

No. 12933

Supreme Court of Illinois

Webber et al.

vs.

Whittaker

71641  7

A B S T R A C T.

CHRISTOPHER C. WEBBER, ET AL }
vs }
SPEIR WHITTAKER. }

ERROR FROM ROCK ISLAND.

Assumpsit on a Promissory Note, dated Davenport, Iowa, Dec. 23, 1857, due in Six Months, for \$1,100, payable in Iowa, with 10 per cent after due.

8 Plea 1. General issue.

2nd. Said Note was execu'ed and delivered in Iowa, and sets forth a verbatim copy of the Iowa Statute. See Iowa Statutes, Dec. 6, 1852: The law provides for not to exceed 10 per cent interest by agreement, and that in no case shall the Plaintiff, when 13 unlawful interest has been contracted for, recover more than the principal sum, without interest or cost. The Court in which the suit is pending shall render judgment of forfeiture in favor of the State of Iowa, for the use of the School fund of the County, for 20 10 per cent penalty against the Plaintiff. That for the use of the 21 sum \$1,000 Six Months, the defendants were to give \$100, being 22 the rate of 2 per cent month, the same being more than 10 per cent 23 a year, and being part of the \$1,100 in said Note mentioned, the sum loaned being only \$1,000.

25 3d. Plea, usury by the laws of Iowa. 4th. Sett off, to which 30 Plaintiff joins issue. To the second and third pleas, a general de- 32 murrer. Demurrer sustained. Defendants stand by the demurrer. Jury trial, and a verdict for the Plaintiff, for \$1,127.25. On the 36 trial, the Plaintiff offered the note in evidence and rested. The 38 defendants then offered evidence to prove, that at the time of the execution of said promissory note, they had paid \$112, the said sum being usurious, according to the laws of the State of Iowa, where said contract was made, to which evidence the Plaintiff objected, and which objection was sustained, and exceptions taken.

112 & 113

Christopher S. Ribber
et al.

vs
Sheriff Whittaker.
absent of auto

Filed April 22, 1869

L. Leland
Clerk

39 Defendants moved for a new trial which was overruled.

Assignment of errors :—

1st. The Court erred in sustaining the demurrer to the 2nd and 3d pleas.

2nd. The Court erred in refusing to admit the evidence to show usury.

3d. In overruling the motion for a new trial.

Points. 1st. The demurrer to the 2nd and 3d pleas should have been overruled, instead of being sustained.

2nd. The testimony offered to prove usury was proper, and the Court should have received it.

SHUMWAY, WAITE & TOWNE,
Plaintiff's Att'y's.

A B S T R A C T.

CHRISTOPHER C. WEBBER, ET AL }
vs
SPEIR WHITTAKER. }

ERROR FROM ROCK ISLAND.

Assumpsit on a Promissory Note, dated Davenport, Iowa, Dec. 11.
23, 1857, due in ~~Six~~ Months, for \$100, payable in Iowa, with 10
per cent after due.

- 8 Plea 1. General issue.
 2nd. Said Note was executed and delivered in Iowa, and sets
forth a verbatim copy of the Iowa Statute. See Iowa Statutes,
Dec. 6, 1852: The law provides for not to exceed 10 per cent in-
terest by agreement, and that in no case shall the Plaintiff, when
13 unlawful interest has been contracted for, recover more than the
principal sum, without interest or cost. The Court in which the
suit is pending shall render judgment of forfeiture in favor of the
State of Iowa, for the use of the School fund of the County, for
20 10 per cent penalty against the Plaintiff. That for the use of the
21 sum \$100 ~~2000~~ Six Months, the defendants were to give \$100, being
22 the rate of 2 per cent month, the same being more than 10 per cent
23 a year, and being part of the \$100 in said Note mentioned, the
sum loaned being only \$100. 2000
- 25 3d. Plea, usury by the laws of Iowa. 4th. Sett off, to which
30 Plaintiff joins issue. To the second and third pleas, a general de-
32 murrer. Demurrer sustained. Defendants stand by the demurrer.
Jury trial, and a verdict for the Plaintiff, for \$100. On the
36 trial, the Plaintiff offered the note in evidence and rested. The
38 defendants then offered evidence to prove, that at the time of the
execution of said promissory note, they had paid \$100, the said sum
being usurious, according to the laws of the State of Iowa, where
said contract was made, to which evidence the Plaintiff objected,
and which objection was sustained, and exceptions taken.

39 Defendants moved for a new trial which was overruled.

Assignment of errors :—

1st. The Court erred in sustaining the demurrer to the 2nd and 3d pleas.

2nd. The Court erred in refusing to admit the evidence to show usury.

3d. In overruling the motion for a new trial.

Points. 1st. The demurrer to the 2nd and 3d pleas should have been overruled, instead of being sustained.

2nd. The testimony offered to prove usury was proper, and the Court should have received it.

SHUMWAY, WAITE & TOWNE,
Plaintiff's Att'y's.

Christopher C. Ribbo
stab

"

Spear volvithakes

its head & pants

Know all men by these presents, That
Lewis M. Webber & Christopher C. Web-
ber as principals and John Deere a se-
cundt are held & firmly bound unto their
Abstinator in the sum of two thou-
sand two hundred fifty six dollars
good & lawful Money of the United States
for the payment of which, well & truly to
be made, the said Lewis M. Webber, Chris-
topher C. Webber & John Deere bind them
selvur their heirs, executors & administrators
jointly, severally forming by these presents
with, our hands & seals.

Dated this 11th day of December A.D. 1838.

The condition of the above obliga-
tion is such, That before the above
named Abstinator did at the
September Term of the Circuit Court
held in & for the County of Rock Isd.
and in the State of Illinois A.D. 1838
recover a judgment against the above
bounden Lewis M. Webber & Chris-
topher C. Webber for the sum of one
thousand one hundred & twenty seven
~~125~~ dollars, to recover which said
judgment, the said Lewis M. Webber
& Christopher C. Webber have sued out
a writ of error from the Supreme Court

Written & for the Third Grand Division
of said State. Now if the said Lewis
W. Webber & Christopher C. Webber shall
duly prosecute said suit of Error & pray
or cause to be paid, all judgment
Costs, interest & damages while the said
Supreme Court shall adjudge against
the said Lewis W. Webber & Christopher
C. Webber & abide the order & judgment
of said Supreme Court in this be-
half, then this obligation is to be void
otherwise to remain in full force & virtue

L. W. Webber, L. S.

C. C. Webber, L. S.

John Deere, L. S.

The sum above is reported to be worth
fifty thousand dollars Quincy M. Ward clerk
Circuit Court of Illino. Ills.

State of Illinois
LaSalle County vs Henry J. Patty of
the City of Rock Island being day
8 moon sign that he is acquainted
with pecuniary affairs. of John Deere
Security named in the foregoing
bond. & believes him to be worth
over & above all just debts paid
at least the sum of fifty thousand
dollars.

Moon this 10 day of
December AD 1838

H. J. Patty.

S. Island Clerk S.C.

Supreme Court
J. M. Webber &
C. C. Webber

Ad.

Spier Whittaker

Supersedeau Bond.

Filed Jan. 24, 1887

S. Leland
Clerk

STATE OF ILLINOIS, } ss. The People of the State of Illinois,
SUPREME COURT, } To the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the County of Rock Island Greeting:
Because, In the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of
the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit
Court of Rock Island County, before the Judge thereof, between
Spur Whitaker

plaintiff, and Lewis M Webber & Christopher Webber

defendant^s, it is said mani-
fest error hath intervened, to the injury of the aforesaid defendant^s

as we are informed
by their complaint and we being willing that error should be
corrected, if any there be, in due form and manner, and that justice be done
to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given,
you distinctly and openly, without delay, send to our Justices of the Su-
preme Court the records and proceedings of the plaint aforesaid, with
all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the
same before our Justices aforesaid at Ottawa, in the County of La
Salle, on the first Tuesday after the third Monday in April next, that
the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein,
to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law.

Witness, The Hon. John D. Caton, Chief
Justice of our said Court, and the Seal
thereof, at Ottawa, this 24th - day of
January in the Year of Our Lord
one thousand eight hundred and fifty-nine.

L Leland

Clerk of the Supreme Court
by J D Rice Deputy

113

Lewis M. Webber &
Christopher B. Webber
vs
~~Alfred Whittaker~~
Writ of Error

This writ of error is made
a supersedeas and as
such is to be obeyed
by all concerned.

S. Leland Esq.
of J. B. Moore Deputy

Filed June 24, 1859
S. Leland Esq.

