

12068

No. _____

Supreme Court of Illinois

People, ex. rel.

vs.

Shaw, et al

71641  7

6
People ex. rel. Dixon
vs.
Peter Shaw et als.

48
6 P.D.

Prepared

12068

1853

The People of the State of Illinois, .

To the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the County of *Winnebago* - GREETING :

BECAUSE in the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of *Winnebago* county, before the Judge thereof, between *The People of the State of Illinois at the relation of George Dixon*

plaintiff and *Bela Shaw, Newton Crawford, Harry A. Spalding & Bradford Mc Kenney*

defendants it is said manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the aforesaid *plaintiffs*

as we are informed by *their* complaint, and we being willing that error, if any there be, should be corrected in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly, without delay, send to our Justices of the Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plaint aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our justices aforesaid at Ottawa, in the county of La Salle, on the *2nd Monday in June* next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law.

WITNESS, the Hon. SAMUEL H. TREAT, Chief Justice of our said Court, and the seal thereof, at Ottawa, this *thirtieth* day of *May* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty *three*.

*L. Seland Clerk of the
said Supreme Court.*

(6)

The People ex rel. Dixon

vs
Bela Shaw et als.

Writ of Error

To June Term 1853.

Filed June 3^d 1853

C. H. Shufford
Clerk

State of Illinois
Winnebago County }
Plas before the Hon^{ble}
Benjamin R. Sheldon at a Court & Court
begun and held at the Court House in
Rockford in & for said County of Winnebago and
State of Illinois on Monday the 23rd day of
April A.D. 1853. Present the Honorable
Benjamin R. Sheldon presiding Judge
William Brown States Attorney Henry H.
Mullett Sheriff. C. H. Thurstall
Clerk

The People, ex Rel
George Dixon
vs

John Shaw et al

Be it remembered
that hereof and do on the 18th day of March
A.D. 1853 at the March Term of said Winnebago
County Circuit Court came the States Attorney
for the 11th Judicial Circuit and filed the following
Notice in the above entitled cause to wit

"In the Winnebago Cir Court
To John Shaw Newton
braunfort Harry N Spaulding
and Bradford McMinney of Rockford
in the County of Winnebago and
State of Illinois

Take notice that on the first day of the next
ensuing Term of the Circuit Court for the
said County of Winnebago to be held at the

Court House at Rockford in said County on
the third Monday of March next, being the
Eighteenth day of the said Month or as soon
thereafter as counsel can be heard the said
Court will be moved for a rule upon the said
Pela Shaw Newton Crawford Harry N Spaulding
and Bradford McMinney to show cause why
leave should not be given for the State Attorney
of the Eleventh judicial Circuit of the State of
Illinois to exhibit in the said Court according
to the form of the Statute in such case made
and provided, an information in the nature
of a Quo warrant, at the relation of George
Bisnon of Rockford against the said
Pela Shaw Newton Crawford Harry N Spaulding
and Bradford McMinney jointly and severally
for usurping, intruding into and unlawfully
holding and executing the Office Bridge Com-
missioners of the Rockford Bridge, and that the
unsworn Affidavit of the said George Bisnon
will be exhibited in support of the said motion
Dated, 11th March 1850 - (Yours &c

(Signed) Henry Pluck
State Attorney for 11th Judicial Circuit

In the Winnebago County Circuit
State of Illinois
Winnebago County

George Bisnon of Rockford
in the County of Rockford County of Winnebago
being duly sworn upon his oath says that on
the twenty fifth February in the Year of our Lord

one thousand Eight hundred and forty seven
an act was passed by the General Assembly
of the State of Illinois in titled an act ~~relative~~
for the maintenance of Rockford Bridge, incor-
porating certain persons named in said act
and their Successors as a body politic under the
name and style of Bridge Commissioners
of the Rockford Bridge, for the purpose of main-
-taining and repairing the Bridge over Rock River
at Rockford, and paying certain debts
alleged to be due for the building and repair
thereof and conferring upon them diverse
powers for those and other purposes and among
others the power of levying a tax upon the
taxable property of the precinct of Rockford
in said County for such purposes, and that
the said act required that the Successors of the
said persons named and incorporated in & by
the said act should be elected annually at the
General Election in each Year, and this affiant
further says that ever since the first day of
December last past or thereabouts, Bela Shaw
Newton Crawford, Harry N. Shaulding and
Bradford McKim of Rockford aforesaid have
usurped, intruded into and unlawfully
held and executed, and still do usurp
intrude into and unlawfully hold and
execute the Office powers and rights of such
Bridge Commissioners as aforesaid, without
having been elected thereto at any annual
election as aforesaid, and without having any
legal warrant right or title to do so as this
affiant is advised and believes to be true, and this

