

No. 8538

Supreme Court of Illinois

Donaldson et al

---

vs.

Holmes et al

---

71641  7

State of Illinois<sup>1859</sup>  
Peculiar County<sup>3</sup>

Pleas at South Caledonia, before the  
Circuit Court of Said County, on  
Monday the eleventh day of  
April, in the year of our Lord one  
One thousand eight hundred and  
fifty nine

Be it remembered, that  
hereof, to wit, on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of April,  
in the year one thousand eight hundred  
and fifty eight, came James Holmes  
and Charles G. McKivin partners  
by William Hunter their attorney, and  
filed their Petition for Sinecure  
against John Donaldson and the  
Emporia Real Estate and Manufa-  
cturing Company defendants,  
which said Petition is on these words,

State of Illinois, Circuit Court April  
Peculiar County, 3 term A.D. 1859

Petition for Sinecure

To the honorable Merlin Sloan sole  
judge of the Circuit Court of Peculiar  
County State of Illinois in Chancery  
Sitting. Your petitioners James  
Holmes and Charles G. McKivin partners  
at trade under the name and style  
of Holmes and McKivin herself-  
selfly represent to your honor that  
on or about the 26<sup>th</sup> day of April  
A.D. 1859 John Donaldson Jr formerly  
of this state but not now a resident  
of the state of Illinois being of the owner  
of the premises hereinafter described

Potomac  
Contract

, Namely lot number 39 or block number  
4 range 1, in Emporium plot of  
Marion City in the County of Marion  
State of Illinois. Then and there entered  
into a contract with your said petitioners  
of which contract your petitioners agreed  
to build and erect for the said person  
Dudson Jr to the extent herein specified  
and furnish all materials therefor, a sum  
on lot 39 of range one in block 4 or  
frame building two stories high to be  
set on blocks, the height of the grade  
of the city - feet long by twenty  
feet wide from door to door, with  
seven windows, frame to contain  
measures 10 by 14 glass, with two  
back doors and one outside Stairway  
to the 2<sup>d</sup> story, and three sets of back  
doors in front, the gable end point  
to be running square, to this extent  
your petitioners were to rain weather  
board and roof consider roof tiles  
Dudson leave by or before the sum  
due of lots 1837 of the Emporium  
Real Estate and Manufacturing  
Company and the said Dudson  
agreed for in consideration that  
of the work promised them agreed  
to pay upon the completion of the  
work above specified on or before  
the said sum of one thousand  
four hundred dollars, and upon the  
payment thereof the said Dudson  
making further bound themselves  
to complete the said building and

have the same ready for occupancy as soon as possible thereafter, including a floor or under floor running up through the centre of the house, a plank partition in each story in the centre of the building, so as to make each room the same size with a door in each partition, to be placed on one side and on a walls of the building, glazed throughout and painted on the front exterior and inside on the frame and on the completion thereof the said John Donaldson Jr. to pay your petitioner five hundred dollars more. Your petitioner further represent that they have by virtue of said contract performed the labor and furnished the materials as specified in the said contract that the materials were used in the erection of and became a part of said building. Your petitioner further represent that the said John Donaldson Jr. has not paid any part of the consideration herein specified and that the same is still due and unpaid. Your petitioner further represent that Emporium Real Estate and Manufacturing Company claim title to the premises above described. Your petitioner therefore prays that the said Company above named may be made a party defendant to this petition and that process of summons

be made  
Party

(4)

"May you from under the seal of this Honor  
able court against the said Emporium  
Real Estate and Manufacturing Company  
and that notice by publication be given to  
the said John Donaldson Jr. That each of the  
said defendants may be required to make  
full, true, and sufficient answer to all the  
matters and things herein set forth, your  
petitioners waiving the requirement of  
the Statute that the same should be made  
under oath, that they may each set forth  
the nature and amount of their several  
claims and interests in the said premises  
and upon the final hearing of this  
cause, an order for the sale of the said  
premises at an early day may be  
made and the proceeds applied to the  
satisfaction of the said claim of your  
petitioners and that your Honor will  
grant such other and further relief  
as equity and good conscience may  
require

Mr Hunter Atto  
for petitioners

Said at another day, to wit, on the 10<sup>th</sup>  
day of January 1839 a writ of summons  
was issued out of said circuit court, against  
the said defendants which summons,  
with the endorsement and the return thereon  
made, is to the words and figures following:

Summons of State of Illinois, to the People of the State of Illinois  
to wit, to Palatine County to the Sheriff of Palatine County  
Greeting We command you to summon  
John Donaldson Jr and the Emporium Real

District and Manufacturing Company of to be  
found in your County to appear before the Circuit  
Court of Calais County, on the first day of the  
next term thereof, to be held at the Court  
House in N. Caledonia on the Second Monday  
in the month of April next, to answer James  
Holmes and Miles L. Wurwiss partners in  
trade under the name and style of Holmes  
& Wurwiss a certain Action in said Court  
filed for Michaelis Green in amount \$500.<sup>00</sup>  
against Certain Property mentioned and also  
held in said action and hereof make due  
return to our said court at the law directs  
Witness, Jas M. Davidge Clerk of our  
said Court, and the judicial seal  
thereof at N. Caledonia this 1st day  
of January A.D. 1859

Jas. M. Davidge Clerk

Endorsement

No 116, aff  
Calais Cir Court  
April Term 59

Jas Holmes,  
Miles L. Wurwiss

John Donaldson et al.

And afterwards to wit, on the 29th  
day of January A.D. 1859, came the Plaintiff  
before his attorney and filed in  
the Clerk's office of said Circuit Court a certain  
affidavit, which said affidavit with  
the endorsement thereon made, & in these  
words and figures, viz (over)

Holmes & Mukrin, Circuit Clerks of Palatine  
Affidavit as County Court Term A.D. 1859  
to wit John Donaldson

Petition for Mechanics Lien

William Hunter attorney for Plaintiff being  
duly sworn deposes on oath that John Don-  
aldson defendant in this cause resides  
and of the State of Illinois so that process  
cannot be served upon him he therefore  
prays that notice by publication be given  
to the said defendant of the pecuniary of  
this suit

Sunday the 27<sup>th</sup> day of January A.D. 1859

At the office of Holmes & Mukrin

Judgement

No 116

Holmes & Mukrin

John Donaldson  
defendant

Salem Jan 29<sup>th</sup> 1859

J.M. Davidge Clerk.

Whereupon Notice of Publication issued  
from said Circuit Clerks Office which said  
Notice was published in the Palatine Advertiser  
Printer, with the Publishers Certificate there  
attested, as in the words and figures follow-  
ing

Seal of Illinois. Palatine Co. - set

Notice

A.D. 1859. Circuit Court,

Second Monday of the Month

James Holmes and  
Ales S. Mukrin

partners under the name  
and style of *Holmes & McKivie* Petition  
for  
as Mechanics Lien  
John Donaldson.

Served and having been  
made and filed in the clerk's office of the LaSalle  
County Court, showing that the defendant,  
John Donaldson, resides out of the State of  
Illinois.

The said defendant is hereby notified that  
said plaintiffs have filed their bill for damages  
against certain property therein described,  
that process of summons therein has been  
issued, returnable on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of the next  
term of the circuit court of said county, to  
be helden as of record at the courthouse  
in North Caldonia. And unless your  
the said defendant shall appear on the  
return day of summons, the bill will be  
taken as confessed and such order of the  
court made on the premises as may  
be expedient.

Jan 29, 1859

Jas. C. Davidge L.R.

Publisher State of Illinois, S. Inv. A. Waugh, Publisher  
LaSalle County, D<sup>o</sup> of the "Caldonia City Emporia"  
a weekly newspaper printed and published  
in Caldonia City, in LaSalle County, do hereby certify  
that the Subjoined advertisement was  
inserted in said paper the number of  
times required by law, to wit, first inserted  
on Feb<sup>r</sup> 8<sup>th</sup> 1859, 4<sup>th</sup> insertion February  
24, 1859

Jno. A. Waugh Publisher

Sun afterwards went, at a circuit  
and began and held in the courthouse  
as on the caption herein before transacted.  
And on Thursday the tenth day of the  
said term, the same being the 21<sup>st</sup> day  
of the said month came the defendant,  
The Emporium Real Estate and Manufacturing  
Company, as well as the said Plaintiff,  
At which the following order of the court  
and was made and entered of record  
viz:

John Holmes Mr. L. Mullins ~	<span style="font-size: 3em; font-weight: bold;">3</span> Pet for Bench Trial	On this day came John Donaldson for Emporium Real Estate Manufacturing Company Plaintiff vs Emporium Real Estate & Man Co. by T. Green their attorney & on his motion leave to file answer by tomorrow morning or greater, and the said defendant Donaldson is ruled to plead by tomorrow morning
------------------------------------	--	--

Sun thereafter was on  
the 11<sup>th</sup> day of the term, it being the  
22<sup>nd</sup> day of the month the defendant  
The Emporium Real Estate Manufacturing  
Company filed their Answer, which  
was Answered with the endorsement  
thereon, as on the words and figures  
following, viz:

Answered Emporium Real Estate & Manufacturing Company Plaintiff	<span style="font-size: 3em; font-weight: bold;">3</span> Plaintiff Answered Circuit Court, April Term
---	--

John Dimmick et al vs S. D. 1859

Answer of the Amherst  
Real Estate and Manufacturing Company  
which has been made a party on this  
suit to complainants petition

Said Company reserving to except  
all the imperfections, uncertainties and  
folo errors contained in complainants  
petition, for an answer says, That  
before said Donaldson employed said  
Holmes & Wurkwick to furnish materials,  
and build said house mentioned and  
described above in complainants petition,  
said Donaldson purchased or made  
a contract to purchase said lot men-  
tioned in complainants petition  
from said <sup>Amherst</sup> Company upon certain con-  
ditions, to wit, said Donaldson delivered  
certain pumping rods to said Company  
as a consideration for said lot mentioned  
in complainants petition and for a  
certain other lot, in said Bromfield,  
to wit, lot No. 40, in block, 4, in Amherst  
plot of said city and said Donaldson  
was to pay said rods at the same price  
due, which was in One, Two, and  
three years from their date which  
are all properly dated, and the last  
one will not fall due until the 17 day  
of April A.D. 1860, said rods were given  
for the sum of seventeen hundred and  
fifty six <sup>00</sup> dollars, one half of which  
sum said Donaldson agreed to pay  
for said lot mentioned in complainants  
petition on which said house mentioned

(10)

on said petition or erected,

Said Company further  
say, that said Donelson has never paid  
said notes or any one or any part thereof  
of said notes, and said Company also  
says, that said Company was not to be  
entitled to said Donelson a deer per  
said lot mentioned in said petition  
until said Donelson would pay all  
of said notes. Said Company further  
says, that said Donelson has no legal  
title to said lot, and that the legal rights  
to the same is absolutely in said Company  
and said Company also says, that said  
Donelson has no legal or equitable  
right to said lot mentioned in complaint  
ants petition - Said Emporium Compa-  
ny also denies that said Holmes &  
Wadrow, ever complied with any  
contract to furnish materials and  
build a house on said lot mentioned  
in complainants petition, and said  
Company denies that there is anything due  
the said complainants for materials  
furnished and work done in erecting a  
house on said lot mentioned in their  
petition, the said Company therefore  
ask, that said petition may be dismissed  
on a said Company may be allowed  
to reasonable costs and charges  
in this behalf and wrongfully statu-  
med

J. A. Green Esq for  
plaintiff

Endorsements

Holmes v. Wadrow

John Donaldson vs A.D.

Successor of the Emporium  
Real Estate and Manufacturing  
Company,

Oahu 22 day of April  
A D 1859

Suit at this day, on Saturday  
of the term, it being the 12th day thereof and  
the 24<sup>th</sup> day of the month of April A.D. 1859  
The following decree of record was so  
pronounced and made a matter of  
record theron, viz

James Holmes Pet for Much Less  
Peter L. Baldwin }  
vs

John Donaldson vs )  
Emporium Real Estate, ) came the plain  
Manufacturing Companyiffs, thereupon  
came the Emporium Real Estate & Manu Co  
and their answer being on file and  
the defendant's answer having  
been duly verified of the pendency  
of this cause & at the three times before  
only called cause and not made  
default, and thereupon the cause was  
heard before the court an interlocutory  
answer & proofs, and on the hearing  
whereof the court decree, that Donaldson  
or the Emporiumiff, pay the plaintiff  
the sum of seven hundred  
and twenty five dollars within

so days and on failure to do so shall  
 all the cost, wages, lets, claim, interest,  
 and demands of whatsoever nature  
 or kind of his said dependances or  
 to an acre lot number 39, in Block  
 Number 4 Range 1 in Emporia  
 plat of Mount City in the County of  
 Pawnee and State of Kansas together  
 with the frame building two stories  
 built recently twenty two feet wide  
 and situated to said lots subject and  
 amount of each of these said and the  
 balance of any to be paid to the Company  
 that James McDanap be and he is hereby  
 appointed Commrisoner to sell the same  
 that said sale be made in manner  
 & with like rules as in cases of sheriff  
 sales under execution, at Common  
 law and that Commissioner report  
 to next term when upon the defense  
 and of O'Algren their attorney  
 esquier and they are allowed today  
 to file bill of exceptions.

And thence forward to end,  
 as the Bills held in the office of the  
 Clerk of said court on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May A D 1859  
 said the dependances the  
 Emporia Real Estate and  
 Manufacturing Company and  
 filed their bill of caption which said  
 bill of caption and the endorsement  
 stand as in the words and figures following

(13)

James Holmes &  
Hiles L. Wickwire  
as  
John Donaldson

In Beloit County  
Circuit Court - April  
Term A.D. 1859,  
Petition for Mechanics  
Lien,

Be it remembered that when this  
case came up for trial, at the April Term  
of the Beloit County Circuit Court - That  
by consent of the parties the matter of both  
law and fact were submitted to the Court.  
This is a copy of a Petition for mechanics  
lien, filed by James Holmes and Hiles  
L. Wickwire against John Donaldson, and  
praying that the Emporium Real Estate  
and Manufacturing Company shall  
be made a party defendant. said Company  
came in and answered. - The said plaintiff  
to maintain the issue on their part,  
gave the following testimony  
to wit -

An action of assumpsit, entered into between  
John Donaldson of the first party and  
James and Wickwire, partners, of the  
second party. Date of action was  
27th day of April A.D. 1857, and was  
misdescription as follows:

Donaldson agreed to pay Holmes  
and Wickwire One Thousand (\$1000)  
for furnishing materials, and  
exerting a full hour of continuous labor.

number Thirty nine (39) in Block  
number four (4), Room One (1)  
Court in Second Street, County  
of Pennington and State of Illinois,  
in accordance with the plan  
and form, and acknowledge  
and record as follows:  
Lure Densmore ruin Twenty five  
(25) dollars in sum to Holmes  
and Mississ., and agree to pay  
him <sup>the</sup> sum of (25) dollars in the  
(A) month from the date of  
said summons, and the balance  
of the amount thereon on the  
expiration of said term.  
Lure Densmore was then signed  
by the parties - from Densmore,  
Holmes & Mississ.

Lure penitentiary intervenor Edward  
H. White as a witness, who testifies  
as follows - That he was a copier  
"in by trade and resided in Mound City -  
That said Densmore and Mississ.  
have put up the power of said firm  
for said Densmore - and enclosed  
the same, and notified him the  
powers of said firm were not furnished  
the intervenor for the sum  
work of said firm, and for  
recording the same and also for  
paying any fees and also for  
paying any thing else that had  
been done to any one that had  
and paying any one.  
This witness further testifies

your letter from Stevens & Melville  
have addressed to you from New  
York June 20<sup>th</sup> - sent Donaldson  
Letter from, in witness. That he  
(Donaldson) was sent over to go  
on and finish said house.  
and wrote him - the witness  
to such said house and paid  
it over him in the sum of  
the amount named being paid for  
his work, and for the materials  
necessary to finish said house,  
and witness further testifying  
that Stevens & Melville got him  
to make an estimation of what  
the work they had done, and  
the materials there being furnished  
there, was now - said witness  
testifying that it would take  
time, or even two months and  
fifteen (250) hours to make such  
an estimate according to the  
specification of said work by  
Donaldson and Stevens & Melville,  
and that the work now by the  
latter is as follows -  
and furnish him with the same  
sum necessary for his work.  
(\$750).  
said witness also testifying  
that you know as a witness, who  
testifying as follows. —

That is - Henry Hinman, at the  
request of John Donaldson, now

(10)

upon an article of Aspinwall, between  
said Donelson and Galt & McLean  
for the quantity of sand stone - they  
will assess & warrant their furnishing  
the sandstone required & will have  
rebus as compensation according to  
said agreement. This is to sustain  
"either all the expenses of said  
asphalt running,

The Commissioners Reckon  
and Representing Proprietary  
Aspinwall offered the following  
testimony -

First - A true bone or article of asphalt  
was taken near Donelson and  
Corporation were said to be  
Donelson &c. for the sum of \$100  
for their said asphalt (39+40) in  
Brown no. four (4) Runge on 11 East  
in Monroe City County of Indiana  
and state of Illinois which is  
in the town was as follows

"This criticism went from Donelson  
to us this 17th day of August, 1857  
presented by the Proprietary  
Real Estate & Manufacturing Co.  
two (2) lots of asphalt - lots 39+40  
in Brown no. 4 Runge no. 1 East  
in Monroe City County of Indiana  
state of Illinois, in amount  
not less than two thousand dollars  
and more and according to law  
as "Proprietary", how entitled  
to these several sums to the said

Summary of our present  
expenses, bearing interest at  
the rate of  
At present, per annum, as follows:  
No. 1 Date April 17th. 1857. at 1% per £522.66  
No 2 " " " " 2% " £522.67  
No 3 " " " " 3 do £522.67

The conditions of the above  
obligation are as follows:

That the Emperor Renate  
and his Government Company  
will immediately upon receipt of  
the sum of £522.67, pay him  
no more or less, that they  
will make it easier to be made  
a payment due in full  
for the taxes or powers of taxation  
arising in so soon as the payment  
shall have been made by him  
in accordance with the condition  
and terms of this. And it is  
further agreed that if the  
said £522.67 do not cover  
full or return down to any of  
the instruments, as appears  
at any time, to be necessary  
any, the said Emperor may  
at the requisition of Ninety  
days previous, by an order of  
his Board of Finance the sum  
and sum advanced without  
the intervention of any other  
decree of any Court, and this  
obligation shall force them  
to make up the sum paid.

