

No. 12618

Supreme Court of Illinois

Loomis.

vs.

Peoria Bridge Association.

71641  7

106
The Peoria Bridge
vs
Warrick
by mail of 10/10/1858

106
1858

1
"Held before the Circuit Court of Marshall County in the State of Illinois at a Term thereof begun and held at the Court House in the city of Lason in said county on the first Monday the same being the fifth day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty seven."

Present Hon. M. Ballou Judge of the 23rd judicial circuit of the State of Illinois
Clerk Geo. W. Stipp State attorney for said judicial circuit
D. L. Crane Sheriff of said County James Merrill Clerk of said Court.

Be it remembered that herebefore to wit on the fifth day of June A. D. 1857 a transcript was received from the clerk of the circuit court of Tazewell County in this State together with a declaration marked "B" Summons marked "C" & affidavit for change of venue marked "D" and filed in said Circuit Court of Marshall County which Transcript, Declaration, Summons & affidavit are respectively as follows to wit:

Transcript from
Tazewell county
"Proceedings at a term of the Circuit Court begun and held at the Court house in the City of Pekin within and for the county of Tazewell and State of Illinois on the first Monday in the month of April in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty seven it being the sixth day of said month Present the Hon. Elihu N. Powell Judge of the 16th judicial Circuit by interchange Chapman Williamson

212-17

Sherriff and Merrill C. Young Clerk.

"Lyman J. Loomis

" vs

"Peoria Bridge Association

"

Trespass on the Case

This day came as well the said
 "Plaintiff by his attorney Fuller as the said Defendants
 "by their attorney Temple and by agreement the venue in
 "this cause is changed to the county of Marshall this
 "cause to be continued to and tried at the fall term.
 "Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that the venue in
 "this cause be changed as aforesaid. It is further ordered
 "that the Clerk of this Court transmit to the clerk of the
 "Circuit Court of Marshall County a certified transcript
 "of the record of the proceedings in this cause together
 "with the papers herein."

"State of Illinois } is I Merrill C. Young clerk of
 "Jagwell County) the Circuit Court within and for
 "said County do hereby certify that the foregoing page
 "contains an exemplified copy of the record of the proceed-
 "ing had in the cause therein named and that the ac-
 "companying papers marked respectively "A" "B" "C" "D"
 "E" "F" "G" "H" "I" are all the papers belonging to said cause filed
 "in my office"

L. S.

Witness Merrill C. Young clerk and the seal
 of said Court here affixed at Pekin this
 3^d day of June 1857.

"Merrill C. Young Clerk

By W. Lee Mann S. C."

Indorsed filed June 5, 1857

James Merrill clerk

"B"

Declarative

Lyman J. Loomis
vs

The Peoria Bridge
association a cor-
poration vs

State of Illinois } as
Tazewell County }

Circuit court in and for the County
of Tazewell and State of Illinois
Term thereof A. D. 1857.

Lyman J. Loomis the plaintiff
in this suit complains of the Peoria Bridge association a
corporation created by and under the laws of the State
of Illinois passed on and since the 26th day of Jan-
uary A. D. 1847 entitled "an act to authorize the construc-
tion of a bridge across the Illinois river" and also an
act amendatory thereto entitled "an act in addition to an
act entitled an act to authorize the construction of a
bridge across the Illinois River approved January 26th
1847" defendants in this suit of a plea of trespass
on the case For that whereas before and at the time
of the committing of the grievances hereinafter men-
tioned the said defendants were the owners and
possessed of a certain bridge across the Illinois river
extending from the city and county of Peoria across
the Illinois River in the County of Tazewell and
State aforesaid and were also the owners and pos-
sessed of certain lands adjacent thereto and lying and
adjoining their the defendants said bridge and
whenever all and every person was entitled & of right

Declaration
Continued

to cross and pass over along and upon said bridge of said defendants aforesaid on paying tolls therefor to said defendants and said defendants were bound by law to keep their said bridge in good repair so as to furnish a safe and convenient passage to all and every person and persons and their teams horses wagons and property on payment of the tolls aforesaid to said defendants and said defendants were also bound by law and of right should and ought to have kept their said lands and premises adjacent to and adjoining said bridge free and clear of and from any and all cars locomotives railroad tracks, fixtures steam engines and ^{etc.} free and clear of and from the summing noise confusion whistling and alarm of the same on over and upon their said lands and premises so adjoining adjacent and next contiguous to their said bridge whereby the horses teams and property of any and all persons crossing over along and upon said bridge of said defendants would become frightened and alarmed and run or break off through or over said bridge.

Let the said defendants not regarding their duty in this behalf wilfully negligently and carelessly suffered and permitted diverse persons Corporations and rail road companies to lay down their certain rail road tracks on the land and premises of and belonging to said defendants adjacent to next adjoining and contiguous to their said bridge aforesaid and said defendants also authorized contracted agreed to and

Declaratory
continued

with and knowing by suffered and permitted said diverse
persons corporations and rail road companies to lay
down their rail Road track as aforesaid, and build
and construct their fixtures thereon and run
their cars to wit One hundred cars engines to wit ten
engines and locomotives to wit ten locomotives over
and upon said Rail road track so laid down and
constructed on and over the said premises of defend-
ants as aforesaid and which said cars engines machin-
ery & locomotives were moved driven and propelled by
steam power with great force noise confusion &
whistling to the great fright consternation alarm
dread hazard and danger of all and every person
and persons their horses teams and property pass-
ing and crossing over upon and along said bridge
of said defendants as aforesaid to wit on the 15th day
of December A. D. 1856. at the County of Tazewell
aforesaid and by reason whereof and by reason of
the running driving and propelling of said cars
engines locomotives and machinery of said diverse
persons corporations and rail Road companies
over and upon the said land and premises of said
defendants as aforesaid with great force noise,
confusion disturbance and whistling of said locomo-
tives and engines the team and horses of the said
plaintiff so crossing along upon and over said bridge
as aforesaid as he lawfully might do and of right
was entitled ^{to} took flight became greatly alarmed and

Declaration
Continued

man, pushed over and through said bridge and fell and was precipitated, and hurled with great violence to the ground a great distance to wit the distance of fifteen feet together with the plaintiff his wagon team and property whereunto the said plaintiff was then and there passing over upon and along said bridge of said defendants aforesaid and whereby and by reason of said fall of through and over said bridge of said defendants aforesaid the said plaintiff was bruised injured wounded maimed for life and his bones broken and whereby also his said horses and team were bruised damaged injured wounded and reduced entirely valueless to said plaintiff and his said wagon and property which said plaintiff was crossing over along and upon said defendants bridge as aforesaid became broken injured and worthless and entirely useless and useless to said plaintiff to wit at the county aforesaid And the said plaintiff in consequence of such falling and being hurled and precipitated to the ground together with his said horses team wagon and property as aforesaid and in consequence of such bruises maim's wounds injuries and broken bones to himself and horses as aforesaid and the damage and breaking of his wagon team and property so crossing along over and upon said bridge of said defendants as aforesaid and in and about the curing healing care skill attention of and to himself and horses and the repairing

7
Declarations
Continued

7
of his wagon team and property was forced and obliged to pay lay out and expend divers large sums of money amounting in all to a great sum of money to wit the sum of one thousand dollars to wit at the county of Tazewell aforesaid)

And also Orr that whereas before and at the time of committing of the grievances by said defendants aforesaid the said defendants were the owners and possessed of certain other bridge extending from the city and county of Georgia across the Illinois River into the county of Tazewell and State of Illinois and over across and along which said bridge any and all persons were entitled to cross pass and of right might cross pass and travel and use for the purpose of crossing the said Illinois River together with their and each of their horses wagons teams and property on payment of tolls to said defendants and said defendants being so possessed of and to the owners of said other bridge as aforesaid and entitled to have demand and receive tolls from any and all persons so crossing and passing over said other bridge either with or without their and each of their horses wagons teams and property as aforesaid and by reason whereof the said defendants ought of right and were bound by law to repair said bridge and keep the same in good repair so as to admit of convenient and safe passage for all persons and their property teams wagons and horses on payment

Declaration
Continued

of the tolls to said defendant.

Yet the said defendants not regarding their duty in this behalf wilfully negligently and carelessly and by and through their negligence carelessness and default and for want of due care and attention in this behalf suffered and permitted their said other bridge aforesaid to be remain and continue out of repair unsafe and in a rotten dangerous and hazardous condition in so much that the said other bridge did not admit of convenient and safe passage to any and all persons and their property on payment of the tolls to said defendants as aforesaid to wit at the county aforesaid and by reason whereof and by reason of the said other bridge of said defendants being remaining and continuing out of repair and in a dangerous hazardous and unsafe condition as aforesaid to wit on the day and year aforesaid at the county aforesaid the plaintiff together with his horses, team wagon and property whereunto said plaintiff was crossing passing over and along said other bridge with due care and skill as he lawfully might do were violently sucked thrown and precipitated down through and over said bridge to the earth a great distance to wit the distance of fifteen feet whereby said plaintiff was then and there cut bruised maimed for and during his whole life injured crushed and wounded and his bones broken and remained so for a great length of time & was thereby

Declaration
 Contained

hindered prevented from attending to his necessary
 lawful affairs & business during all that time &
 is forever maimed & deprived of ability to attend to
 business to wit Littered and the said horses team
 wagon and property which he the plaintiff was then
 and then crossing along over and across said bridge
 as aforesaid also fell and was hurled thrown and
 precipitated through off of and over said bridge a
 great distance to wit the distance of fifteen
 feet to the ground beneath said bridge and where-
 by the said horses was and became bruised in-
 jured wounded and maimed and entirely worthless
 and valueless to said plaintiff And whereby
 said wagon team and property was broken dam-
 aged injured and destroyed to wit on the day and
 year aforesaid at the county of Tazewell afore-
 said and the said plaintiff in consequence of
 such falling bruises wounds maims injuries
 and broken bones to himself and horses and
 the damage breaking of his said wagon team and
 property so crossing along and over said bridge and
 in and about the healing and curing of himself
 and horses and repairing of his said wagon team and
 property was forced and obliged to pay lay out and
 expend and actually did lay out and expend divers
 large sums of money amounting in all to a great
 sum of money to wit the sum of one thousand
 dollars to wit at the county of Tazewell aforesaid

10 To the damage of the said plaintiff ten thousand dollars and therefore he sues &c.

By Mead Williamson,

Attorney for Plaintiff

Filing of Declaration Endorsed on said declaration Filed July 20, 1857
M. C. Young clk For W. D. Maus J. C. also filed June
5. 1857 James Prescott clerk

"of"

Summons State of Illinois } ss The People of the State of Illinois to the
Peoria County } Sheriff of Peoria County Meeting.

We command you that you summon
the Peoria Bridge Association a corporation &c. if they
are to be found in your County personally to be and ap-
pear before our Circuit Court in & for said Peoria County
on the first day of the next term thereof to be holden at
the Court house in the City of Peoria on the first Monday
of the month of April next then and there to answer
unto Lyman J. Loomis in a plea of Trespass on the case
to the damage of the said Plaintiff as he says the sum
of Ten Thousand Dollars. Hereof make due return as the
law directs with an Endorsement in what manner
you shall execute the same.

Witness M. C. Young clerk and the seal of the Circuit Court
of said Peoria County at the City of Peoria this
20th day of February A. D. 1857



M. C. Young Clerk
Per W. D. Maus J. C.

Sheriff's Return

"Upon which Summons appears the following return
I have served the within summons by reading the
same to Washington Cockle the secretary of the within
named Peoria Bridge association and by also deliver-
ing to said Washington Cockle who is the secretary
of the within named Peoria Bridge Association
a full true and correct copy of the within summons
on this 25th day of February A. D. 1857"

"Peoria February 25th 1857" "J. W. Smith" Sheriff
By E. Smith Jr Deputy Sheriff

Filing of Sum^s Also "Filed March 24, 1857. M. G. Young clerk by H. Don Mans DC" also
Filed "June 5, 1857 James Wescott clerk"

"E"

"Lyman J. Loomis

vs

"In the Circuit Court of Tazewell
County April Term A. D. 1857.

Petition for

Change of Venue

The Peoria Bridge Association")

"The Petition of the Peoria Bridge association
the defendant above named respectfully represents; that
the said Defendant fears that it can not receive a
fair and impartial trial in the County of Tazewell
in which said suit is pending, for the reason that
the inhabitants of the said County of Tazewell are prej-
udiced against the said Defendant so that said
Defendant can not receive a fair and impartial trial
in said County of Tazewell where said suit is pend-
ing wherefore said Defendant prays a change of
Venue in said action to some County where the cause
aforesaid does not exist and Your Petitioner will


May 20th

By Peter Sweat Trust,

Peoria Bridge Association

State of Illinois } ss

Peoria County } Peter Sweat President of the
Board of Directors of the Peoria Bridge Association
being sworn says that the foregoing Petition by him
subscribed is true in substance and in fact.



Witness my hand and official seal this 5th
April A. D. 1857

N. H. Purple Notary Public
Peoria City Ill

Venue in this ^{case} changed to Marshall County by agree-
ment of Parties to be continued to and tried at the
Fall Term, April 7. 1857 Fuller

Plffs atty

N. H. Purple

Deft's atty

Filing on Petition

Endorsed "Filed April 6 1857 M. C. Young & Co St James
Depty Filed June 5th 1857 James McColl clerk

And on the
fifth day of October A. D. 1857 the same being the first
day of the October Term of said Marshall County Circuit
Court as aforesaid and the Court being then judicially
sitting, the following order was made and entered of record
to wit:

"Lyman J. Loomis

vs
Georgia Bridge Association"

"Trespass on the Case -
Venue from Tazewell

Leave to amend
Declaration

This day came the Plaintiff by
H. M. Head his counsel and moved the Court for
leave to amend his declaration herein which motion
is sustained and leave granted said Plaintiff to amend
his said declaration and this cause is continued."

And afterwards to wit on the twenty sixth day of January
in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred
and fifty eight the same being one of the days of
the January Term of said Circuit Court and the
Court being ^{then} judicially sitting the Hon. M. Ballou
Judge as aforesaid presiding and the other officers
of the court as aforesaid proceedings were had in said
Cause to wit.

"Lyman J. Loomis

vs
Georgia Bridge Association

Trespass on the Case
Venue from Tazewell

This day ^{came} the defendant by N.
H. Turpie its attorney and presents to the Court a
demurrer to the first count of the plaintiffs decla-
ration filed herein.

(which demurrer is as follows)

Demurrer to
1st Count in
Declaration

Lyman J. Loomis

vs
The
Georgia Bridge Association

In the Circuit Court of
Marshall County.

14

"And the said defendant by its attorneys comes and says that the first count in the Plaintiffs Declaration and the matters and things therein contained are not sufficient in law for the said Plaintiff to maintain his action aforesaid against the Defendant, and that he is not bound in law to answer the same and this Defendant is ready to verify wherefore Defendant prays judgment &c"

Joinder in Demurrer

Purple Pratt

W & W for pliff

for Deft

Plea to Second "And for Plea to the second count of said Plaintiffs Declaration the Defendant says that said defendant is not guilty in manner and form as stated in said count and of this said Defendant puts itself upon the contrary &c"

Purple Pratt

for Deft

"And the said plaintiff doth the like"

"By Wm & Williamson his attorneys"

And afterwards to wit on the second day of February A.D. 1855 the same being one of the days of the Term last aforesaid further proceedings were had in said cause and entered of Record to wit:

Lyman J. Loomis

vs

Peoria Bridge Association

Trespass on the Case
Venue from Tazewell

15
Order averning
Demurrer to 1st
Court

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the demurrer of the defendant to the first count of the plaintiffs declaration, and was agreed by counsel and the Court being now fully advised in the premises doth order that said demurrer be and the same is hereby overruled.

and on the day and year last aforesaid Pleas were filed in said cause which Pleas are in words and figures as follows to wit:

Plea to 1st
Court in Declaration
Gymau J. Lewis -
The Peoria Bridge Association

"In the Circuit Court of
Marshall County
And the said Defendants for
Plea to the first count of said Plaintiffs Declaration
say that they are not guilty in manner and form as
stated in said count of said Declaration and of this they
put themselves upon the country & the People for their
And for further plea the said Defendants say as to
the first count of said Declaration, actio non faciente
they say, that on the 12th day of February A.D. 1849, the
General Assembly of the State of Illinois passed an
act entitled "an act to incorporate the Peoria and Aquana
Rail Road company," and on the 10th day of February
1851 the said General Assembly passed "An act to amend
an act entitled an act to incorporate the Peoria &
Aquana Rail Road company approved February 12, 1849,"
and that on the 22 day of June A. D. 1852, the said

Plea 3 continued

General assembly passed an act entitled "An act to amend an act entitled An act to incorporate the Peoria and Ogwaka Rail Road company approved February 10th 1837," which said ~~several~~ ^{several} acts are as follows to wit:

(For said several acts see Pages from 43 to 60 of this Record, commencing at the, * on Page 43 and ending with † on page 60)

And the said Defendants aver that the said ~~several~~ ^{several} acts since their passage as aforesaid have been and still are in full force and unrevoked - and the said defendants further aver that after the passage of the said several acts and long before the committing of the several supposed grievances in the said first count of the said Plaintiffs declaration mentioned to wit on the first day of January A. D. 1852 at the County of Peoria, to wit at the County of Marshall aforesaid the said Peoria & Ogwaka Rail Road Company had been, was and still is duly organized according to law, and ever since their said organization under the laws aforesaid have existed as a corporation under the name and style of the "Peoria & Ogwaka Rail Road Company," and that as such company they had full power and lawful authority to locate and construct a rail Road from Peoria in the County of Peoria Illinois Eastward on the most eligible Route to the State

line of the State of Indiana.

17 And the said Defendants aver that in the making, location and construction of said Rail Road the said Peoria and Ogwaka Rail Road Company had full power and lawful authority to locate and construct the same over the land and near to and adjoining the Bridge of the said defendants; and that before the committing of the said several grievances or supposed grievances in the first count of the said Plaintiffs declaration mentioned, to wit On the first day of January A. D. 1852 at the County aforesaid, the said Peoria & Ogwaka Rail Road Company had made, located and constructed their said Rail Road, upon, over and across the land of the said defendants near to and adjoining the Bridge of the said defendants, in said first count of said Plaintiffs declaration mentioned, and at the place where in the said count in said Plaintiffs declaration mentioned, it is alleged & charged the said several grievances in said count mentioned were committed by the said defendants, as the said Peoria and Ogwaka Rail Road Company might lawfully do. And the said Defendants further aver that the said Peoria & Ogwaka Rail Road company had then and there full power and lawful authority to run the said cars, Engines, and Locomotives, over and upon their said Rail Road so by them located and constructed as aforesaid either with or without the consent of the said Defendants and to propel the same by steam power

Plea continued
18

and to whistle and to make all the noise necessary for the transaction of the business of said Company and said Rail Road - and that the noise Whistling and Confusion in said count of said Declaration Mentioned by which the team and horses of the said Plaintiff became frightened and alarmed as in said Declaration mentioned, was made and done in the usual and ordinary course of the business of said Company in the management and operation of their said Rail Road, and without the consent knowledge or approbation of the said Defendants, and without any power or authority on their part to prevent control prohibit or restrain the same, and the said Defendants aver that these are the same grievances complained of in the said first count of the said Plaintiff's declaration and not other or different, and this the said defendants are ready to verify wherefore they pray Judgment.

Purple for Deft

Endorsed "Filed February 2. 1858 James Messett. Clerk."

And on the day and year last aforesaid a demurrer was filed in said cause which demurrer is in words and figures as follows to wit:

Demurrer to
2nd Plea
Lynnae J. Loomis
vs
The Lion's Bridge Association

In the Marshall Co. Ct. of Genl.
T 1858

Demurrer to Deft's 2^d Plea and now

19 comes the said Plaintiff for replication to defendant second Plea to Plaintiff's first count says special demurrer, because he says that the matters & things therein set forth are not sufficient in law to prevent the said Plaintiff from having & maintaining his aforesaid action against the said defendant and that he is ready to verify

By Peters Head

his Attorney

And for special cause of demurrer the said Plaintiff sets out shows to the Court the following

1st Said Plea amounts to the general issue.

2^d Said Plea does not answer the Declaration.

3. It does not admit or deny that the defendants wilfully negligently & carelessly suffered & permitted divers Corporations & Rail Road Companies to lay down their Rail Road tracks on the land & premises of & belonging to said defendants adjacent to & next adjoining & contiguous to their said Bridge aforesaid.

4. It does not admit or deny various other allegations in said Plea set forth.

5. The subject matter of the Plea does not constitute a defense to this action.

20

6. The Rail Road could only obtain the right of way, or the right to build their Bridge at that place, by condemning the land according to law which is not set forth.

7. Said Plea is otherwise informal & insufficient.

By Peters & Head his Attornies

Joinder in demurrer

By Purple for Deft

Endorsed "Filed February 2, 1858 James Wescott clerk."