Further Says that the said Bela Shaw
Newton Crawford Harry N Spaulding and
Bradford McMinney so being unlawfully
such Bridge Commissioners as aforesaid
purpose and intend to levy a tax under
color of the said act, upon the Taxpayers
of the said late precinct of Rockford to the
amount of Eight hundred dollars and
more, and that it is unlawful for them
to levy such tax as this affiant is advised
and believes, that this affiant is the owner
of a considerable amount of Taxable property
within the said late precinct, and is interested
in having the right and title of the said
Bela Shaw Newton Crawford Harry N Spaulding
Shaw and Bradford McMinney to the said Office
of Bridge Commissioners of the Rockford Bridge
tried upon a legal proceeding to oust them
from the said Office, and this affiant further
says that application for Senior Afile an informa-
tion in the nature of a Quo Warranto against
the said Bela Shaw Newton Crawford Harry
N Spaulding and Bradford McMinney
could not be made sooner at his instance
for the reason that this affiant was not
aware at the last term of this Court of the
facts constituting the want of title of the said
persons to be such Bridge Commissioners
as aforesaid, nor that it was material to the
interest of this affiant that they should be
ousted from being such Bridge Commissioners

(Signed) George Dixon
Sworn to at Rockford

in the county of Winnebago
this 11th day of March 1850
before me

W. H. Spafford Clerk

In the Winnebago County Circuit Court

State of Illinois
Winnebago County

Francis Barnard of
Rockford in the County of Winnebago makes
solemn affirmation and oath, that he did
on the eleventh day of March instant deliver
to Bela Shure Henry W. Spaulding and Rufus
McKinney named in the annexed notice
with the said notice by delivering to each of
them respectively a true copy of the said
notice and also a true copy of the affidavit
of George Dixon herewith annexed. and further
that this affidavit did on the fifteenth day of
the same month of March last Newton
Crawford, also in the said notice named with
a true copy of the said notice and affidavit
and this affidavit further saith that the
said service upon each of the said persons
was made at Rockford aforesaid

(Signed) Francis Barnard

affirmed at Rockford in the
County of Winnebago this 18th
day of March 1850 before
me

W. H. Spafford

and of record & sent on the 22^d day of March
A.D. 1850 at the March Term of said Court in the
Records following Affidavit of Bela Shaw and
the defendants herein was filed in this Court & it
which said Affidavit is in the words & figures following
To wit

Monmouth Circuit Court
Bela Shaw. Newton Cranford
Ephraim N. Shaulding and
Bradford M. Himes } March Term
1850
vs
The People ex rel George Dixon }

Monmouth County ss

Bela Shaw one of the
above named Defendants being duly sworn
deposes and says that by an act of the Legislature
of the State of Illinois entitled "an act to
provide for the maintenance of the Rockford
Bridge" Approved February 25th 1844 this
Deponent the said Defendant Newton Cranford
and Ephraim Hymus and Daniel M. Himes
were by said act appointed "Bridge Commis-
sioners of the Rockford Bridge" and by said act
appointed a Body corporate and politic by
said name, and thereby authorized to declare
the amount of tax to be levied on the
taxable property of Rockford Precinct
for the maintenance of said Bridge.
And the Deponent further says that in com-

plumice with the third Section of said Act
an election for the choice of such Bridge
Commissioners was held at the Court House
in Rockford Precinct on Monday the 2^d day
of August 1847. being the first Monday
in said August, and the defendants Shaw
and Crawford and the said Ephraim Myerson
were in due form of Law elected, the said
Shaw residing on the East Side of Rock River
and the said Myerson & Crawford on the
West Side of Rock River, and that at said Election
and that at said Election the said Daniel McHenry
and one Charles Wootes, both residing on the
East Side of Rock River received an equal number
of votes, and a greater number than any other
candidates for said Office except the above named
persons who were elected

And this Deponent further Says, that on
the 7th day of August 1848 being the first Monday
thereof an election was held for said Commissioners
was held at the Court House in Rockford Precinct
and the said Myerson & Crawford residing on the
West Side of the River and the said Shaw ~~the defendant~~
and one Luke Joshi residing on the East Side
thereof, were in due form of Law Elected as such
Commissioners

And this Deponent further Says
that at an Election for the choice of four Bridge
Commissioners for said Bridge was held at the
Court House in Rockford Precinct on the 6th day
of August 1849. being the first Monday of said
Month, when the defendants, two of them residing
on the one side of the River and two residing on the

other side thereof was in due form of laws
elected as such commissioners and that
indeed and by virtue of said election the
Defendants now claim Abt and Dact as
such commissioners.