(18)

and the sum due is now due  
or will now come up to our  
sums from all remittances,  
and we as yet have not had  
honor from you. I notice  
that with Emerson Real  
Estate and Manufacturing Company  
have made this able provision  
for contributions among themselves  
and among us - I hope  
and acknowledge by this paper  
that when the sum of the Contri-  
butions and advances by the Directors  
of your company will be ap-  
peared.

@ A. C. Fairbanks - President

Attn @ J. Goiswael, Deacon,  
Lots 37 & 40, Block 4 Lump 1 on file 56 Paul,  
Date 15-68.

Another book of mine later found  
there was a missive written  
in the same month following.

The terms of the mission certificate  
are with other documents. Donaldson  
applies to you with the same  
A. N. & M. Co. were in this case  
called to the rescue and their  
remittances from this date, April  
extending from time to time  
and other sums to date, now to  
be paid over to you in the sum  
in a sum now remitted in  
sums.

John Donaldson Jr.,  
Mount City W. Va. Apr. 17th 1851.

(19)

Am furnishing you copy in  
full with notes assenting  
in the above instrument and  
all in the names and figures  
furnishing following, Fowle,  
No. 1) \$522<sup>67</sup> 00 } Amerson Rutherford County NC.

April 17th 1857.

Am your affec son Amerson  
to pay to you or the Amerson  
River Estate and Manufacturing  
Company, from time and date  
mention two <sup>67</sup> 00 dollars, at the  
office of the County, with  
interest at the rate of six  
per cent per annum, until received.  
John Dinsdale

Montgomery NC

No. 2) \$522<sup>67</sup> 00 }

Amerson, Rutherford Co NC  
April 17th 1857

Am your son and affec son Amerson  
to pay to the execs of the Amerson  
River Estate and Manufacturing  
Company from time and date  
mention two <sup>67</sup> 00 dollars at the  
office of the County with  
interest at the rate of six per cent per annum  
until received,  
John Dinsdale.

No 3 }

Amerson Rutherford Co NC

April 17th 1857

Am your son and affec son Amerson  
to pay to you or the Amerson  
{85-33-10}

(70)

Paul Erdman and Company  
Company from Milwaukee and others  
who had access at the office of the  
Company with intent at the  
author before ever becoming  
known to him.

from Donaldson.

Dear Company Trustees  
I certify to you as a witness who  
testified as follows. That he was  
President of the Engineering  
Plan and Manufacturing  
Company at the time when  
Donaldson purchased land  
Nov 30th 1840, mentioned in the  
above instrument - that the  
first payment on said lots was  
received by you on the condition  
that the said Donaldson would  
execute for me power of attorney  
bearing upon it so in  
lats - that the execution to  
select steward on lot 39, and cause  
stairis as per your specification  
or stature. That said Donaldson  
had never paid a cent unless in  
said lots and that he has made  
full compensation without regard to the  
condition of said lots, and that  
he has for fifteen or sixteen years  
paid into your trust fund

two persons not connected  
 with Mr. Donelson but required  
 him (Harris) to sweep up the  
 before minimum amount  
 taken from Donelson were  
 Adams & Wickrath for the  
 furnishing donations and  
 building suit house, and that  
 he assumed his appearance simply  
 as a neutral & incorroborative  
 to said Donelson. And that said  
 Commission party was not  
 party to said agreement for  
 building suit house between  
 said Donelson and Adams  
 & Wickrath, was not exclusive  
 with, in any manner at all,  
 nor has it any thing whatever  
 to do with the law of debts but  
 made by said Commission  
 party. Is said Dr. M. Donaldson  
 said Commission party  
 also informed James Moore  
 as a witness who testified as  
 follows, - that he was then  
 Secretary of said Commission and  
 General & Manufacturing Company.  
 That Donelson had been promising  
 things on other waters friendly to said Dr. M.  
 "Lester to said Commission Co. for  
 said debts - that said Donelson  
 was positive an enemy to said  
 debts.

The above is not the testimony  
 of 1538-117

(79)

Instrument of the said Emporium  
Real Estate and Manufacturing Com-  
pany.

After all the above testimony  
was introduced, and the above  
facts made known to the Court, the  
Court decided a judgment in  
favor of Stevens & Donelson for  
the sum of Seven hundred and  
Twenty five dollars, and that  
the sum should be paid in full  
out of the sum given Donelson  
as the Emporium Real Estate  
and Manufacturing Company,  
and it was paid within their  
time, by the one in the name of  
their partners, their said property  
being in their hands, and there were  
no damages imposed on them  
and Stevens, before paying out  
the amount of seven hundred and  
the one hundred and twenty five dollars  
to the Emporium Real Estate and  
Manufacturing Company.  
Witness-- The said Emporium  
Real Estate & Manufacturing  
Company name is that of  
the said court, and the name  
of the Emporium Real Estate  
and Manufacturing Company  
is that of the said court  
name being (his) name was  
not so well known to me.

(80)

which is according to  
Wm. Daven Esq.

Endorsement on the Same

Holmes and Burkhardt

v

Jtm Donaldson  
esq

Bill of Exceptions

Ltda 23 May 1859

JM. Davidge

clerk

State of Illinois, vs.  
Piasoli County & James Davidge  
and others several towns or and for  
the said county doth certify that  
the foregoing paper contain a true  
and correct transcript from the files  
and records of my office of the State  
Summons with the endorsement and return  
thereto, affadavit and the endorsement  
theron, notice and publisher certificate  
made subjoined, order of the court,  
Answer of defendant, with endorsement  
theron, etc. And Bill of Exceptions  
with the endorsement theron in the  
foregoing above styled cause James  
Holmes and A. & H. Burkhardt vs John  
Donaldson and Company Plaintiff  
and Manufactures "Matthew Lien"

as fully wholly and completely as the  
same remains in said circuit  
clerk's office



In testimony whereof I hereby subscribe my  
name and affix my seal  
of said circuit court at  
office in Santa Fe New Mexico  
on this 9th day of April A.D.  
1839

James Danridge  
Clerk

Placitiff's Bill of Costs

Dok ser 10 l	and approx all 15	\$1-
Adv Bill & Luns for 40 l		40
Off 10 h notice to publishers of corona		80
Sub of fil 40 l Decr 40		80
Dok 15 Bkt 30 l Help & makers 10		55
Copy Decr 40 l Certificate 35 h		45
Copy bill 25 h Seal engr 15 h		40
		\$4.00
Wmatt & H. vehicle 4 days		4.00
Shpt fees Sew laundry 1.25		
" " sea sur Indapt 2.70		
Search for		1.25
A copy stat		
Form Passage dock		
		\$13.20

Defendants Bill of Costs

Two affidavits 10c each	20
Swear subservient 10c	10
Transcript 50 folios a 10	5.00
Certified & sealed 95c Bill 80c Apr 25	90
Postage to Minnesota	<u>21</u>
Attal	\$ 6.41
From Shedd & Clark	

A copy

Abai Washington  
Clark of Supreme  
Court

Sparkle Circassian

Transcript of Recd

Holmes Mulligan

D  
John Donaldson for  
Emporium Recd  
Dilute & Manufactur-  
ing Company

Peterboro N.H. Green

John Donaldson & Esttffs  
Emporium Real Estate & In Error,  
Manufacturing Company }  
v.

James Holmes & Defendants  
Niles L. Wickwire } In Error.

And the said Emporium  
Real Estate and Manufacturing  
Company now comes and  
says, that in the Decree  
and proceedings aforesaid,  
there is manifest error in  
this - to wit -

1. The Circuit Court erred in  
the opinion that John Donaldson  
held such an interest in  
said lot as was subject to  
a Mechanic's Lien, at the  
time said Holmes and  
Wickwire contracted with  
said Donaldson to furnish  
materials and erect  
said house.

2. The Circuit Court erred  
in the opinion, that John  
Donaldson held not absolutely  
forfeited all interest, of whatever

hines, he never is seen let  
before Neolmes and Wickwire  
filed their petition for  
said Mechanic's Licen.

3. The Circuit Court held in  
the opinion that John  
Dowdell was the agent  
of the said Emporium Real  
Estate and Manufacturing  
Company, over that said  
Company were responsible  
to Neolmes and Wickwire,  
as original Contractor, for  
the furnishing materials  
and erecting said house.

4. The Circuit Court held in  
rendering a Decree in favor  
of said Neolmes and Wickwire,  
when, by the laws of the Land,  
it should have been given  
in favor of said Emporium  
Real Estate and Manufacturing  
Company; wherefore the said  
Emporium Real Estate and  
Manufacturing Company  
prays that a Citation and  
Supersedeas may issue,  
and that the said Decree

may be reversed, annulled  
or set aside for nothing; or  
that the said Emporium  
Real Estate and Manufacturing  
Company, may be restored to  
all things which it has lost  
by reason thereof.