STATE OF ILLINOIS, } ss. The People of the State of Illinois,
SUPREME COURT, To the Sheriff of the County of Rock Island — Greeting:
Because, In the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment
of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of Rock Island
County, before the Judge thereof, between Spier Whitaker

plaintiff, and Lewis M. Webber & Christopher Webber

defendants, it is said that manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the said
defendant

as we are informed by their complaint, — the record
and proceedings of which said judgment we have caused to be brought into our Supreme Court of the State of Illinois, at Ottawa, before the Justices thereof, to correct the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; Therefore, We Command You, That by good and lawful men of your County, you give notice to the said

Spier Whitaker

that he be and appear before the Justices of our said Supreme Court, at the next term of said Court, to be holden at Ottawa, in said State, on the first Tuesday after the third Monday in April next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and the errors assigned, if he — shall see fit; and further to do and receive what said Court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom you shall give the said Spier Whitaker

notice, together with this writ.

Witness, The Hon. JOHN D. CATON, Chief Justice of our said Court, and the Seal thereof, at Ottawa, this 24th day of January in the Year of Our Lord One Thousand Eight Hundred and Fifty-nine.

J. Leland
Clerk of the Supreme Court.
by J. B. Shee Deputy

Lewis M. Webber &
Christopher C. Webber
Spies Whitaker
Scire facias

Sheriffs fees
Service 50
Mile Travel 15
Relay 10⁵

Filed Feb. 28. 1859

L. Leland
S. C.

I have executed this writ by serving the same to
Spies Whitaker to restrain him from obstructing and intercepting service
thereon this 26th day of January A.D. 1859
Mr. D. Brewster Sheriff
West Island County Illinois
By C. H. St. John's attorney

In Supreme Court.

Spirr Whittaker
v
L. M. Webber &
C. C. Webber

Spirr Whittaker
v
C. C. Webber
L. M. Webber &
John Dunn.

Two causes in the
Supreme Court of Illinois from
the Rock Island Circuit Court.

It is agreed that the bill of exceptions in each of the above causes may be so amended, in the Supreme Court record, as to show, that the pleas of the defendant were polled upon, by the jury, and that the defendants went to the jury and they rendered a verdict for the plaintiff on all the pleas, to which the defendant does not apply & by whom the defendant directed, and the statement in the bill of exceptions, as it now stands, that the defendant withdrew their pleas is not correct.

S. Whittaker

Dec 29th 1858

by his attorney, Grant-
Wilkerson & Pleasant

113
Lewis Mr. Webber &
Christopher B. Webber
Spies Whittaker

Christopher B. Webber
Lewis Mr. Webber and
John Deere.
Spies Whittaker

Stepulation of parties
to amend record. —

Filed June 28 1883
L. Deland
blk

Plead before the Hon J Wilson Drury
Judge of the sixth Judicial Circuit
of the State of Illinois, at a term
of the Circuit Court, begun & held at
the Court House within & for the County
of Rock Island, on the first Monday
the 6th day of September A.D 1858-

Present Hon J Wilson Drury Judge
O M. Beardsley Sheriff
Dwight McNeil Clerk

Be it remembered that on the 10th
day of July 1858, Pier Whitaker
by his Attorney, sued out of the
Clerks Office of the Court aforesaid
a certain writ of summons in
Arrearsuit, which is in the words
& figures following, to wit—
State of Illinois }
Rock Island County }

The people of the state of Illinois
To the Sheriff of Rock Island County Greeting
We command you to summon
Christopher C Webba Lewis M. Webber
& John Deen, if found in your county
personally to be and appear before the
Circuit Court of said County on the
first day of the next term thereof, to
be holden at the Court house in Rock
Island, on the first Monday of September

most. Then & there to answer unto Spier
Whittaker of a plea of trespass on the said
upon promise to his damages in the
sum of Three Thousand Dollars as
he says, and have you then & there this
writ, and make return thereon in
what manner you execute the same,

Witness Drancy McNeil Clerk of the

said court and the seal thereof affixed.

this 10th day of July in the year of
our Lord, on thousand Eight Hundred

and fifty Eight, Drancy McNeil Clerk

which said writ, was afterwards, to wit
on the 15th day of July 1858, returned
by the Sheriff of Said County, with
the following endorsement thereon. I
have executed this writ by reading
the same to all the within named
defendants July 15. 1858. S. M. Beardley
Sheriff by W. D. Merrill Deputy, and
the said writ was thereupon filed in
the said Clerks office and became
a part of the records herein.

Be it also remembered that on the
10th day of July aforesaid Spier Whittaker
by his attorney J. L. Grant, files in
the Clerks Office aforesaid his certain
declaration in assumpsit against
Christopher C. Webber. Lewis M. Webber

& John Deere which said declaration
is in the words & figures following to
wit =

State of Illinois
Rock Island Circuit
Court

Rock Island September Term 1858
To wit

Christopher C Webber Lewis
M. Webber and John Deere now sum-
mons to answer Spur Whittaker in a
plea of Affreight, And thereupon
Spur Whittaker complains of said Christopher
C. Webber, Lewis M. Webber and John
Deere, for that the defendants on the 1st
day of October 1857 at Davenport in the
State of Iowa, to wit in said County,
by their promissory note of that date, by
them made and subscribed, by the name
and description of C.C. Webber L.M. Webber
and John Deere for Value received undertook
& promised to pay to the order of said plaintiff
the sum of Twenty four hundred & sixteen
\$100 Dollars at James Grants Office
with ten percent interest after one. in
even months after date which period has
now elapsed yet though often requested, the
said defendants have not paid said
sum of money or any part thereof
but refuse and neglect so to do. To the

plaintiffs damages of three thousand
Dollars and therefore he sue

J. Lovett atty for
plaintiff

Copy of note sued upon
2416 Bo

Davenport October 11-1857

Born in month after date we promise
to pay to the order of J. Whittaker. Twenty
four hundred & sixteen \$0/00 Dollars payable
at James Grants office. with ten
per cent interest after due value
received

E. C. Webber

L. W. Webber

John Deen

and afterwards to wit on the 20th day of
September 1858 at the term of Court of said
the said defendants by their attorneys
filed their certain pleadings to the plaintiffs
declaration which said pleadings are in the
words & figures following to wit.

State of Illinois
Rock Island County

To Sept AD 1858

Rock Island Circuit Court

Christopher C. Webber

Lewis M. Webber

John Deen

Davenport

as
Sam Whittaker

1st and the said defendants by their attorneys
R M. Marshall and H F. Peetz conser-
defend the wrong and injury which we
and say that they did not undertake or
promise in manner and form as
the said plaintiff hath above them of
complained against them and of this
they put themselves ^{upon the} Country. and the
plaintiff does likewise Grant

2^d

Grant for $\$100$

And for a further plea in their behalf the
said defendants by leave of the court first had
re according to the form of the Statute &c. as to
the four hundred & sixteen & $\frac{8}{100}$ dollars of the
said Two thousand four hundred & sixteen & $\frac{8}{100}$
dollars in the promissory note in the plaintiff's
Declaration mentioned, say that the said plaintiff
action non. because they say that the said promis-
sory note was executed & delivered by the said
defendants to the said plaintiff at Davenport
in the County of Scott State of Iowa. and
was there made payable, and with reference
had to the laws of said state of Iowa. And
that long before. And at the time of the
Execution and delivery of the said promissory
note. as of on said. The General Assembly
of the said State of Iowa. at a session
thereof begun and holden on the sixth day of
December in the year of D 1862 at the City

of Iowa City in the said State, had provided
among other things by a certain
Statute then and there enacted that the
rate for the forbearance of money should
be ten per centum per annum by agreement
in writing and no more. And that no
person or Corporation Should at or within the
said State receive in Money goods or things
in action any greater sum or value for the
loan of forbearance of money, than after the
said rate in the said Statute provided which
said Statute is entitled and is in the words
and figures following to wit.

"An act to regulate the interest on money
Section 1. Be it enacted by the General Assembly
of the State of Iowa, that the rate of interest
shall be six cents on the hundred by
the year on money due^{on} Express Contract
unless a different rate be expressed in writing
on all money after the same becomes
due, when there is no contract fixing
the rate of interest on judgments and debts
for the payment of money when there is no express
agreement on money lent without a contract
fixing the rate of interest and on money received
for the use of another & retained beyond a reason-
able time without the owners consent Expressed
or implied on money due upon settlement of
settled accounts from the day the balance

" is ascertained: on money due on open account
after six months from the date of the last
item and on all money due, or to be-
come due when there is a contract to pay
interest and no rate stipulated,

~~Sec 2.~~ parties may agree in writing for
the payment of interest not exceeding
ten cents on the hundred by the year.