And afterwards to wit on the third day of February A. D. 1858 the same being one of the days of the Term last aforesaid and the Court being then judicially sitting further proceedings were had in said cause and entered of Record to wit,

Order Sustaining
Demurrer to 2nd
Plea

Lynnan J. Loomis

vs

Peoria Bridge association

Trespass on the Case
Venue from Tazewell

This day his cause came on to be heard upon the demurrer of the plaintiff to the second plea of the defendant to the first Count of the plaintiffs declaration and was argued by counsel and the Court being now fully advised in the premises doth order that said demurrer be sustained.

And on the day and year last aforesaid the following his

of exceptions was filed as follows to wit:

Lyman J. Loomis

vs.

The Iron Bridge Association

In the Circuit Court of
Marshall County

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Demur of the Plaintiff to the Defendant's second Plea to the first Count of the Plaintiff's declaration and the same was ordered by counsel, and the Court being fully advised in the premises ordered and adjudged that the said Demur be sustained and the said Defendant then and there accepted to the Opinion of the Court in sustaining said Demur and requested the Court to hear this bill of Exceptions which is done.

At. Ballou Judge

of 23^d Judicial Circuit Ills. *(Seal)*

Endorsed "Filed February 3. 1858 James Mescott clerk?"

And

on the same day and year last aforesaid the following Plea was entered.

Plea to 1st Count
of Piffs declaration

Lyman J. Loomis

vs.

The Iron Bridge Association

Marshall County Circuit
Court January Term 1858

And for further Plea in this behalf to the first Count of the Plaintiff's declaration, the said Defendants say that they did not suffer or permit the said Companies Corporations or individuals in said first Count of said declaration mentioned

Plea to 1st count
continued
22

to Erect or construct their said road at the time
and place where &c in said Declaration mentioned
as stated in said declaration and of this they put
themselves upon the county &c

Shirley for Defs

And the said plaintiff doth &c take.

By Peter Head his attys

Endorsed "Filed February 3. 1858 James Wescott Clerk"

And

on the day and year last aforesaid further proceedings
were had in said cause and entered of Record to wit:

Trial

Lyman J. Loomis

vs

Phoria Bridge Association

Trespass on the Case

Venue from Fagewell

"This day again came the parties

the plaintiff in person and by H. M. Head & M. T. Peters

his counsel and the defendant by M. H. Purdie its counsel

and issue being joined Verdict a jury was called to try

the same to wit: Robert Galvin, Stephen Cornell

David L. Snyder, John E. Ward, Jeremiah Fearl, Jr

J. C. Warren, B. Wright, Haulty Malone Jr, Charles

Hastings, James Wilhoff, Percil Holland, Owen Hand

twelve good and lawful men chosen from the body of

the County who were duly empannelled and sworn

a true verdict to render according to the evidence,

and after hearing the evidence the arguments of

counsel and the instructions of the court retire to

consider of their verdict."

Thursday February 4, 1858.

"Lyman J. Loomis

vs

Peoria Bridge Association"

Trespass on the case
venue from Tazewell

"This day again came the parties by their respective counsel and the jury who were empaneled and retired yesterday came into Court and say "We the jury find the defendant guilty and assess the plaintiffs damages at five thousand seven hundred and fifty dollars," Whereupon came the defendant by N. H. Culpie its counsel and entered a Motion for a New trial herein."

Verdict of Jury

Friday February 5, 1858.

Lyman J. Loomis

vs

Peoria Bridge Association

Trespass on the case
venue from Tazewell

Order overruling

Motion for

New Trial

"This day this cause came on to be heard upon the motion of the defendant for a New trial herein, and the Court being now fully advised in the premises doth order that said

Judgment
24

motion heard the same is duly overruled, Therefore it is considered that the said Lyman J. Loomis have and recover of the said Peoria Bridge Association the said sum of Nine thousand Seven hundred and fifty dollars his damages assessed by the jury as aforesaid together with his costs and charges in and about his suit in this behalf expended and it is ordered that he have execution therefor.

Prayer for
Appeal &
order

Whereupon came the defendant and prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court of this State which appeal is allowed upon the defendants filing a Bond in the special sum of Eleven thousand dollars conditioned according to law with Peter Sweet, John Hamlin, and Thomas L. Mayne as Securities in thirty days.

25

Lyman J. Loomis

25

Bill of
ExceptionsThe Peoria Bridge
AssociationIn the Circuit Court of Mur-
shall County
Case

Be it remembered that upon the trial of this cause, the Plaintiff to prove the issue on his part called as a witness David Sloane who testified as follows I know the Bridge across the Illinois River at Peoria, I was along at the time his Defendants horses backed off the Bridge and injured them and himself, It was in November 1856 The Bridge I think was built in 1847 the horses took fright at a locomotive which was on the rail road track of the Peoria and Oquaka Rail Road which was located along the side and near to the Bridge and backed up against the railing which was thereby broken down, and the horses, and waggon of the Plaintiff were precipitated to the ground together with the Plaintiff himself, some fifteen feet in distance by means of which one of Plaintiffs horses was rendered nearly or quite useless, the other ^{one} stiffened and lamed, and the Plaintiff seriously and dangerously wounded. There was a railing on the Bridge at the Place, constructed of Posts about five inches square, which were attached to the sleepers of the bridge, about one half the lower end of the posts being cut away and then nailed to the sleepers with large nails or spikes These posts were nine feet apart, and every other one was braced on the out side by a brace from the top of the post extending to a cross

26

timber of the bridge which extended beyond the railing and planks of the Bridge There was a string piece in the center of the joists running from one post to another two inches by six in size and another on top of the joists about three inches by five both nailed on. I do not know whether the nails of the joists were pulled out or the joists broken off. I can not tell how close the horses were to the locomotive they backed off very quick. The nails on the top were spliced between the joists by being nailed together and were not strong, only one of the joists broke when the wagon went over & one part of the railing 18 feet long went over with the joist, the end joists did not give way.

I did not see Loomis except at a distance the day of the accident, he was then walking with the assistance of men on each side, his head was bloody I did not see him again for two or three weeks, I do not think he has got over the injuries yet. He sometimes spits corrupted matter. The accident occurred 4 or 5 rods from the Depot house toward Teoria. My horses were frightened at the same time, I knew the locomotive was there when I first came on to the Bridge, it was in plain sight I could see it for a quarter of a mile before I came onto the Bridge but the locomotive was standing still, we wanted to see it move but it did not move we went on.

The Bridge was safe to pass over if horses were not frightened or scared Loomis whipped his horses several ^{after} times, they were scared & before they went over the bridge

Bill of Exceptions
Continued

27

they were ordinary gentle horses, the Locomotive was about 40 feet from Plaintiff's Horses heads when they backed off — the horses would not have backed off if the railing had been strong enough to have prevented them. I did not consider the bridge safe principally on account of the weakness of the railing; ^{I did not see Loomis jerk his horses,} I did see him strike them I was paying particular attention to my own horses, which were also frightened, and was paying particular attention to the Plaintiff. I had long considered the Bridge unsafe, there are holes in it, the railing was insufficient and many of the posts which held the railing were loose and rotten. If the railing had been sufficient the accident would not have happened. Defendants have since built a new bridge and put on strong railing that was then on was not as good as ordinary railing on Bridges.

Erie Gray being sworn stated I live in Tazewell County. know and have often crossed the Bridge. I was then on the Bridge at the time the accident occurred. Loomis' team passed through at or near the depot house, that there was a pile of lumber lying on the Right hand side of the Bridge and a team of horses unhitched from the waggon, the lumber team and waggon occupied about one half the the space of the Bridge, The engine was about to start, as Loomis passed the lumber his horse heads were turned towards the engine, they took fright at the engine and backed off the Bridge they had to back not more

28

than Eight or ten feet.

There was a railing on the Bridge constructed of Posts cut into the String pieces 5 inches square, with a cross piece 2 by six inches in the center and 3 by 5 inches at the top with a Brace at Each Bent but none at the center - The Posts cross pieces and braces were all nailed with nails from 10.0 to 20.0 I dont know whether the posts broke off or the nails pulled out The Posts were nailed some with three nails and some with one or two It is my impression that the nails of the post that gave way and drawn out.

The last I saw of Loomis he was standing up in the fore part of his waggon and he struck his horses once. One horse was badly injured and has a summing sore now, the other horse was not much injured.

Loomis was taken up to Mr Parkers injured and in great distress He has not got over it yet, The horses went off the Bridge 6 or 8 Rods from the Depot towards Peoria Loomis's team was about 60 feet from the Locomotive track, The track runs near the Bridge 40 to 45 feet off.

There is no screen between the two The Rail Road and the Bridge There was a timber String piece on the side of the Bridge on the top of the Chalk when the horses backed off the bridge, Extending along the edge of the Bridge 10. or 12. inches square and the Plaintiffs waggon backed over the said timber String piece It was not over three or four seconds from the time the horses were frightened

Bill of exceptions
continued

29

lik they went off the Bridge. I think said Loomis was wrapped up with Buffalo skins that he could not have got out of his waggon. The accident was occasioned by the Steam of the Engine frightening the horses. If the part which held the railing had been sufficient it would have stopped the horses from going over the Bridge I was close by the Plaintiff at the time of the accident. I saw him standing up whipping his horses but cant say whether it with a stick a whip or the lines

Joseph C. Fryc, being sworn testified as follows I am a Physician and Surgeon and reside at Peoria Illinois In Nov. 1856 I was called on to visit the Plaintiff. I found him at Joseph Parkers in Peoria; he was cold, had great difficulty in breathing and but little pulse, he had received a severe shock— was very much bruised about the face, his upper jaw was broken on the right side, and he was badly bruised on the Lips, Breast Forehead and Head and had a severe contusion on the top of his head; His difficulty in breathing and want of pulse continued about 48 hours, he was in great pain, and I thought he would die, I consulted with other physicians and they thought so too. I attended him 20 days during which time he was unable to go home. He paid me \$30.00 for my fees &c. His jaw is not yet sound, he discharges corrupted matter from it I donot think he is neither mentally or physically the same he was before he received the injury— His jaw I think will not get well unless a surgical operation is performed

Bill of Exceptions on the same.

Continued

30

Washington Cockle also called by Plaintiff testified -
I am Secretary of the Peoria Bridge association, the said
association owned the Bridge at the time the Peoria and
Ogatawa Rail Road was built, & it is a toll Bridge
and at the time of the accident to the Plaintiff in
Nov. 1856, Land at the time the Rail Road was built
said association claimed about One Hundred acres of
land which extended above the bridge, and covered
that portion of the Road opposite to which the
Plaintiff's accident occurred on the bridge and
which land extended to low water mark on the
East side of Illinois River, including the Bridge also.]
(This Evidence in brackets the defendants objected to
and excepted to the opinion of the Court in admit-
ting the same) The witness then at request of the
Plaintiffs counsel produced the minute book of the
Peoria Bridge association and offered to read from
the same an order under date of October 8th 1853 which
is as follows "On Motion of Mr. Curtin Ordered
that the President and Secretary Execute a release of
the Right of way over the Lands of this association in
Tazewell County to the Peoria and Ogatawa Rail
Road Company for the purpose of the Road of
said Company, Reserving for the use of this association
the timber upon said land."

To this Evidence the Defendant objected as irrelevant
and incompetent, the Court admitted the Evidence

Bill of Exceptions and the defendant then and there accepted to the Opinion of the Court.

Continued

31

Witness further stated - that the Peoria and Aquana Rail Road was located before the passage of said Resolution at the place where it is now built and where the Plaintiffs horses took freight before the above recited order was made, and that the Peoria Bridge Association were not consulted at all in relation to this location. They never received any compensation for the right of way and made no objection to the Rail Road being located where it is they claimed the land and had their bridge on it when the Rail Road was located.

The Plaintiff then offered in Evidence an act of the General Assembly of the State of Illinois entitled "

Approved January 16, 1847
and also an act of the said General Assembly
entitled,

Approved June 19, 1852

which said acts are as follows to wit:

An act to authorize the construction of a
bridge across the Illinois River.

Section 1. Be it enacted by the people of the State

32
 of Illinois represented in the general Assembly that
 William L. May and his associates and his and their
 heirs and assigns he and they are hereby authorized to
 build a toll bridge across the Illinois River at the outlet of
 of Lake George at the place where said May now keeps
 his ferry and to have keep maintain and enjoy the same.

Sec. 2. Said May and his associate and his or their heirs
 and assigns are hereby authorized to erect as many Piers
 of Stone or other Materials in the bed of said river as may
 be necessary for the support and construction of said
 Bridge: Provided, a space of at least Seventy five feet
 wide from pier to pier and embracing the principal
 channels of the river be left and always kept open
 for the passage of all craft navigating said river.

Sec. 3. The rates of toll for crossing said bridge shall
 be the same as the said May is authorized to receive
 at his Ferry.

Sec. 4. The proprietors of said Bridge shall always keep
 the same in good repair so as to admit of convenient
 and safe passage for all persons and their property
 on payment of the tolls.

Sec. 5. In case the said Bridge shall be destroyed by
 fire or other accidents and the same shall not be
 rebuilt or repaired in reasonable time the Charter hereby

granted shall cease and be at an end.

Sec. 6. If said May and his associates their heirs or assigns shall fail to commence said Bridge within three years or shall fail to furnish the same within five years from the Passage of this act then the Privilege hereby granted shall cease and be at an end.

Sec. 7. any person passing over said bridge with a horse or carriage or other vehicle in a faster gate than a walk shall be subject for each and every such offense to a fine of Five dollars to be recovered before any justice of the peace in either of the counties of Tazewell or Teoria in an action of Debt for the use of the proprietors: Provided that a copy of this Section shall be kept fixed up in large letters in a conspicuous place at each end of said Bridge.

Sec. 8. If any person shall wilfully or negligently do or cause to be done any injury to said Bridge the person or persons so offending shall forfeit and pay to the proprietor or proprietors of the same three times the amount of said injury to be recovered by action of Debt or action on the case before any justice of

34

the Peace or other Court having jurisdiction of the same.

Sec. 9. The said Bridge shall be deemed a public highway within the meaning of the laws providing for the Punishment of Persons injuring or obstructing or destroying public highways or bridges in any manner or by any means whatever.

Sec. 10. The proprietors of said bridge are hereby authorized to erect gates as well as a house or houses at either end of said Bridge for the collection of Tolls.

Sec. 11. This act to be in force from and after its passage.

Approved January 26th 1847

An Act in addition to an act entitled "An act to authorize the construction of a bridge across the Illinois river" approved January 26, 1847.

35 Section 1. Be it enacted by the people of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly, That said corporation shall be known by the name and style of the Peoria Bridge Association, and by that name and style shall be capable of suing and being sued, pleading and being impleaded, answering and being answered unto, in all courts and places whatsoever.

2. The mode of serving process, in any suit proceeding against said corporation, shall be by delivering a copy of the writ or process to the secretary of said corporation, at least ten days before the term of sitting of the court to which the same shall be made returnable.

~~3. The said corporation shall be served by delivering a copy of the writ or process to the secretary of said corporation, at least ten days before the term of sitting of the court to which the same shall be made returnable.~~

36

3. The said corporation shall have the right to extend said bridge on the present road, as laid out for a distance of one mile from where the said bridge now terminates, either by trestle work or by throwing up the earth and plank-
ing the same; Provided, that said corporation shall not demand or receive any more tolls than is now allowed by the charter to which this is an amend-
ment

4. This act to take effect from and after its passage. Approved June. 19. 1852.

The Bridge was built under the authority of the said acts by the defendants.

The Plaintiff next called Joseph Parker who stated. I live in Victoria. Loomie came to my house in Nov. 1856 badly hurt and wounded, he remained about 2 weeks. He paid me \$20. for taking care of him. I saw the bridge on Monday after the accident, the railing had been broken, also the railing, there was a board nailed over the railing where it had been broken I saw the Plaintiffs horses and waggon one of the horses was badly wounded in the shoulder and is not well yet the waggon except the wheels was pretty much broken up, harness also, The other horse appeared to be lame and stiff. I examined the railing and posts of the Bridge, about one third of them were loose at the bottom, some were rotten, and some I could push off with my hands I did not consider the Bridge safe The team of the Plaintiff was a gentle ordinarily quiet team and the Plaintiff was a prudent and careful driver so far as I know I think the timber on the side of the bridge on top of the plank was about 6 inches thick and 10 inches wide, and was lying on the flat side.

Henry Davis called by Plaintiff stated, I am a veterinary Surgeon I doctored Loomie's horses in Nov. 1856, one was badly cut in the shoulder, the other lame in the back, one never got well - He was worth \$100. before he was injured. Loomie paid me forty dollars for treating his horses - It was worth that sum, I did not consider the

38
 Bridge safe there was holes in it sometimes when a horse might get his foot through.

Plaintiffs next called Nathaniel Brown who stated I live in Tazewell County three fourths of a mile from Loomis's Loomis drives horses as well as common men, He is a careful and prudent driver and his horses were as gentle as horses ordinarily are. since his accident he is not able to perform near as much labor as he could before.

Plaintiff then called Ira Pratt, who stated - I live in Tazewell County three fourths of a mile from Plaintiffs I knew his horses they went well enough - were gentle, I saw his son ride and drive, and his daughters from 11, to 15 years of age were accustomed to ride one of them I inclosed Loomis's waggon after the accident occurred for which he paid me \$20.00.

Here the Plaintiff rested.

The Defendant then called O Chanute who testified I live in Peoria and am a civil Engineer by occupation. The Rail Road as appears by the Books & Records of the Peoria & Oquaka Rail Road Company was located in 1851 & 1852. In July 1854 when I came to Peoria the Survey Marks of the Road where Plaintiffs accident happened was up, The Location of the road at that place is an eligible one, and there is no other proper or eligible Location than the one, then and now occupied by rail Road, In order to raise the bluff and get onto the high lands East it was necessary for the Rail Road to keep up Farin Creek and the road could not cross the

39
River at Beoria at any other place without great additional Expense, and considerable more distance. There was a necessity of crossing the Bridge at some place, and the line where the said road is located crosses at a point where it is less likely to interfere with the travel over it than at any other place.

I was present at the time of the Plaintiff's accident, about 570 feet off, my attention was first attracted by the noise of the horses feet upon the Bridge, some time I saw the Engine moving slowly, it moved about 4 feet, Steam was escaping from the Cylinder, The horses of Plaintiff jumped and backed some, Loomis the Plaintiff stood upon his waggon, and whipped his horses once or twice, then sawed their leads with the lines. They then crawled the waggon round and backed against the railing of the Bridge. The railing snapped and the hind wheels of the waggon went over, the reach being poised upon the edge of the Bridge about 5 seconds - The seat slipped back Plaintiff fell down first, then the Waggon, then one horse then the other. There was time for the Plaintiff, if he had presence of mind, after the hind wheels were over the Bridge to have got out of the waggon upon the Bridge. It was about a minute and a half after the horses took fright that they went off the Bridge - The team being frightened by the locomotive was the cause of the accident. The team was about seventy feet from the Engine - where the accident occurred it was 55 feet from the Outside of the Bridge to the Rail Road track. The Part of the Railing of the

40
 Bridge which I saw were halved into the sleepers with
 a dovetail, and the top pieces and the top railing was
 mortised on, and the Bridge was safe and strong for
 all ordinary use. The Railing was made of upright
 posts 5 inches square, attached to the sleepers, braced on
 the outside at every other post, with a railing 2 by 6 inches
 in the center and 3 by 5 inches at the top, and 3 1/2 feet high.
 I think Lewis the Plaintiff managed his horses with
 all the judgment and prudence that a man in his situ-
 ation would be likely to do. But he saved their heads
 while they were backing and while the waggon was
 forced upon the Bridge after the hind wheels had gone over.
 The top railing at this place was not sound. It was
 decayed but outwardly it being weather beaten this was no-
 thing to indicate unsoundness after it was broken it show-
 ed that it was partially decayed. But one of the posts
 were broken off at the time of the accident. There was
 no brace to this post, but there were braces to the two
 on each side nine feet distant from this one, neither
 of which were broken or thrown off. The braces were
 at each bent where the timbers projected beyond the
 planks of the Bridge. The string piece or timber on the
 Edge of the plank where the horses backed the waggon
 off was twelve inches square, and the waggon was back-
 ed over the timber.

George Rodgers called by Defendant and testified I
 am a carpenter and Bridge builder and have been so
 for more than seven years. I saw the Plaintiff waggon

41
 going over the bridge at the time of the accident. I was about 20 feet from the Bridge on the lower side the waggon, team & Plaintiff came off on that side. I considered the Bridge a safe Bridge for the passage of teams, The railing is as good as is generally put on Bridges, I have been at work about and near the Bridge about a year for Couger Secor & Co, when I first saw the waggon of Plaintiff it was nearly against the railing. Plaintiff was standing up from the time I first saw it in this position five or six seconds of time elapsed before it went off the Bridge. Plaintiff was pulling on the lines, the waggon was poised on the Bridge after the hind wheels were over 4 or 5 seconds I think the Plaintiff might have jumped out onto the Bridge, I think he was pulling the horses dont remember of his striking them none of the Posts were broken, the railing appeared sound on the outside, but upon examination on the inside it had commenced decaying - I built two Bridges within the past year about 200 feet long each & put up on them the same kind of railing as was on this bridge.