And this defendant further
says that all the above named persons
appointed & elected as aforesaid as Bridge
commissioners acted as such during the
term of their appointment and election
and that each time they were severally
sworn as required by said act before acting
Belu Shum

Sworn to & before me this
21st day of March 1850

Selden W. Church
Judge of the Court

And afterward I do wit on the 23^d day of March
AD 1850 at the March Term of said Court
in the records of the proceedings in said cause
is the following entry in this cause to wit -

The People Ex Rel
George Dixon

vs
Bela Shaw Newton Crawford
Harry R Spaulding & Bradford McKim

And now on this
day comes the States Attorney in behalf of the People
and on affidavit of the Relator herein prays the Court
for a change of Venue in this cause and the
Court being fully advised on said motion
Orders that the Cause herein be and the same
is hereby changed to the County of Stephenson
and it is further ordered by the Court that the
Clerk of the Court Transmitt to the Clerk of
County Court for the County of Stephenson
all the papers connected herewith together
with a complete Transcript of the Record herein

And afterward I do wit on the 29th day of March
AD 1850 an entry is made upon the Records
of the Circuit Court of the County of Stephenson
in and above entitled cause in words and figures
following to wit

The People Ex Rel Geo Dixon
vs
Bela Shaw & als

Now at this day comes the States Attorney for
the Eleventh Judicial Circuit in behalf of the
People and moves the Court for leave to file information
herein in the nature of a Quo Warranto, and
thereupon the said Defendants appear by James
Murchy their Attorney, and resist the said
Motion. And the Counsel for said parties being
heard by the Court, It is considered by and
ordered by the Court that the States Attorney have
leave to file an information in this Court
according to this application in this behalf.

And afterwards Dunt on the 30th day of March
A.D. 1850 an information was filed in this Court, with the
Clerk of said Circuit Court of the County of
Clerkerson signed. Which said information
is in the words and figures following To wit

In the Stephens County Court.
By change of Venue from the County of Winnebago
Of the Term of March in the
Year of our Lord one thousand
Eight hundred and fifty.

Stephens County To

Phineas M. Plath,
States Attorney at Large in the County of Winnebago
of the People of the State of Illinois for the said
County duly appointed by the Court in the absence
of Henry Plath Circuit Attorney of the Eleventh
Judicial Circuit of the said State who sued for
the said People in this behalf, comes here and the
Circuit Court for the County of Stephens at
the Court house in Freeport in the said County
on the 30th day of March in the Year of our Lord
one thousand Eight hundred and fifty and for
and in the name and by the authority of the
said People of the State of Illinois at the relation
of George Dixon of Rockford in the County of
Winnebago according to the form of the Statute
in such case made and provided, gives the said
Court here to understand and be informed,
That in and by a certain act of General Assembly
of the State of Illinois passed on the twenty fifth
day of February in the Year of our Lord one thousand
Eight hundred and forty seven entitled "An act
for the maintenance of the Rockford Bridge". It
was among other enacted as follows, that is
to say "A special Tax is hereby authorized
to be levied upon the taxable property in Rockford

precinct in the County of Wilmot for the purpose
of repairing and maintaining the Bridge ~~to be~~
across Rock River at Rockford, and defray the
debt incurred in its erection and repair.
And that in an other part of the said act, it
is enacted as follows that is to say 'Newton
Brownford Bela Shaw Ephraim Wymond
and Daniel McKinney are hereby appointed
Bridge Commissioners of the Rockford Bridge.
And said Commissioners, and their Successors are
hereby appointed a body corporate and politic by
such name and Style and are hereby vested with
power to declare the amount of tax to be levied on
the taxable property of Rockford Precinct aforesaid'
(meaning the said Special tax. And that in
an other part of said act it is enacted as follows
that is to say. 'Said Commissioners are hereby
authorised to appoint a Treasurer to take
charge of the funds collected. and are also
empowered to apply the proceeds of such tax
to the maintenance and repair of the Rockford
Bridge and to defray the amount of indebtedness
incurred in erecting and repairing such Bridge'
And that in another part of the said act it
is enacted as follows that is to say. 'Said
Commissioners are hereby required to keep said
Bridge in a good and safe state of repair as
far as the funds produced by such tax will
warrant'. And that in an other part of the
said ~~act~~ act it is enacted as follows that is
to say 'An Election for four commissioners under
this act shall be held at the next General