Sec 3. Interest shall be allowed on all
money due on judgments and decrees
of any competent Court or tribunal at the rate
of six percent per annum unless a different
rate is fixed by the contract, on which the judgment
or decree is rendered, in which case the judgment
or decree shall draw interest at the rate expressed
in the Contract, but no judgment or decree
shall draw more than ten percent per annum
which rate must be expressed in the judgment
or decree

Sec 4. No person shall directly or indirectly
receive in money, goods, or things in action
or in any other manner any greater
sum or value for the loan of money, or upon
a contract founded upon any bargain rate
or loan of, Warr. Merchandise. Goods chattels
lands & tenements than is in this Act pro-
vided.

Sec 5. If it shall be ascertained in any
suit brought, on any contract that

no rate of interest has been contracted for
greater than is authorized by this act, either
directly or indirectly in money, property
or other valuable thing, the same shall
work a forfeiture of ten percent per annum upon
the amount of such contract to the School
fund of the County in which the suit is
brought, and the plaintiff shall have
judgment for the principal sum, without
either interest or cost. The Court in which
said suit is prosecuted shall render judgment
against the defendant in favour of the State of
Iowa for the use of the School fund of said
County. Whether the said suit is contested
or not, and in all cases, when the unlawful
interest is not apparent on the contract
or writing, the person contracting to pay the
unlawful interest shall be a competent
witness to prove that the contract was usurious and
in no case when unlawful interest is con-
tracted for, shall the plaintiff have judgment
for more than the principal sum, whether
the unlawful interest be incorporated with
the principal or not.

~~Sec. 6.~~ Nothing in this act shall be so
construed so as to prevent the proper benefit
and recovery of any usurious contract recovering
against the user the full amount of the
consideration paid by him for such contract, if

"the amount of the principal money
but the same may be recovered, of such
a user in the proper action before any court
having competent jurisdiction,

Be it so much of Chapter 57, title 13, of the
code, as may conflict with the provisions
of this act, hereby repealed. This act to
take effect in thirty days from and after
its publication in the "Iowa Capitol Reporter"
and the "Iowa Republican."

And the said defendants aver that the
said Statute was afterwards to wit on the 9th
day of February 1853, at the State of Iowa
fore said published in the said Iowa Capitol
Reporter and in the said Iowa Republican
so became & were of effect from thence
forth & until and at the time of the making
of the Conveyance and various contracts here-
inafter not mentioned. And the said defendants
further aver that before making of the said
provisional note in the first Court of the
plaintiff's declaration mentioned to wit on
the 11th day of October A.D. 1858 at Des Moines
foresaid in the state of Iowa of said it
was corruptly & against the form of the said
above mentioned Statute agreed by & between
the said Christopher C. Webber and the said plaintiff
that he the said plaintiff should lend and advance
to the said Webber a certain large sum of money

to wit the sum of two thousand Dollars and that
he the said plaintiff should forbear and give
day of payment for the said sum of money from
the time of lending & advancing the same for
and until seven months from the day of the date
of said lending & advancing. And that for the
forbearing and giving day of payment of the
said sum of two thousand Dollars as aforesaid
he the said C C Webber should give & pay to the
said plaintiff a certain large sum of money
to wit the said sum of four hundred & sixteen
 $\frac{8}{100}$ Dollars and that of the said sum of
two thousand Dollars so to be lent & advanced
and the said sum of four hundred & sixteen $\frac{8}{100}$ Dollars
so to be paid for the lending & forbearance
of the said two thousand Dollars. should
remain unpaid after the day when as by
the said corrupt vicious & malafull contract
the same were made payable that then &
from thenceforth said Webber should give &
pay for the forbearing of the same interest
after the rate of ten percent interest. and
that for the securing the payment of the said
sum of two thousand dollars so lent and
advanced & forbore and of the said other sum of
four hundred & sixteen $\frac{8}{100}$ Dollars. so to
be paid for the said lending & advancing and
forbearance and the said further interest of
ten per cent said Webber as principal and

Webber & Deere as sureties, should execute
and deliver to the said plaintiff their certain
promissory note of that date wherein the said
four hundred & sixteen ⁸⁰/₁₀₀ Dollars should
be incorporated together with the said two
thousand Dollars, and wherein in the said
further interest of ten percent should be nomi-
nata & described. And said defendants further
say that in pursuance and in part per-
formance of the said corrupt & unlawful
and unjust Contract the said Webber as
principal and the said Webber and Deere as
sureties afterwards to wit on the said Eleventh
day Oct to wit at Davenport in the aforesaid
State of Iowa did make and deliver to the
said plff the said promissory note in the first
Count of the said plaintiffs declaration
mentioned and that afterwards in further
pursuance of the said corrupt & unlawfull a-
greement on the year and day aforesaid
at Davenport aforesaid the said plaintiff did
lend and advance to the said Webber the said
sum of Two Thousand Dollars,
and the said defendants further say that
the said sum of four hundred & sixteen ⁸⁰/₁₀₀
Dollars so agreed to be paid for the lending
and advancing of the said sum of two thou-
sand Dollars and for the forbearance of the
same for and until the said seven months

from the date of said agreement and the said further interest of ten per cent so agreed to be paid and so in the said promissory note mentioned as aforesaid for the forbearance of the said two thousand Dollars from and after the expiration of the said seven months from the day of the date of said agreement exceeds, the rate of ten per centum per annum for the loan or forbearance thereof, contrary to the aforesaid statute in such case made and provided, whereby and by force of the said statute in such case made and provided, the said promissory note as to the said four hundred and sixteen and $\frac{8}{10}$ D^ollars so in the said note described was and is void. and ^{this} the said defendants are ready to verify wherefore they pray judgment &c

3^d Plea And for a further plea in this behalf the said defendants by leave of the court, here for this purpose first had and obtained according to the form of the Statute &c as to the four hundred & sixteen D^ollars paid of the said two thousand four hundred & sixteen $\frac{8}{10}$ D^ollars in the said promissory note, in the said first count of the plaintiffs declaration mentioned say ~~Action Non~~ because they say that the said promissory note was executed and delivered by the said defendants to the said plaintiff at Davenport to wit in the County of Scott and state of Iowa and was then made payable and with reference had to the laws of the said state of Iowa and that long before and at the time of the Execution and delivery of said promissory note as aforesaid, the laws

Assembly of the said state of Iowa at a session
thereof begun and holden on the 6th day of December
A.D. 1852 at the city of Iowa City in the said state
of Iowa had provided among other things by a certain
Statute then and there enacted that the rate for the
forbearance of money should from thenceforth be
ten per centum per annum by agreement and no
more and that no person or corporation should from
thenceforth at or within the said state by ^{any} direct, shift
or indirect action recover in money goods or things in
action any greater sum or value for the loan or
forbearance of money than after the said rate in the
said Statute provided which said Statute is entitled "An
act to regulate the interest on money" and is in the
words and figures following, to wit (See for Statute in
2^d plead in this cause)

And the said defendants aver that the said Statute
was afterwards to wit on the 4th day of February A.D. 1853
at the State of Iowa aforesaid published in the said
Iowa Capital Reporter and in the said Iowa Republic
and so became and from thenceforth of Effect &
until and at the time of the making of the corrupt
and usurious contract herein of the next mentioned.
And the said defendants further aver that before the making
of the said promissory note in the first count of the plffs
declaration mentioned to wit on the 11th day of October A.D.
1854 at Davenport aforesaid in the state of Iowa aforesaid
it was corruptly and against the form of the statute
above mentioned in such case made and provided, agreed

by and between the said C. C. Webber and the said plaintiff that the said plff should lend and advance to the said Webber a certain large sum of money to wit the sum of two thousand Dollars and that he the said plaintiff should forbear and give day of payment for the said sum of money from the time of the lending and advancing the same even months from the day of the date of the said lending and advancing and that for the forbearing and giving day of payment of the said sum of Two Thousand Dollars as aforesaid he the said Webber should give and pay to the said plaintiff a certain other large sum of money to wit the sum of Four Hundred and sixteen & $\frac{8}{100}$ Dollars and that if the said sum of Two thousand Dollars etc be lent and advanced and the said sum of Four Hundred and sixteen & $\frac{8}{100}$ Dollars so to be paid for the lending and forbearing of the said Two Thousand Dollars should remain unpaid after the day when as by the said corrupt usurious and unlawful agreement the same was made payable, that then and from thenceforth the said Webber should give and pay interest at ten per cent.