J. A. Green  
Atty for Appellants.

Donaldson & Emporium Company  
vs      Precipe Clercuit Court  
Holmes and Wickwire      of Pulaski County

{ Decree for Mechanics Lien,  
At the April term of  
Pulaski County Circuit-  
Court A.D. 1839.

Issue a writ of error to the Circuit-  
Court of Pulaski County, State of  
Illinois, against James Holmes,  
and Niles G. Wickwire, returnable  
at the proper time for the next-  
term of Supreme Court; also  
issue notice and citation to  
Defendants in Error. Also issue  
Supersedeas. J. A. Green

2000-17  
To Clerk of the  
Supreme Court. Atty for Pltf. in Error

No 22

John Donaldson &  
Emporium Real  
Estate Manufacturing  
Company  
Diffs in error

vs

James Holmes and  
Wiley L. McKivire  
Diffs in error

Errors to Peckarski

Tolsa July 6. 1859 -

A. Johnston CM

Prepared - \$5.00

Assignment of  
Errors.

Mr. Wm. J. C. with holding the record and  
the errors typed on the same I do  
allow the case - the Clerk will have  
the right here a Supersedeas on  
allowing County a time with Ross  
to file his account in the General Court  
of fifteen hundred dollars considered  
according to law July 6. 1859  
Other fees not yet due

# Abstract of Plaintiff in Error & Assign.

JOHN DONALDSON and EMPORIUM COMPANY } Plaintiffs in Error.  
vs.  
JAMES HOLMES and NILES L. WICKWIRE, } Defendants in Error.

This was a petition for a Mechanic's Lien, filed by Holmes & Wickwire, at the April term of the Pulaski Circuit Court, 1859, against John Donaldson, and praying that the Emporium Company might also be made a party defendant to said petition.

Pa. 13-14-15-

16

17

20-1

21

The plaintiffs proved that Donaldson entered into a written agreement with them, on or about the 27th of April, 1857, by which he was to pay them \$1,000 for furnishing materials and erecting a frame house, of certain dimensions, on a certain lot of ground in Mound City; \$25 was to be paid down, which was done, and \$500 in six months and the balance on completion of the work. They proved that they commenced the job, and furnished materials and performed work to the amount of seven hundred and fifty dollars and that they were prevented from completing the job by Donaldson not being able to comply with his part of the contract. Default was taken as to Donaldson; but the Emporium Company filed an answer, setting up amongst other things, that the lot on which said house was partially constructed, belonged to said Company; and proved that on the 17th day of April 1857, John Donaldson purchased lots, 39 & 40, in the Emporium plat of Mound City from said Company; that the Emporium Company executed to Donaldson a certificate of sale of said lots on the following conditions to wit: Donaldson was to pay said Company \$1568 for the two lots, and executed his three several promising notes for the same; one for \$522.66 payable in one year from date; one for \$522.67 payable in two years and one for the same amount as the last payable in three years. If Donaldson failed to pay either of said notes as they became due the Emporium Company reserved the right to absolutely rescind the contract by order of its Board of Directors, at the expiration of ninety days after such failure on the part of Donaldson. Donaldson failed to make the first payment, and the Emporium Company rescinded the contract according to the conditions of the certificate of sale.

There was a condition written on the back of said certificate of sale, requiring Donaldson to construct a frame house of certain dimensions, on one of said lots within one year from the date of the sale; the house partially executed by Holmes and Wickwire was on No. 39 of the above mentioned lots.

Hartzel Linner was President of the Emporium Company, at the time Donaldson purchased said lots and knew all about the conditions of the sales between the Emporium Company, and Donaldson for the lots; and he, also, at the request of Donaldson, and as a mere matter of accommodation to the parties drew up the agreement between Holmes and Wickwire and Donaldson for the furnishing materials and building said house, but he testifies that said sales were in nowise connected with each other. It was one year and ninety days from the time Donaldson purchased the lots until he forfeited his certificate of sale and the lots reverted back to the Emporium Company. Holmes and Wickwire furnished the material and partially erected the house immediately after Donaldson purchased the lots; but did not attempt to enforce their Lien until after Donaldson had absolutely forfeited all his right, and the lot had reverted back to the Emporium Company.

The Court decreed that Donaldson, or the Emporium Company should pay Holmes and Wickwire the sum of seven hundred and twenty-five (\$725) dollars, in sixty days, and if not paid within that time, that the property should be sold and Holmes and Wickwire be first paid out of the proceeds of such sale, and the overplus be paid to the Emporium Company.

The following errors are assigned.

1st. The court erred in decreeing that Donaldson or the Emporium Company should pay Holmes and Wickwire seven hundred and twenty-five dollars, or the property be sold and Holmes and Wickwire be paid first out of the proceeds of such sale.

2d. The court erred in the opinion that Donaldson ever had such an interest in said lot as was subject to a Mechanic's Lien; and, also, in the opinion that if he ever had such an interest, that it was not absolutely determined before Holmes and Wickwire attempted to enforce their Lien.

3d. The court erred in the opinion that Donaldson was the agent of the Emporium Company, and that said Company was responsible to Holmes and Wickwire on the contract entered into between Holmes and Wickwire and Donaldson for furnishing materials and building said house.

Authorities referred to:—Pringle's Statutes of Illinois, Chap. LXV. Part 11. Page 726. Secs. XVII & XX.

Calvin Steigleman, et. al. vs. A. McBride, 17 Illinois Page 300-1-2.

T. A. GREEN, Attorney for Plaintiff in Error.

69539-137

John Donaldson & First Frame  
Emporium Co. vs. Division of the  
Supreme Court of Illinois. No.  
Decr A.D. 1859.

The annexed Abstract contains  
a full and correct statement of  
the facts in the case.

1<sup>st</sup>. Donaldson could not have been  
the agent of the Emporium Co.  
because he purchased the lots  
from said Company and the  
Co. gave him free possession,  
and he had the absolute control  
of the same until he failed to  
comply with the conditions of the  
Certificate of Sale or little bond and  
was during ~~that time~~ <sup>that he has possession</sup> that he  
entered into a contract with  
Holmes and Wickline to furnish  
materials and erect said  
house. Hattie Haiver who  
was at that time President of  
the Emporium Co. drew up the  
agreement between Donaldson  
and Holmes and Wickline for  
the furnishing materials and

building the house; but he testifies  
that he did so at the request  
of Donaldson and was as  
a matter of accommodation to  
him; and the contract between  
the Cuyahoga Co. and Donaldson  
for the sale of the lots, and that  
the contract between Donaldson  
and Holmes and McKinney (Mechanics)  
for building the house had nothing  
in common to do with each other. Shadley  
purchased the lot from the Co. and  
employed Holmes and McKinney to  
build him a house and he after-  
wards forfeited what interest he  
had in the lots by not complying with  
the conditions of the sale - he had  
one year and 90 days in which  
to make the first payment, but  
failed to make it or any part  
thereof - he never paid the Co. one  
cent of the purchase money. The  
Court below decided the case on  
the ground that Donaldson was  
the agent of the Co. and that  
the Co. was responsible to Holmes &  
McKinney on the contract between  
Donaldson and Holmes and

Hilus & McKline and I are assau  
at the time the contract was entered into between

Mckline for building the house; because  
the President of the Emporium Co.  
simply had knowledge of the  
fact that Holmes and Mckline  
were building the house on one of  
the lots that Donaldson purchased  
from the Co. All the testimony  
is embodied in a Bill of Exception,  
and the Court will see by  
reference to the record I think,  
the fact that Donaldson was not  
in any view of the matter, the  
agent of the Emporium Co.

2<sup>d</sup> I admit that all the titles  
of whatever kind or nature  
Donaldson had <sup>in</sup> the lot on which  
the house was erected was subject  
to a Mechanics Lien; but the  
extent of the Lien is limited to the  
interest that Donaldson had in  
the lot of ground on which the house  
was erected, and cannot extend  
beyond, so as to infringe upon, or  
in any wise chance the latter contract  
entered into, some time previous, between  
the Emporium Co. and Donaldson,  
Donaldson absolute forfeited all

at the mechanic furnishes materials and services & labor

the interest he had in the lot in one year and 90 days from the time he purchased it; and it was not until after he had absolutely forfeited all the interest in the he ever had in the lot that Holmes and Dickins attempted to enforce their Lien; and as their Lien must be limited to whatever interest Donaldson had in the lot, and as the interest Donaldson had in the lot had absolutely determined before they attempted to enforce their Lien, their Lien is good for nothing - Their Lien it seems, to me cannot interfere with the rights of the Empress Co.

A Mechanics Lien extends to all the interest which the men who supply a mechanic to furnish material and bestow labor towards erecting building and appertaining thereto, has in the land or lot on which such building is erected; and no farther; because if it goes beyond it infringes on the rights of third persons.