election, on the first Monday in August
Eighteen hundred and forty seven, and every
year thereafter, be elected by the legal voters
of Rockford precinct as in and by the said
act well more fully and at large appear
And that the said act still remains unrepealed,
And that Bela Shaw Newton Comfort Harry
N. Spaulding and Bradford McKinney all
of Rockford aforesaid in the County of Winnebago
aforesaid for the space of one hundred and twenty
days now last past and more without any
legal warrant, right or title whatsoever
have used and exercised and still do use
and exercise the said Office of Bridge
Commissioners of the Rockford Bridge to
wit at Rockford aforesaid in the County of
Winnebago aforesaid, and that each of them
have used and exercised, and still do use
and exercise the Office of such bridge
Commissioners, to wit at aforesaid and in the
County aforesaid, and that the said Bela
Shaw, Newton Comfort Harry N. Spaulding
and Bradford McKinney for and during all
the space of time last above mentioned, without
any legal warrant right or title whatsoever
at Rockford aforesaid in the County of Winnebago
aforesaid have claimed and still do claim to
be bridge Commissioners of the Rockford Bridge
and have acted and exercise under the act
aforesaid and each of them so claimed
and still do claim to be one of the said
Bridge Commissioners of the Rockford Bridge

appointed by a certain other act of the
General Assembly of the State of Illinois
passed on the twenty fifth day of February
in the Year of our Lord one thousand eight
hundred and forty seven entitled "An act
to provide for the Maintenance of the Rockford
Bridge" Doth at Rockford aforesaid in the
County of Winnebago aforesaid, and that each
of them hath used and exercised and still
doth use and exercise the Office powers and
rights of such Bridge Commissioners Doth
at the place and in the County aforesaid
and that the said Bela Shaw Newton Crawford
Harry N Spaulding, and Bradford M. Hinney
for and during all the space of time last above
mentioned without any legal warrant right
or title whatsoever at Rockford aforesaid in
the County aforesaid have claimed and still
do claim to be the Bridge Commissioners of
the Rockford Bridge under the last mentioned
act act and each of them hath claimed and
still doth claim to be one of the said Bridge
Commissioners and have and have used
and exercise all the powers and rights and duties
appertaining to such Bridge Commissioners,
which said Office powers and rights the said
Bela Shaw Newton Crawford Harry N Spaulding
and Bradford M. Hinney for and during the
whole time last above mentioned upon the
said People of the State of Illinois have been
usurped intruded into and unlawfully held
and executed and still do usurp and unlawfully

hold and execute, and each of them have
assumed into and unlawfully held and
executed and still do so usurp and unlawfully
hold and execute. Done at Rockford aforesaid
in the County of Winnebago aforesaid, in
contempt of the said People of the State of
Illinois, and to their great damage
and prejudice and also against the peace
and dignity of the same People of
Whereupon the said States Attorney for the
said People prays the consideration of the
Court here in the premises, and that due
process of Law may be awarded against the
said Belva Plummer Newton Crawford Henry
N Shumlding and Bradford Mc Shinnery Compel
them and each of them to answer to the said
People and to show by what warrant or
authority they claim, and each and either
of them respectfully claims it should have
use and exercise the Office of jurors and rights
aforesaid

P. W. Platt States Attorney
Per tem

And afterwards Dint on the 9th day
of September A.D. 1831 at September Term
of said Court. in the records of the proceedings
thereof is the following entry Dint

The People of the State of
Illinois Ex Rel George Dixon

vs
Bela Shungals }

Was at the
day came the defendants by their attorney
and enter their appearance herein

And afterwards Dint on the 14th day
of September A.D. 1832 upon the Records of
said Circuit Court of Stephenson County
of the proceedings in the above entitled
cause is the following entry Dint

The People of the State of Illinois
Ex Rel George Dixon

vs
Bela Shungals }

On Motion of
Plaintiffs by their attorney It is ordered
by the Court that defendants plead to the
information in this cause by Tuesday
morning next,

And afterwards Dint
on the 21st day of September A.D. 1832

The Defendants by their attorney file the following plea in this Cause which is in words and figures following To wit

Stephenson vs. Hunt

Pela Shaw Newton Crawford
vs. Bradford M. Kimney
Henry N. Spaulding

ads.

The People ex. Rel.
George Dixon.