And that the securing the said sum of Two Thousand Dollars so lent and advanced and the said sum of four hundred and sixteen & $\frac{8}{100}$ Dollars so to be paid for the loan and forbearance of the said sum of Two Thousand Dollars and the said interest the said C. C. Webber L. M. Webber and John Dure should execute and deliver to the said plaintiff their certain promissory note of that date for the said aggregate sum of Two Thousand four hundred

and sixteen & 8⁰/₁₀₀ Dollars payable seven months after date with interest at ten per cent after maturity and the said defendants further say that in pursuance of the said corrupt, unlawful and usurious agreement and in part performance thereof the said C. C. Webber & W. Webber & John Dow afterwards to wit on the 11th day of October 1857 at Davenport in the state of Iowa did make and execute & deliver to the said plaintiff the said promissory note in the just count of the plaintiffs declaration mentioned and that afterwards to wit on the day and year of oursaid at Davenport aforesaid the said plaintiff did in further pursuance of the aforesaid corrupt and unlawful agreement lend and advance to the said Webber the said sum of Two Thous and Dallard.

And the said defendants further say that the said sum of Four Hundred and sixteen & 8⁰/₁₀₀ Dallard so agreed to be given and paid by the said Webber to the said plaintiff for such loan and forbearance as aforesaid & is second as aforesaid exceed the rate of ten per centum per annum contrary to the statute in such case made and provided, whereby and by force of the statute in such case made and provided the said promissory note bears and is as is the said Four hundred and sixteen & 8⁰/₁₀₀ Dall and Void and that the said defendants are ready to verify
Wherefore they pray a judgment &c

H. Blunt

R. W. Marshall &
A. F. Putty Atty for Df's

49 and for a further plea in their behalf the said defendants

by leave of the court here for this purpose first had
and obtained according to the form of the Statute &c
say Action upon because they say that the said Plaintiff
before and at the time of the commencement of this
suit. to wit. at the County of Rock Island aforesaid was
and still is indebted to the said Defendants in a large
sum of money to wit - the sum of three thousand
Dollars for money before that time lent and advanced
to and paid. laid out and expended for the said Plaintiff at
his special request and for money by the said Plaintiff
before that time had and received to and for the use of the
said Defendants and for money due and owing from the
said Plaintiff to said Defendants for the interest upon
and forbearance of divers large sum of money due
and owing from the said Plaintiff to the said Defendants
and by the said Defendants forbearance to the said Plaintiff
for divers large spaces of time before two Elois
which said sum of money so due and owing to the
said Defendants as aforesaid exceed the damage sus-
tained by the said Plaintiff by reason of the non perform-
ance by the said Defendants of the said supposed and
promised and undertakings. in said declaration men-
tioned out of which said sum of money so due and
owing from said Plaintiff to the said Defendants the
said Defendants are ready and willing to and hereby offer
to set off and allow to the said Plaintiff the full
amount of the said damages according to
the form of the Statute in such case made
and provided and that the said Defendants are

ready to verify wherefore they pray judgment &c

J. H. Marshall Esq.

H. J. Tully attorney

Defendants

Spir Whitaker

To C. C. Webber L. W. Webber and John

Drew.

To money recd for our use \$160-

" " ad & lent 440-

" " " " 400-

To money laid out & expences by you own
me and expenses } 500

To money over paid you 160-

To " " lent 1200-

And afterward to wit, on the twenty fifth day of the
month of September aforesaid, and at the term of
the Court aforesaid, the plaintiff by his attorney
filed his application to defer ~~of~~ plead, which is in
the words and figures following to wit-

Spir Whitaker

vs
C. C. Webber
L. W. Webber
John Drew

Rock Island Circuit Court
September Term 1858

And the said plaintiff as to said
plea of the defendants fourthly above pleaded, says,
he ought not to be barred from maintaining his
action, because he says he is not indebted, and

did not promise to sume and promise the dft
as therein set forth, and this he prays may be
enquired of by the Court, Grant, Watkins & Reams
Attorneys for plaintiff

And the said defendant doth the like,

Gulf and Marshall
Attorneys for Dft

and at the same term aforesaid at the Court aforesaid
and on the same day aforesaid to wit the 25
day of September 1858 the said plaintiff by his attorney
filed his demurrer to defendants second and third
pleas which demurrer is in the words and figures
following to wit,

John Whitehead }
w }
C. C. Webber }
L. M. Webber }
John Deere }

Rock Island Circuit Court
September Term A.D. 1858

Demurrer of plaintiff

And the said plaintiff as to
said pleas of the defendants, secondly and thirdly
above pleaded, says, that he ought not to be barred
by any reason of anything in said pleas alleged
because the said pleas and the matter therein set
forth are not sufficient in law to bar the plff's
action and this he is ready to verify. Wherefore by
reason of the insufficiency of said pleas,^{he prays judgment} and his

damages by reason of the non-performance
of the promises in his declaration named,

Ernest Wilkinson Pleasant,
Atty for Plaintiff.

And afterwards to wit, at the same term of the
court aforesaid and on the 25th day of the
month of September aforesaid the following pro-
ceeding was had to-wit-

Spur Whittaker
as
Christopher C. Webber
Lewis M. Webber &
John Dure

Opposite

This day came the
parties by their attorneys and this cause
coming on to be heard upon plaintiff's de-
monstrance to defendants second and third pleas
and the court having heard the arguments of the
counsel and being sufficient advised in the
premises doth sustain the said demurrer against the
defendants abide by said demurrer, and since being
joined upon the first and fourth plead of defendants
there came a jury of twelve men, R. J. Smith, W^m
Whaddingt, Amos A. Stephens, John Lusk, C.
J. Duncing, W^m A. Edwards, D. J. Cleland, W^m
Kings, Ephraim Johnson, W^m A. Goss, J. C. Rundlett
Jesus Maxwell, who well and truly try the issue joined and having had

the evidence returned their verdict into Court which
is as follows) to wit "We the Jury find the issue for
the plaintiff and assess his damages at the
sum of Two Thousand Five Hundred and nine
Dollars and sixty four cents" ~~It is therefore ordered~~
~~by the court that plaintiff have and recover~~
~~of defendants the said sum of Two Thousand and~~
~~nine Dollars and sixty four cents to gather with his~~
~~costs in the behalf expended and that he have ex-~~
~~cution therefor and defendants enter a motion for a new trial.~~

And afterwards to-wit at the same term of
the Court aforesaid and on the first day of October
A.D. 1830. the following proceedings was had, to wit
John Whitaker }
vs
Christopher D. Webb } Assumpsit
Lewis W. Webb & }
John Deen }

This day again came the parties
by their attorneys and this cause came on to be
heard ^{upon} by defendants motion for a new trial and the
Court having heard the same and being sufficiently
advised of the premise overrules the said motion.
It is therefore ordered by the Court that plaintiff have
and recover of defendants the said sum of Two Thousand
Five hundred and nine Dollars and sixty four cents together
with his costs in the behalf expended and that he
have execution therefor, whereupon on motion of
defendants by their attorneys, it is ordered by the

Court that defendants have leave to file their
bill of exceptions herein in thirty days from
this date.

And afterwards to-wit on the 31st day of October
A.D. 1858 the said defendants by their attorney &
filed in the Clerks office of the Court offoresaid
their said bill of exceptions which is in the words
and figures following to-wit.