Defendants then called Henry Maxwell who testified I saw the accident, I was standing at the engine, the hind wheels of the waggon when I first noticed it were against the railing of the Bridge and Loomis the Plaintiff was pulling back on the lines; and when the hind wheels quit the railing it snapped and over they went. The waggon after the hind wheels were over stopped five or six seconds on the Bridge before it finally went over I was about two

42

hundred feet off at the time. I was Baggage Master on the Rail Road. The Engine was hauling up Iron and ties. The Plaintiffs horses took fright at the Engine. There were timbers lying along on the top of the Bridge, on the planks on both sides. The Bridge was safe for all ordinary travel. Large loads and teams were daily in the habit of crossing it.

Hiram Bunn called by Defendants testified I was standing on the Bridge 30 or 40 feet from the Plaintiffs team at the accident occurred. The team was frightened at the Engine and backed off the Bridge, Plaintiff was jerking his horses and snapping them with the lines. It was a minute or over after the horses were frightened before they backed off.

The Bridge was safe if teams were not frightened. Other teams passed over safely. Loomis had time to get out of his waggon after the horses were frightened before the waggon was backed off the Bridge. I have often seen men with teams, when an Engine was passing get out and hold their horses by the heads. I have seen a great many do so. I think Loomis had time to jump from his waggon onto the Bridge after the hind wheels were over the edge of the Bridge. I said at the time he was foolish for not doing so. I saw him pulling on the lines before the waggon struck the railing. I am a Bridge Builder and Carpenter by occupation.

The defendant then read in Evidence an act of the General Assembly entitled "An act to incorporate the Georgia

43
and Ogwaka Rail Road Company, Approved Feb. 12, 1849,
and also an act Entitled "An act to amend an act entitled
an act to incorporate the Peoria and Ogwaka Rail Road com-
pany approved February 12, 1849, Approved February 10, 1851,"
And also an act Entitled "An act to amend an act entitled
an act to to amend an act entitled an act to incorporate
the Peoria and Ogwaka Rail Road Company approved
Feb. 10th 1851 Approved June 22, 1852," which said several
acts are as follows to wit.



An Act to Incorporate

The

Peoria & Ogwaka Railroad Company.

- Sect 1. Name and style; powers; further powers.
2. To survey and locate railroad; prescribe rules; rates of toll;
construct lateral routes.
3. Capital stock; increased.
4. Persons to act as commissioners; duty; notice; on open-
ing books; amount paid.
5. Directors; elections.
6. Duty of directors.
7. Powers of corporation; damages; apply to circuit court
or county commissioners' court; appraisers appointed;
duty of appraisers; dissatisfied parties.
8. Lands of infants; how damages assessed; guardian ad litem.
9. Replace bridges.
10. Penalty for obstructions; form of action.
11. Loan.
12. Duty of corporation.

444

Sec. 1. Be it enacted by the people of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly, That all such persons as shall become stockholders, agreeably to the provisions of this act, in the corporation hereby created, shall be, and are hereby constituted and declared a body corporate and politic, by the name of "Terre and Ogwawka Railroad Company," and by that name shall have succession for the term of fifty years from and after the passage of this act, and may by said name sue and be sued, complain and defend, in any court of law or equity; may have and use a common seal, and alter or renew the same at pleasure; may make by-laws, rules, and regulations for the management of its property, the regulation of its affairs, and for the transfer of its stock, not inconsistent with the laws and constitution of this State or of the United States; and may moreover, appoint such subordinate agents, officers, and servants as the business of said corporation may require, and allow them a suitable compensation, prescribe their duties, and require bond for the faithful performance thereof, in such several sums and with securities as they may choose, who shall hold their offices during the pleasure of a majority of the directors of the said corporation.

Sec. 2. The said corporation shall have the right to survey, locate, and construct, and during its continuance, to maintain and continue a railroad with single or double track, and with such appurtenances as may be deemed necessary for the convenient use of the same,

45 from the town of Peoria, on the Illinois river, in the county of Peoria, to the town of Aquawha, on the Mississippi river in the county of Henderson; and to locate and construct the same on such line, course, or way as may be designated and selected by the directors of said corporation whom to construct and make the same; and may also prescribe the manner in which said railroad shall be used, by what force the carriages to be used thereon may be propelled, to regulate the time and manner in which goods, effects, and passengers may be transported and carried on the same, and the rates of toll on the transportation of persons or property thereon; and it shall also be lawful for the said corporation to unite with any other railroad company already incorporated, or which may be incorporated, upon such terms and at such points and parts of the said railroads as may be agreed upon by the directors of said companies; and also to construct such other and lateral routes as may be necessary to connect them with any other route or routes which may be deemed expedient, under the same privileges and restrictions as are herein contained.

Sec. 2. The capital stock of said corporation shall be five hundred thousand dollars, which shall be deemed personal property, and shall be divided into shares of one hundred dollars each. The said capital stock may at any time hereafter be increased to a sum not exceeding one million dollars, if the same shall be judged necessary, to be subscribed for and taken under the direction of the

46 directors of said corporation, whenever they shall cause one or more books to be opened for that purpose, and in such manner as may be prescribed by the by-laws of the said corporation.

Sec. 4. The following named persons, to wit: Alex. Turnbull, James H. Davidson, and Hyatt B. Staff, of Warren county, Preston Martin, John McKinney, and Robert M. Patterson, of Henderson county, Samuel B. Anderson, James Knox, and David Meek, of Knox county, and William S. Moss, Alfred S. Curtiniss, and Isaac Underhill, of Georgia county, shall be commissioners for receiving subscriptions to the capital stock of said corporation, who shall, within twelve months after the passage of this act, cause books to be opened at such places as they may deem proper, for receiving subscriptions to the said capital stock. Notice of the times and places when and where the said books will be opened, to be given by publication for at least thirty days previous to opening the same, in some public newspaper printed and published in each of such places. On opening said books the commissioners shall attend by themselves or agents, and continue to receive subscriptions to the capital stock of said corporation from all persons who will subscribe thereto, until the whole amount thereof shall have been subscribed; when the said books shall be closed. Each subscriber shall, at the time of subscribing, pay to the commissioners the sum of five dollars on each share of the stock subscribed for by him; and the said commissioners shall, as soon as the directors of said corporation are elected, deliver

to them the whole amount so received, and also all subscription books and papers belonging to said company. A majority of the commissioners above named may fill any vacancies that may occur in their number by death, resignation or otherwise.

Sec. 5. The affairs of said corporation shall be managed by a board of nine directors, to be chosen annually by the stockholders, from among themselves; the first election for directors shall be held as soon as may be after the stock has been subscribed. The commissioners shall give notice of the time and place at which a meeting of the stockholders will be held for the choice of directors, and at the time and place appointed for that purpose the commissioners, or a majority of them, shall attend and act as inspectors of said election. The stockholders, who shall be present either in person or by proxy, shall proceed, by ballot, to elect their directors, and the commissioners present shall certify the result of such election under their hands; which certificate shall be recorded in the books of the corporation, and shall be sufficient evidence of the election of the directors therein named. All future elections shall be held at the times and in the manner prescribed by the by-laws and regulations of said corporation. Each stockholder shall be entitled to vote, in person or by proxy duly authorized, one vote for every share he may own at the commencement of each election, and a plurality of votes shall determine the choice, but no stockholder shall be allowed to vote at any election, after the first, for any

stock which shall have been assigned to him within thirty days previous to the day of holding such election.

48

Sec. 6. It shall be lawful for the directors to require payment to the subscriptions to the capital stock at such times and in such proportions, and on such conditions, as they shall deem proper, under the penalty of the forfeiture of the stock and all previous payments thereon, and they shall give notice of the payments thus required, and of the place where and the time when the same are required to be paid, at least thirty days previous to the time of requiring said payments, by publication in at least three public newspapers printed in the State.

Sec. 7. The said corporation is hereby empowered to purchase, receive, and hold such real estate as may be necessary and convenient in accomplishing the objects for which this incorporation is granted, and may, by their agents, engineers, and surveyors, enter upon and take possession of and use all such lands and real estate as may be necessary and indispensable for the construction and maintenance of said railroad, and the appendages and accommodations requisite and appertaining thereto; and may also receive, take, and hold all such voluntary grants and donations of land and real estate as shall be made to the said corporation for the purposes aforesaid. But whenever any lands, real estate, or materials shall be taken and appropriated by said corporation for the location or construction of said railroad or its appendages, or any work appertaining thereto, and the

49 same shall not be given or granted, to said corporation; or the proprietor or proprietors do not agree with said corporation as to the amount of damage or compensation which ought to be allowed and paid therefor, or shall not mutually agree on some person or persons to appraise the same, the damages shall be estimated and assessed in the manner following. The said corporation, or the owner or owners of said lands may, on giving notice of their intended application and the time and place of making the same, apply by petition to the judge of the circuit court of the county in which said lands may lie, or in the absence of the said judge from the said county, then to the senior county commissioner of said county, or the county judge of said county, particularly describing in said petition the lands to be appraised, and upon proof that reasonable notice has been given as directed, the said judge, or in case of his absence as aforesaid, the said senior county commissioner, shall, on hearing the said petition, appoint three disinterested persons, freeholders and residents of the county in which said lands may be situated, as commissioners, for the purpose of assessing such damages and the order in which they are appraised, shall specify the lands proposed to be appropriated and occupied by said corporation for the purposes aforesaid.

The said appraisers, after being duly sworn before some officer legally authorized to administer oaths, honestly, and impartially to assess such damages, shall proceed by viewing said lands and by such other means as the

50

parties may produce before them, to ascertain and assess the damages which each owner will sustain by the appropriation of his land for the purposes aforesaid, and also the benefit and advantage which said commissioners shall adjudge will accrue to such owner or owners by the construction of the said railroad. The said appraisers shall make a report in writing to the said judge of the circuit court, reciting the order of their appointment and specifying the several parcels of land described therein, the names of the owner or owners of the respective parcels, if known, stating that last, and specifying also the damages which the owner of the respective parcels will sustain by reason of the appropriation of the same for the purposes aforesaid; which said report shall be filed in the office of the clerk of the circuit court of the county in which said lands may lie. In case either of the parties is dissatisfied with said assessment, the said judge may, at the next term of the circuit court in said county, on leaving the parties in interest, or if both shall not appear, on proof of notice being given to the opposite party, modify the said assessment as to him shall appear just. At the said term of the circuit court holden next after the filing of said report as aforesaid, a record shall be made of the said report, with the order of the said court thereon, accepting or modifying the same. On the payment of the damages, if any, thus assessed, and the expenses of assessment, the said corporation shall immediately become seized and possessed of the said land and

57
 property, and entitled to the use of the same for the purposes aforesaid.

Sec. 8. When the lands or other property or estate of any married woman, infant, or person non compos mentis, shall be necessary for the construction of the said railroad or its appendages, the husband of such married woman, or the guardian of such infant or person non compos mentis, may release all damages in relation to the land, property, or estate to be taken and appropriated as aforesaid as fully as they might do if the same were held in their own rights respectively, or the husband or guardian of any such person whose property shall be taken as aforesaid, may appear and act for and in their behalf, in obtaining an assessment of the damages to the same under this act; and in case any such infant or person non compos mentis, whose property may be taken as aforesaid, shall be without guardian, the judge of the circuit court, or the commissioners, shall have the power to appoint a guardian ad litem, to act in behalf of such person.

Sec. 9. Whenever it shall be necessary, under this act of corporation, for the construction of a single or double track railroad, as herein authorized, to intersect or cross any stream of water, or any public road or highway, it shall be lawful for the said corporation to construct across or upon the same; Provided, the said corporation shall restore such stream or water course, or public road or highway, thus intersected, to its former state, or in a sufficient manner not to materially impair its

612610.25

usefulness.

52

52

Sec. 10. If any person shall wilfully or negligently do, or cause to be done, any act or acts whatever, whereby the said road or any building, construction, or work of said corporation, or any engine, machine, or structure, or any material or thing appertaining to the same, shall be stopped, obstructed, weakened or impaired, injured or destroyed, the person or persons so offending shall forfeit and pay to said corporation the amount of damages sustained by reason of such offence, to be recovered, with cost of suit, in the name of said corporation, by action of debt; and such offender or offenders shall also be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and be subject to indictment and punishment as in other cases.

Sec. 11. For the purpose of facility in the construction of the railroad authorized by this act, the said corporation is and shall be permitted to negotiate a loan or loans of money to the amount of its capital stock, and pledge all of its rights, credits, and franchises for the payment thereof.

Sec. 12. It shall be the duty of the corporation hereby created, when the railroad contemplated by this act shall have been completed, to keep and maintain the same in good condition and repair, and whenever from any cause whatever the same shall become injured or out of repair, the said corporation shall immediately proceed to repair the same, under a penalty of a forfeiture of the amount of all damages caused by such want of repair in said road.

58. Sec. 13. This act shall be deemed and considered a public act, and shall be favorably and justly construed for all purposes therein expressed and declared, in all courts and places whatsoever.

Sec. 14. The railroad contemplated herein to be completed within ten years from the passage of this act.

Approved February 12, 1849.

An Act to amend an act entitled "An act to incorporate the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company," approved Feb. 12, 1849.

Sec. 1. Directions of road; proviso.

2. Right of way.

3. Organization.

Sec. 4. Branch.

5. Commissioners.

6. Time of completion.

Sec. 1. Be it enacted by the people of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly, That the company incorporated under the said act to which this is an amendment, shall be required to construct said road through the towns of Farmington, Fulton county, Dixonville, in Dixon county, and Monmouth, in Warren county, and they shall establish depots in each of said towns and places: Provided, however, that nothing in this act shall be construed as to prevent said company from having stations at other points or places for the reception or discharge of goods, produce, stock, or other commodities.

54
 Sec. 2. Said company are hereby authorized to acquire and hold so much of the grade and right of way of the Peoria and Warsaw railroad as they may deem necessary, and may employ and use the same in the construction of their said road, and if said grade and right of way should belong to the State of Illinois, the said company may purchase the same from the Governor of this State upon such terms as they shall agree upon; and such contract, if made, shall be binding upon both parties.

Sec. 3. The said company is authorized to organize and proceed in the construction of said road under the restrictions, conditions and limitations contained in said act to which this is an amendment, whenever the sum of one hundred thousand dollars is bona fide subscribed, and five percent thereof actually paid in.

Sec. 4. The said company is hereby authorized to build a branch of said road, in the same manner as the main track is to be erected hereby, to commence at or west of Monmouth; from thence to the Mississippi river, at or above Shokoken, in Henderson County.

Sec. 5. The commissioners named in the act to which this is an amendment, together with Aza D. Reed, of Fulton county, Wm. J. Phelps, Rudolphus Rouse, Peter Sweat and Joshua P. Hotchkiss, of Peoria county, who are hereby made commissioners, shall exercise the same powers and duties under this act as are enjoined upon them in said former act.

Sec. 6. The said road contemplated herein to be

completed within ten years from the passage of this act.
 Sec. 7. This act to take effect from and after its pas-
 sage. Approved Feb. 10. 1857.

An Act to amend an act entitled "An act to amend
 our act entitled an act to incorporate the Peoria and
 Aquawka Railroad Company," Approved February
 10. 1857.

Sec. 1. Increase of Capital Stock.

2. Acts of Commissioners made valid.
3. Direct location.
4. Burlington Branch.
5. Width of land granted.
6. Ferries.

Sec. 2. Landings.

8. Ferries deemed Public Highways.
9. Extension eastward to Indiana.
10. Power to borrow money.
11. Bonds.
12. Power to Mortgage.

Sec. 1. Be it enacted by the people of the State of
 Illinois, represented in the General Assembly, That the
 Capital Stock, of the Peoria and Aquawka Railroad
 Company is hereby increased to the sum of Thirty-five
 Hundred thousand dollars, and the stockholders of said
 company and their successors shall have perpetual
 succession, by the name and style aforesaid, and shall
 have and enjoy all the rights and privileges heretofore

or herein granted to said company by said General Assembly.

56
Sec. 2. The acts of the Commissioners for receiving subscription to the capital stock of said company, and in the election of Directors on the twentieth day of June, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty one, are hereby declared to be legal and valid in law, any omissions or imperfections in relation to said organization to the contrary notwithstanding.

Sec. 3. The Directors of said company are hereby empowered and authorized to locate said railroad on the most direct, eligible and feasible route from Peoria to Knoxville, without reference to Farmington: Provided: That said company shall build a branch of said railroad to Farmington, and shall establish a depot there.

Sec. 4. The said Directors are also empowered and authorized to locate the branch of said railroad provided for in the fourth section of the act to which this is an amendment, according to the survey already made thereof, and to fix the termination of said branch on the Mississippi river, at a point opposite to, or above or below the city of Burlington, in the State of Iowa, which termination shall be within two miles of said point, opposite said city of Burlington, Iowa.

Sec. 5. The said company shall have the right of way upon, and may appropriate to its sole use and control, for the purposes and uses of said Railroad Company, any land belonging to the State of Illinois, not exceeding two hundred feet in width, wherever any such land

57
 may be situated along said Railroad, for its entire length; as well as along its branches; and may enter upon and take possession of and use all and singular, arid lands, streams, and materials of every kind belonging to said State, for the location of depots and stopping stages; for the purpose of constructing bridges, dams, embankments, excavations, station-grounds, spool-banks, turnouts, engine houses, shops and other buildings necessary for the construction, completing, altering, maintaining, preserving, and complete operation of said Road; and all such lands, waters, materials and privileges, are hereby forever granted to said company for said purposes.

Sec. 6. Said company are hereby authorized and empowered to make and establish a ferry across the Mississippi river, at the termination of the branch west of Monmouth, to such point on the Iowa shore of said river as the said company may be authorized or required to land at. Said company are also authorized and empowered to make and establish a ferry across the Illinois river from any ground owned by them in the city of Peoria, to the opposite shore of said Illinois river, in Fitzgerald county. They are hereby authorized to make and use all necessary boats and apparatus of all and every description whatsoever, advantageous and necessary for the use of said company at either or both of said ferries, and propel the same by horse, steam, or any mode or power that to said company may seem best: Provided, that said company shall not use said

58
ferries, or allow the same to be used, for any other purposes than those connected with, or arising out of, the business of said Railroad.

Sec. 7. Said company shall have authority and power to improve all or either of their landings at either or both of said ferries, by building a pier or piers, or making embankments into either or both of said rivers: Provided, that any such improvement does not interfere with the free navigation of either of said rivers.

Sec. 8. If any person shall wilfully or negligently do or cause to be done any injury to said ferries, or to any boat or appurtenance thereto belonging, the person or persons so offending shall forfeit and pay to said company three times the amount of such injury, to be recovered by action of debt, or action on the case before any Justice of the Peace, or any other court having jurisdiction of the same. The said ferries shall be deemed public highways, within --- the meaning of the laws providing for the punishment of persons injuring, obstructing or destroying public highways or bridges, in any manner or by any means whatever.

Sec. 9. The said company are hereby authorized to continue said Railroad from Ellettsville, in the most eligible route eastward, to a point on the Indiana state line, which shall not be more than twenty miles either north or south of a due east and west line, that would run through Lafayette, Indiana; and the same powers and privileges for construction and maintaining

59
this extension, and for connecting the same with other roads, as is contained in the original charter, is hereby conferred upon said company in relation to this extension.

X
Sec. 10 That ^{the} Peoria and Aquawake Railroad Company shall have power to borrow money on the credit of the company not exceeding its authorized capital stock, at a rate of interest not exceeding ten per cent per annum, payable semi-annually, and may execute bonds therefor, with interest-coupons thereto annexed, and secure the payment of the same by mortgage, or deed of trust, on the whole or any part of the road, property and income of the company then existing or thereafter to be acquired; and may annex to such mortgage bonds the privilege of converting the same into the capital stock of the company, at par, at the option of the holders, if such election be signified, in writing, to the company, three years before the maturity of said bonds.

Sec. 11. That the Directors of said company be and are hereby authorized to negotiate and sell the bonds of said company at such times and at such places, either within or without this State, and at such rates, and for such prices as in their opinion will best advance the interests of the company; and if such bonds are thus negotiated or sold at a discount below their par value such sale and disposition thereof shall be as valid and binding on the company in every respect as if they were sold or disposed of at their par value.

Sec. 12. That the said company in securing the payment

of said bonds by a mortgage or deed of trust on the real, personal, and income of the company, shall have power to execute a mortgage or deed of trust, aforesaid, to secure the payment of the full amount of bonds which the company may at the time said deed of trust or mortgage bears date, or at any time thereafter, desire to sell and dispose of, and may execute and sell from time to time such amounts of said bonds, and of such dates, and payable to such person or persons, as to the Directors of said company may seem advisable, till the whole amount of bonds mentioned in such mortgage or deed of trust is executed and sold, and the said mortgage or deed of trust shall be as valid and effectual to secure the payment of the bonds so executed and sold, and of every part thereof, as if the same, and every part thereof, had been executed of even date with the said deed of trust or mortgage.

† Sec. 13. This act to be in force from and after its passage. Approved June 22, 1852.