And the said Defendants by J. Murish their attorney come and defend the wrong and injury wherein & whereof, and protesting that the said information and the matters and things therein contained are insufficient in law and that they need not nor are they obliged by the Law of the Land to answer thereto, yet for a plea in this behalf they say that the People their information aforesaid ought not to have and maintain because they say that under the former provisions of an act of the General Assembly of the State of Illinois, entitled "An Act to provide for the Maintenance of the Rockford Bridge", approved February 25, 1847, the said Pela Shaw & Newton Crawford together with Ephraim Wyman & Daniel M. Kimney were appointed "Bridge Commissioners of the Rockford bridge" and they the said

Commissioners & their Successors were in
and by the said Act enacted, declared
and appointed a body corporate, and politic
to continue and have Successors, as by
the said Act of the General Assembly of the
People of the State of Illinois, referred being
thereto last, well among other things more
fully and at large appear. And the said
defendants further say that by force of the
said Act of the General Assembly and of the
provisions thereof the said Bela Shaw Newton
Samuel Ephraim Hyman and Daniel
McKinney did do, act and perform the
duties and exercise the powers and privileges
of such "Bridge Commissioners of the Rock
River Bridge" as they lawfully might from and
after the time of their appointment aforesaid
until the first Monday in August in the
said Year 1847. And the said Defendants
further say that in & by said Act, among
other things it was provided in the third
section thereof that "an election for four
commissioners under the Act shall be held
at the next general Election on the first
Monday in August Eighteen Hundred and
Forty Seven, and every Year thereafter, to be
elected by the legal voters of Rockford Precinct, and
at all such Elections the two candidates
residing on the East Side of Rock River having
the highest number of votes, and the two
Candidates residing on the West Side of Rock
River receiving the highest number of votes

shall be declared duly elected."

And the said Defendants further say that afterwards to wit on the second day of August in the Year of our Lord 1847 being the first Monday in said August in Tennessee and by virtue of said third Section of said Act an election was held in said Rockford Precinct by the legal voters of said Rockford Precinct to wit in said County of Warrsburg at the Court House in said Rockford Precinct for the choice of such four Commissioners at which said Election the said Bela Shaw & Newton Crawford and the said Ephraim Wyman were in due form of Law elected by the said legal voters of Rockford Precinct Arch Commissioners the said Newton Crawford and Ephraim Wyman residing on the West side of Rock River and the said Bela Shaw residing on the East side of Rock River. And at said Election the said Daniel McSherry and one Charles Works both residing on the East side of Rock River received an Equal number of votes and a greater number than any other person residing on the said East side of Rock River next to the said Bela Shaw.

And these Defendants further say that on the seventh day of August 1848 being the first Monday thereof an election for such four Commissioners was held at the Court House in said Rockford Precinct and the said Newton Crawford & Ephraim Wyman residing on the West side of Rock River

and the said Bela Shaw and one Luke
Doshin were at such Election duly in due
form of Law in pursuance and by force of
the provisions of said act elected such form
Commissioners, and these defendants further
say that afterwards to wit on the sixth
day of August 1819 being the first day
of said August an election was held
at the Court House in said Rockford Precinct
in said County of Winnebago by the legal
votes of said Rockford Precinct, for the choice
of such form Commissioners under and by
virtue of the provisions of said act, and at
said last mentioned Election the said defen-
dants Bela Shaw & Bradford McKimney
residing on the East Side of said Rock River
having received the highest number of votes
at such Election were duly in due form of
Law elected by the legal votes of said Rockford
Precinct, and the said Defendants
Newton Crawford & Harry W. Spaulding
residing on the West Side of said Rock River
having received the highest number of
votes at such Election were duly in due
form of Law elected by the legal votes of
said Rockford Precinct such form Commissioners.

And the said Defendants
further say that from and after the said
last mentioned first Monday in August
by virtue of their said Election as Bridge
Commissioners of the Rockford Bridge
and by force and virtue of said act of the

General Assembly of the people of the
People of the State of Illinois they having
taken the oath required by said act did
enter into the said Office of Bridge Commissioners
of the Rockford Bridge and have without
Doubt from and after the said last mentioned
first Monday in August until the filing
of the said information held the said Office
and continued to exercise and perform
the powers and duties thereof as they lawfully
might do, and as they were required by the
provisions of the act aforesaid &c. all
which several matters and things they the
said Defendants are ready to verify &c
wherefore they pray judgment &c
J. Marsh
Atts Counsel

And afterwards to wit on the 25th day of
September A.D. 1852 upon the Records of said
Court of the County of Stephenson of the proceedings
& in the above entitled cause is the following
entry to wit

The People of the State of Illinois
Ex Rel George Dixon
vs
Bela Shum & als } Qui Warranto
information

By agreement of parties
on file It is Ordered by the Court, that the

venue in this cause be changed to the County of Winnebago. It is therefore ordered by the Court that the Clerk of this Court transmit to the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the County of Winnebago all the papers connected herewith together with a complete Transcript of the Records herein. And it is further ordered that the said Cause be there tried as if the same had originated in the Winnebago County Circuit Court.