State of Illinois }
Rock Island County }
 3rd

Spieir Whitaker D
vs September Term A.D. 1858
Christopher Hubbell D
Louis M. Hubbell D
John Dear D
Rock Island Circuit Court
 Offumpit

Sept 1858

Be it remembered that on this day the
above entitled cause being called and the plaintiff
having before that time filed his demurrer in law
to the defendants second and third pleas and joins issue
with the said defendants on their first and fourth pleas
hence, the said demurrer was argued by the counsel
in open court, and the court having heard the ar-
gument of counsel did adjudge the said demurrer good
in law, and the said plaintiff not bound to answer
the said second and third pleas of the defendants and
thereupon issued having been joined with the said

defendants on their first and fourth pleas having and
jury having been impaneled and sworn to try the
issues on said pleas, the plaintiff submitted in
Evidence to said jury the promissory note in plaintifff
declaration mentioned and here closed his case, there
upon the said defendants offered evidence to prove that
at the time of the Execution of said promissory note
they had paid to said plaintiff the sum of One
Hundred and sixty Dollars, the said sum being
unjust according to the laws of the state of Iowa
where said contract was made to which said defen-
dants, said plaintiff objected and thereupon the court
having heard the argument of counsel, sustained
said objection, whereupon said defendants withdrew
their first, second third and fourthtplead, and thereupon
the said jury rendered their verdict in favour of
said plaintiff and assessed his damages at £ 2507.64
that being the amount due for principal and
interest upon said promissory note in the said dec-
laration mentioned and thereupon the defendants moved
for a new trial, which motion coming on for a
hearing, was overruled by the court and thereupon
the court approving said verdict rendered judgment
in favour of said plaintiff, that he have and
receive of the defendants the amount of said sum
of £ 2507.64

To all of which several rulings of the
court, sustaining said demurrer and approving
said verdict, and refusing to admit the testimony

offered by the said defendants to the said jury and
overruling the motion of defendants for a new
trial and rendering said judgment the said
defendants by their counsel do except and pray
the Court to sign and seal this their Bill of Ex-
ceptions and make the same part of the record
herein which is done

(Signed) D. W. Dury Esq;
Judge

State of Illinois
Rock Island County I, Quincy McNeil, clerk of
the circuit court in and for said County do
hereby certify that the above is a true copy
of the complete cause in the above case and
comprising the Summons declaration Pleas 12, 34,
damnum replicatum Judgment and Bill of
exception.

In Testimony whereof I have
set my hand and the seal
of said Court this 26th day of
November A.D. 1858

Quincy McNeil M

And now come the said Christopher
C Webber Lewis M Webber & John Derr
Plaintiffs in error by Marshall & Petty
their Attorneys & assign for error in the
above Record the following-

1st The Court below erred in sustai-
ning the Demurrers to the 2^d and 3^d pleas
of the defendants below pleaded

2nd The Court erred in rendering judg-
ment against said debtors below for the
amount of said promissory note & the
various interest thereon stipulated

3rd The Court erred, in refusing to
receive the testimony offered by defen-
dants below, to prove that at the time
of the execution of said promissory note
they had paid to said debt the sum of
\$160, the same being unvois, according
to the law of Iowa, when the contract
was made.

4th The Court erred, in refusing to al-
low debtors below to prove offset & in
instructing the jury to assess the dam-
ages at the sum of said note together
with said unvois interest

5th The Court erred in approving the
Assessment made by the jury

6th The Court erred in rendering

the said second and third pleas of the defendants and
thereupon issued having been joined with the said

Final judgment against the de-
fendants below for the amount
to be assessed by the jury

Marshall & Peltz
Atts for Plaintiff

Set a supersedaw viso in this
cause Bond in sum of three thousand
and Sixteen dollars with Molar and
Frizzell Surety

J D Calton.

Christopher L. Webber
Lewis, Mr. Webber &
John Deere No 3
January 25 1853

Office Whitehouse
for Decrscut and
Assignment of Viso

Filed January 26 1853
In replevin
J. D. Calton

A B S T R A C T.

CHRISTOPHER C. WEBBER, ET AL
vs
SPEIR WHITTAKER.

ERROR FROM ROCK ISLAND.

Assumpsit on a Promissory Note, dated Davenport, Iowa, Dec. 23, 1857, due in Six Months, for \$1,100, payable in Iowa, with 10 per cent after due.

- 8 Plea 1. General issue.
2nd. Said Note was executed and delivered in Iowa, and sets forth a verbatim copy of the Iowa Statute. See Iowa Statutes, Dec. 6, 1852: The law provides for not to exceed 10 per cent interest by agreement, and that in no case shall the Plaintiff, when 13 unlawful interest has been contracted for, recover more than the principal sum, without interest or cost. The Court in which the suit is pending shall render judgment of forfeiture in favor of the State of Iowa, for the use of the School fund of the County, for 20 10 per cent penalty against the Plaintiff. That for the use of the 21 sum \$1,000 Six Months, the defendants were to give \$100, being 22 the rate of 2 per cent month, the same being more than 10 per cent 23 a year, and being part of the \$1,100 in said Note mentioned, the sum loaned being only \$1,000.
25 3d. Plea, usury by the laws of Iowa. 4th. Sett off, to which 30 Plaintiff joins issue. To the second and third pleas, a general demurser. Demurrer sustained. Defendants stand by the demurrer. Jury trial, and a verdict for the Plaintiff, for \$1,127.25. On the 36 trial, the Plaintiff offered the note in evidence and rested. The 38 defendants then offered evidence to prove, that at the time of the execution of said promissory note, they had paid \$112, the said sum being usurious, according to the laws of the State of Iowa, where said contract was made, to which evidence the Plaintiff objected, and which objection was sustained, and exceptions taken.

39 Defendants moved for a new trial which was overruled.

Assignment of errors :—

1st. The Court erred in sustaining the demurrer to the 2nd and 3d pleas.

2nd. The Court erred in refusing to admit the evidence to show usury.

3d. In overruling the motion for a new trial.

Points. 1st. The demurrer to the 2nd and 3d pleas should have been overruled, instead of being sustained.

2nd. The testimony offered to prove usury was proper, and the Court should have received it.

SHUMWAY, WAITE & TOWNE,
Plaintiff's Att'y's.

1128 H.B

Christopher G Nichols
et al

"
Open Whiskers
Abstact of parts

12933

Filed April 22-1839
G. Beland
Clark

STATE OF ILLINOIS, } ss. The People of the State of Illinois,

SUPREME COURT,

To the Clerk of the Circuit

Court for the County of Rock Island

Greeting:

Because, In the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of Rock Island County, before the Judge thereof, between Spencer Whitatter

plaintiff, and Christopher & Webber, Lewis, M. Webber and John Deere

defendants, it is said manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the aforesaid defendants

as we are informed by their complaint and we being willing that error should be corrected, if any there be, in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly, without delay, send to our Justices of the Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plaintiff aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our Justices aforesaid at Ottawa, in the County of La Salle, on the first Tuesday after the third Monday in April next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law!

Witness, The Hon. John D. Caton, Chief Justice of our said Court, and the Seal thereof, at Ottawa, this 24th day of January in the Year of Our Lord our thousand eight hundred and fifty three.

J. Leland

Clerk of the Supreme Court.
by J. B. Rice Deputy

Christopher C. Webber,
Lewis M. Webber and
John Deeks

vs
Spier Whitaker

Writ of Error

This writ of error is
made a supersedas
and as such is to be
obeyed by all concerned

S. Leland Clerk
by J. B. True Deputy

Filed Jan. 24th 1839.

S. Leland
Clerk

STATE OF ILLINOIS, } ss. The People of the State of Illinois,
SUPREME COURT,

To the Sheriff of the County of *Rock Island*

Greeting:

Because, In the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment
of a plea which was in the *Circuit Court of Rock Island*
County, before the Judge thereof, between *Spier Whitaker* —

plaintiff, and *Christopher B. Webber, Lewis M. Webber*
and *John Deere* —

defendant^s, it is said that manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the said
Defendants —

as we are informed by *Their* complaint, — the record
and proceedings of which said judgment we have caused to be brought into our Su-
preme Court of the State of Illinois, at Ottawa, before the Justices thereof, to correct
the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; Therefore, We
Command You, That by good and lawful men of your County, you give notice to the said

Spier Whitaker —

that *he* be and appear before the Justices of our said Supreme Court, at the next
term of said Court, to be holden at Ottawa, in said State, on the first Tuesday after the
third Monday in April next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and
the errors assigned, if *he* shall see fit; and further to do and receive what said
Court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom
you shall give the said *Spier Whitaker* —

notice, together with this writ.

Witness, The Hon. JOHN D. CATON, Chief Justice
of our said Court, and the Seal thereof, at Ottawa,
this *24th* day of *January* in the
Year of Our Lord One Thousand Eight Hundred
and Fifty-nine.

S. Leland
Clerk of the Supreme Court
by J. B. Rice Deputy

Christopher C. Webber,
Lewis M. Webber and
John Deere.

Spies Whitaker
Scire facias

Snuff Box
Tin 50
Nails Paal 15-
Ration 65

Filed Feb. 2d 1839
L. Leland Clerk

I have appreciated this work by writing the name
of Spies Whitaker in acknowledgment and excepting his
dear son this 26th day of January A.D. 1839.
W. D. Merrill Sheriff
Jack Adams Clerk
By C. H. Vaughan Q.C.