The Plaintiff objected to the admission of this Evidence, but the court overruled the Objection and admitted the same and the Plaintiff's counsel then and there excepted to the opinion of the court admitting the same.

This was all the Evidence, the court at the Request of the Plaintiff's counsel instructed the Jury as follows

Instructions for Plaintiff

61
The defendants were bound in law to keep their Bridge in good repair so as to admit of the convenient & safe passage of all persons with their property and if the jury believe from the evidence that the Bridge was not in such repair as to render the passage thereof safe at the time the injury happened to the plaintiff, the Defendants are liable for such injury if it was occasioned by the Bridge, so being unsafe, if plaintiff used reasonable care.

2. The defendants were bound to keep their Bridge in such repair as to render it reasonably safe from the consequences of such accidents as might be justly expected to occasionally occur thereon, and if the jury believe from the evidence that the want of such repair co-operated to produce the injury in this case, the defendants are liable, if plaintiff used reasonable care.

3. The defendants were bound to keep their Bridge in such repair as to render it safe against such accidents as might reasonably be supposed to occur, and if the jury find in this case that the Bridge was not safe so as to protect the plaintiff from such injury, the defendants are liable, if plaintiff used reasonable care.

4. It is not sufficient that the Bridge was safe for gentle horses it must be so built and kept in repair as to reasonably protect persons from injury

42 whose horses are not gentle & well trained, & so as to guard against such accidents as may be reasonably expected to occur.

5. If the jury believe from the evidence that the injury would not have happened, if the Bridge had been in suitable repair and sufficiently strong to reasonably prevent the waggon from going over, then the defendants are liable if plaintiff used reasonable care.

6. The Charter of the Peoria & Ogawaha Rail Road did not repeal that part of the Bridge Charter which requires them to keep their Bridge in repair so as to render its passage safe, and they were bound in law to keep it in such condition as to admit of its safe passage at the time of the accident complained of in this case.

7. The defendants had no right to place any obstruction on or near their Bridge which would render its passage perilous or unsafe, nor had they a right to authorize or permit any one else to do so, & if they have done so, they are liable, if such obstruction caused the injury complained of, if the plaintiff used reasonable care.

8. If the Defendants authorize the Peoria & Ogawaha Rail Road to build their road & run their engines thereon, contiguous to the defendants Bridge and on the defen-

63
 dants and the running of such engines frightened the horses of the plaintiff & caused the injury complained of, the defendants are liable, if the plaintiff used reasonable care.

Given
 9. Persons in positions of great peril are not required to exercise all the presence of mind and care of a prudent careful man; — the law makes allowances for them and leaves the circumstances of their conduct to the jury.

Given
 10. The jury may give such damages in this case, if they find for the plaintiff, as will fully compensate him for all the injuries he may have sustained by reason of the accident, including amonies expended by him in curing himself and horses & repairing his waggon the diminished value of his horse, and the injury occasioned to his person and intellect and for his sufferings, pain, danger to his life and loss of time in consequence thereof.

Given
 11.th If the jury believe that the defendant was guilty of criminal & gross negligence in not keeping this bridge in repair then the jury may give exemplary Damages if they find for the plaintiff.

To the giving of which said instructions the Defendants by their counsel excepted to the opinion of the

Court in giving the same.

64

64 The counsel for the Defendants then requested the Court to instruct the jury as follows.

1st. That the law; and the Charter of the Georgia and Apauka Rail Road Company authorized said Company to construct their Rail Road upon the most Eligible Route from Georgia to the Indiana State line and that the question of eligibility in relation to the Route was a question is to be decided and determined alone by said Company; and that if they had located and established their Road at the place where the grievances complained of in the declaration occurred as stated in the declaration, the Plaintiff as against the defendants have no right to complain of said locations.

2. That so far as the Plaintiff is concerned it is wholly immaterial whether the Defendants donated the land to the Georgia and Apauka Rail Road company or whether they gave said company the Right of way for an agreed price, or their damages were assessed as provided by law.

3. That if the Georgia and Apauka Rail Road Company had, pursuant to law, located and constructed

65 their Road over the land of the Defendants at the place where the alleged grievances in the Plaintiffs declaration are supposed to have occurred, prior to the time of the happening of the same, and the injury to the Plaintiff was occasioned by his horses being frightened by the noise of cars or the noise or whistling of a locomotive upon said Rail Road, the defendants are not liable in this action.

4. That the Owners of a toll Bridge are not liable as common carriers — That they are not insurers of property passing over the same, — and are only bound to use reasonable care and diligence to keep their Bridge in good repair so that ordinarily gentle teams may pass in safety over the same, and that they are not responsible for any injury arising from horses taking flight at a Rail Road or Engine running upon the same, where said Road has been constructed under the authority of law, or under a charter from the General Assembly of this State.

5th. That the owners of a toll Bridge, are only bound to keep their Bridge within its own limits, in good repair so as to afford a safe passage to ordinarily gentle and quiet teams and to prudent and careful drivers; and that they are not responsible for any accident or injury which may occur by reason of any railroad

66

Structure or other thing existing set up or Operated outside of the limits of such Bridge, and which had been placed, or set up or operated there under the authority of any law of this State.

6th. If the Jury believe that the Plaintiff himself was grossly careless and negligent, and had not sufficient opportunity to prevent the injury by leaning his waggon and holding his horses by the head while the Engine was passing and that he neglected to do so and thereby the injury was occasioned, the said Plaintiff can not recover.

7th. That the owners of a Bridge are not bound in law to construct any railing along the sides of the said Bridge to prevent frightened horses from running or backing off the same.

8th. That if the Jury believe from the evidence that the Plaintiff knew or might have known that his horses were accustomed to become frightened at a Locomotive and if they further that the Locomotive which frightened his horses was in plain sight for at least half a mile before he approached the same, and that as he approached he, or any other person of ordinary understanding and prudence could plainly perceive that said Locomotive had her steam up and ready to start; then the

67
 Plaintiff would be guilty of gross carelessness and negligence if he drove his team up to within a few feet of said locomotive; and if they believe that his horses became frightened at such locomotive, and the accident occurred in consequence thereof, under such circumstances, the Plaintiff can not recover.

9. That if the jury shall believe from the evidence that the railing of the Bridge was not sound and sufficient still it would not excuse the plaintiff from using reasonable care and diligence to avoid the accident, and if the jury shall believe from the evidence that the Plaintiff did not use such reasonable care and diligence they will find a verdict of not guilty.

10. That if the jury believe from the evidence that the injury done to the plaintiff, and his property was the result of the fault or negligence of the plaintiff or the fault or negligence of both the plaintiff and defendant without any intentional wrong on the part of the defendant then the Plaintiff cannot recover and the jury must find for the defendant.

11. That the burden of proof lies upon the plaintiff not only to prove that he himself used reasonable care to avoid the injury but that the Bridge was not sufficient to allow the plaintiff to pass over the

68

12. That notwithstanding the jury may believe from the evidence that the defendant may have been in the wrong in giving the right of way to the Peoria & Oquawka Railroad Company still if the jury shall believe from the evidence that the plaintiff might by using reasonable care and diligence avoided the accident he was bound to use reasonable care and diligence and if the jury shall believe from the evidence he did not do so they will find a verdict for the defendant.

13th. That if the jury believe from the evidence in this case that the Plaintiff is entitled to recover at all, he can only recover such damages as he has proved that he actually sustained and that no vindictive or exemplary damages can be allowed unless it is shown that the injury, (if any) to himself and property was occasioned by some malicious act or acts of the defendant.

The court gave the instructions numbered 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. and refused to give all the others numbered 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7 & 8. Except so much of number four (4) which reads as follows "That the owners of a toll Bridge are not liable as common carriers. That they are not insurers of property passing over the same."

69
To the decision of the court in refusing to give all said instructions as asked, the Defendants counsel then and there excepted.

The jury returned a verdict for the Plaintiff for the sum of \$ 5750. 00.

The Defendants counsel entered a motion for a new trial for the following reasons.

The Defendants enter a motion for a new trial cause for the following Reasons.

1st the Verdict is against law and Evidence.

2nd The Damages are Excessive.

3. The Court gave improper instructions to the jury at the request of the Plaintiff.

4. The court refused proper instructions asked by the Defendant.

5. The Court admitted improper Evidence offered by Plaintiff.


Samuel Powell

for Deft.

The court overruled said motion and the Defendants counsel then and there excepted to the decision

70

of the court in overruling said Motion, and requested
the court to sign and seal this bill of Exceptions
which is done.



At. Ballou Judge 
of ss. Judicial Circuit Ill


Know all men by these presents that the Florida
Bridge Association as principals and Thomas Mayne
John Hamilton and Peter Lusat as sureties are held
and firmly bound ~~unto~~ unto Lyman J. Loomis in
the special sum of eleven thousand dollars to the
payment of which they bind themselves their heirs
executors and administrators jointly and severally
firmly by these presents Witness the hand of Peter
Lusat President of said Florida Bridge Association
and the seal thereof and the hands and seals of the
other parties this Eighth day of February A.D. 1858
The condition of this obligation is such that the
said Lyman J. Loomis did at the January
term of the Circuit Court of Marshall County N.H.
1858 recover a judgment in said Court against

71

the said Peoria Bridge association for the sum of Five thousand Seven hundred and fifty dollars and costs of said suit from which judgment the said Peoria Bridge association has taken an appeal to the Supreme Court now provided the said Peoria Bridge Association shall duly prosecute the said appeal and shall pay the said judgment costs interest and damages in case the judgment shall be affirmed then this obligation to be void else remain in full force and virtue.

Peto Sweet Pres. Peoria
Bridge Association

Thos L Wayne 
Jas Hamilton 
Peter Sweet 



Washington Cockle
Secretary of the
Peoria Bridge Ass^{tn}

Endorsed "Filed February 11. 1858 James Muscott clerk."

State of Illinois {
Marshall County { Sd. J. James Mescott clerk of the
Circuit Court in and for said
County. do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript
numbering from 1 to 72 Pages inclusive is a correct
copy from the Records of the proceedings in said
Court and the papers on file in the cause of
Syman & Loomis against the Peoria Bridge
Association.

In Witness whereof I have hereunto
Subscribed my name and affixed
the Seal of said Court at Lacon this
fifth day of March A.D. 1858

James Mescott, clerk

Fee \$17.50

Symon J. Loomis } In the Supreme Court
 ^{and}
The Plover Bridge }
Association }

And now come the
said defendants and say, that in the
records and proceedings and in the rendition
of the Judgment aforesaid there
is Manifest Error in this: to wit.

1. The Court Erred in Sustaining the
Plaintiffs demurrer to the defendants
Second Plea to the first Count of the
Plaintiffs declaration
2. The Court Erred in admitting improper
evidence offered by the Plaintiff and
in rejecting proper evidence offered
by the defendants.
3. The Court Erred in Overruling the
defendants Motion for a New trial
4. The Court Erred in giving the wrong
instructions asked by the Plaintiff
and in refusing those asked by the
defendants.

5th The Court Ends in rendering Judgment
upon the verdict in favor of the Plaintiff

For these and other Manifest Errors
in Said Records the Said Defendants
pray that the Said Judgment may
be reversed, set aside and wholly
for nothing Estimated

W. P. Cruple
Defts atty

And now comes the said defendant
by Meach & Williamson his attorneys
to say that in the record & proceedings
aforesaid & in the judgment aforesaid
said no such errors hath intervened
as is alleged above, wherefore he
prays that said judgment may
be in all respects affirmed
By Meach & Williamson
his Attorneys

106

The Peoria Bridge
Association

(17)

Lyman J. Lewis

Filed March 22, 1858
S. Leland
Clerk

Symon J Loomis
Appellee

Sup Court April Term
1888

^{vs}
The Peoria Bridge
Association - app't

Appeal from Marshall

Points for Appellee

1st The Deft was bound to keep the Bridge in good repair so as to furnish a safe & convenient passage for all persons and their teams waggons & horses on payment of Toll, — The charter quoted on page 8 Abstract Sec 1. Ark. 11. 74

This duty was imposed upon them by the very terms of their charter the only authority by which they were authorized to maintain any bridge at all —

But without this express requirement, their duty to the public would have been the same —

15th Ark. 708

10 Cushing 600

10 Kans. Pa. 389

9 Iowa Ry. 414

It is not enough to excuse the deft
that they might have believed the bridge
to be safe - But it was their duty
at least to use ordinary vigilance &
precaution to find out & know that
with reasonable certainty that it was
safe - See the case above cited 10 June

The railing to the bridge was clearly
insufficient as is shown by the evidence
of David Sloane See pages 5 & 6
of Printed Case Also evidence of Eri
Gray page 6 Also ev. of Joseph
Parker page 9

Parker's examination of the bridge clearly
shows the deft guilty of most gross
negligence in respect to the railing as on
examination he found about one third
of the posts loose at the bottom
Others ~~loose~~^{rotten} and others not yet
loose that he could push off with
his hands -

The action of the deft in building a new bridge
& putting on a stronger railing is an
admission by them that this was not
sufficient - It cannot be

assumed with any degree of plausibility
that if the bridge & railing had been safe
that they would have incurred the expense
of building a new one or the extra expense
of putting on a stronger railing.

It is shown that inside of the railing there
was laying a stick of timber ten inches
high against & over which the wagon was
backed before it hit the railing - This
timber would so greatly check the force
of the wagon that the railing if of any
reasonable strength ought to have stopped
it and its giving way so readily is
very strong evidence of its insufficiency.

Besides the very manner of its construction
was such as shows plainly that in
its first & very best condition it
must easily have given way to any
considerable pressure -

If the plaintiff's horses become frightened
& ungovernable while the plaintiff was
driving them on the bridge he using
ordinary care and diligence to go safely,
and the injury to him was suffered

in consequence of the insufficiency
of the railing of the bridge which
the deft was bound to repair & keep
safe. then he is entitled to
maintain his action whether
the horses becoming frightened was
occasioned by the wrongful act of
the deft, or not — Dft would
be Equally liable if the fright
was occasioned by an act over
which it had no control

1 Atkin 74. Holly & W.T.C

2 Cushing opinion of the Court from 608 to 610

9 Vt. Rep 418 Hunt & Pownall

15 Vt. Rep 708

There was no evidence given by the Dft
which seriously conflicts with that
given by the plff. And if there is
it is sufficient for this argument to
say that the jury have settled the
questions of fact by their verdict.

But in addition to what is above claimed
the first count of the declaration charges
that the Dft negligently & carelessly
suffered & permitted the R.R. Co to
lay down the R.R track on the land

of. Defts adjoining & contiguous to the bridge
& authorized &c & knowingly & intentionally
the running of cars there which caused
the pass. horses to become alarmed and
unmanigable — Thus by Defts
own voluntary act producing the
very noise which caused the fright
& disaster — This charge in the
declarations is most conclusively
proved by the evidence Washington
Cockle, page 7 Chuteau Can.

If it is established that the
defendants are responsible for the
running & whistling of this engine
by which pass. horses were frightened
they would be liable for all damages
that might occur in any manner
by reason thereof whether the bridge
was sufficiently strong or not
For it requires only the exercise of
reason and common sense to see
the utter folly & absurdity of running
a rail road & long toll bridge for
a great length side by side without
some covering or screen to prevent
the horses seeing the engine & passing
train, — Not only so but
it shows a wanton & criminal

recklessness of the lives & property
entrusted to their care as owners
of the toll bridge, —

If it were admitted that the railing
of the bridge might have been safe
enough before the construction of the
Rail Road. Still after its construction
& this new element of danger being
added it would be the manifest
duty of the Dept. who was bound
to furnish a safe bridge to
put ^{it} into such condition as to
guard against all accidents
likely to happen and this
equally whether the R.R. was
built by consent of the
Dept or not. What would
be safe in some circumstances
would be entirely unsafe in
different circumstances —
This principle is clearly affirmed
& enforced in the cases before
City —

If the cars of the R.R.
run so near the bridge as to be
in danger of frightening the
horses passing on the bridge

S Barb. S. C. R. 427

it was the duty of the Dept
who was bound to maintain
a safe Crossing either to
have removed their bridge
to a greater distance or
at all events to have covered
their bridge so as to shut
out the sight of the cars
This is expressly adjudged
in S Barb. Sup Court Rep N.Y.
427. in a case exactly
analogous —

It can not for a moment be
tolerated that because this new
source of danger was established
without their consent that they
had a right to remain idle &
not provide against it — If
the safety of the bridge had been
impaired by the act of God as
by lightning, tempest or flood
Every one will admit that they
were bound to make it safe again
and by parity of reason they would be equally
bound to guard against danger caused by
human agency, which they could not control

~~influence of the law & properly
submitted to their own judgment
of the toll bridge~~

The verdict of the jury then we conceive
ought not to be disturbed unless during
the proceedings in the Circuit Court
some error has been committed against
the deft & unjustly effecting its
rights

The first ground of error as
claimed by the Deft. is that a
demurrer to his 3rd plea to the
1st Count was sustained

See plea page 4 Printed Case

The Plea was
demurred to for
special reasons
which are set
out in the
special demurrer
See special
demurrer on
Pages 19, & 20
of Record

[12/10/42]

This plea is clearly bad because
it does not meet nor answer the
allegations of the 1st Count it neither
admits & avoids nor traverses or denies
the allegations of the Count
Substantially that Count & the part
of it attempted to be answered by
the plea charges the deft with the
great wrong of voluntarily, suffering
permitting & procuring the rail
road to be made & the cars

to be run which produces the freight.
The plea alleges that a Cutler
Co. were authorized by Charter to
build the road 2nd That the
Co had built the road at the
place &c on Supts land without
showing whether or not the
road was built with the
Consent of Supts. —

Thus evading the only
material point upon which
the issue was apparently to be
made. —

All rail roads to be run
by steam must be chartered
by competent authority or they
cannot be built at all without
a charter they are nuisances
& Barb. N.Y. Sup Court R. 427
The fact of the road being chartered
could make no difference with Supts
liability. — The Charter don't make the
road, perhaps it never would have
been built if the right of way had
not been given. — —

The demurrer ought to have been sustained because if
all the plea was true the p[er]son might recover on the 1st
Court

8
But even if the demurrer was ^{not} properly
sustained that can not effect the
verdict. The deft had a right to
prove all the allegations of the plea
(if admissible) under the Guid.
issue. Whether properly
admissible or not the deft
did prove them ~~without~~
~~objection~~ And so far as the
plea is concerned has received
all the advantage of bringing
the proof before the jury that
he could have had under his
plea.

The instructions asked for by the
plaintiff & given by the Court
are a fair embodiment of the
law as applicable to this case.

It is conceived that we have
clearly shown that the three
first instructions asked by the
defendant & refused were properly so
refused.

As to the part of the
4th instruction of Defendant refused
by the Court in which

the deft claims that they are only
 bound to keep their bridge
 in such repair that ^{or ordinary} gentle
 teams may pass & that deft
 is not responsible for any injury
 from horses taking fright
 from R.R. engines when the
 road had been constructed under
 a charter lawfully granted.

In addition to what has already been
 urged against the propositions
 contained in this instruction we
 submit first that it has no
 application to the case taken
 as a whole because the proof
 was made by the plffs. witnesses
 that his were gentle horses
 and no evidence was offered
 to controvert that fact so that
 it could only have the effect
 if given to ask the jury to
 decide for the deft upon a
 proposition wholly unsustained
 by proof.

Again in any
 view the instruction would

not be law. For if
all horses were gentle &
never become ungovernable
by fright or from other
cause, no railing at
all would be necessary
& very poor bridges would
afford a safe passage.
But it is precisely because horses
and perhaps a majority of horses
are not always gentle & because
all horses (having their senses)
are liable to become frightened
and for the time being to
become unmanageable. That
harness is liable to give way
that the precaution of railing,
to bridges become necessary
as a means of safety at all.
The Bridge Co were bound to
provide against accidents
not as against horses which
were always gentle & kind
& that could not be frightened
but against accidents as driving
such as are far more
commonly driven -

As to the 6th instruction
asked by the Dept & refused
"If the jury believe that the plaintiff himself was
grossly negligent & had sufficient opportunity
to prevent the injury by leaving his wagon
& holding his horses by the head while
the engine was passing & that he neglected
to do so & thereby the injury was occasioned
the plaintiff cannot recover".

Several reasons may be given
why this instruction should have been
refused 1st It was proved by
plaintiff witnesses that plaintiff was so bound
up that he could not have jumped
out and this not contradictory -

Again what is negligence is
a question of fact for the jury
& they were to judge whether
under the circumstances of
peril in which he was placed
he was guilty of negligence.
The instruction as asked for
would have taken this question
from the jury

17 Ill. Rep 170 -

17 " " 509 -

The first part of the instruction
that the piff can not recover
if guilty of gross negligence
was given for the Dept in a
modified & better form ~~for the~~
~~Dept~~ in instructions given for
Dept marked No. 10, & 11 & 12
At all events the proposition
as a whole was not law
& was properly refused.