And afterwards & wit on the 16th day of May AD 1853 the said Plaintiffs by their Attorney came and filed their Answer to the Defendants plea herein with the Clerk of the Winnebago County Circuit Court, which said Answer is in the words & figures following to wit

In the Circuit Court

The People ex Rel George Aron
vs
Bela Shaw Newton Crawford }
Harry N Spaulding & Bradford Perkins }

And William P Brown States Attorney for the fourteenth Judicial Circuit who prosecutes in this behalf for the said People of the State of Illinois, say & that the said Plea of said Defendants by them above pleaded

and the matters and things therein contained
in manner and form as the same are above
pleaded and the matters therein contained
~~in manner and form as the same are above~~
pleaded and set forth are not sufficient in
law to bar or preclude the said People from having
and maintaining their aforesaid information
against the said Defendants, and that he the
said States Attorney in behalf of the said People
is not bound to answer the same. And thus he is
ready to verify wherefore for want of a sufficient
plea in this behalf the said States Attorney
brings judgment in the premises for the said
People against the said Defendants, and that
the said Defendants may be ousted and removed
from the said Office of Bridge Commissioners
of the Rockford Bridge, and may be fined for
their usurping intruding into and unlawfully
holding and executing the said Office of
Bridge Commissioners and that the said George
Orison Belator as aforesaid may recover his
costs of prosecution &c.

And the said States Attorney shews to
the Court here the following courses of Omines
to the said plea: that is to say, for that the
said Defendants have not in and by their said
plea alleged that they were elected by the legal
voters of Rockford precinct at an election for
that purpose held at a General Election
according to the provisions of the said act in that
behalf. But on the contrary thereof the said plea
shews unto that the said Defendants were elected

at a time when no General Election was
or could be held in this State

And also for that the said Defendants
have in and by their said plea alleged that
they were duly in due form of law elected
such Bridge Commissioners as aforesaid, Yet
they have not shown by whom the said Election
was held or the form or manner thereof, or
whether they were declared elected, or whether
were elected at the proper place so as to enable
this Court to judge whether they were duly elected

William A Brown

States Attorney

And on the same day to wit the 6th day of May
A.D. 1833 at the April Term of said Winnebago
County Circuit Court in the Record of the proceedings
thereof in this Cause is the following entry to wit

The People of the State of
Illinois Esq. George Disoro

vs

Belu Shum Newton Crawford

Harry N Spaulding and Rufus McKim

This day comes William A Brown States
Attorney for the Fourteenth Judicial Circuit
who prosecutes for the People in this behalf
and files his demurrer to the plea of the Defendants
to the information in this Cause, and the said
demurrer having been submitted to the Court

the same is overruled. It is therefore awarded
and ordered by the Court that the said People
take nothing by their said information, and
that the said Defendants go thereof without day
and it is further ordered and awarded by the
Court that the said Defendants have and
recover against the said Relator their costs
and charges hereunto expended and that they
have execution therefor

State of Illinois

County of Vermilion

J. A. Shafford

Clk of the Circuit Court in & for said
County do certify the foregoing to be a true
copy of the pleadings & Record in the
above entitled cause so far as I have been
directed to set them forth by the Plaintiff
in Error

In Testimony whereof I
hereunto set my hand and
Affix the Seal of said Court
at Rushford the 4 day of
July A.D. 1833

J. A. Shafford

Fees for Transcript
\$ 9.25

And now, to wit on the second Monday in June,
in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred
and fifty three, before the justices of the Supreme Court
of the People of the state of ~~Minnesota~~, at the court house in
Ottawa, in the county of La Salle, comes ~~Wm Wallace~~
states attorney of the ninth judicial Circuit of the said
state, who prosecutes for the said People in this behalf,
and says, that in the record and proceedings aforesaid,
and in the rendition of the judgment aforesaid, there is
manifest error, in this to wit that the said Circuit Court
for the county of Winnebago ought to have sustained
the said demand of the said People, and not to have
overruled the same. And there is also error in this
that the said Circuit Court ought to have sustained the said demand for all and
singular the causes therein set forth, and also there is error in this
that by the record and proceedings aforesaid, it appears
that judgment therein was given for the defendants therein,
~~where~~ against the said People, whereas by the Law of the
land the same ought to have been given in favor of
the said People against the said defendants. Where-
fore the said ~~Wm Wallace~~ states attorney as aforesaid,
~~in the name~~ in behalf of the said People, prays that
the judgment aforesaid, for the errors aforesaid, and for
other errors in the said record and proceedings being, may
be reversed, annulled, and altogether holden for naught, and
that the said People may be restored to all things which they
have lost by occasion of the said judgment, &c.