Know All Men by these presents, That
Christopher C. Webber Lewis M. Webber
& John Doree or principals, and Will
iam Prizzell or security, are held owing
bound unto Spier Whittaker in the
sum of three thousand & sixteen
Dollars, good & lawful money of the
United States, for the payment of which
I well & truly to be made, the said Christopher
C. Webber Lewis M. Webber John
Doree & William Prizzell bind them
severally, their heirs executors & adminis-
trators, jointly, severally & firmly by these
present,

Witness Our hands & seals.

Dated this Eleventh Day of Decr. A.D. 1858

The condition of the above obligation
is such, that whereas, the above named
Spier Whittaker did at the September
Term of the Circuit Court, file in &
for the County of Rock Island in the
State of Illinois A.D. 1858, Beomes a
judgment against the above bounden
Christopher C. Webber Lewis M. Webber
& John Doree for the sum of two thou-
sand five hundred dollars & upw^e dollars
to secure which said judgment the
said Christopher C. Webber Lewis M.
Webber & John Doree have bound out a

Writ of Error from the Supreme Court
Brought by the Third Grand Division
of said State. Now if the said Christopher
C. Webber, Lewis M. Webber, & John Deen,
shall duly prosecute said writ of error
& pay or cause to be paid all judgments
costs incurred & damages while the said
Supreme Court shall adjudge against
Christopher C. Webber, Lewis M. Webber
& John Deen & abide the order & judg-
ment of said Supreme Court in this
behalf, then this obligation is to be
void otherwise to remain in full force
& effect.

C.C. Webber L.S.

L.M. Webber L.S.

John Deen L.S.

A.W. Grizzell L.S.

The sum above are remitted to the mth
fifty thousand dollars Tomy McNeil clk
Circuit Court Rockville

State of Illinois
County of LaSalle vs. Henry J. Pultys
of the City of Rock Island being day
thereon says that he is acquainted
with Tom Frizzel security named
on the foregoing bond, & that he
is reported to be wealthy - and in
the pursuit of dishonest it worth
over & above his just debts, at least
the sum of five thousand dollars
therefore sue him

16 day of Dec 1858,

L. Toland Clerk S.C.

H. J. Pultys.

Supreme Court
C. C. Webber 103
L. M. Webber 103
Geo. D. Erre 132

Adr

Speir Whittaker
Supernumerary Bond-

Filed Jan 24, 1838

L. Leland
Clerk

Supr. Court Recd.
Deft. withdraw pleat.

A B S T R A C T.

CHRISTOPHER C. WEBBER, ET AL }
vs
SPELR WHITTAKER. }

ERROR FROM ROCK ISLAND:

Assumpsit on a Promissory Note, dated Davenport, Iowa, Dec: 23, 1857, due in Six Months, for \$1,100, payable in Iowa, with 10 per cent after due.

8 Plea 1. General issue.

2nd. Said Note was execu'ed and delivered in Iowa, and sets forth a verbatim copy of the Iowa Statute. See Iowa Statutes, Dec. 6, 1852: The law provides for not to exceed 10 per cent interest by agreement, and that in no case shall the Plaintiff, when 13 unlawful interest has been contracted for, recover more than the principal sum, without interest or cost. The Court in which the suit is pending shall render judgment of forfeiture in favor of the State of Iowa, for the use of the School fund of the County, for 20 10 per cent penalty against the Plaintiff. That for the use of the 21 sum \$1,000 Six Months, the defendants were to give \$100, being 22 the rate of 2 per cent month, the same being more than 10 per cent 23 a year, and being part of the \$1,100 in said Note mentioned, the sum loaned being only \$1,000.

25 3d. Plea, usury by the laws of Iowa. 4th. Sett off, to which 30 Plaintiff joins issue. To the second and third pleas, a general demurrer. Demurrer sustained. Defendants stand by the demurrer. Jury trial, and a verdict for the Plaintiff, for \$1,127.25. On the 36 trial, the Plaintiff offered the note in evidence and rested. The 38 defendants then offered evidence to prove, that at the time of the execution of said promissory note, they had paid \$112, the said sum being usurious, according to the laws of the State of Iowa, where said contract was made, to which evidence the Plaintiff objected, and which objection was sustained, and exceptions taken.

39 Defendants moved for a new trial which was overruled.

Assignment of errors :—

1st. The Court erred in sustaining the demurrer to the 2nd and 3d pleas.

2nd. The Court erred in refusing to admit the evidence to show usury.

3d. In overruling the motion for a new trial.

Points. 1st. The demurrer to the 2nd and 3d pleas should have been overruled, instead of being sustained.

2nd. The testimony offered to prove usury was proper, and the Court should have received it.

SHUMWAY, WAITE & TOWNE,
Plaintiff's Att'y's.

112 & 113

Aristophne &
Prober et al

"
Sheir whittaker

Abraham Spens

Filed April 22, 1839

L. L. Clark
Clark

1
Purs before the Hon J Wilson Dray Judge of the
sixth Judicial Circuit of the state of Illinois at a
term of the Circuit Court begun and held at the
Court House, within and for the County of Rock Island
on the first Monday the 6th day of September AD 1858

Hon J. Wilson Dray Judge
President C. H. Peardsley Sheriff
Guiney McNeil Clerk

Be it remembered that on the 10th day of July
1858 Spur Whittaker, by his attorney, sued out of the
Clerk office of the Court of said County a certain writ of
summons in Arrearsuit, which is in the words and
figures following to wit -

State of Illinois
Rock Island County

The People of the State of Illinois,
To the Sheriff of Rock Island County, Greeting,

25
We command you to summon Lewis M. Webber
and Christopher D. Webber if to be found in your County
personally to be and appear before the Circuit Court of
said County on the first day of the next term thereof to
be holden at the Court House in Rock Island on the
first Monday of September next, there and then to answer
unto Spur Whittaker of a plea of trespass on the law
upon premises to his damage in the sum of
Fifteen Hundred Dollars as he says and have
you there and there this writ and make return
thereof in what manner you execute the same.

Witness Guiney McNeil Clerk of the said

Seal

Court and the seal whereof affixed this
10 day of July in the year of our
Lord one Thousand Eight Hundred
and fifty Eight.

(signed) Quincy McNeil Clerk,

which said writ was afterwards, to wit - on the
15th day of July 1858 returned by the Sheriff of
said County, with the following endorsement
thereon, "I have executed this writ by reading
the same to all the within named defendants
July 15. 1858"

(signed)

C. M. Peaseley Sheriff

By W. D. Merrill Deputy

and the said writ was thereupon filed in the
said Clerks Office and became a part of the
records herein,

Be it also remembered that on the 10th
day of July aforesaid Tim Whittaker by his Atty
D. Grant filed in the Clerks Office aforesaid, his
certain declaration in accompt against Lewis
H. Hobbs and Christopher C. Hobbs which said
declaration is in the words and figures following to
wit:

State of Illinois
Rock Island Circuit Court
September Term 1858-

Rock Island

To wit -

Lewis H. Hobbs & Christopher

5

C Webber were summonsed to answer Spie
Whittaker in a plea of Affumpnit. And there-
upon Spie Whittaker complains of said
Lewis M. Webber & Christopher C. Webber
for that the defendants on the 11th day of October
1857 at Davenport in the state of Iowa. to wit
at said County by their promissory note of
that date by them made and subscribed
by the name and description of Lewis M.
Webber & Christopher C. Webber. for value received
undertook and promised to pay to the order of
said plaintiff the sum of Eleven Hundred
Dollars at Whittaker & Grants office with two
per cent per month after due in six months after
date which period has now elapsed. yet though
often requested the said defendants have not
paid said sum of money or any part thereof
but refuse and neglect so to do. To the pliffs
damages of Fifteen Hundred Dollars and
thereon he sees

I Grant Attest

Copy of Note Sued upon
~~\$1100.00~~ Davenport Dec 25, 1857

Six months after date We promise to pay
to the Order of Spie Whittaker the sum of
Eleven Hundred Dollars. Value received
payable Whittaker & Grants office in Davenport
two per cent per month after due.