As to the 8th instruction asked for by
Dept & refused see page 20 printed
Can this was properly refused
because there was no shadow of
evidence showing that the piff
knew or might have known that his
horses were accustomed to be frightened
at a locomotive —

It is also objectionable for the
reason above given that it
assumes for the Court to pass
upon the question of negligence
& takes that part of the case
from the jury —

The evidence shows that it
the engine
" could be seen & was seen at

13
1/4 mile distant standing still
whether they could see the
Steamer up does not appear
That Plff did wait to see
it start before going upon
the bridge, That it not starting
they went ahead - Now
it is shown that Plff did all
a prudent man could do
& was guilty of no negligence
How long should he have waited?

Whether ordinary care &
prudence would require him
to wait any longer was
a question which the jury
alone had a right to decide

Upon the whole it appears that there was
sufficient evidence to warrant the jury
in finding their verdict. That the
case was fairly submitted to them
and their finding is sought to be
conclusive.

A. L. Davison
for Deft & Exor
& Mend & Williamson

The Power Bridge
Appellations
vs.
Lyman of Loomis.

Argument for
defendant

LYMAN J. LOOMIS, } IN THE SUPREME COURT
 vs. } OF THE
 THE PEORIA BRIDGE } STATE OF ILLINOIS,
 ASSOCIATION. } APRIL TERM, A. D. 1858.

APPEAL FROM MARSHALL COUNTY.

This is an action of Trespass on the case, commenced in Tazewell and by change of venue sent to Marshall County, where it was tried at January term, 1858.

The declaration contains two counts, which are as follows :

DECLARATION.

LYMAN J. LOOMIS, } CIRCUIT COURT,
 vs. } IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TAZE-
 THE PEORIA BRIDGE ASSOCIATION, } WELL,
 A CORPORATION, &c. } APRIL TERM THEREOF, A. D. 1857.

STATE OF ILLINOIS, }
 COUNTY OF TAZEVELL, } ss.

Lyman J. Loomis, the plaintiff in this suit, complains of the Peoria Bridge Association, a corporation created by and under the laws of the State of Illinois, passed on and since the 26th day of January, A. D. 1847, entitled "An Act to authorize the construction of a bridge across the Illinois River," and also an Act amendatory thereto, entitled "An Act in addition to an Act entitled an Act to authorize the construction of a bridge across the Illinois River approved January 26th, 1847," defendants in this suit, of a plea of trespass on the case; for that whereas before and at the time of the committing of the grievances hereinafter next mentioned the said defendants were the owners and possessors of a certain bridge across the Illinois River, extending from the city and county of Peoria across the Illinois River, in the county of Tazewell and State aforesaid, and were also the owners and possessors of certain lands adjacent thereto, and lying and adjoining their (the defendants') said bridge, and whereas all and every person was entitled, and of right, to cross and pass over, along and upon said bridge of said defendants aforesaid, on paying tolls therefor to said defendants; and said defendants were bound by law to keep their said bridge in good repair, so as to furnish a safe and convenient passage to all and every person and persons, and their teams, horses, wagons and property, on payment of the tolls aforesaid to said defendants; and said defendants were also bound by law, and of right should and ought to have kept their said lands and premises adjacent to and adjoining said bridge free and clear of and from any and all cars, locomotives, railroad tracks, fixtures, steam engines, and free and clear of and from the running, noise, confusion, whistling and alarm, on, over and upon their said lands and premises so adjoining, adjacent and next contiguous to their said bridge, whereby the horses, teams and property of any and all persons crossing over, along and upon said bridge of said defendants could become frightened and alarmed, and run or back off, through or over said bridge. Yet the said defendants, not regarding their duty in this behalf, willfully, negligently and carelessly suffered and permitted divers persons, corporations and railroad companies to lay down their certain railroad track on the land and premises of and belonging to

3 to 10

Purpeli-

The Declaration is was the plea that the accident was caused by the engine was a defence to this action

Purple
The Plea presented a defense & the account
was not good & answer should have been
overruled.

2. Bridge owners are not insurers of prop-
erty passing over the same.
3. Instructions wrong, better as given & proper
- or instructions refused.
4. Damages excessive.

said defendants, adjacent to, next adjoining and contiguous to their said bridge aforesaid; and said defendants also authorized, contracted, and agreed to and with, and knowingly suffered said divers persons, corporations and railroad companies to lay down their said road track as aforesaid, and build and construct their fixtures thereon, and run their cars, to-wit: one hundred cars and engines, to wit: ten engines and locomotives, to-wit: ten locomotives, over and upon said railroad track so laid down and constructed on and over the said premises of defendants as aforesaid, and which said cars, engines, machinery and locomotives were moved, driven and propelled by steam power, with great force, noise, confusion and whistling, to the great fright, consternation, alarm, dread, hazard and danger of all and every person and persons, their horses, teams and property passing and crossing over, upon and along said bridge of said defendants as aforesaid; to-wit: on the 15th day of December, A. D. 1856, at the county of Tazewell aforesaid, and by reason whereof, and by reason of the running, driving, and propelling of said cars, engines, locomotives and machinery of said divers persons, corporations and railroad companies over and upon the said land and premises of said defendants as aforesaid, with great force, noise, confusion, disturbance and whistling of said locomotives and engines, the team and horses of said plaintiff, so crossing along, upon and over said bridge as aforesaid, as he lawfully might do, and of right was entitled to, took fright, became greatly alarmed, and run and pushed over and through said bridge, and fell, and was precipitated and hurled with great violence to the ground, a great distance, to-wit: the distance of fifteen feet, together with the plaintiff, his wagon, team and property, where-with he the said plaintiff was then and there passing over, upon and along said bridge of said defendants aforesaid; and whereby and by reason of said fall off, through and over said bridge of said defendants aforesaid, the said plaintiff was bruised, injured, wounded and maimed for life, and his bones broken; and whereby, also, his said horses and team were bruised, damaged, injured, wounded and rendered entirely valueless to said plaintiff; and his said wagon and property which said plaintiff was crossing over, along and upon said defendants' bridge, as aforesaid, became broken, injured and worthless, and entirely useless and valueless to said plaintiff, to-wit: at the county aforesaid; and the said plaintiff in consequence of such falling and being hurled and precipitated to the ground, together with his said horses, team, wagon and property as aforesaid, and in consequence of such bruises, lumps, wounds, injuries and broken bones to himself and horses as aforesaid, and the damage and breaking of his wagon, team and property so crossing along, over and upon said bridge of said defendants as aforesaid, and in and about the curing, healing, care, skill and attention of and to himself and horses, and the repairing of his wagon, team and property, was forced and obliged to pay, lay out and expend divers large sums of money, amounting in all to a great sum of money, to wit: the sum of one thousand dollars, to-wit: at the county of Tazewell aforesaid.

And also for that whereas before and at the time of committing of the grievances by said defendants as hereinafter next mentioned, the said defendants were the owners and possessors of a certain other bridge, extending from the city and county of Peoria across the Illinois River into the county of Tazewell and State of Illinois, and over, across and along which said bridge any and all persons were entitled to cross, pass, and of right might cross, pass and travel, and use for the purpose of crossing the said Illinois River, together with their and each of their horses, wagons, teams and property, on payment of tolls to said defendants, and said defendants being so possessed of and the owners of said other bridge as aforesaid, and entitled to have, demand and receive tolls from any and all persons so crossing and pass-

Wood.

Inserts. The Bridge Company violated
4 section - act of 1844 - & this action is
brought for violation of that act by
not keeping bridge in repair. The
Bridge Co voluntarily to let another
use Rail road to be built so as to
endanger travel over the Bridge.

Inserts are the special grounds of
Demurrer - see them. neither compels
& awards nor denies the allegations in
the writ.

The authority to keep going did not au-
thorize the building a bridge by Railroad
Company - & Bridge Co gave privilege it
makes Bridge Co liable.

If the legislature compels the building
of a RR Bridge that then renders it illegal
8 Barlow 428 to cross the bridge they should have closed
this bridge or cease to use the franchise
of receiving tolls.

1 Aik. v. 74-
9 Vermont
Angell High 275-
15 Vermont.

ing over said other bridge, either with or without their and each of their horses, wagons, teams and property as aforesaid, and by reason whereof the said defendants ought of right, and were bound by law to repair said bridge and keep the same in good repair, so as to admit of convenient and safe passage for all persons and their property, teams, wagons and horses, on payment of the tolls to said defendant. Yet the said defendants, not regarding their duty in this behalf, willfully, negligently and carelessly, and by and through their negligence, carelessness and default, and for want of due care and attention in this behalf, suffered and permitted their said other bridge aforesaid to be, remain and continue out of repair, unsafe and in a rotten, dangerous and hazardous condition, insomuch that the said other bridge did not admit of convenient and safe passage to any and all persons and their property, on payment of the tolls to said defendants as aforesaid, to-wit: at the county aforesaid, and by reason whereof, and by reason of the said other bridge of said defendants being, remaining and continuing out of repair, and in a dangerous, hazardous and unsafe condition as aforesaid, to-wit: on the day and year aforesaid, at the county aforesaid, the plaintiff, together with his horses, team, wagon and property wherewith said plaintiff was crossing, passing over and along said other bridge, with due care and skill, as he lawfully might do, were violently hurled, thrown and precipitated down, through and over said bridge to the earth, a great distance, to-wit: the distance of fifteen feet, whereby said plaintiff was then and there cut, bruised, maimed for and during his whole life, injured, crushed and wounded, and his bones broken and remained so for a great length of time, and was thereby hindered and prevented from attending to his necessary and lawful affairs and business during all that time, and is forever maimed and deprived of ability to attend to business, to-wit hitherto; and the said horses, team, wagon and property which he the plaintiff was then and there crossing along, over and across said bridge as aforesaid also fell and was hurled, thrown and precipitated through, off of and over said bridge a great distance, to-wit: the distance of fifteen feet to the ground beneath said bridge, and whereby the said horses were and became bruised, injured, wounded and maimed, and entirely worthless and valueless to said plaintiff; and whereby said wagon, team and property were broken, damaged, injured and destroyed, to-wit: on the day and year aforesaid, at the county of Tazewell aforesaid; and the said plaintiff, in consequence of such falling, bruises, wounds, maims, injuries and broken bones to himself and horses, and the damage and breaking of his said wagon, team and property so crossing along and over said bridge, and in and about the healing and curing of himself and horses, and repairing of his said wagon, team and property, was forced and obliged to pay, lay out and expend, and actually did lay out and expend divers large sums of money, amounting in all to a great sum of money, to-wit: the sum of one thousand dollars, to-wit: at the county of Tazewell aforesaid. To the damage of the plaintiff ten thousand dollars, and therefore he brings suit, &c.

By WEAD & WILLIAMSON, Attorneys for Plaintiff.

15
The defendants demurred to the first count, and pleaded the general issue to the second count of said plaintiff's declaration. The Court overruled the demur to the first count, and the defendants filed pleas to the same, as follows:

14
1st. And the said defendants for plea to the first count of said plaintiff's declaration, say that they are not guilty in manner and form as stated in said count of said declaration, and of this they put themselves upon the country, &c.

PURPLE for Defendants.

Davidson

8 Dec 1898 R 428.

The Bridge Co. voluntarily permitted the Rail Road to be constructed & thereby increased the danger & should have increased their precautions to have guarded against the increased danger.

That under the general issue all was admitted that could have been under the plea.

2d. And for further plea the said defendants say as to the first count of said declaration *actio non*, because they say that on the 12th day of February, A. D. 1849, the General Assembly of the State of Illinois passed an Act entitled "An Act to incorporate the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company;" and on the 10th day of February, 1851, the said General Assembly passed "An Act to amend an Act entitled 'An Act to incorporate the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company, approved February 12, 1849;'" and that on the 22d day of June, A. D. 1852, the said General Assembly passed an Act entitled "An Act to amend an Act entitled 'An Act to amend an Act entitled 'An Act to incorporate the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company, approved February 10th, 1851,'" which said several Acts are as follows, to-wit:

(See Bill of Exceptions.)

15 to 18

And the said defendants aver that the said several Acts, since their passage as aforesaid, have been and still are in full force and unrepealed; and the said defendants further aver that after the passage of the said several Acts, and long before the committing of the several supposed grievances in the said first count of the said plaintiff's declaration mentioned, to-wit: on the first day of January, A. D. 1852, at the county of Peoria, to-wit: at the county of Marshall aforesaid, the said Peoria & Oquawka Railroad Co. had been, was and still is duly organized according to law, and ever since their said organization under the laws aforesaid have existed as a corporation, under the name and style of the "Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company;" and that as such company they had full power and lawful authority to locate and construct a Railroad from Peoria, in the county of Peoria, Illinois, eastward on the most eligible route to the State line of the State of Indiana. And the said defendants aver that in the making and location of their said Railroad, the said Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company had full power and lawful authority to locate and construct the same over the land and near to and adjoining the bridge of the said defendants; and that before the committing of the said several grievances or supposed grievances in the first count of the plaintiff's declaration mentioned, to-wit: on the first day of January, A. D. 1852, at the county aforesaid, the said Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company had made, located and constructed their said Railroad upon, over and across the land of the said defendants, near to and adjoining the bridge of said defendants in said first count of said plaintiff's declaration mentioned; and at the place where, in the said count in said plaintiff's declaration mentioned, it is alleged and charged the said several grievances in said count mentioned were committed by the said defendants, as the said Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Co. might lawfully do; and the said defendants further aver that the said "Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Co." had then and there full power and lawful authority to run their said cars, engines and locomotives over and upon their said railroad, so by them located and constructed as aforesaid, either with or without the consent of the said defendants, and to propel the same by steam power, and to whistle and to make all the noise necessary for the transaction of the business of said Company and said Railroad; and that the noise, whistling and confusion in said count in said declaration mentioned, by which the team and horses of the said plaintiff became frightened and alarmed, as in said declaration mentioned, was made and done in the usual and ordinary course of the business of said company, in the management and operation of their said Railroad, and without the consent, knowledge or approbation of the said defendants, and without any power on their part to prevent, control, prohibit or restrain the same; and the said defendants aver that these are the same grievances complained of in the said first count of the said

plaintiff's declaration, and not other or different; and this the said defendants are ready to verify, wherefore they pray judgment, &c.

PURPLE for Defendants.

20-21

To this plea the plaintiff demurred. The Court sustained the demurrer; the defendants abided by the demurrer.

21

The defendants then filed the following additional plea to the first count of the plaintiff's declaration:

21

And for further plea in this behalf to the first count of the plaintiff's declaration the said defendants say that they did not suffer or permit the said companies, corporations or individuals, in said first count of said declaration mentioned, to erect or construct their said road at the time and place where, &c., in said declaration mentioned, as stated in said declaration, and of this they put themselves upon the country, &c.

PURPLE for Defendants.

Issue to the country.

BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.

Be it remembered, that upon the trial of this cause, the plaintiff, to maintain the issues on his part, called as a witness DAVID SLOANE, who testified as follows: I know the bridge across the Illinois River at Peoria. I was along at the time his (plaintiff's) horses backed off the bridge and injured them and himself. It was in November, 1856. The bridge (I think) was built in 1847. The horses took fright at a locomotive which was on the railroad track of the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad, which was located along the side and near to the bridge, and backed up against the railing, which was thereby broken down, and the horses and wagon of the plaintiff were precipitated to the ground, together with the plaintiff himself, some fifteen feet in distance, by means of which one of the plaintiff's horses was rendered nearly or quite useless, the other one stiffened and lamed, and the plaintiff seriously and dangerously wounded. There was a railing on the bridge at the place, constructed of posts about five inches square, which were attached to the sleepers of the bridge, about one half of the lower end of the posts being cut away and then nailed to the sleepers with large nails or spikes; these posts were nine feet apart, and every other one was braced on the outside by a brace from the top of the post extending to a cross timber of the bridge which extended beyond the railing and planks of the bridge. There was a string piece in the centre of the posts, running from one post to another, two inches by six in size, and another on the top of the posts about three inches by five, both nailed on. I do not know whether the nails of the posts were pulled out or the posts broken off. I cannot tell how close the horses were to the locomotive; they backed off very quick. The rails on the top were spliced between the posts by being nailed together, and were not strong. Only one of the posts broke when the wagon went over, and one part of the railing eighteen feet long went over with the post; the end posts did not give way. I did not see Loomis except at a distance the day of the accident; he was then walking with the assistance of a man on each side; his head was bloody. I did not see him again for two or three weeks. I do not think he has got over the injuries yet; he sometimes spits corrupted matter. The accident occurred four or five rods from the depot house toward Peoria. My horses were frightened at the same time. I knew the locomotive was there when I first came on the bridge—it was in plain sight. I could see it for a quarter of a mile before I came on to the bridge, but the locomotive was

25 to 70.

standing still. We waited to see it move, but it did not move; we went on. The bridge was safe to pass over if horses were not frightened or scared. Loomis whipped his horses several times after they were scared and before they went over the bridge. They were ordinarily gentle horses. The locomotive was about forty feet from plaintiff's horses' heads when they backed off. The horses would not have backed off if the railing had been strong enough to have prevented them. I did not consider the bridge safe, principally on account of the weakness of the railing. I did not see Loomis jerk his horses; I did see him strike them. I was paying particular attention to my own horses, which were also frightened, and was not paying particular attention to the plaintiff. I had long considered the bridge unsafe. There are holes in it, the railing was insufficient, and many of the posts which held it were loose and rotten. If the railing had been sufficient the accident would not have happened. Defendants have since built a new bridge and put on strong railing. The railing that was then on was not as good as ordinary railing on bridges.

ERI GRAY being sworn stated: I live in Tazewell county. Know and have often crossed the bridge. I was there on the bridge at the time the accident occurred. Loomis' team passed Sloane's at or near the depot; that there was a pile of lumber lying on the right hand side of the bridge, and a team of horses unhitched from the wagon. The lumber, team and wagon occupied about one-half the space of the bridge. The engine was about to start as Loomis passed the lumber. His horses' heads were turned towards the engine. They took fright at the engine and backed off the bridge. They had to back not more than eight or ten feet. There was a railing on the bridge constructed of posts cut into the string pieces 5 inches square with a cross piece 2 by six inches in the centre, and three by five inches at the top, with a brace at each bent, but none at the centre posts; cross pieces and braces were all nailed with nails from 10's to 20's. I don't know whether the posts broke off or the nails pulled out. The posts were nailed, some with three nails and some with one or two. It is my impression that the nails of the post that gave way were drawn out. The last I saw of Loomis he was standing up in the fore part of his wagon, and he struck his horses once. One horse was badly injured and has a running sore now. The other horse was not much injured. Loomis was taken up to Mr. Parker's injured and in great distress. He has not got over it yet. The horses went off the bridge 6 or 8 rods from the depot towards Peoria. Loomis's team was about sixty feet from the locomotive track. The track runs near the bridge, 40 to 45 feet off. There is no screen between the two—the railroad and the bridge. There was a timber string-piece on the side of the bridge on the top of the plank where the horses backed off the bridge, extending along the edge of the bridge, 10 or 12 inches square, and the plaintiff's wagon backed over the said timber string-piece. It was not over three or four seconds from the time the horses were frightened till they went off the bridge. I think said Loomis was so wrapped up in buffalo skins that he could not have got out of his wagon. The accident was occasioned by the steam of the engine frightening the horses. If the post which held the railing had been sufficient it would have stopped the horses from going over the bridge. I was close by the plaintiff at the time of the accident. I saw him standing up whipping his horses, but can't say whether it was with a stick, a whip, or the lines.

JOSEPH C. FRYE being sworn testified as follows: I am a physician and surgeon, and reside at Peoria, Illinois. In November, 1856, I was called on to visit the plaintiff. I found him at Joseph Parker's, in Peoria. He was cold, had great difficulty in breathing, and but little pulse. He had received a severe shock, was very much bruised about the face. His

upper jaw was broken on the right side, and he was badly bruised on the hip, breast, forehead and head, and had a severe contusion on the top of his head. His difficulty of breathing and want of pulse continued about 48 hours. He was in great pain, and I thought he would die. I consulted with other physicians and they thought so too. I attended him twenty days, during which time he was unable to go home. He paid me \$30 for my fees, &c. His jaw is not yet sound; he discharges corrupted matter from it. I do not think he is either mentally or physically the same he was before he received the injury. His jaw I think will not get well unless a surgical operation is performed on the same.

WASHINGTON COCKLE, also called by plaintiff, testified: I am Secretary of the Peoria Bridge Association. The said Association owned the bridge at the time the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad was built, and it is a toll bridge, and at the time of the accident to the plaintiff in November, 1856, [and at the time the Railroad was built said Association claimed about one hundred acres of land, which extended above the bridge and covered that portion of the road opposite to which the plaintiff's accident occurred on the bridge, and which land extended to low water mark on the east side of the Illinois river, including the bridge also;] (this evidence the defendants objected to and excepted to the opinion of the Court in admitting the same.) The witness then at request of the plaintiff's counsel produced the minute book of the Peoria Bridge Association, and plaintiff offered to read from the same an order under date of October 8, 1853, which is as follows: "On motion of Mr. Curtenius, Ordered that the President and Secretary execute a release of the right of way over the lands of this Association in Tazewell county to the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company for the purpose of the road of said Company, reserving for the use of this Association the timber upon said land." To this evidence the defendants objected as irrelevant and incompetent. The Court admitted the evidence and the defendants then and there excepted to the opinion of the Court. Witness further stated that the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad was located before the passage of said resolution at the place where it is now built and where the plaintiff's horses took fright, before the above recited order was made, and the Peoria Bridge Association were not consulted at all in relation to this location; they never received any compensation for the right of way, and made no objection to the railroad being located where it is; they claimed the land and had their bridge on it when the railroad was located.