This question has not yet been decided by the Supreme Court of this State

The Supreme Court of this State  
have decided that the vendor  
of Real Estate, where an absolute  
conveyance is made, and no mortgage  
or other security is taken, that  
the vendor has a Lien on the property  
for the purchase money as to the  
vendor and all subsequent pur-  
chasers with notice that the vendor has  
a lien on the land for purchase  
money. In argu. Dyer vs. Martin et  
al. 2 Scan. 151.

Now can a Mechanics Lien  
be extended so as to interfere with  
the rights of vendors of real estate?  
I think not.

3d. The last point I shall direct  
the attention of the court to, is  
this: That Holmes & Atchison can  
only succeed to whatever the  
<sup>anyhow</sup> building erected or part thereof  
erected by them has increased  
the property in value, and if the  
Court can go so far ~~as~~ as to see  
the property of the Emporium Co,  
without ~~their~~ its consent, the  
Co. ~~anyhow~~ has a prior Lien  
on the property for the purchase

Money which should be paid before  
the Mechanics Lien of Holus &  
McKinnie, but it seems to me  
that it would be a gross outrage  
on the rights of the Emporium Co.  
to even have the lot subjected to  
Sale, and the purchase money  
be first paid to the Co. and the  
overplus to Holus & McKinnie.  
I think it would be a violation  
of the rights of the Emporium Co.  
because the lot absolutely belongs to  
the Co. ~~Emporium Co.~~ & said Co.  
and it was Holus and McKinnie  
misfortune to contract to furnish  
materials and build a house  
for Mr. Olds on a lot <sup>for</sup> to which  
he had but a conditional interest,  
as their lien could only ~~succeed~~  
attach to his main interest.

This argument is half and  
imperfect, but the owner may  
be enabled from the record to  
abstract to understand all  
the points in the case - In fact  
I hardly deemed it worthwhile  
to write out an argument at  
all.

G. Green  
Att for Plaintiff

No. 22-18

Donaldson et al.

(vs)

Adams Wickmin

Arguer  
of

Plaintiffs Canad

THE STATE OF ILLINOIS—IN THE SUPREME COURT—FIRST GRANDE  
DIVISION—NOVEMBER TERM, 1859.

HOLMES & WICKWIRE, Defendants in Error.

at.

EMPORIUM REAL ESTATE AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY,  
Plaintiff's in Error.

} Error to Pulaski.

DEFENDANTS BRIEF OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES.

1st. There is no abstract of the Record filed by the Plaintiff.

2nd. The bill of exceptions is without date and does not show that the exceptions were taken at the time the decision was made or that it was signed at the trial.

III Scam. 21, 63,      IV Gilman 448,      XI Ills. 72, 84

V Gilman 456,      XIII Ills. 666, 700,      XVII Ills. 238

3rd. The article of agreement between Holmes & Wickwire and John Donaldson, which appears as by the Record was in writing and offered in evidence at the trial, is not embodied in the bill of exceptions.

XIII Ills. 572,      XII Ills. 379,      III Scam. 185

II Gilman 727-8,      III Gilman 366-7      IV Scam. 419,

II Scam. 506-7.

4th. The bill of exceptions shows that the Emporium Real Estate and Manufacturing Company by the testimony of H. Hainer, then President of said Company, stood by and saw the building erected by Holmes & Wickwire upon the lot in question.

Statute, page 159, Sec. 22.      XIV Ills. 269, Sec. 23.

WM. HUNTER, Attorney for Defendants in Error.

State of Illinois  
Supreme Court  
First Grand Division  
November Term 1859

Holmes & Wickwire  
Defendants in Error

vs

Eufomia Real Estate  
Manufacturing Company  
Plaintiffs in Error

Defendants Brief

22

Filed Nov. 24. 1859.

A. Johnston CLF

State of Illinois, Supreme Court  
1<sup>st</sup> Grand Division Nov Term 1839

John Donaldson & Z  
Reservoir Real Estate  
& Manufacturing Company  
Plaintiffs in Error  
vs  
Holmes & McKeein  
Defendants in Error

And the said Defendants come and  
say there is no error in the Record  
of this Case and this they hereby may  
be informed of by the Court

Wm H. Hunter atty for  
Defendants in Error

John Danaldean  
& Son R E & M Co

<sup>as</sup>  
Holmes & Nickum

Gardener's Son

22

Tiles Nov. 24. 1859.

A. Johnston C<sup>o</sup>

State of Illinois Supreme Court  
First Grand Division November Term 1859  
John Corrallan and  
Emporium Read Estate and { ~~Essays to~~  
Manufacturing Company { ~~Appeal from~~  
Plaintiffs in appeal { Bulkaski  
  
vs  
Holmes & Wickwire {  
Defendants in appeal

The said parties by their respective  
attorneys move the Court to open the  
order of Continuance made in this  
Cause to day and ask that an order  
may be entered, that written argu-  
ments may be submitted and filed  
within twenty days from this date  
and the Cause decided in vacation  
November 18<sup>th</sup> 1859

Wm H. Miller atty for  
Holmes & Wickwire  
J. A. Sillen  
Attorney for  
Rev. R. E. & M. Co.

22

John Donaldson  
Emerson &  
Holmes & Wickins

A Agreement  
to send us  
written argu-  
ments and  
have the case  
determined  
in vacatio

Filed Nov. 18, 1859.

A. Johnston Clerk

State of Illinois in the Supreme Court  
First Grand Division November Term 1859

James Holmes & Giles S Wickens

Defendants in Error to Pulaski  
ads

John Donaldson and the  
Emporium Real Estate and  
Manufacturing Company  
Plaintiffs in Error

Motion to Dismiss

The Said  
Defendants Come and move the Court  
to dismiss this Cause for the following  
reasons

First - The has been no abstract of the  
Record filed within the Rule to wit,  
twenty days after Supersedas, that  
on file was not filed until the third day  
of this Term, Rule XXI.

Second - The Bill of exceptions do not  
show that the exceptions were taken at  
the time the decision was made or that  
it was signed at the trial on that time  
was given to file Bill on the date of signing  
by the Clerk below, nor does the abstract  
Supply these defects in any particular

IV Gilman 448 XI Ills 72,84. III Scamman 21,65

V " 456 XIII " 666-700 XVII Ills 238.

I find the article of agreement between  
Holmes & Wickwire and Donaldson &  
which appears by the Record was in  
writing and offered in Evidence at the  
the trial of the Cause, is not embodied  
in the Bill of exceptions,

XIII	Ils 572	II Scam.	506-7
XII	" 379	III "	185
II	Gelman 727-8	IV "	419
III	" 366-7		

Wm Hamilton acts for  
Defendants in Cause

Holmes & Wickwire

John Donaldson &  
E. R. C. & M. Co.

Motors to dismiss

No 22

Proposed motion of  
John Donaldson &  
E. R. C. & M. Co.  
to dismiss

Proposed motion of  
John Donaldson &  
E. R. C. & M. Co.  
to dismiss

Proposed motion of  
John Donaldson &  
E. R. C. & M. Co.  
to dismiss

July 19. 1859.

A. Johnston clk

Monroe Co June 28<sup>th</sup> 59

Dear Justm

Mr: Accompanying  
please find Indorsements from the  
case of Holmes & Wickin vs.  
John Carrasco & Emporium  
Co. and pray you will please  
issue a Indorsement in substance  
of your case do so without orders  
from one of the judges - the property  
will be sold in a short time and  
it is important to appellants  
that the sale should be stopped  
as the defendants in this  
are not responsible. I sent  
you a full copy of the record in  
the case with other necessary papers,  
& Mrs. Ch. Casy of this place who  
started for you three days or two  
ago. Gen. M. M. Rawlings is coming  
and I presume you are aware that  
he is one of the wealthiest men in  
Southern Illinois. This is an important  
case to the Emporium Co. as they  
have a number of other cases  
of the same kind and involving  
the same principles, and this one  
of course will determine them  
all, so I trust you will pardon  
me for so importunely asking you to attend  
to issuing the Indorsement in the matter

Please send the prints to me and  
I will hand them to the Sheriff.

Yours truly,  
J. A. Green

As you will see from my letter to you  
of the 1<sup>st</sup> instant I have been unable to get  
any information as to who may be  
responsible except to say that  
there must be some one in  
the neighborhood of the place where  
the prints were taken.  
I have made a copy of the prints  
and have given it to the Sheriff  
to search for any person or persons  
in the neighborhood who may be  
responsible.

Omaha Augt 1<sup>st</sup> 1859

May. Johnston,

I rec'd yours of June  
8<sup>th</sup> this day received - I send  
you a ~~bond~~ a day or two ago,  
and presume I need not  
give you any ~~reference~~ references  
as to Gen. Or anything <sup>an</sup> responsibility  
as I suppose you are acquainted  
with his circumstances. Enclosed  
please find six dollars which  
I presume will be sufficient to  
for the present. Please attend  
promptly to the matter and send  
me a supersedeas just as soon  
as possible.

With much respect  
I have the honor to remain

Obediently yours  
W. A. Green

22

the \$1 - for expenses - sending Remained  
to Carlyle -

Edward C. May Jr.