(Signed)

L. M. Webber
C. C. Webber

7 And afterwards to wit on the 20th day of
September 1858 at the term of the Court of
said Plaintiff's said Defendants by their Attorneys
filed their certain pleas to the Plaintiff's
declaration which said pleas are in the words
and figures following to wit

State of Illinois
Rock Island County

To September A.D. 1858

Rock Island Circuit Court

Lewis H. Webb &

Christopher C. Webb

8 18th ads
Spin Whitaker

Opposite

And the said Defendants
by their Attorneys P. H. Marshall and
H. J. Putz come and defend the
wrong and injury whence and say that
they did not undertake or promise to in man-
ner and form as the said Plaintiff hath
above shew of complained against them and
of that they putt themselves upon the Country
and the Plaintiff hath likewise the like

2^d Grant for plf

And for a further ^{plea} in their behalf the
said Defendants by leave of the Court first
had & according to the form of the Statute &
as to the One Hundred Dollars of the said Elbow

9 ~~Hundred Dollars in the promissory note in
and as to two per cent per month after due~~
the plaintiff's declaration mentioned say that
the said plaintiff ~~Actio Non~~ because they
say that the said promissory note was executed
and delivered by the said defendants to the said
plaintiff at Davenport in the County of
Scott State of Iowa and was there made
payable and with reference had to the laws
of said State of Iowa and that long
before and at the time of the Execution
and delivery of the said promissory note
as of on said, The General Assembly
of the said state of Iowa, at a session
thereof began and held on the sixt^h
day of December in the year AD 1852
at the City of Iowa City in the said state,
had provided among other things by a
certain Statute then and there enacted
that the rate for the forbearance of money
should be ten per centum per annum
by Agreement in writing and no more
And that no person or Corporation shall
at or within the said state receive in money
goods or things in action any greater sum
or value for the loan or forbearance of money
than after the said rate in the said Statute
provided which said statute is entitled and
is in the words and figures following
to wit:-

10 "An act to regulate the interest on money
Section 1 Be it enacted by the General
Assembly of the State of Iowa, that the
rate of Interest shall be six cents on the
Hundred by the year on money due on Ex-
pense Contract unless a different rate be express-
ed in writing, on all monies after the
same becomes due when there is no contract
fixing the rate of interest on judgments &
accrued for the payment of money when there
is no other rate expressed on money but
without a contract, fixing the rate of interest
and on money received for the use of another
and retained beyond a reasonable time with-
out the owners consent. Expressed or

implied, on money due upon settlement
of matured account from the day the
balance is ascertained, on money due on
open account, after six months, from the
date of the last item and on all money
due or to become due when there is a con-
tract to pay interest and no rate stipulated
Sec 2 Parties may agree in writing to
the payment of interest not exceeding two
cents on the Hundred by the Year.

Sec 3 Interest shall be allowed on all mon-
ey due on judgments and decrees of any
competent Court or tribunal at the rate
of six percent per annum unless a different

12 " rate is fixed by the Contract on which the judgment
" or decree is rendered, in which case the judgment
" or decree shall draw interest at the rate Express'd
" in the contract, but no judgment or decree shall
" draw more than ten per cent per annum
" which rate must be Express'd in the judgment
" or decree.

" Sec^d No person shall directly or indirectly
" receive in money goods or things in action
" or any other manner any greater sum or value
" for the loan of money or upon Contract, founded
" upon any bargain sale or loan of Hens
, Sheep and like Goods Chattels lands and

13 " instruments than is in this act provided
" Sec^d If it shall be ascertained in any suit
" brought on any contract that a rate of
" interest has been contracted for greater than
" is authorized by this act either directly or indirectly
" in money, property or other valuable thing, the
" same shall work a forfeiture of ten per cent per
" annum upon the amount of such contract
" to the School fund of the County in which the suit
" is brought, and the plaintiff shall have judgment
" for the principal sum without either interest or
" cost. The Court in which said suit is pro-
" ceeded shall render judgment against the
" defendant in favor of the State of Iowa for
" the use of the School fund of said County, when
" the said suit is contested or not and in all

- 14 " Case. When the unlawfull interest is not
" apparent on the contract or writing. The
" person contracting to pay the unlawful
" interest shall be a competent witness
" to prove that the contract usurious and
" in no case when unlawfull interest
" is contracted for. shall the plaintiff have
" judgment for more than the principal
" sum. whether the unlawfull interest be
" incorporated with the principal or not -
" Sec 6. Nothing in this act shall be so
" construed as to prevent the proper bona fide
" exigue of any usurious contract recovering
" against the debtor the full amount of the
" consideration paid by him for such contract
" less the amount of the principal money but
15 " the same may be recovered, of such debtor
" in the proper action before any court having
" competent jurisdiction.
" Sec 7. So much of chapter 57. title 13-
" of the Code as may conflict with the provisions
" of this Act is hereby repealed. This Act
" to take effect in thirty days from and after
" its publication in the "Iowa Capital Reporter"
" and the "Iowa Republican"

And the said officers do declare that the
said Statute was afterwards - to wit - on
the 9th day of February A D 1853 at
the State of Iowa aforesaid published in the

16 said "Iowa Capitol Reporter" and in the
said Iowa Republicans and so became
and was of Effect from thenceforth &
until and at the time of the making
of the Corrupt and vicious Contract
before in after mentioned and the
said defendant further aver that before
making of the said promissory note
in the first Count of the plaintiffs
declaration mentioned to wit on the 23rd
day of December A.D. 1857 at Davenport
aforesaid in the State of Iowa aforesaid it
was corruptly and against the form
of the said above mentioned Statute
agreed by and between the said
Lewis H. Webber and the said plaintiff
that he the said plaintiff should lend &
advances to the said Webber a certain
large sum of money to wit the sum
of One Thousand Dollars and that he the
said plaintiff should forbear and give day
of payment for the said sum of money
from the time of lending and advancing
the same for and until Six Months from
the day of the date of said lending and
advancing. And that for the forbearing
and giving day of payment of the said
sum of One Thousand Dollars as aforesaid
he the said Lewis H. Webber should give

17

give and pay to the said plaintiff a certain
 large sum of money to wit the said sum
 of One Hundred Dollars and that if
 the said sum of One Thousand Dollars
 so to be lent and advanced and the said sum
 of One Hundred Dollars so to be
 paid for the lending and forbearing of
 the said One Thousand Dollars should
 remain unpaid after the day when a by
 the said corrupt, vicious and unuseful
 contract the same were made payable
 that then and from thenceforth the said
 Webber should give and pay for the forbear-
 ing of the same interest after the rate of
~~two per cent~~^{per month} interest and that for the seeing
 the payment of the said sum of One
 Thousand Dollars so lent and advanced
 and forbore and of the said other sum
 of One Hundred Dollars so to be
 paid for the said lending and advancing
 and forbearance and the said further
 interest of two per cent per month said
 Lewis M. Webber as principal and C. C.
 Webber as surety should execute and deliver
 to the said plaintiff this certain promis-
 eory note of that date wherein the
 said One Hundred Dollars should
 be incorporated together with the said One
 Thousand Dollars, and where in the said

20

further interest of two percent per month
should be nominated and described—
And said defendants further say that in
pursuance and in part performance
of the said corrupt, unlawful and
unjust contract the said Webber as
principal and the said C.C. Webber as
surety afterwards to rote on the said
23^d day of December 1857 to wit at Davenport
in the aforesaid State of Iowa, did make
and deliver to the said plaintiff the
said promissory Note in the first
count of the said plaintiffs declaration
mentioned and that afterwards in
further pursuance of the said corrupt
and unlawful agreement on the
year and day aforesaid at Davenport
aforesaid the said plaintiff did lend
and advance to the said Lewis M. Webber
the said sum of One Thousand Dollars
And the said defendants further say
that the said One Hundred Dollars
so agreed to be paid for the lending and
advancing of the said sum of One
Thousand Dollars and for the forbearance
of the same for and until the said six
Months from the date of said agreement &
the said further interest of two percent per
month so agreed to be paid and so in

21

2d. the said promissory note mentioned as
agreed for the forbearance of the said
One Thousand Dollars from and
after the expiration of the said Six
Months from the day of the date
of said agreement exceed the rate
of ten per centum per annum for the
loans or forbearance thereof contrary
to the foregoing Statute in such case made
and provided, whereby and by force of
the said Statute in such case made and
provided the said promissory note as to the
~~or as to the said amount of two hundred~~ ^{one hundred} ~~per month~~
said One Hundred Dollars, ~~so in~~
the said note described was and is void and

2d

this the said defendants are ready to verify
whereon they pray judgment to be given
and for a further plea in this behalf
the said defendants by leave of the Court
here for this purpose first had and obtained
according to the form of the Statute &c
As to the One Hundred Dollars in
the said promissory note in the said
first count of the plaintiffs declaration sum-

Final say Action now because they say
that the said promissory Note was executed
and delivered by the said defendants to the said
plaintiff at Rockport to wit in the County
of Scott and State of Iowa, and was there
made payable and with interest had to

3d Plea

H. S. Peltz
Attorneys for Defendants

24

3d Plea And for a further plea in this

behalf the said Defendants by leave
of the Court here for this purpose

first had and obtained according to the
form of the Statute &c say Actio Non

because they say that the said plaintiffs
before and at the time of the commence-