The plaintiff then offered in evidence an Act of the General Assembly of the State of Illinois, entitled

Approved January 16, 1847.

And also an Act of the said General Assembly entitled

Approved June 19, 1852.

Which said Acts are as follows, to-wit:

An Act to authorize the construction of a bridge across the Illinois River.

SECTION 1. Be it enacted by the people of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly, that William L. May and his associates, and his and their heirs and assigns, be and they are hereby authorized to build a toll bridge across the Illinois river at the outlet of Lake Peoria, at the place where said May now keeps his ferry, and to have, keep, maintain and enjoy the same.

SEC. 2. Said May and his associates, and his or their heirs and assigns, are hereby authorized to erect as many piers of stone or other material in the bed of said river as may be necessary for the support and construction of said bridge, *Provided* a span of at least seventy-five feet wide from pier to pier, and embracing the principal channel of the river, be left and always kept open for the passage of all craft navigating said river.

SEC. 3. The rates of toll for crossing said bridge shall be the same as the said May is authorized to receive at his ferry.

SEC. 4. The proprietors of said bridge shall always keep the same in good repair, so as to admit of convenient and safe passage for all persons and their property on payment of the tolls.

SEC. 5. In case the said bridge shall be destroyed by freshets or other accidents, and the same shall not be rebuilt or repaired in reasonable time, the charter hereby granted shall cease and be at an end.

SEC. 6. If said May and his associates, their heirs or assigns, shall fail to commence said bridge within three years, or shall fail to finish the same within five years from the passage of this Act, then the privilege hereby granted shall cease and be at an end.

SEC. 7. Any person passing over said bridge with a horse or carriage or other vehicle in a faster gait than a walk shall be subject for each and every such offence to a fine of five dollars, to be recovered before any justice of the peace in either of the counties of Tazewell or Peoria, in an action of debt for the use of the proprietors, *Provided*, that a copy of this section shall be kept fixed up in large letters in a conspicuous place at each end of said bridge.

SEC. 8. If any person shall willfully or negligently do or cause to be done any injury to said bridge, the person or persons so offending shall forfeit and pay to the proprietor or proprietors of the same three times the amount of said injury, to be recovered by action of debt or action on the case before any justice of the peace or other court having jurisdiction of the same.

SEC. 9. The said bridge shall be deemed a public highway, within the meaning of the laws providing for the punishment of persons injuring or obstructing or destroying public highways or bridges in any manner or by any means whatever.

SEC. 10. The proprietors of said bridge are hereby authorized to erect gates as well as a house or houses at either end of said bridge for the collection of tolls.

SEC. 11. This Act to be in force from and after its passage.

Approved January 26th, 1847.

An Act in addition to an Act entitled "An Act to authorize the construction of a bridge across the Illinois River," approved January 26th, 1847.

SECTION 1. Be it enacted by the people of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly, That said corporation shall be known by the name and style of the Peoria Bridge Association, and by that name and style shall be capable of suing and being sued, pleading and being impleaded, answering and being answered unto in all courts and places whatsoever.

SEC. 2. The mode of serving process in any suit or proceeding against said corporation shall be by delivering a copy of the writ or process to the Secretary of said corporation at least ten days before the time of sitting of the court to which the same shall be made returnable.

SEC. 3. The said corporation shall have the right to extend said bridge on the present road as laid out for a distance of one mile from where the said bridge now terminates, either by trestle work or by throwing up the earth and planking the same; *Provided* that said corporation shall not demand or receive any more tolls than is now allowed by the charter to which this is an amendment.

SEC. 4. This Act to take effect from and after its passage.

Approved June 19th, 1852.

The bridge was built under the authority of the said Acts, by the Defendants.

The Plaintiff next called JOSEPH PARKER, who stated,—I live in Peoria, Loomis came to my house, in November 1856, badly hurt and wounded. He remained about three weeks. He paid me \$20 00, for taking care of him. I saw the bridge on Monday after the accident, the railing had been broken; there was a board nailed over the railing where it had been broken. I saw the Plaintiff's horses and wagon. One of the horses was badly wounded in the shoulder, and is not well yet. The wagon, except the wheels, was pretty much broken up; harness also. The other horse appeared to be lame and stiff. I examined the railing and posts of the bridge; about one third of them were loose at the bottom; some were rotten, and some I could push off with my hands. I did not consider the bridge safe. The team of the Plaintiff was a gentle, ordinarily quiet team, and the Plaintiff was a prudent and careful driver, so far as I know. I think the timber on the side of the bridge, on the top of the plank, was about 6 inches thick and 10 inches wide, and was lying on the flat side.

HENRY PRICE, called by the Plaintiff, stated,—I am a veterinary surgeon. I doctored Loomis' horses in November 1856; one was badly cut in the shoulder, the other lame in the back; one never got well, he was worth \$100 00 before he was injured. Loomis paid me forty dollars for doctering his horses, it was worth that sum. I did not consider the bridge safe; there were holes in it sometimes where a horse might get his foot through.

Plaintiff next called NATHANIEL BROWN, who stated,—I live in Tazewell County, three fourths of a mile from Loomis'. Loomis drives horses as well as common men. He is a careful and prudent driver, and his horses were as gentle as horses ordinarily are. Since his accident, he is not able to perform near as much labor as he could before.

Plaintiff then called IRA PRATT, who stated,—I live in Tazewell County, three-fourths of a mile from Plaintiff. I knew his horses, they went well enough,—were gentle. I saw his son ride and drive; and his daughters, from 11 to 15 years of age, were accustomed to ride one of them. I mended Loomis' wagon after the accident occurred, for which he paid me \$20 00.

Here the Plaintiff rested.

The Defendant then called O. CHAUNTE, who testified,—I live in Peoria, and am a civil

engineer, by occupation. The Rail Road, as appears by the books and records of the Peoria and Oquawka Rail Road Company, was located in 1851 and 1852. In July 1854, when I came to Peoria, the tressel work of the road where Plaintiff's accident happened, was up. The location of the road at that place is an eligible one, and there is no other proper or eligible location than the one then and now occupied by said road. In order to raise the bluff and get on to the highlands East, it was necessary for the railroad to keep up Farm Creek; and the road could not cross the river at Peoria at any other place, without great additional expense, and considerable more distance. There was a necessity of crossing the bridge at some place, and the line where the said road is located, crosses at a point where it is less likely to interfere with the travel over it, than at any other place. I was present at the time of the Plaintiff's accident, about 500 feet off. My attention was first attracted by the noise of the horses' feet upon the bridge. Same time I saw the engine moving slowly, it moved about 4 feet; steam was escaping from the cylinder. The horses of Plaintiff jumped, and backed some. Loomis, the Plaintiff, stood up in his wagon and whipped his horses once or twice, then sawed their heads with the lines. They then cramped the wagon round, and backed against the railing of the bridge. The railing snapped, and the hind wheels of the wagon went over, the reach hung poising upon the edge of the bridge about 5 seconds; the seat slipped back; Plaintiff fell down first, then the wagon, then one horse, and then the other. There was time for the Plaintiff, (if he had presence of mind) after the hind wheels were over the bridge, to have got out of the wagon upon the bridge. It was about a minute and a half after the horses took fright, that they went off the bridge. The team being frightened by the locomotive was the cause of the accident. The team was about seventy feet from the engine when the accident occurred. It was fifty-five feet from the outside of the bridge to the railroad track. The posts of the railing of the bridge which I saw, were halved into the sleepers with a dovetail, and the top pieces and the top railing were mortised on; and the bridge was safe and strong for all ordinary use. The railing was made of upright posts, 5 inches square, attached to the sleepers, braced on the outside at every other post, with a railing 2 by 6 inches in the centre, and 3 by 5 inches at the top, and 3½ feet high. I think Loomis, the Plaintiff, managed his horses with all the judgment and prudence that a man in his situation would be likely to do. But he sawed their heads while they were backing, and while the wagon was poised upon the bridge, after the hind wheels had gone over. The top railing at this place was not sound; it was dozy; but outwardly it being weather beaten, there was nothing to indicate unsoundness. After it was broken, it showed that it was partially decayed. But one of the posts were broken off at the time of the accident. There was no brace to this post; but there were braces to the two on each side, nine feet distant from this one: neither of which were broken or thrown off. The braces were at each bent, when the timbers projected beyond the planks of the bridge. The string piece or timber on the edge of the plank where the horses backed the wagon off, was twelve inches square, and the wagon was backed over the timber.

GEORGE RODGERS, called by Defendant, and testified,—I am a carpenter and bridge-builder, and have been so for more than seven years. I saw the Plaintiff's wagon going over the bridge at the time of the accident. I was about 20 feet from the bridge, on the lower side. The wagon, team and Plaintiff came off that side. I considered the bridge a safe bridge for the passage of teams. The railing is as good as is generally put on bridges. I have been at work about and near the bridge, about a year, for Cruger, Secor & Co. When I first saw the wagon of Plaintiff's, it was nearly against the railing. Plaintiff was stand-

ing up. From the time I first saw it in this position, five or six seconds of time elapsed before it went off the bridge. Plaintiff was pulling on the lines. The wagon was poised on the bridge, after the hind wheels were over, 4 or 5 seconds. I think the Plaintiff might have jumped out on the bridge. I think he was pulling the horses; do n't remember of his striking them. None of the posts were broken. The railing appeared sound on the outside, but upon examination, on the inside it had commenced decaying. I built two bridges within the past year, about 200 feet long, each; and put upon them the same kind of railing as was on this bridge.

Defendants then called HENRY MAXWELL, who testified,—I saw the the accident. I was standing at the engine. The hind wheels of the wagon, when I first noticed it, were against the railing of the bridge, and Loomis, the Plaintiff, was pulling back on the lines, and when the hind wheels hit the railing, it snapped, and over they went. The wagon, after the hind wheels were over, stopped five or six seconds on the bridge, before it finally went over. I was about two hundred feet off at the time. I was baggage master on the railroad. The engine was hauling up iron and tin. The Plaintiff's horses took fright at the engine. There were timbers lying along on the top of the bridge, on the planks, on both sides. The bridge was safe for all ordinary travel. Large loads and teams were daily in the habit of crossing it.

HIRAM BUNN, called by Defendant, testified,—I was standing on the bridge, thirty or forty feet from the Plaintiff's team, at the time the accident occurred. The team was frightened at the engine, and backed off the bridge. Plaintiff was jerking his horses, and slapping them with the lines. It was a minute or over, after the horses were frightened, before they backed off. The bridge was safe if teams were not frightened. Other teams passed over safely. Loomis had time to get out of his wagon, after the horses were frightened, before the wagon was backed off the bridge. I have often seen men with teams, when an engine was passing, get out and hold their horses by the heads; I have seen a great many do so. I think Loomis had time to jump from his wagon, on to the bridge, after the hind wheels were over the edge of the bridge: I said at the time, he was foolish for not doing so. I saw him pulling on the lines before the wagon struck the railing. I am a bridge builder and carpenter by occupation.

The Defendant then read in evidence an Act of the General Assembly, entitled "An Act to incorporate the Peoria & Oquawka Rail Road Company," approved February 12, 1849; and also an Act entitled, "An Act to amend an Act entitled an Act to incorporate the Peoria & Oquawka Rail Road Company, approved February 12, 1849," approved February 10, 1851. And also an Act entitled, "An Act to amend an Act entitled an Act to amend an Act entitled an Act to incorporate the Peoria & Oquawka Rail Road Company, approved February 10, 1851," Approved June 22, 1852. Which said several Acts are as follows, to wit:

Section 1. Be it enacted by the people of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly; that all such persons as shall become Stockholders, agreeably to the provisions of this Act, in the corporation hereby enacted, shall be, and are hereby constituted and declared a body corporate and politic, by the name of the "Peoria and Oquawka Rail Road Company;" and by that name shall have succession for the term of fifty years from and after the passage of this Act, and may by said name sue and be sued, complain and defend in any court of law or equity; may have and use a common seal; and alter or renew the same at pleasure; may make by-laws, rules and regulations for the management of its

property, the regulation of its affairs and for the transfer of its stock, not inconsistent with the laws and constitution of this State, or of the United States; and may moreover appoint such subordinates, agents, officers and servants, as the business of said corporation may require, and allow them a suitable compensation, prescribe their duties, and require bond for the faithful performance thereof, in such penal sums, and with securities as they may choose, who shall hold their office during the pleasure of a majority of the Directors of the said corporation.

Sec. 2. The said corporation shall have the right to survey, locate and construct, and during its continuance to maintain and continue a Railroad, with single or double track, and with such appendages as may be deemed necessary for the convenient use of the same, from the town of Peoria, on the Illinois river, in the county of Peoria, to the town of Oquawka, on the Mississippi river, in the county of Henderson, and to locate and construct the same on such line, course or way, as may be designated and selected by the Directors of said corporation, whereon to construct and make the same; and may also prescribe the manner in which said railroad may be used; by what force the carriages to be used thereon may be propelled; to regulate the time and manner in which goods, effects and passengers may be transported and carried on the same, and the rates of toll on the transportation of persons or property thereon. And it shall also be lawful for the said corporation to unite with any other Railroad Company already incorporated, or which may be incorporated, upon such terms and at such points and parts of the said Rail Roads, as may be agreed upon by the Directors of said companies: And also to construct such other and lateral routes as may be necessary to connect them with any other route or routes which may be deemed expedient, under the same privileges and restrictions as are herein contained.

Sec. 3. The capital stock of said corporation shall be Five Hundred Thousand Dollars, which shall be deemed personal property, and shall be divided into shares of one hundred dollars each. The said Capital Stock may at any time hereafter be increased to a sum not exceeding One Million Dollars, if the same shall be judged necessary, to be subscribed for and taken under the direction of the Directors of said corporation, whenever they shall cause one or more books to be opened for the purpose, and in such manner as may be prescribed by the by-laws of the said corporation.

Sec. 4. The following named persons, to wit: Alex. Turnbull, James W. Davidson, and Wyatt B. Stapp, of Warren County; Preston Martin, John McKinney, and Robert M. Patterson, of Henderson County; Samuel B. Anderson, James Knox, and David Meek, of Knox County; and William S. Moss, Alfred G. Curtenius, and Isaac Underhill, of Peoria County, shall be deemed Commissioners for receiving subscriptions to the Capital Stock of said Corporation; who shall within twelve months after the passage of this Act, cause books to be opened at such places as they may deem proper, for receiving subscriptions to the said Capital Stock. Notice of the times and places when and where the said books will be opened, to be given by publication, (for at least thirty days previous to opening the same,) in some public newspaper, printed and published in each of such places. On opening said books, the Commissioners shall attend, by themselves or agents, and continue to receive subscriptions to the Capital Stock of said Corporation, from all persons who will subscribe thereto, until the whole amount thereof shall have been subscribed, when the said books shall be closed. Each subscriber shall at the time of subscribing, pay to the Commissioners, the sum of Five Dollars on each share of the stock subscribed for by him. And the said Commissioners shall, as soon as the Directors of said Corporation are elected, deliver to them the

whole amount so received, and also all subscription books and papers belonging to said Company. A majority of the Commissioners above named may fill any vacancies that may occur in their number by death, resignation or otherwise.

Sec. 5. The affairs of said Corporation shall be managed by a Board of nine Directors, to be chosen annually by the stockholders, from among themselves. The first election for Directors shall be holden as soon as may be after the Stock has been subscribed. The Commissioners shall give notice of the time and place at which a meeting of the Stockholders will be held for the choice of Directors, and at the time and place appointed for that purpose, the Commissioners, or a majority of them shall attend and act as inspectors of said election. The Stockholders who shall be present either in person or by proxy, shall proceed by ballot, to elect their Directors; and the Commissioners present, shall certify the result of said election under their hands; which certificate shall be recorded in the books of the Corporation, and shall be sufficient evidence of the election of the Directors therein named. All future elections shall be held at the time and in the manner prescribed by the by-laws and regulations of said corporation. Each stockholder shall be entitled to vote in person, or by proxy duly authorized, one vote for every share he may own at the commencement of each election, and a plurality of votes shall determine the choice. But no stockholder shall be allowed to vote at any election after the first, for any stock which shall have been assigned to him within thirty days previous to the day of holding such election.

Sec. 6. It shall be lawful for the Directors to require payment to the subscriptions to the Capital Stock, at such times, and in such proportions, and on such conditions as they shall deem proper, under the penalty of the forfeiture of the stock, and all previous payments thereon; and they shall give notice of the payments thus required, and of the place where, and of the time when the same are required to be paid, at least thirty days previous to the time of requiring said payments, by publication in at least three public newspapers, printed in the State.

SEC. 7. The said corporation is hereby empowered to purchase, receive and hold such real estate as may be necessary and convenient in accomplishing the objects for which this incorporation is granted, and may by their agents, engineers and surveyors enter upon and take possession of and use all such lands and real estate as may be necessary and indispensable for the construction and maintenance of said railroad and the appendages and accommodations requisite and appertaining thereto; and may also receive, take and hold all such voluntary grants and donations of land and real estate as shall be made to the said corporation for the purposes aforesaid. But whenever any lands, real estate or materials shall be taken and appropriated by said corporation for the location or construction of said railroad or its appendages, or any work appertaining thereto, and the same shall not be given or granted to said corporation, or the proprietor or proprietors do not agree with said corporation as to the amount of damage or compensation which ought to be allowed and paid therefor, or shall not mutually agree on some person or persons to appraise the same, the damages shall be estimated in the manner following: The said corporation or the owner or owners of said lands may, on giving notice of their intended application and the time and place of making the same, apply by petition to the Judge of the Circuit Court of the county in which said lands may lie, or in the absence of the said Judge from the said county then to the senior County Commissioner of said county, or the County Judge of said county, particularly describing in said petition the lands to be appraised, and upon proof that reasonable notice has been

given as directed, the said Judge, or in case of his absence as aforesaid the said senior County Commissioner shall, on hearing the said petition, appoint three disinterested persons, freeholders and residents of the county in which said lands may be situate, as commissioners for the purpose of assessing such damages, and the order in which they are appointed shall specify the lands proposed to be appropriated and occupied by said corporation for the purposes aforesaid. The said appraisers, after being duly sworn before some officer legally authorized to administer oaths honestly and impartially to assess such damages, shall proceed by viewing said lands, and by such other evidence as the parties may produce before them, to ascertain and assess the damages which such owner will sustain by the appropriation of his land for the purposes aforesaid, over and above the benefit and advantage which said commissioners shall adjudge will accrue to such owner or owners by the construction of said railroad. The said appraisers shall make report in writing to the said Judge of the Circuit Court, reciting the order of their appointment and specifying the several parcels of land described therein; the names of the owner or owners of the respective parcels if known, stating that fact, and specifying also the damages which the owner of the respective parcels will sustain by reason of the appropriation of the same for the purposes aforesaid; which said report shall be filed in the office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court of the county in which said lands may lie. In case either of the parties is dissatisfied with said assessment, the said Judge may at the next term of the Circuit Court in said county, on hearing the parties in interest, or if both shall not appear on proof of notice being given to the opposite party, modify the said assessment as to him shall appear just. At the said term of the Circuit Court holden next after the filing of said report as aforesaid, a record shall be made of the said report, with the order of the Court thereon, accepting or modifying the same. On payment of the damages, if any, then assessed, and the expenses of the assessment, the said corporation shall immediately become seized and possessed of the said land and property, and entitled to the use of the same for the purposes aforesaid.

SEC. 8. When the lands or any other property or estate of any married woman, infant or person *non compos mentis* shall be necessary for the construction of the said railroad or its appendages, the husband of such married woman or the guardian of such infant or person *non compos mentis* may release all damages in relation to the land, property or estate to be taken and appropriated as aforesaid, as fully as they might do if the same were holden in their own right respectively, or the husband or guardian of any such person whose property shall be taken may appear and act for and in their behalf in obtaining an assessment of the damages to the same under this Act. And in case any such infant or person *non compos mentis* whose property may be taken as aforesaid shall be without guardian, the Judge of the Circuit Court or the Commissioners shall have the power to appoint a guardian *ad litem* to act in behalf of such person.

SEC. 9. Whenever it shall be necessary under this Act of Corporation for the construction of a single or double track railroad, as herein authorized, to intersect or cross any stream of water, or any public road or highway, it shall be lawful for the said corporation to construct across or upon the same; *Provided* the said corporation shall restore said stream or water course or public road or highway thus intersected to its former state, or in a sufficient manner not to materially impair its usefulness.

SEC. 10. If any person shall willfully or negligently do or cause to be done any act or acts whatever whereby the said road, or any building or construction or work of said corporation,

or any engine, machine or structure, or any matter or thing appertaining to the same shall be stopped, obstructed, weakened or impaired, injured or destroyed, the person or persons so offending shall forfeit and pay to said corporation treble the amount of damages sustained by reason of such offence, to be recovered with costs of suit in the name of said corporation, by action of debt, and such offender or offenders shall also be deemed guilty of misdemeanor, and be subject to indictment and punishment as in other cases.