Know all men by these presents;  
That we the Emporium Real  
Estate and Manufacturing  
Company and Moses M. Rawling  
are held and firmly bound  
unto James Holmes and  
Wiles L. Wickwire, in the sumne  
sum of fifteen hundred doll-  
ars, lawful money of the  
United States, for the payment  
of which we bind ourselves  
our heirs, executors and  
Administrators, jointly severa-  
lly and firmly by these  
present.

Witness our hands under  
seals, this 28<sup>th</sup> day of June  
A.D. 1839.

The condition of the above  
obligation is such, that, whereas,  
the Emporium Real Estate  
and Manufacturing Company  
has sued out a writ of Error  
from the Supreme Court of the  
State of Illinois, upon a certain  
~~decree~~, rendered in the Circu-  
it Court of Pulaski County  
and State aforesaid, at the

April term thereof, A.D. 1839, in  
favor of James Holmes and  
Niles G. Wickwire, on a petition  
on for Mechanics Lien, for  
the sum of seven hundred  
and twenty five dollars, &  
\$13.20 Costs of suit; against the  
John Donaldson Jr, and  
the series Emporium Real  
Estate and Manufacturing  
Company; and whereas, the  
series Emporium Real Estate  
and Manufacturing Company  
has obtained the allowance  
of a Supersedeas, to stay further  
proceedings, in said cause.

Now if the series Emporium  
Real Estate and Manufacturing  
Company shall duly prosecute their  
writ of Error, without delay, and  
shall pay the amount of said  
Decree and Costs, Interest and  
Damages, in case the said  
Decree of the Circuit Court shall  
be affirmed, in whole, or in part,  
or shall pay whatever decree the  
Supreme Court may render against  
said company, with Interest, damages

and lots, then the other  
she will move; otherwise its  
removal will free her  
from effect.

Bidwell Post  
<sup>Recd</sup>  
M. M. Hatch's Socy  
West Ch. Sherman and So. City

John Jonathan and  
Emporium Real Estate  
Manufacturing Company  
Deft in sum  
as } Supererias  
bona -

James Holmes and  
Alice L. Wickwire  
deft in sum

File July 6. 1859 -  
J. Johnson C.M.

Holmes

vs  
Emporium Company  
Supererias Bonas.

State of Illinois,  
SUPREME COURT,  
First Grand Division.

} ss

The People of the State of Illinois,  
To the Sheriff of Prairie County.

Because, In the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of Prairie county, before the Judge thereof between James Holmes and Ailes L. Wickwire.

plaintiffs and John Donaldson and Emporium Real Estate Manufacturing Company

defendants it is said that manifest error hath intervened to the injury of said John Donaldson & Emporium Real Estate Manufacturing Company as we are informed by their complaint, the record and proceedings of which said judgment, we have caused to be brought into our Supreme Court of the State of Illinois, at Mount Vernon, before the justices thereof, to correct the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; therefore we command you, that by good and lawful men of your county, you give notice to the said James Holmes and Ailes L. Wickwire

that they be and appear before the justices of our said Supreme Court; at the next term of said Court, to be holden at **Mount Vernon**, in said State, on the first Tuesday after the second Monday in November next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and the errors assigned, if they shall think fit; and further to do and receive what the said Court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom you shall give the said James Holmes & Ailes L. Wickwire notice together with this writ.

WITNESS, the Hon. John D. Caton Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and the seal thereof, at MOUNT VERNON, this Seventh day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty nine.

Noah Johnston  
Clerk of the Supreme Court.

## SUPREME COURT.

## First Grand Division.

John Donaldson & Emporium  
eum Real Estate Man-  
ufacturing Company

Plaintiffs in Error,

vs.

James Stalnes and  
Ailes L. McKivire  
Defendants in Error.

James Stalnes

SCIRE FACIAS.

FILED.

The Court of Error which has been granted and  
granted in this cause is made a Supreme Court,  
and as such, is to be acting by all concerned.  
Franklin C. Mathewson, C.J.

I do hereby certify, summarily, by  
Rec'd in the within named cause  
Stalnes and wife v. McElvane Defendants  
July 15th 1869 I do herby swear, that  
I held fees  
Loring & Hart 115 Village Street \$210  
J. H. Lee

State of Illinois,  
CLERKS OFFICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, }  
First Grand Division. } ss

I hereby certify that a writ of error hath issued  
from this Office for the reversal of a Judgment obtained by  
James Holmes and Miles L. McKivire  
Against John Donaldson & Emporium Real Estate  
Manufacturing Company — in the Circuit Court of Peoria County at the  
April Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty nine in a certain action of  
Petition for Mechanics Lien for which writ of error  
is to operate as a Supersedeas, and as such is to be obeyed by all concerned.

Given under my hand, and the seal of the  
said Supreme Court, at MOUNT VERNON, this  
Seventh day of July  
in the year of our Lord one thousand  
eight hundred and fifty nine

Noah Johnston,  
Clerk of the Supreme Court.

22 SUPREME COURT.

First Grand Division.

John Donaldson and  
Emporium Real Estate  
Manufacturing  
Company  
vs

James Holmes and  
Ailes L. McMeine

WRIT OF SUPERSEDEAS.

FILED.

Supreme Court of the State of Iowa

Opinion filed April 2, 1906

Ordered that within six months after the date hereof the Clerk of the Supreme Court shall cause to be served on the Plaintiff in error, James Holmes, and on the Plaintiff in error, Ailes L. McMeine, a copy of the opinion of the Supreme Court in this cause, and that the same be served by certified mail or by registered mail, postage prepaid, at the expense of the Plaintiff in error, and that the same be served within the time allowed by law for filing a writ of error.

EIGHT EIGHTH DISTRICT.  
GIVE AND TAKE ON THE RIVER BANK CO. 1906

Supreme Court State of Illinois  
Mount Vernon November Term 1859

Holmes & Hickman { So be heard  
Defendants in Error { upon written  
adu { argument in  
John Donaldson & { vacation  
Emporium Real Estate & {  
Manufacturing Company {  
Plaintiffs in Error

### Argument of Defendants

1<sup>st</sup> There is no abstract of the Record filed by the Plaintiff.

The Supersedas was issued in September no abstract was filed by Plaintiff at any time before November Term, but on the third day of the term the abstracts were placed among the papers of the case without any file mark.

2<sup>d</sup> The Bill of exceptions is without date and does not show that the exceptions were taken at the time the decision was made or that it was signed at the trial, and it does not appear either upon the Bill or abstract that any time was given by the Court below for the filing of the Bill of exceptions.

3<sup>d</sup> The article of agreement between Holmes & Wickwire and Donaldson which appears by the Record was in writing and offered in evidence at the trial of the cause is not embodied in the Bill of exceptions.

4<sup>a</sup> The bill of exceptions shows that the testimony of H. Hainer that the Emporium Company ~~stand~~ by and saw the building erected by Holmes & Wickwire upon the lot in question.

In regard to the third point in the case of ~~Heasue Flint~~ in ~~XIII Ills. 1572~~ <sup>it is held</sup> that in order to bring the evidence offered at the trial before this Court it must be embodied in the Bill of exceptions; the same principle is recognized in Meagher vs. Howe et al ~~XII Ills 379.~~ and in the Case of McLaughlin vs. Walsh III Scan 185 it was held substantially that "the Bill of exceptions must contain copies of any or all written instruments, that were exhibited in evidence at the trial."

In Hatch vs. Potter II Kilmar 727 the same rule is more distinctly noticed, it is there decided that to entitle papers used upon the trial in the Circuit Court

to notice in this Court they must be copied  
into or specially referred to by the Bill of  
exceptions, also in Kenney vs Russell  
III Gilman the same point is held,  
There is no evidence whatever before this  
Court nor is it claimed that the original  
paper referred to has been lost or that it  
cannot be produced, and Defendants, do  
claim that the attempted synopsis of its  
contents in the Bill of exceptions, is in some  
of the most important particulars most  
palpably untrue and calculated to deceive  
the Court will observe that there is no ex-  
cuse whatever offered for its non production

On the fourth point Stainer testifies in  
his evidence adduced by the Defendant  
below, "that he was President of the Emporium  
Company at the time, Donaldson purchased  
the lots, 39 & 40, that the payment on the lots  
was extended by the Company one year on  
Condition that Donaldson would erect a  
frame house of certain dimensions upon one  
of the lots, that he commanded to erect said  
house on lot 39 and completed it so far  
stated, that Donaldson had never paid a  
single dollar on the said lots, and that he  
had not complied with any of the conditions

of said Sale that he had forfeited all claims  
to that a few days after Donaldson,  
who had purchased the lots, he requested him  
(Hamer) to draw the before mentioned  
agreement between Donaldson & Holmes &  
and Wickwire, and that Hamer drew  
said agreement, that the Emporium Co,  
were not a party to the said agreement,  
etc

On the first page of the Bill of exceptions  
it is stated that "The Said Plaintiffs to  
maintain the issue on their part, gave  
the following testimony To Whch"

The Court will observe that it is represented  
here that the Plaintiffs testified, of course  
it is a case in which the Plaintiffs could  
not testify and is simply a blunder of  
Cassell in drawing the Bill of exceptions  
and an error of the Court in signing it,  
The truth is that this was the testimony  
of Hamer in connection with the article  
of agreement which as is there shown was  
was produced in evidence which article  
of agreement was never signed by Donaldson  
such that the production of the original  
instrument will show,

In the Certificate of purchase issued by the  
Em Co to Donaldson there are conditions

The first, that if Donaldson failed to pay any of the instalments as they became, the Company in ninety days thereafter by a resolution of their board of Directors rescind said Sale without any order of Court, and the Certificate to be void.