=ment of this suit. to wit - at the County of
Rock Island aforesaid was and still is in-

25 indebted to the said Defendants in a large sum
of money. to wit the sum of ~~Three Thousand~~

~~Dollars~~ Fifteen Hundred Dollars for

money before that time lent and ad-
vanced to and paid laid out and expended

for the said plaintiff at his special request
and for money by the said plaintiff

before that time had and received to and
for the use of the said Defendants and

for money due and owing from the
said plaintiff to said Defendants for the

interest upon and forbearance of divers
large sums of money due and owing

from the said plaintiff to the said
Defendants and by the said Defendants

forbearance to the said plaintiff for
divers large spaces of time before then

26 Elapsed which said sum of money so
due and owing to the said defendants
as aforesaid exceed the damages sus-
tained by the said plaintiff by reason
of the non performance by the said de-
fendants of the said supposed promises
and understandings in said declaration
mentioned out of which said sum
of money so due and owing from
said plaintiff to the said defendants
the said defendants are ready and
willing to and hereby offer to set
off and allow to the said plaintiff the
full amount of the said damages
according to the form of the Act
etc in such case made and
provided and this the said defendants
are ready to verify wherefore they
pray judgment etc

J. H. Marshall &
H. F. Putz Atty
for Defendants -

Spier Whitaker Dr

To L. M. Webber & C. C. Webber

1887
Spt 23 To money recd by you for our acn \$1500.00
" " " Ad vanced & lent you by us \$1500.00
" " " paid laid out & expended for
your acn and at your request \$1500.00
" " " money over paid you

27 And afterward to wit on the 25 day of
the month of September aforesaid
and at the term of the Court aforesaid
the plaintiff by his Attorney filed
his replication to defendants fourth
plea which is in the words and
figures following to wit-

Spur Whitaker vs Rock Island
Lewis M Hibbs Circuit Court
Christopher C Hibbs September Term 1858

And the said plff
as to said plea of the defendants ~~fully~~
above pleaded, says, he ought not to
be barred from maintaining his action
because he says he is not indebted
and did not assume to promise the
debt as therein set forth and this he
ways may be enquired of by the
Court.

Grant Wilkinson & Pleasant
Attorneys for Plffs

And the said defendants doth the like
Patty & Marshall
Attorneys for Deft

And at the same time aforesaid
at the Court aforesaid and on the sam

29 day of oursaid to wit the 25th day of
September 1858 the said plaintiff by
his Attorney filed his demurrer to oþer
second and third pleas which demurrer
is in the words and figures following
to wit

Sam Whitaker D Rock Island Circuit
 Court Septem 1858
Lewis H Gibbons
Christopher C Gibbons Demurrer to Pff

And the said plaintiff as to said
pleas of oþerwise ent. secondly and ~~thirdly~~
30 above pleaded says, that he ought
not to be barred by any reason
of anything in said pleas alledged.
- Because the said pleas and the matters
therein set forth are not sufficient
in law to bar the pffs action and
this he is ready to verify. Wherefore
by reason of the insufficiency of the
said pleas the pffs judgment and
his damages by reason of the non-
performance of the promises in his
declaration named

Grant Wilkinson & Pleasant
Attorneys to Plaintiff
and the oþer who witness
Marshall & Waltz
And of two and to wit at the same

31 term of the Court of said and on
the 25th day of September of said
the following proceeding was had
to-wit-

Spur Whetka



vs Assump't

Lewis M. Webb

Christopher C. Webb

This day came the

parties by their Attorneys and this cause
coming on to be heard upon plaintiff's
demurrer to defendant's second and third
and the court having heard the
arguments of the Counsel &
being sufficient advised in the matter
doth sustain the said demurrer
thereupon defendants abide by said
demurrer and issues being joined
upon the first and fourth places
of defendant - there came a

Jury of Jurors to wit R. J. Smith Wm H.
Shadding Amos A. Stephens John Luck
J. Jennings Wm H. Edwards D. J. Cleveland Wm

Hens Spur Whetka Wm H. Guer J. C. Remond
and Jesse Maxwell, who were each
and severally sworn to well and truly
try the issue joined and having heard
the evidence returned this verdict in the
Court which is as follows to wit "We

32

33

The Jury find the issue for the plaintiff
and assess his damage at \$112⁵⁰. 25
Eleven Hundred Twenty five and one half Dollars
and twenty five cents" and defendants file
a motion for a new trial

And afterwards to wit at the
same term of the Court aforesaid
and on the first day of October A.D.
1858 the following proceedings were
had to wit

Spies Whitaker



Affumpst

Pravis ^{vs} M Webb

Christopher C Webb

34

This day again

came the parties by their attorneys
and the cause was to be heard upon
Defendant's motion for a new trial
and the Court having heard the same
and being sufficiently advised of the
premises overrules the said motion. It
is therefore ordered by the Court that both
have and recover of defendant the said
sum of Eleven Hundred Twenty five
Dollars and twenty five cents together
with his costs in the behalf expended and
that he have Execution thereon. Thereupon
motion of defendant by their attorneys it
is ordered by the Court that defendant have

38^o leave to file their bill of Exceptions herein
in thirty days from this date

And afterwards to wit. on the 31st day
of October AD 1858 the said Defendants
by their Attorneys filed in the Clerks
Office of the Court aforesaid, their said bill of
Exceptions which is in the words and
figures following to-wit

State of Illinois^{3rd}
Rock Island County^{3rd}

September Term AD 1858

Spir Whitaker v. Rock Island Circuit

or Court

Lewis M Webb & Appellee
Christopher C Webb

37

Sep 1858

Be it remembered that
on this day the above intitled cause being
called and the plaintiff having before the
time filed his demurrer in law to
the defendants second and third pleas
and joined issued with the said defendant
on their first and fourth pleas baring.
The said demurrer was agreed by the
Counsel in open Court and the Court
having heard the argument of Counsel
did adjudge the said demurrer good in

37

law and the said plaintiff not bound to
answer the said second and third pleas of
the defendants and thereupon said having
been joined with the said defendants on
their first and fourth pleas herein and a
jury having been impanelled and sworn
to try the issues on said pleas the plain-
tiff submitted in Evidence to said jury
the promissory note in plaintiff's de-
livery mentioned and he closed his
case thereupon the said defendants
offered evidence to prove that at the
time of the Execution of said promissory
note they had paid to said plaintiff
the sum of One Hundred and Ten Dollars
the said sum being necessary according
to the laws of the State of Iowa
where said contract was made to which
said witness, said plaintiff objected
and thereupon the Court having heard
the Argument of Counsel sustained
said objection whereupon said defendant
withdrew their first, second, third and
fourth pleas and thereupon the said jury
rendered their Verdict in favour of said
plaintiff and assessed his damages \$127²⁵/₁₀₀
Eleven Hundred and Twenty two Dollars and
Twenty five Cents, that being the amount
due for principal and interest upon said

38 leave to file their bill of Exceptions herein
at and from this date.

39 provision note in the said Declaration
uncontroled and thereupon the Defendants
moved for a new trial, which motion
coming on for a hearing, was over-
ruled by the Court and thereupon
the Court approving said Verdict
rendered judgment in favour of said
plaintiff, that he have and recover of
the Defendants, the amount of said
sum of \$1427²⁵

To all of which several rulings of
the Court, sustaining said demurrer
and approving said Verdict, and
refusing to admit the testimony offered
by the said Defendants, to the said

40 Jury and overruling the motion of Defendants
for a new trial and rendering said
judgment, the said Defendants by their
Counsel do except and pray the court
to sign and seal this their bill of Ex-
ceptions and make the same, part
of the record herein

Signed D. V. Gray Esq
Judge

State of Illinois
Rock Island County

J. J. McNeil

Clerk of the circuit Court in and for
said County do hereby certify that the
above is a true copy of the complete
record in the above Case and con-
cerning the summons, declaration
Plead 1. 2. 3. &c. Answer. Replication. Judgment
and bill of Exceptions

In testimony whereof I
hereunto set my hand and
the seal of said Court
this 26th day of November
A.D. 1858

J. J. McNeil clk

Final judgment against the
Tenants below for the amount
so assessed by the jury

Marshall & Petty
Atts for Off in Error

Set a supersedias issue in this cause
Bona in the sum of \$2256.00 - John
Deer Party

J D Carter

113
Lewis & McLean &
Christopher S. Miller
vs
Ship Whatake
Transcript assy.
of execs.

Filed Jan 24 1839
on Island
bleak