SEC. 11. For the purposes of facility in the construction of the railroad authorized in this Act, the said corporation shall be permitted to negotiate a loan or loans of money to the amount of its capital stock, and pledge all of its rights, credits and franchises for the payment thereof.

SEC. 12. It shall be the duty of the corporation hereby created, when the railroad contemplated by this Act shall have been completed, to keep and maintain the same in good condition and repair, and whenever from any cause whatever the same shall become injured and out of repair the said corporation shall immediately proceed to repair the same, under a penalty of the forfeiture of the amount of all damages caused by such want of repair in said road.

SEC. 13. This Act shall be deemed and considered a public Act, and shall be favorably and justly constructed for all purposes therein expressed and declared, in all courts and places whatever.

SEC. 14. The railroad contemplated herein to be completed within ten years from the passage of this Act.

Approved February 12th, 1849.

An Act to amend an Act entitled "An Act to incorporate the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company," approved February 12, 1847.

SECTION 1. Be it enacted by the people of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly, That the company incorporated under the said Act to which this is an amendment shall be required to construct said road through the towns of Farmington, Fulton county, Knoxville in Knox county, and Monmouth in Warren county, and that they shall establish depots in each of said towns and places; *Provided*, however, that nothing in this act shall be construed as to prevent said company from having stations at other points or places for the reception or discharge of goods, produce, stock or other commodities.

SEC. 2 Said company are hereby authorized to acquire and hold so much of the grade of the Peoria and Warsaw Railroad as they may deem necessary, and may employ and use the same in the construction of their said road, and if said grades and right of way should belong to the State of Illinois, the said company may purchase the same from the Governor of this State, upon such terms as they shall agree upon, and such contract if made shall be binding upon both parties.

SEC. 3. The said company is authorized to organize and proceed in the construction of said road under the restrictions, conditions and limitations contained in said Act to which this is an amendment, whenever the sum of one hundred thousand dollars is bona fide subscribed and five per cent. thereof actually paid in.

SEC. 4. The said company is hereby authorized to build a branch of said road in the same manner as the main track is to be erected hereby, to commence at or west of Monmouth, thence to the Mississippi River at or above Shokoken, in Henderson county.

SEC. 5. The commissioners named in the Act to which this is an amendment, together with Asa D. Reed, of Fulton county, Wm. J. Phelps, Rudolphus Rouse, Peter Sweat and Joshua P. Hotchkiss, of Peoria county, who are hereby made commissioners, shall exercise the same powers and duties under this Act as are enjoined upon them in said former Acts.

SEC. 6. The said road contemplated herein to be completed within ten years from the passage of this Act.

SEC. 7. This Act to take effect from and after its passage.

Approved February 10, 1851.

An Act to amend an Act entitled "An Act to amend an Act entitled 'An Act to incorporate the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company,'" approved February 10, 1851.

SEC. 1. Be it enacted by the people of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly, That the capital stock of the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company is hereby increased to the sum of thirty-five hundred thousand dollars; and the stockholders of said company and their successors shall have perpetual succession by the name and style aforesaid, and shall have and enjoy all the rights and privileges heretofore or herein granted to said company by said General Assembly.

Sec. 2. The acts of the Commissioners for receiving subscriptions to the Capital Stock of said Company, and in the election of Directors, on the twentieth day of June, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty-one, are hereby declared to be legal and valid in law; any omission or imperfection in rotation to said organization to the contrary notwithstanding.

Sec. 3. The Directors of said Company are hereby empowered and authorized to locate said railroad on the most direct, eligible and feasible route from Peoria to Knoxville, without reference to Farmington: Provided that said Company shall build a branch of said railroad to Farmington, and shall establish a depot there.

Sec. 4. The said Directors are also empowered and authorized to locate a branch of said railroad, provided for in the fourth section of the act to which this is an amendment, according to the survey already made thereof, and to fix the termination of said branch on the Mississippi river, at a point opposite to, or above or below the City of Burlington, in the State of Iowa; which termination shall be within two miles of said point, opposite said City of Burlington, Iowa.

Sec. 5. The said Company shall have the right of way upon, and may appropriate to its sole use and control, for the purposes and uses of said railroad Company, any land belonging to the State of Illinois, not exceeding two hundred feet in width, whenever any such land may be situated along said railroad, for its entire length, as well as along its branches; and may enter upon and take possession of, and use all and singular any land, streams and materials of any kind, belonging to said State, for the location of depots and stopping stages; for the purpose of constructing bridges, dams, embankments, excavations, station grounds, spoil

banks, turnouts, engine houses, shops and other buildings necessary for the construction, completing, altering, maintaining, preserving, and complete operation of said road; and all such lands, waters, materials and privileges are hereby forever granted to said Company, for said purposes.

Sec. 6. Said Company are hereby authorized and empowered to make and establish a ferry across the Mississippi river, at the termination of the branch west of Monmouth, to such point on the Iowa shore of said river, as said Company may be authorized or required to land at. Said Company are also authorized and empowered to make and establish a ferry across the Illinois river, from any ground owned by them in the City of Peoria, to the opposite shore of said Illinois river, in Tazewell County. They are hereby authorized to make and use all necessary boats and apparatus of all and every description whatsoever, advantageous and necessary for said Company, at either or both of said ferries, and propel the same by horse, steam, or any other mode or power that to said Company may seem best: provided that said Company shall not use said ferries, or allow the same to be used for any other purpose than those connected with, or arising out of said railroad.

Sec. 7. Said Company shall have authority and power to improve all or either of their landings, at either or both of said ferries, by building a pier or piers, or making embankments into either or both of said rivers; provided that any such improvement does not interfere with the free navigation of either of said rivers.

Sec. 8. If any person shall wilfully or negligently do or cause to be done any injury to said ferries, or to any boat or appurtenance thereunto belonging, the person or persons so offending, shall forfeit and pay to said Company, three times the amount of such injury; to be recovered by action of debt, or action on the case before any Justice of the Peace, or any other Court having jurisdiction of the same. The said ferries shall be deemed public highways, within the meaning of the laws providing for the punishment of persons injuring, obstructing or destroying public highways or bridges, in any manner, or by any means whatever.

Sec. 9. The said Company are hereby authorized to continue said railroad from Peoria, on the most eligible route eastward, to a point on the Indiana state line, which shall not be more than twenty miles either north or south of a due east and west line, that would run through Lafayette, Indiana. And the same powers and privileges for construction, and maintaining this extension, and for connecting the same with other roads, as is contained in the original charter, is hereby conferred upon said Company in relation to this extension.

Sec. 10. That the Peoria and Oquawka Rail Road Company shall have power to borrow money on the credit of the Company, not exceeding its authorized Capital Stock, at a rate of interest not exceeding ten per cent. per annum, payable semi-annually, and may execute bonds therefor, with interest coupons thereto annexed, and secure the payment of the same by mortgage, or deed of trust, on the whole or any part of the road, property and income of the Company, then existing or thereafter to be acquired; and may annex to such mortgage bonds, the privilege of converting the same into the Capital Stock of the Company, at par, at the option of the holders, if such election be signified in writing to the Company, three years before the maturity of said bonds.

Sec. 11. That the Directors of said Company be, and are hereby authorized to negotiate

and sell the bonds of said Company, at such times and at such places, either within or without this State, and at such rates, and for such price as in their opinion will best advance the interests of the Company; and if such bonds are thus negotiated or sold at a discount, below their par value, such sale and disposition thereof shall be as valid and binding on the Company, in every respect, as if they were sold or disposed of at their par value.

Sec. 12. That the said Company, in securing the payment of said bonds by a mortgage or deed of trust, on the road, property and income of the Company, shall have power to execute a mortgage or deed of trust as aforesaid, to secure the payment of the full amount of bonds which the Company may at the time said deed of trust or mortgage bears date, or at any time thereafter, desire to sell and dispose of; and may execute, and sell from time to time. such amounts of said bonds, and of such dates, and payable to such person or persons as to the Directors of said Company may seem advisable, till the whole amount of bonds mentioned in such mortgage or deed of trust is executed and sold; and the said mortgage or deed of trust shall be as valid and effectual to secure the payment of the bonds so executed and sold, and of every part thereof, as if the same and every part thereof had been executed of even date with the said deed of trust or mortgage.

SEC. 13. This Act to be in force from and after its passage.

Approved June 22, 1852.

The plaintiff objected to the admission of this evidence, but the Court overruled the objection and admitted the same, and the plaintiff's counsel then and there excepted to the opinion of the Court admitting the same. This was all the evidence. The Court at the request of the plaintiff's counsel instructed the jury as follows:

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PLAINTIFF.

Correct Given. The defendants were bound in law to keep their bridge in good repair, so as to admit of the *convenient* and *safe* passage of all persons with their property, and if the jury believe from the evidence that the bridge was not in such repair as to render the passage thereof *safe* at the time the injury happened to the plaintiff, the defendants are liable for such injury if it was occasioned by the bridge so being unsafe if the plaintiff used reasonable care.

Correct Given. 2. The defendants were bound to keep their bridge in such repair as to render it reasonably safe from the consequence of *such accidents* as might be justly expected to occasionally occur thereon; and if the jury believe from the evidence that the want of such repair co-operated to produce the injury in this case, the defendants are liable, if plaintiff used reasonable care.

Correct Given. 3. The defendants were bound to keep their bridge in such repair as to render it safe against such accidents as might reasonably be supposed to occur, and if the jury find in this case that the bridge was not safe so as to protect the plaintiff from such injury, the defendants are liable if plaintiff used reasonable care.

Correct Given. 4. It is not sufficient that the bridge was safe for *gentle horses*; it must be so built and kept in repair as to reasonably protect persons from injury whose horses were not gentle and well trained, and so as to guard against such accidents as may be reasonably expected to occur.

5. If the jury believe from the evidence that the injury would not have happened if the bridge had been in suitable repair, and sufficiently strong to reasonably prevent the wagon from going over, then the defendants are liable if plaintiff used reasonable care.

6. The charter of the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad did not repeal that part of the bridge charter which requires them to keep their bridge in repair so as to render its passage safe; and they were bound in law to keep it in such condition as to admit of its *safe* passage at the time of the accident complained of in this cause.

7. The defendants had no right to place any obstruction on or near their bridge which would render its passage perilous or unsafe; nor had they a right to authorize or permit any one else to do so; and if they have done so they are liable if such obstruction caused the injury complained of, if the plaintiff used reasonable care.

8. If the defendants authorized the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company to build their road and run their engines thereon contiguous to the defendants' bridge, and on the defendants' land, and the running of such engines frightened the horses of the plaintiff and caused the injury complained of the defendants are liable, if the plaintiff used reasonable care.

9. Persons in positions of great peril are not required to exercise all the presence of mind and care of a prudent, careful man; the law makes allowances for them and leaves the circumstances of their conduct to the jury.

10. The jury may give such damages in this case, if they find for the plaintiff, as will fully compensate him for all the injuries he may have sustained by reason of the accident, including any moneys expended by him in curing himself and horses and repairing his wagon, the diminished value of his horse, and the injury occasioned to his person and intellect, and for his sufferings, pain, danger to his life and loss of time in consequence thereof.

11. If the jury believe that the defendants were guilty of criminal and gross negligence in not keeping their bridge in repair, then the jury may give exemplary damages, if they find for the plaintiff.

To the giving of which said instructions the defendants by their counsel then and there objected, and excepted to the opinion of the Court in giving the same.

The counsel for the defendants then requested the Court to instruct the jury as follows:

1st. That the law and the charter of the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company authorized said company to construct their railroad upon the most eligible route from Peoria to the Indiana State line, and that the question of eligibility in relation to the route was a question to be decided and determined alone by said company; and that if they had located and established their road at the place where the grievances complained of in the declaration occurred, as stated in the declaration, the plaintiff as against the defendants had no right to complain of said location.

2. That as far as the plaintiff is concerned it is wholly immaterial whether the defendants donated the land to the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company, or whether they gave said company the right of way for an agreed price, or their damages were assessed as provided by law.

3. That if the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company had, pursuant to law, located and

constructed their road over the land of the defendants, at the place where the alleged grievances in the plaintiff's declaration are supposed to have occurred, prior to the time of the happening of the same, and the injury to the plaintiff was occasioned by his horses being frightened by the noise of the cars, or the noise or whistling of a locomotive upon said railroad, the defendants are not liable in this action.

Refused as to all but the two first propositions.
 4. [That the owners of a toll bridge are not liable as common carriers; that they are not insurers of property passing over the same,] and are only bound to use reasonable care and diligence to keep their bridge in good repair so that ordinarily gentle teams may pass in safety over the same, and that they are not responsible for any injury arising from horses taking fright at a railroad, or engine running upon the same, when said road has been constructed under the authority of law, or under a charter from the General Assembly of this State.

Revised evidence shows horses quieted no evidence showing not guilty
 5. That the owners of a toll bridge are only bound to keep their bridge within its own limits in good repair, so as to afford a safe passage to ordinarily quiet teams, and to prudent and careful drivers; and that they are not responsible for any accident or injury which may occur by reason of any railroad, structure or other thing existing, set up or operated outside of the limits of such bridge, and which had been placed, or set up or operated there under the authority of any law of this State.

Substantially given in others
 6. If the jury believe that the plaintiff himself was grossly careless and negligent, and had sufficient opportunity to prevent the injury by leaving his wagon and holding his horses by the head while the engine was passing, and that he neglected to do so, and thereby the injury was occasioned, the plaintiff cannot recover.

X
Refused.
 7. That the owners of a bridge are not bound in law to construct any railing along the sides of the said bridge, to prevent frightened horses from running or backing off the same.

Refused.
 8. That if the jury believe from the evidence that the plaintiff knew or might have known that his horses were accustomed to become frightened at a locomotive, and if they further believe that the locomotive which frightened his horses was in plain sight for at least half a mile before he approached the same, and that as he approached he or any other person of ordinary understanding and prudence could plainly perceive that said locomotive had her steam up and ready to start, then the plaintiff would be guilty of gross carelessness and negligence if he drove his team up to within a few feet of said locomotive, and if they believe that the horses became frightened at such locomotive and the accident occurred in consequence thereof, under such circumstances the plaintiff cannot recover.

Given.
 9. That if the jury shall believe from the evidence that the railing of the bridge was not sound and sufficient, still it would not excuse the plaintiff from using reasonable care and diligence to avoid the accident, and if the jury shall believe from the evidence that the plaintiff did not use such reasonable care and diligence, they will find a verdict of not guilty.

Given.
 10. That if the jury believe from the evidence that the injury done to the plaintiff and his property was the result of the fault or negligence of the plaintiff, or the fault or negligence of both the plaintiff and defendant, without any intentional wrong on the part of the defendant, then the plaintiff cannot recover and the jury must find for the defendant.

11. That the burden of proof lies upon the plaintiff not only to prove that he himself

Given. used reasonable care to avoid the injury, but that the bridge was not sufficient to allow the plaintiff to pass over safely.

Given. 12. That notwithstanding the Jury may believe from the evidence that the defendant may have been in the wrong in giving the right of way to the Peoria and Oquawka Rail Road Company; still, if the Jury shall believe from the evidence that the Plaintiff might by using reasonable care and diligence, avoided the accident; he was bound to use reasonable care and diligence, and if the Jury believe from the evidence he did not do so, they will find a verdict for the Defendant.

Given. 13. That if the Jury believe from the evidence in this case that the Plaintiff is entitled to recover at all, he can only recover such damages as he has proved that he actually sustained; and that no vindictive or exemplary damages can be allowed, unless it is shown that the injury, (if any), to himself and property, was occasioned by some malicious act or acts of the Defendants.

The Court gave the instructions numbered 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13, and refused to give all the others numbered 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, except so much of number 4 which reads as follows: "*That the owners of a toll bridge are not liable as common carriers. That they are not insurers of property passing over the same.*"

To the decision of the Court in refusing to give all said instructions asked, the Defendants' counsel then and there excepted.

The Jury returned a verdict for the Plaintiff, for the sum of \$5,750 00.

The Defendants' counsel entered a motion for a new trial for the following reasons:

1. The verdict is against law and evidence.
2. The damages are excessive.
3. The Court gave improper instructions to the Jury at the request of the Plaintiff.
4. The Court refused proper instructions asked by the Defendants.
5. The Court admitted improper evidence offered by the Plaintiff.

PURPLE & POWELL,

For Defendant.

The Court overruled said motion, and the Defendants' counsel then and there excepted to the decision of the Court in overruling said motion, and requested the Court to sign and seal this bill of exceptions, which is done.

M. BALLOU, [SEAL.]

Judge of 23d Judicial Circuit, Ills.

The Errors assigned are,

1. Sustaining Plaintiff's demurrer to the Defendants' 2d Plea to 1st count of the Declaration.

2. Admitting improper evidence offered by Plaintiff, and rejecting proper evidence offered by Defendants.
3. Overruling Defendants' motion for a new trial.
4. Giving Plaintiff's and refusing Defendants' instructions.
5. Rendering Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff.

N. H. PURPLE,

Defendants' Attorney.

*Points - Same as assignment of
Errors*

Authorities

*6 Cowen 191. 6 Foku 90
9 Dana 403. 2 Crick 600
5 Rem 586 5 Ark 78
Angel on Highways 275 Cr. Leg.*

It was a part of the contract between
the state & company that they should
make a bridge constructed & safe for pas-
sage to authorize them to take toll.

106
Sylvanus J. Lewis
vs.
Pewee Bridge
Association

Paged
for Court

filed April 21, 1858

L. L. Mum

clerk

Storia Bridge apoculian,
vs
Lynan J Lewis }

Authorities for Defendant
Kelley vs Glover 15 Vermont 708
Huntal vs Power 9 do 411
Palmer vs Audover 2 Cushing 600
Halley vs Winoski Turnpike Co
1 Atkin (Vermont) Rep 74
The Company vs
Erie City vs Schwingle & Davis 384
Frankfort Bridge Co vs William J Dana 404

Devotion Wend & Williams
for defendant

Prin. Dodge
apoc. calia

vi
Lynman J. Lewis

Author list
for dependent

Peoria Bridge Company
 Charters in — 1847.
 Act Amended in — 1852.
 Bridge Built in 1848-9.

P & O R R. Co Charters in — 1849.
 Act Amended in — 1851
 — — — 1852

Rail Road Located at the
 place of the accident in — } 1852
 and was in use for Locomotives — 1855-

The B Co. Released Right of way
 to Rail R. Company — after the } 1853.
 location found time in }
 or passed a Resolution to that effect }

The accident to Plaintiff, below }
 happened in Dec. — } 1856.

The Plain Bridge Association } Appellant }
as }
Lyman J. Loomis } Appellee }

Points No.

1st

The Court below ought to have
overruled the Demurrer to the Defen-
dant's Plea to the 1st Count of the
Declaration

The Plea presents a full defence
to the Count. The Court is defec-
tive and does not set up a cause
of action.

2. Owners of Bridges are not
insured of property passing over
the same - They are not common
Carriers.

Authorities.

Harlow M. Harrington C. Cowen 191.
Townsend N. Sedgeborough Budget Co
C. John. 90.
9 Dana 403.
Palmer N. Andover. 2. South. 600.
Richardson N. Royallton &
Woodstock Lumber Co. } 5 Verm. 586.
Holley v Winoskie Lumber Co. ^{and} ~~But~~ Attkins. 78.
Angels on Highways - 275 - Et. seq.

3. Owners of Bridges are only bound to use reasonable diligence and reasonable care to keep the same in good Repair, and thereby afford safe and convenient passage over the same

The Authorities sustain this position

4th No case can be found where the Owner of a Bridge or Road have been held liable when the accident or injury was occasioned by third persons exercising a lawful business or engaged in lawful pursuits out side of the boundaries of the Road or Bridge.

Such a construction would destroy all Commerce - Enterprise - and public improvements.

Instances — How the accidents occurred. Bridges all safe to cross Except teams were alarmed.

Legislature have authorized the Construction of the Rail Road.

Not a nuisance —

Defendants are held accountable because they did not forcibly resist a legislative enactment.

5. There is nothing in the Bridge Charter Nor in any law requiring the Company to keep up any railing — Much left out which would resist the force or power of wild and frightened horses —

Object of A Railing
! See 5. Atkin. 78.

A contrary doctrine would make proprietors of Bridges its insurers or common carriers — The Bridge should be reasonably strong so that teams and

3

persons may pass in safety —
But not so safe as to render it im-
possible for a scared horse or a
drunken man, to get off it.

It is important too to bear in mind
the legal distinction which exists between
obstructions and impediments ly-
ing or permitted within the boundary
of the Road or Bridge — and which
the proprietors have authority to prevent
or prohibit, and those existing or oc-
curring out side of such boundary
and which the parties have no
power to prevent.

6th Instructions

No two ways about them, all one
 One side - 11 of them straight along
 Not more than one of them laid.

1st. Sent - Safe Bridge - Not very objec-
 tionable as a naked proposition
 but inapplicable & calculated to
 mislead. No point in it.