On the back of the Title Bond or Certificate was another condition that Donaldson should erect within twelve months from date a frame house of certain dimensions on one of said lots,

It does not appear in testimony that Holmes & Wickwire ever had any notice of the nature or terms of this contract between Donaldson and the Company, and as the Certificate was not recorded even constructive notice cannot be inferred, the Record title was and still is in the Company and the only assurance that Holmes & Wickwire could have that Donaldson was the owner of the premises was from Hainer the President and the fact that Hainer acted as the scrivener for parties is conclusive as to fact of notice to the Emporium Company, the evidence then showed that the County had perfect knowledge of the contract and progress of the building.

Further by the conditions of the Certificate of purchase Donaldson was required

to erect this building and before he  
was to possess any title it was required  
to be completed, that at any time  
after the completion of the building  
if Donaldson should fail to pay any  
one of the instalments, the property  
should revert to the Company and with  
it the improvements erected by Holmes  
& Hickwire, with full notice to the Com-  
pany of the nature and extent of their  
claim, but no notice to them of the  
existence of the claim of the Company.  
Holmes & Hickwire get nothing for  
their labor, - while the Company take  
back the property and make a clear pro-  
fit of the improvements.

In the case of Higgins & Mealy vs Ferguson ch al XIV Ibs 269 this  
Court held "That where the owner of  
land stands by and supports credit  
to be given to another, on the supposi-  
tion that he owns the land and aids  
in creating the belief that such other  
person does own the land he cannot  
afterwards defeat a mechanics lien by  
asserting that the land is his own,"  
The case is directly in point and  
in the argument of the Court in the

Same Case on page 270 the case of  
Wendell vs Rensselaer & Johnson C.R.  
344 and Storrs vs Barker & Johnson  
C.R. 166 are cited in support of the  
principal.

If Holmes and Thickewill had no notice  
of that the Company held a vendor's  
lien on the premises, it was a fraud  
upon them of which the Emporium  
Company were the perpetrators, and  
whether intentional or not, a fraud in  
fact or fraud in Law its consumma-  
tion is a fraud which if sustained  
must prove disastrous to the great  
mass of mechanics in the community  
when this same Company have numbers  
of other Cases in the same condition,  
if Holmes & Thickewill are defeated in  
this Case the Em Co will reap a  
rich harvest of fraud upon the labor  
of our mechanics in an amount of  
probably twenty to thirty thousand  
dollars who are anxiously awaiting  
the decision of this case

Wm. F. Miller Atty for  
Defendants in Union

Holmes & Wickham  
and  
John Donaldson  
and

Ernest R. E. & M.  
Company  
Argument of  
Def's in Error

22

Tiles Nov. 24, 1859.

A. Johnson C. M.

**State of Illinois,**  
SUPREME COURT,  
First Grand Division.

} ss

The People of the State of Illinois,

To the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the County of Prairie du Chien Greeting:

**Because**, In the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of Prairie du Chien county, before the Judge thereof between James Holmes and Miles L. Nickau,

plaintiffs and John D. McDonald & Emporium Steel Estate Manufacturing Company

defendant, it is said manifest error hath intervened to the injury of the aforesaid John D. McDonald & Emporium Steel Estate Manufacturing Company as we are informed by This complaint, and we being willing that error, if any there be, should be corrected in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly without delay send to our Justices of our Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plaintiff aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our Justices aforesaid at **Mount Vernon**, in the County of Jefferson, on the 1<sup>st</sup> Tuesday after the 2<sup>nd</sup> Monday of November next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law.

WITNESS, the Hon. Jacob J. Cutler Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and the seal thereof, at MOUNT VERNON, this Seventh day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty nine

Nathaniel Johnson  
Clerk of the Supreme Court.

1022

SUPREME COURT.  
First Grand Division.

John Donaldson and  
Emporium Real Estate  
Manufacturing Company

Plaintiffs in Error,

vs.

James Holmes and  
Ales L. McKivire

Defendants in Error.

WRIT OF ERROR.

Issued & FILED July 6, 1859.  
And made a Suspension  
Stockton, C. M.

Plaintiff in Error,  
Emporium Real Estate  
Manufacturing Company

To the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the County of Sacramento,  
and to the Sheriff of the County of Sacramento:

Plaintiff in Error,  
Emporium Real Estate  
Manufacturing Company

Monmouth Ct-June 27<sup>th</sup>. 59

Major Johnston

Dr. Sir: I have  
sent you ~~the~~ papers in a case in  
which, I wish a writ of Error, and  
Supersedeas to issue - You will find  
the transcript all right I send  
you. I have also, sent a ~~and~~  
Supersedeas bond, and I may  
ask to issue immediately as the  
process will be served in a short  
time unless proceedings are  
staid, and the defendants  
in Error are not worth anything  
at all hence the importance  
to the plaintiffs in Error that  
Supersedeas issue immediately. The  
Statutes require the transcript to  
be examined by one of the judges  
in vacation before a Supersedeas  
can issue, but I infer from a  
rule of the Supreme Court in  
relation to the matter that the  
Court can issue a Supersedeas  
upon the proper papers being  
filed - If you cannot do so  
please let me know immediately

You will confer a great favor,  
indeed if you will just send  
the papers to Judge Odres. I  
will pay you, & send you the  
whence amount it may cost  
to express the papers to the Judge  
and back. I am not accustomed  
to your manner of proceedings in  
appeals and trials of Error in  
this state, and, therefore you  
will do me a favor & letting me  
know if there is irregularity in  
my papers. ~~If the case is~~ It ~~case~~ It seems to  
me there is a very good ground, so  
if you can issue a Subsidia  
without a judge inspecting  
the papers or all you can in  
this case. Please write me  
and let me know if matters  
are all right. Excuse the  
haste.

Yours truly  
J. A. Green

I will send the bond in oil it is  
not ready yet will send it in  
a day or two at latest.

THE STATE OF ILLINOIS—IN THE SUPREME COURT—FIRST GRAND  
DIVISION—NOVEMBER TERM, 1859.

HOLMES & WICKWIRE, Defendants in Error.

at.

EMPORIUM REAL ESTATE AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY,

Plaintiff's in Error.

} Error to Pulaski.

DEFENDANTS BRIEF OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES.

1st. There is no abstract of the Record filed by the Plaintiff.

2nd. The bill of exceptions is without date and does not show that the exceptions were taken at the time the decision was made or that it was signed at the trial.

III Scam. 21, 63,      IV Gilman 448,      XI Ills. 72, 84

V Gilman 456,      XIII Ills. 666, 700,      XVII Ills. 238

3rd. The article of agreement between Holmes & Wickwire and John Donaldson, which appears as by the Record was in writing and offered in evidence at the trial, is not embodied in the bill of exceptions.

XIII Ills. 572,      XII Ills. 379,      III Scam. 185

II Gilman 727-8,      III Gilman 366-7      IV Scam. 419,

II Scam. 506-7.

4th. The bill of exceptions shows that the Emporium Real Estate and Manufacturing Company by the testimony of H. Hainer, then President of said Company, stood by and saw the building erected by Holmes & Wickwire upon the lot in question.

Statute, page 159, Sec. 22.      XIV Ills. 269, Sec. 23.

WM. HUNTER, Attorney for Defendants in Error.

Evidence upon the part of Donaldson

State of Illinois  
Supreme Court  
First Grand Division  
November Term 1839

Holmes & Hickman <sup>22</sup>  
defendants in Error

ats

Emporium Real Estate,  
Manufacturing Company  
Plaintiffs in Error

Defendant Brief

22

Taken Nov. 24. 1859.

A. Johnston atty

JOHN DONALDSON AND EMPORIUM COMPANY } Plaintiffs in Error.

vs.

JAMES HOLMES AND NILES L. WICKWIRE, } Defendants in Error.

This was a petition for a Mechanic's Lien, filed by Holmes & Wickwire, at the April term of the Pulaski Circuit Court, 1859, against John Donaldson, and praying that the Emporium Company might also be made a party defendant to said petition.

