same as Reasons for new trial

Samuel Simon

The People

Asturias

and Argument

Federal

People