

No. 14184

Supreme Court of Illinois

Vise et al.

vs.

County of Hamilton.

SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS.

FIRST GRAND DIVISION.

NOVEMBER TERM, A. D. 1857.

Record Page.

1.

Carey S. Vise, and John Mc. Elvain, Appellants, }
vs. } Appeal from Hamilton.
The County of Hamilton, Appellee.

Appellants were appointed by the Circuit court of Hamilton County to defend a criminal indicted for "forgery." who desired counsel and was unable to employ counsel for his defense. At the the subsequent term of the county court of Hamilton county, the appellants laid in a claim of \$20.00 each against the county as a fee for defending said criminal, which the court refused to allow. An agreed case was made out and sent up to the Circuit court, showing that the appellants were regularly appointed by the Court to defend, as aforesaid, and also that the services were rendered, and that \$20,00 each was a reasonable fee for the services.

2.

At the May term of the year 1857, of Hamilton circuit court, when said agreed case was brought on to be heard, the court decided that the county was not liable for the fee of appellants, for defending a criminal in such case, and affirmed the judgement of the County Court.

3.

An appeal was prayed from the judgement of the said circuit court, and the cause is now brought into this court for the purpose of reversing the said judgement, and testing the question of the liability of the county for the fee of the appellants for the service rendered, and for the errors assigned upon the record.

4.

NELSON, VISE, & Mc ELVAIN. Attys.,
For Appellants.

McLennan & Vise

by

County of Hamilton

Abstract

14184

Filed 27. Nov. 1857

N. Johnston C.M.

Officer

SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS.

FIRST GRAND DIVISION.

NOVEMBER TERM, A. D. 1857.

Record Page.

1.

Carey S. Vise, and John Mc. Elvain, Appellants,

vs.

The County of Hamilton, Appellee.

} Appeal from Hamilton.

Appellants were appointed by the Circuit court of Hamilton County to defend a criminal indicted for "forgery," who desired counsel and was unable to employ counsel for his defense. At the subsequent term of the county court of Hamilton county, the appellants laid in a claim of \$20.00 each against the county as a fee for defending said criminal, which the court refused to allow. An agreed case was made out and sent up to the Circuit court, showing that the appellants were regularly appointed by the Court to defend, as aforesaid, and also that the services were rendered, and that \$20,00 each was a reasonable fee for the services.

2.

At the May term of the year 1857, of Hamilton circuit court, when said agreed case was brought on to be heard, the court decided that the county was not liable for the fee of appellants, for defending a criminal in such case, and affirmed the judgement of the County Court.

3.

An appeal was prayed from the judgement of the said circuit court, and the cause is now brought into this court for the purpose of reversing the said judgement, and testing the question of the liability of the county for the fee of the appellants for the service rendered, and for the errors assigned upon the record.

4.

NELSON, VISE, & Mc ELVAIN, Attys.,

For Appellants.

No 52

McEwain & Vise

by

County of Hamilton

Abstract

5 ¹⁶/₁₁

Filed 27. Nov. 1857.

N. Johnston CM

2 born Nov 478 -
 4 did (Parton) 525 -
 6 " " 14