The plaintiffs proved that Donaldson entered into a written agreement with them, on or about the 27th of April, 1857, by which he was to pay them \$1,000, for furnishing materials and erecting a frame house, of certain dimensions, on a certain lot of ground in Mound City; \$25 was to be paid down, which was done, and \$500 in six months and the balance on completion of the work. They proved that they commenced the job, and furnished materials and performed work to the amount of seven hundred and fifty dollars, and that they were prevented from completing the job by Donaldson not being able to comply with his part of the contract. Default was taken as to Donaldson; but the Emporium Company filed an answer, setting up amongst other things, that the lot on which said house was partially constructed, belonged to said Company; and proved that on the 17th day of April 1857, John Donaldson purchased lots, 39 & 40, in the Emporium plat of Mound City from said Company; that the Emporium Company executed to Donaldson a certificate of sale of said lots on the following conditions to wit: Donaldson was to pay said Company \$1568 for the two lots, and executed his three several promising notes for the same; one for \$522.66 payable in one year from date; one for \$522.67 payable in two years and one for the same amount as the last payable in three years. If Donaldson failed to pay either of said notes as they became due the Emporium Company reserved the right to absolutely rescind the contract by order of its Board of Directors, at the expiration of ninety days after such failure on the part of Donaldson. Donaldson failed to make the first payment, and the Emporium Company rescinded the contract according to the conditions of the certificate of sale.

There was a condition written on the back of said certificate of sale, requiring Donaldson to construct a frame house of certain dimensions, on one of said lots within one year from the date of the sale; the house partially executed by Holmes and Wickwire was on No. 39 of the above mentioned lots.

Hartzel Hauer was President of the Emporium Company, at the time Donaldson purchased said lots and knew all about the conditions of the sales between the Emporium Company, and Donaldson for the lots; and he, also, at the request of Donaldson, and as a mere matter of accommodation to the parties drew up the agreement between Holmes and Wickwire and Donaldson for the furnishing materials and building said house, but he testifies that said sales were in nowise connected with each other. It was one year and ninety days from the time Donaldson purchased the lots until he forfeited his certificate of sale and the lots reverted back to the Emporium Company. Holmes and Wickwire furnished the material and partially erected the house immediately after Donaldson purchased the lots; but did not attempt to enforce their Lien until after Donaldson had absolutely forfeited all his right, and the lot had reverted back to the Emporium Company.

The Court decreed that Donaldson, or the Emporium Company should pay Holmes and Wickwire the sum of seven hundred and twenty-five (\$725) dollars, in sixty days, and if not paid within that time, that the property should be sold and Holmes and Wickwire be first paid out of the proceeds of such sale, and the overplus be paid to the Emporium Company.

The following errors are assigned.

1st. The court erred in decreeing that Donaldson or the Emporium Company should pay Holmes and Wickwire seven hundred and twenty-five dollars, or the property be sold and Holmes and Wickwire be paid first out of the proceeds of such sale.

2d. The court erred in the opinion that Donaldson ever had such an interest in said lot as was subject to a Mechanic's Lien; and, also, in the opinion that if he ever had such an interest, that it was not absolutely determined before Holmes and Wickwire attempted to enforce their Lien.

3d. The court erred in the opinion that Donaldson was the agent of the Emporium Company, and that said Company was responsible to Holmes and Wickwire on the contract entered into between Holmes and Wickwire and Donaldson for furnishing materials and building said house.

Authorities referred to:—Pringle's Statutes of Illinois, Chap. LXV. Part 11, Page 726. Secs. XVII & XX.

Calvin Steigleman, et al. vs. A. McBride, 17 Illinois Page 300.—1—2.

T. A. GREEN, Attorney for Plaintiff in Error.

John Malason  
vs  
Holmes Wickins

Abstract

THE STATE OF ILLINOIS—IN THE SUPREME COURT—FIRST GRAND  
DIVISION—NOVEMBER TERM, 1859.

HOLMES & WICKWIRE, Defendants in Error.

vs.

EMPORIUM REAL ESTATE AND MANUFACTURING COMPANY,  
Plaintiff's in Error.

} Error to Pulaski.

DEFENDANTS BRIEF OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES.

1st. There is no abstract of the Record filed by the Plaintiff.

2nd. The bill of exceptions is without date and does not show that the exceptions were taken at the time the decision was made or that it was signed at the trial.

III Seam. 21, 63, IV Gilman 448, XI Ills. 72, 84

V Gilman 456, XIII Ills. 666, 700, XVII Ills. 238

3rd. The article of agreement between Holmes & Wickwire and John Donaldson, which appears as by the Record was in writing and offered in evidence at the trial, is not embodied in the bill of exceptions.

XIII Ills. 572, XII Ills. 379, III Seam. 185

II Gilman 727-8, III Gilman 366-7 IV Seam. 419,

II Seam. 506-7.

4th. The bill of exceptions shows that the Emporium Real Estate and Manufacturing Company by the testimony of H. Hainer, then President of said Company, stood by and saw the building erected by Holmes & Wickwire upon the lot in question.

Statute, page 159, Sec. 22. XIV Ills. 269, Sec. 23.

WM. HUNTER, Attorney for Defendants in Error.

State of Illinois  
Supreme Court  
First Grand Division  
November Term 1859

Keelins & Wickwire  
Defendants in Error  
vs  
Cuponian Real Estate  
& Manufacturing Co  
Plaintiffs in Error

Defendants Brief

22

Tues Nov 24. 1859

A. Johnston atty

JOHN DONALDSON and EMPORIUM COMPANY } Plaintiffs in Error.  
vs.  
JAMES HOLMES and NILES L. WICKWIRE, } Defendants in Error.

This was a petition for a Mechanic's Lien, filed by Holmes & Wickwire, at the April term of the Pulaski Circuit Court, 1859, against John Donaldson, and praying that the Emporium Company might also be made a party defendant to said petition.

The plaintiffs proved that Donaldson entered into a written agreement with them, on or about the 27th of April, 1857, by which he was to pay them \$1,000 for furnishing materials and erecting a frame house, of certain dimensions, on a certain lot of ground in Mound City; \$25 was to be paid down, which was done, and \$500 in six months and the balance on completion of the work. They proved that they commenced the job, and furnished materials and performed work to the amount of seven hundred and fifty dollars and that they were prevented from completing the job by Donaldson not being able to comply with his part of the contract. Default was taken as to Donaldson; but the Emporium Company filed an answer, setting up amongst other things, that the lot on which said house was partially constructed, belonged to said Company; and proved that on the 17th day of April 1857, John Donaldson purchased lots, 39 & 40, in the Emporium plat of Mound City from said Company; that the Emporium Company executed to Donaldson a certificate of sale of said lots on the following conditions to wit: Donaldson was to pay said Company \$1568 for the two lots, and executed his three several promising notes for the same; one for \$522.66 payable in one year from date; one for \$522.67 payable in two years and one for the same amount as the last payable in three years. If Donaldson failed to pay either of said notes as they became due the Emporium Company reserved the right to absolutely rescind the contract by order of its Board of Directors, at the expiration of ninety days after such failure on the part of Donaldson. Donaldson failed to make the first payment, and the Emporium Company rescinded the contract according to the conditions of the certificate of sale.

There was a condition written on the back of said certificate of sale, requiring Donaldson to construct a frame house of certain dimensions, on one of said lots within one year from the date of the sale; the house partially executed by Holmes and Wickwire was on No. 39 of the above mentioned lots.

Hartzel Hainer was President of the Emporium Company, at the time Donaldson purchased said lots and knew all about the conditions of the sales between the Emporium Company, and Donaldson for the lots; and he, also, at the request of Donaldson, and as a mere matter of accommodation to the parties drew up the agreement between Holmes and Wickwire and Donaldson for the furnishing materials and building said house, but he testifies that said sales were in nowise connected with each other. It was one year and ninety days from the time Donaldson purchased the lots until he forfeited his certificate of sale and the lots reverted back to the Emporium Company. Holmes and Wickwire furnished the material and partially erected the house immediately after Donaldson purchased the lots; but did not attempt to enforce their Lien until after Donaldson had absolutely forfeited all his right, and the lot had reverted back to the Emporium Company.

The Court decreed that Donaldson, or the Emporium Company should pay Holmes and Wickwire the sum of seven hundred and twenty-five (\$725) dollars, in sixty days, and if not paid within that time, that the property should be sold and Holmes and Wickwire be first paid out of the proceeds of such sale, and the overplus be paid to the Emporium Company.

The following errors are assigned.

1st. The court erred in decreeing that Donaldson or the Emporium Company should pay Holmes and Wickwire seven hundred and twenty-five dollars, or the property be sold and Holmes and Wickwire be paid first out of the proceeds of such sale.

2d. The court erred in the opinion that Donaldson ever had such an interest in said lot as was subject to a Mechanic's Lien; and, also, in the opinion that if he ever had such an interest, that it was not absolutely determined before Holmes and Wickwire attempted to enforce their Lien.

3d. The court erred in the opinion that Donaldson was the agent of the Emporium Company, and that said Company was responsible to Holmes and Wickwire on the contract entered into between Holmes and Wickwire and Donaldson for furnishing materials and building said house.

Authorities referred to:—Pringle's Statutes of Illinois, Chap. LXV. Part 11. Page 726. Secs. XVII & XX.

Calvin Steigleman, et. al. vs. A. McBride, 17 Illinois Page 300.—1—2.

T. A. GREEN, Attorney for Plaintiff in Error.

John Braden  
vs  
Holust & McKinney  
Abstract

No 22 — 18-

---

Nov. Term 1859.

---

Donaldson et al -

By

Holmes et al

---

Errr to Prelasky

---

Affirmee

8538