Wm Wallace
States atty -

Francis Burrick,
of counsel for relator.

6
Supreme Court,

The People of the State of
Illinois vs. George Dixon
vs.

Bela Shaw,
Norton Crawford,
Harry M. Spelling and
Bradford McKim;

Writ of Error, Return and
Assignment.

Filed July 5-1853.
C. C. Landrum

Burnap.

And the said defendants by J. Marsh
their Attorney come & say in reply
Exemption Est —

J. Marsh.

Atty Geo Defts in Error

Supreme Court.

People & rel. Dixon }
vs. }
Shaw and others. }

Brief.

1. The Rockford Bridge act, S. S. 1847, authorises an election of Bridge commissioners at a general election only, and not at ~~a special election~~. ~~§2~~ any other election, §3.

2. The term "general election" is equivocal, being strictly and in general applied to the biennial elections. Old Constitution, R. S. 31 §2, election of senators and representatives; Election of Governor, R. S. 33 §2; Of Sheriff and coroner, R. S. 34, §11. Of Lieutenant governor R. S. 34, §13. R. S. 215, §§6, 7, 8, 10. Vacancies, R. S. 221, §32, 34. Election of probate justice. R. S. 426 §2.

3. The election however always being held on the first Monday in August of every year, ~~the elections~~ all such elections were sometimes called general elections. R. S. 131 §6. ^{July 24, 1847} For this reason, after the words "next general election," in the third section of the Rockford Bridge act, there were inserted the words, "on the first Monday in August, eighteen hundred and forty seven" to show that the first election was to be in 1847, instead of 1848, when the first general election properly speaking, was to be held.

4. The new constitution changes the general election from the first Monday in August to the Tuesday next after the first Monday of November, ~~sess. 1. 1849, p. 17 §9. Same page 71, §2.~~ and these general elections are to be held biennially, ^{in the even years.} ~~commencing in 1850.~~ Session Laws 1849, p. 17, §9. Page 71, §2. The session laws of 1849, however, provide an election corresponding with the old election of county commissioners, on the first Monday of November 1849, Page 62 §2. 63 §7. 65 §§16 17.

5. The Rockford Bridge Commissioners, after 1848, ^{new const. 2. 2, 1849, p. 26, §16.} must have been elected at one of the elections last above referred to, or else at a special election. No special election is authorized by the act, and it does not provide any machinery by which a special election ~~could~~ could be held. It does not provide for notice, as in case of all general and special elections. R.S. 215, §10, ^{§11} and there is no person to give any such notice; nor for an order as in case of vacancy in the office of justice of the peace, R. S. 314, §4. Probate justice 2. 2, 1849 page 185 §2.

6. The material thing contemplated ~~in the election~~ of ~~commissioners~~, by the Rockford Bridge act, was that ^{in the commissioners} they should be elected ~~at a general election~~, when the voters were present, ^{at a general election} and the election board was organized. Besides, ^{that} the day of ~~the~~ and month for the first election being ^{was} given to show what was meant

by the first general election, ~~only~~ as a general rule the time or particular day for the election of corporate officers is directory only. 2 Kent's Com. 275. McCall vs. Byram Manufacturing Company. 6 Com. 438. People vs. Johnson 9 Johnson 157.

7. The plea ~~sets~~ alleges that the election was held at the court house in the precinct; but it does not shew why it was held there; nor that the court house was the place appointed by the county commissioners court, for holding elections in the precinct R. S. 215 §7.

8. The plea alleges that the election was held by the legal voters of the precinct, but does not shew how they proceeded; whether the commissioners were elected by acclamation, or by ballot, or who presided. Code on Informations, §49, 355.

9. It does not shew ^{that} ~~by whom~~ they were declared elected, as the third section of the act required, Code on Informations 350, 356. This was necessarily expressly made necessary by the act, §3.

10. The plea avers that the defendants were duly elected. This is not sufficient. The defendants are required to shew their title, and the greatest certainty

the courts ought to be vigilant, and see that every requisite of the law is ~~complied with~~ strictly complied with. ~~Rockford Bridge Act &c.~~

15. The Rockford Bridge Commissioners were authorized to levy a tax of fifty cents ~~on \$100~~ upon \$1.00, on the taxable property in the precinct, and to apply the proceeds of the tax to the maintenance and repair of the Rockford Bridge, and to defray the indebtedness incurred in its ~~erection~~ and ~~upon~~ erecting and repairing it; and were required to keep the bridge "in a good and safe state of repair" as far as the funds provided by such tax will warrant. — When the proceeds of that tax were expended ~~there~~ ~~for~~, the functions of the Bridge Commissioners were at an end, and they ceased to be a corporation. They should therefore in their plea have shown that the proceeds of the first tax were not expended.

Supreme Court.

People & rel. Dicks

vs.

Shaw and others.

Briefs

Barnes

In the Supreme Court.

Ottawa }
County, ss. }

It writ of error for the People of the state of Illinois at the relation of George Dixon against Bela Shaw, Weston Crawford, Harry W. Spalding and Bradford McKenney, as upon complaint of the said George Dixon, directed to the clerk of the Circuit Court for the county of Winnebago, commanding him to certify a transcript of the record and proceedings in a certain cause lately depending in the said Circuit Court wherein the said People of the said state of Illinois at the relation of the said George Dixon were plaintiffs and the said Bela Shaw, Weston Crawford, Harry W. Spalding and Bradford McKenney were defendants.

Francis Burnap,
for said relator.

An writ of scire facias to hear errors in the same directed to the sheriff of Winnebago County.

Francis Burnap
for said relator.

In the Supreme Court.

The People of the state of Illinois
at the relation of George Dixon

vs.

Bela Shaw, Newton Crawford,
Harry W. Spalding and Bradford McKimney.

Two warrants.

I do hereby enter myself
security for costs in this cause, and acknowledge my-
self bound to pay or cause to be paid, all costs which may
acome in this action, either to the opposite party or any
of the officers of this court, in pursuance of the laws of
this state. Dated this thirtieth day of May, 1853.

Francis Burnap.

6
Supreme Court.

The People of the state of Illinois
Dixon

vs.

Bela Shaw,
Newton Crawford,
Harry W. Spalding and
Bradford McKimney.

Præcipe.

Filed May 30. 1853.

J. Deland Clerk.

Burnap.

STATE OF ILLINOIS, }
Supreme Court.

The People of the State of Illinois,

To the Sheriff of the County of *Winnebago* - Greeting:

BECAUSE in the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the circuit court of *Winnebago* - county, before the Judge thereof, between *The People of the State of Illinois at the relation of George Dixon, plaintiffs - and Bela Shaw, Newton Crawford, Harry St. Spalding & Bradford Mc Kenney* - defendants, it is said that manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the said

plaintiffs

as we are informed by *their* complaint, the record and proceedings of which said judgment we have caused to be brought into our Supreme Court of the state of Illinois, at Ottawa, before the justices thereof, to correct the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; therefore we command you, that by good and lawful men of your county, you give notice to the said *Bela Shaw, Newton Crawford, Harry St. Spalding & Bradford Mc Kenney* -

that *they* be and appear before the justices of our said supreme court, at the next term of said court, to be holden at Ottawa, in said state, on the *2nd* Monday in *June* - next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and the errors assigned, if *they* - shall see fit; and further to do and receive what said court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom you shall give the said *Shaw, Crawford, Spalding & Mc Kenney* - notice, together with this writ.

Witness, the Hon. SAMUEL H. TREAT, Chief Justice of our said Court, and the seal thereof, at Ottawa, this *thirtieth* day of *May* - in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty *three*.

L.eland Clerk of the Supreme Court.

6
The People ex. rel. Dixon

vs.
Bela Shaw et als.

Sci. Fa.

To June Term 1853.

I have served the within
writ by reading the same
to the within named

Bela Shaw Breckinridge McC-

Henry D. Norton Crawford

the 3^d day of June A.D. 1853

Harry N. Humphreys is not found
in my County

Sworn 1.50

Sub 15

Sub. Court 10

\$1.75

J. H. Milliken Sheriff

Win. Co. Ark

Filed July 19, 1853.
Holland C. D.

Received the writ June 3^d 1853
of James B. Smith - Atty
J. H. Milliken Sheriff

In the Supreme Court.

The People ~~vs~~ ^{vs.} rel. George Dixon

Bela Shaw, Newton Crawford,
Harry M. Spalding and Bradford McKenney,

Error to Winnebago.

It is hereby agreed and stipulated by and between the above entitled cause, that no proceedings shall be had in the said cause, other than filing the record therein, ~~until the sixth day of~~ and assigning errors, until the sixth day of July next; and that upon the said day, the said record and assignment of errors having been filed, joinder in error shall be filed for the above ~~named~~ ^{or soon thereafter} defendants in the said cause, on the said day. Dated 13 June 1853.

Francis Barnard,
Attorney for Relator.

Saml. Marsh
for Defs.

⁶
Supreme Court

Pechre & nat. Dig-on

vs.

Bela Snow and others.

Stipulation.

Filed June 18. 1853.
Melrose C.D.