2. All wrong - "If want of repair co-operated
 to produce the injury" What can a jury
 tell about such an instruction.

3- Unmeaning - wholly - "accidents which
 might reasonably be supposed to occur"

4- "So built as to reasonably protect persons
 whose horses are not gentle & well trained"

5- "Reasonably prevent the waggon from
 going over"

7. "Obstruction on or near the Bridge"
Could not place one near it.

8. All wrong. "Contiguous to the Bridge"
How far off is that -

About as near as "Some boundless Con-
tiguity of Shade"

They would understand as
much about one, as the other.

Will low is that No Bridge Company can
permit a rail Road to be constructed
near enough to their Bridge, on their land
to scare a horse. If they do they are
liable.

9. Good. for an Abstraction.
No Application

10. Damages for injured intellect

11. Exemplary Damages.

Instructions

1. 2. 3. 4. 5— are so palpably low
that I never could understand the rea-
son of their refusal.—

6. The refusal of this instruction is
inexplicable.

8. also.

7 Point. Damages Executive
\$5750.00.

The Maximum Value of Human life as
fixed by the Legislature is only \$5000.!!

106

Peoria Bridge
Association
vs
Boon's

brief

Filed May 4, 1858

L. Leland
clerk

LYMAN J. LOOMIS, } IN THE SUPREME COURT
 vs. } OF THE
 THE PEORIA BRIDGE } STATE OF ILLINOIS,
 ASSOCIATION. } APRIL TERM, A. D. 1858.

APPEAL FROM MARSHALL COUNTY.

This is an action of Trespass on the case, commenced in Tazewell and by change of venue sent to Marshall County, where it was tried at January term, 1858.

The declaration contains two counts, which are as follows:

DECLARATION.

LYMAN J. LOOMIS,
vs.
THE PEORIA BRIDGE ASSOCIATION,
A CORPORATION, &c. } CIRCUIT COURT,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TAZEWELL,
APRIL TERM THEREOF, A. D. 1857.

STATE OF ILLINOIS, }
COUNTY OF TAZEWELL, } ss.

Lyman J. Loomis, the plaintiff in this suit, complains of the Peoria Bridge Association, a corporation created by and under the laws of the State of Illinois, passed on and since the 26th day of January, A. D. 1847, entitled "An Act to authorize the construction of a bridge across the Illinois River," and also an Act amendatory thereto, entitled "An Act in addition to an Act entitled an Act to authorize the construction of a bridge across the Illinois River approved January 26th, 1847," defendants in this suit, of a plea of trespass on the case; for that whereas before and at the time of the committing of the grievances hereinafter next mentioned the said defendants were the owners and possessors of a certain bridge across the Illinois River, extending from the city and county of Peoria across the Illinois River, in the county of Tazewell and State aforesaid, and were also the owners and possessors of certain lands adjacent thereto, and lying and adjoining their (the defendants') said bridge, and whereas all and every person was entitled, and of right, to cross and pass over, along and upon said bridge of said defendants aforesaid, on paying tolls therefor to said defendants; and said defendants were bound by law to keep their said bridge in good repair, so as to furnish a safe and convenient passage to all and every person and persons, and their teams, horses, wagons and property, on payment of the tolls aforesaid to said defendants; and said defendants were also bound by law, and of right should and ought to have kept their said lands and premises adjacent to and adjoining said bridge free and clear of and from any and all cars, locomotives, railroad tracks, fixtures, steam engines, and free and clear of and from the running, noise, confusion, whistling and alarm, on, over and upon their said lands and premises so adjoining, adjacent and next contiguous to their said bridge, whereby the horses, teams and property of any and all persons crossing over, along and upon said bridge of said defendants could become frightened and alarmed, and run or back off, through or over said bridge. Yet the said defendants, not regarding their duty in this behalf, willfully, negligently and carelessly suffered and permitted divers persons, corporations and railroad companies to lay down their certain railroad track on the land and premises of and belonging to

said defendants, adjacent to, next adjoining and contiguous to their said bridge aforesaid; and said defendants also authorized, contracted, and agreed to and with, and knowingly suffered said divers persons, corporations and railroad companies to lay down their said road track as aforesaid, and build and construct their fixtures thereon, and run their cars, to-wit: one hundred cars and engines, to wit: ten engines and locomotives, to-wit: ten locomotives, over and upon said railroad track so laid down and constructed on and over the said premises of defendants as aforesaid, and which said cars, engines, machinery and locomotives were moved, driven and propelled by steam power, with great force, noise, confusion and whistling, to the great fright, consternation, alarm, dread, hazard and danger of all and every person and persons, their horses, teams and property passing and crossing over, upon and along said bridge of said defendants as aforesaid; to-wit: on the 15th day of December, A. D. 1856, at the county of Tazewell aforesaid, and by reason whereof, and by reason of the running, driving, and propelling of said cars, engines, locomotives and machinery of said divers persons, corporations and railroad companies over and upon the said land and premises of said defendants as aforesaid, with great force, noise, confusion, disturbance and whistling of said locomotives and engines, the team and horses of said plaintiff, so crossing along, upon and over said bridge as aforesaid, as he lawfully might do, and of right was entitled to, took fright, became greatly alarmed, and run and pushed over and through said bridge, and fell, and was precipitated and hurled with great violence to the ground, a great distance, to-wit: the distance of fifteen feet, together with the plaintiff, his wagon, team and property, where-with he the said plaintiff was then and there passing over, upon and along said bridge of said defendants aforesaid; and whereby and by reason of said fall off, through and over said bridge of said defendants aforesaid, the said plaintiff was bruised, injured, wounded and maimed for life, and his bones broken; and whereby, also, his said horses and team were bruised, damaged, injured, wounded and rendered entirely valueless to said plaintiff; and his said wagon and property which said plaintiff was crossing over, along and upon said defendants' bridge, as aforesaid, became broken, injured and worthless, and entirely useless and valueless to said plaintiff, to-wit: at the county aforesaid; and the said plaintiff in consequence of such falling and being hurled and precipitated to the ground, together with his said horses, team, wagon and property as aforesaid, and in consequence of such bruises, maims, wounds, injuries and broken bones to himself and horses as aforesaid, and the damage and breaking of his wagon, team and property so crossing along, over and upon said bridge of said defendants as aforesaid, and in and about the curing, healing, care, skill and attention of and to himself and horses, and the repairing of his wagon, team and property, was forced and obliged to pay, lay out and expend divers large sums of money, amounting in all to a great sum of money, to-wit: the sum of one thousand dollars, to-wit: at the county of Tazewell aforesaid.

And also for that whereas before and at the time of committing of the grievances by said defendants as hereinafter next mentioned, the said defendants were the owners and possessors of a certain other bridge, extending from the city and county of Peoria across the Illinois River into the county of Tazewell and State of Illinois, and over, across and along which said bridge any and all persons were entitled to cross, pass, and of right might cross, pass and travel, and use for the purpose of crossing the said Illinois River, together with their and each of their horses, wagons, teams and property, on payment of tolls to said defendants, and said defendants being so possessed of and the owners of said other bridge as aforesaid, and entitled to have, demand and receive tolls from any and all persons so crossing and pass-

ing over said other bridge, either with or without their and each of their horses, wagons, teams and property as aforesaid, and by reason whereof the said defendants ought of right, and were bound by law to repair said bridge and keep the same in good repair, so as to admit of convenient and safe passage for all persons and their property, teams, wagons and horses, on payment of the tolls to said defendant. Yet the said defendants, not regarding their duty in this behalf, willfully, negligently and carelessly, and by and through their negligence, carelessness and default, and for want of due care and attention in this behalf, suffered and permitted their said other bridge aforesaid to be, remain and continue out of repair, unsafe and in a rotten, dangerous and hazardous condition, insomuch that the said other bridge did not admit of convenient and safe passage to any and all persons and their property, on payment of the tolls to said defendants as aforesaid, to-wit: at the county aforesaid, and by reason whereof, and by reason of the said other bridge of said defendants being, remaining and continuing out of repair, and in a dangerous, hazardous and unsafe condition as aforesaid, to-wit: on the day and year aforesaid, at the county aforesaid, the plaintiff, together with his horses, team, wagon and property wherewith said plaintiff was crossing, passing over and along said other bridge, with due care and skill, as he lawfully might do, were violently hurled, thrown and precipitated down, through and over said bridge to the earth, a great distance, to-wit: the distance of fifteen feet, whereby said plaintiff was then and there cut, bruised, maimed for and during his whole life, injured, crushed and wounded, and his bones broken and remained so for a great length of time, and was thereby hindered and prevented from attending to his necessary and lawful affairs and business during all that time, and is forever maimed and deprived of ability to attend to business, to-wit hitherto; and the said horses, team, wagon and property which he the plaintiff was then and there crossing along, over and across said bridge as aforesaid also fell and was hurled, thrown and precipitated through, off of and over said bridge a great distance, to-wit: the distance of fifteen feet to the ground beneath said bridge, and whereby the said horses were and became bruised, injured, wounded and maimed, and entirely worthless and valueless to said plaintiff; and whereby said wagon, team and property were broken, damaged, injured and destroyed, to-wit: on the day and year aforesaid, at the county of Tazewell aforesaid; and the said plaintiff, in consequence of such falling, bruises, wounds, maims, injuries and broken bones to himself and horses, and the damage and breaking of his said wagon, team and property so crossing along and over said bridge, and in and about the healing and curing of himself and horses, and repairing of his said wagon, team and property, was forced and obliged to pay, lay out and expend, and actually did lay out and expend divers large sums of money, amounting in all to a great sum of money, to-wit: the sum of one thousand dollars, to-wit: at the county of Tazewell aforesaid. To the damage of the plaintiff ten thousand dollars, and therefore he brings suit, &c.

By WEAD & WILLIAMSON, Attorneys for Plaintiff.

The defendants demurred to the first count, and pleaded the general issue to the second count of said plaintiff's declaration. The Court overruled the demur to the first count, and the defendants filed pleas to the same, as follows:

1st. And the said defendants for plea to the first count of said plaintiff's declaration, say that they are not guilty in manner and form as stated in said count of said declaration, and of this they put themselves upon the country, &c.

PURPLE for Defendants.

2d. And for further plea the said defendants say as to the first count of said declaration *actio non*, because they say that on the 12th day of February, A. D. 1849, the General Assembly of the State of Illinois passed an Act entitled "An Act to incorporate the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company;" and on the 10th day of February, 1851, the said General Assembly passed "An Act to amend an Act entitled 'An Act to incorporate the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company, approved February 12, 1849;'" and that on the 22d day of June, A. D. 1852, the said General Assembly passed an Act entitled "An Act to amend an Act entitled 'An Act to amend an Act entitled 'An Act to incorporate the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company, approved February 10th, 1851,'" which said several Acts are as follows, to-wit:

(See Bill of Exceptions.)

And the said defendants aver that the said several Acts, since their passage as aforesaid, have been and still are in full force and unrepealed; and the said defendants further aver that after the passage of the said several Acts, and long before the committing of the several supposed grievances in the said first count of the said plaintiff's declaration mentioned, to-wit: on the first day of January, A. D. 1852, at the county of Peoria, to-wit: at the county of Marshall aforesaid, the said Peoria & Oquawka Railroad Co. had been, was and still is duly organized according to law, and ever since their said organization under the laws aforesaid have existed as a corporation, under the name and style of the "Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company;" and that as such company they had full power and lawful authority to locate and construct a Railroad from Peoria, in the county of Peoria, Illinois, eastward on the most eligible route to the State line of the State of Indiana. And the said defendants aver that in the making and location of their said Railroad, the said Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company had full power and lawful authority to locate and construct the same over the land and near to and adjoining the bridge of the said defendants; and that before the committing of the said several grievances or supposed grievances in the first count of the plaintiff's declaration mentioned, to-wit: on the first day of January, A. D. 1852, at the county aforesaid, the said Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company had made, located and constructed their said Railroad upon, over and across the land of the said defendants, near to and adjoining the bridge of said defendants in said first count of said plaintiff's declaration mentioned; and at the place where, in the said count in said plaintiff's declaration mentioned, it is alleged and charged the said several grievances in said count mentioned were committed by the said defendants, as the said Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Co. might lawfully do; and the said defendants further aver that the said "Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Co." had then and there full power and lawful authority to run their said cars, engines and locomotives over and upon their said railroad, so by them located and constructed as aforesaid, either with or without the consent of the said defendants, and to propel the same by steam power, and to whistle and to make all the noise necessary for the transaction of the business of said Company and said Railroad; and that the noise, whistling and confusion in said count in said declaration mentioned, by which the team and horses of the said plaintiff became frightened and alarmed, as in said declaration mentioned, was made and done in the usual and ordinary course of the business of said company, in the management and operation of their said Railroad, and without the consent, knowledge or approbation of the said defendants, and without any power on their part to prevent, control, prohibit or restrain the same; and the said defendants aver that these are the same grievances complained of in the said first count of the said

plaintiff's declaration, and not other or different; and this the said defendants are ready to verify, wherefore they pray judgment, &c.

PURPLE for Defendants.

To this plea the plaintiff demurred. The Court sustained the demurrer; the defendants abided by the demurrer.

The defendants then filed the following additional plea to the first count of the plaintiff's declaration:

And for further plea in this behalf to the first count of the plaintiff's declaration the said defendants say that they did not suffer or permit the said companies, corporations or individuals, in said first count of said declaration mentioned, to erect or construct their said road at the time and place where, &c., in said declaration mentioned, as stated in said declaration, and of this they put themselves upon the country, &c.

PURPLE for Defendants.

Issue to the country.

BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.

Be it remembered, that upon the trial of this cause, the plaintiff, to maintain the issues on his part, called as a witness DAVID SLOANE, who testified as follows: I know the bridge across the Illinois River at Peoria. I was along at the time his (plaintiff's) horses backed off the bridge and injured them and himself. It was in November, 1856. The bridge (I think) was built in 1847. The horses took fright at a locomotive which was on the railroad track of the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad, which was located along the side and near to the bridge, and backed up against the railing, which was thereby broken down, and the horses and wagon of the plaintiff were precipitated to the ground, together with the plaintiff himself, some fifteen feet in distance, by means of which one of the plaintiff's horses was rendered nearly or quite useless, the other one stiffened and lamed, and the plaintiff seriously and dangerously wounded. There was a railing on the bridge at the place, constructed of posts about five inches square, which were attached to the sleepers of the bridge, about one half of the lower end of the posts being cut away and then nailed to the sleepers with large nails or spikes; these posts were nine feet apart, and every other one was braced on the outside by a brace from the top of the post extending to a cross timber of the bridge which extended beyond the railing and planks of the bridge. There was a string piece in the centre of the posts, running from one post to another, two inches by six in size, and another on the top of the posts about three inches by five, both nailed on. I do not know whether the nails of the posts were pulled out or the posts broken off. I cannot tell how close the horses were to the locomotive; they backed off very quick. The rails on the top were spliced between the posts by being nailed together, and were not strong. Only one of the posts broke when the wagon went over, and one part of the railing eighteen feet long went over with the post; the end posts did not give way. I did not see Loomis except at a distance the day of the accident; he was then walking with the assistance of a man on each side; his head was bloody. I did not see him again for two or three weeks. I do not think he has got over the injuries yet; he sometimes spits corrupted matter. The accident occurred four or five rods from the depot house toward Peoria. My horses were frightened at the same time. I knew the locomotive was there when I first came on the bridge—it was in plain sight. I could see it for a quarter of a mile before I came on to the bridge, but the locomotive was

standing still. We waited to see it move, but it did not move; we went on. The bridge was safe to pass over if horses were not frightened or scared. Loomis whipped his horses several times after they were scared and before they went over the bridge. They were ordinarily gentle horses. The locomotive was about forty feet from plaintiff's horses' heads when they backed off. The horses would not have backed off if the railing had been strong enough to have prevented them. I did not consider the bridge safe, principally on account of the weakness of the railing. I did not see Loomis jerk his horses; I did see him strike them. I was paying particular attention to my own horses, which were also frightened, and was not paying particular attention to the plaintiff. I had long considered the bridge unsafe. There are holes in it, the railing was insufficient, and many of the posts which held it were loose and rotten. If the railing had been sufficient the accident would not have happened. Defendants have since built a new bridge and put on strong railing. The railing that was then on was not as good as ordinary railing on bridges.

ERI GRAY being sworn stated: I live in Tazewell county. Know and have often crossed the bridge. I was there on the bridge at the time the accident occurred. Loomis' team passed Sloane's at or near the depot; that there was a pile of lumber lying on the right hand side of the bridge, and a team of horses unhitched from the wagon. The lumber, team and wagon occupied about one-half the space of the bridge. The engine was about to start as Loomis passed the lumber. His horses' heads were turned towards the engine. They took fright at the engine and backed off the bridge. They had to back not more than eight or ten feet. There was a railing on the bridge constructed of posts cut into the string pieces 5 inches square with a cross piece 2 by six inches in the centre, and three by five inches at the top, with a brace at each bent, but none at the centre posts; cross pieces and braces were all nailed with nails from 10's to 20's. I don't know whether the posts broke off or the nails pulled out. The posts were nailed, some with three nails and some with one or two. It is my impression that the nails of the post that gave way were drawn out. The last I saw of Loomis he was standing up in the fore part of his wagon, and he struck his horses once. One horse was badly injured and has a running sore now. The other horse was not much injured. Loomis was taken up to Mr. Parker's injured and in great distress. He has not got over it yet. The horses went off the bridge 6 or 8 rods from the depot towards Peoria. Loomis's team was about sixty feet from the locomotive track. The track runs near the bridge, 40 to 45 feet off. There is no screen between the two—the railroad and the bridge. There was a timber string-piece on the side of the bridge on the top of the plank where the horses backed off the bridge, extending along the edge of the bridge, 10 or 12 inches square, and the plaintiff's wagon backed over the said timber string-piece. It was not over three or four seconds from the time the horses were frightened till they went off the bridge. I think said Loomis was so wrapped up in buffalo skins that he could not have got out of his wagon. The accident was occasioned by the steam of the engine frightening the horses. If the post which held the railing had been sufficient it would have stopped the horses from going over the bridge. I was close by the plaintiff at the time of the accident. I saw him standing up whipping his horses, but can't say whether it was with a stick, a whip, or the lines.

JOSEPH C. FRYE being sworn testified as follows: I am a physician and surgeon, and reside at Peoria, Illinois. In November, 1856, I was called on to visit the plaintiff. I found him at Joseph Parker's, in Peoria. He was cold, had great difficulty in breathing, and but little pulse. He had received a severe shock, was very much bruised about the face. His

upper jaw was broken on the right side, and he was badly bruised on the hip, breast, forehead and head, and had a severe contusion on the top of his head. His difficulty of breathing and want of pulse continued about 48 hours. He was in great pain, and I thought he would die. I consulted with other physicians and they thought so too. I attended him twenty days, during which time he was unable to go home. He paid me \$30 for my fees, &c. His jaw is not yet sound; he discharges corrupted matter from it. I do not think he is either mentally or physically the same he was before he received the injury. His jaw I think will not get well unless a surgical operation is performed on the same.

WASHINGTON COCKLE, also called by plaintiff, testified: I am Secretary of the Peoria Bridge Association. The said Association owned the bridge at the time the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad was built, and it is a toll bridge, and at the time of the accident to the plaintiff in November, 1856, [and at the time the Railroad was built said Association claimed about one hundred acres of land, which extended above the bridge and covered that portion of the road opposite to which the plaintiff's accident occurred on the bridge, and which land extended to low water mark on the east side of the Illinois river, including the bridge also;] (this evidence the defendants objected to and excepted to the opinion of the Court in admitting the same.) The witness then at request of the plaintiff's counsel produced the minute book of the Peoria Bridge Association, and plaintiff offered to read from the same an order under date of October 8, 1853, which is as follows: "On motion of Mr. Curtenius, Ordered that the President and Secretary execute a release of the right of way over the lands of this Association in Tazewell county to the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company for the purpose of the road of said Company, reserving for the use of this Association the timber upon said land." To this evidence the defendants objected as irrelevant and incompetent. The Court admitted the evidence and the defendants then and there excepted to the opinion of the Court. Witness further stated that the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad was located before the passage of said resolution at the place where it is now built and where the plaintiff's horses took fright, before the above recited order was made, and the Peoria Bridge Association were not consulted at all in relation to this location; they never received any compensation for the right of way, and made no objection to the railroad being located where it is; they claimed the land and had their bridge on it when the railroad was located.

The plaintiff then offered in evidence an Act of the General Assembly of the State of Illinois, entitled

Approved January 16, 1847.

And also an Act of the said General Assembly entitled

Approved June 19, 1852.

Which said Acts are as follows, to-wit:

An Act to authorize the construction of a bridge across the Illinois River.

SECTION 1. Be it enacted by the people of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly, that William L. May and his associates, and his and their heirs and assigns, be and they are hereby authorized to build a toll bridge across the Illinois river at the outlet of Lake Peoria, at the place where said May now keeps his ferry, and to have, keep, maintain and enjoy the same.

SEC. 2. Said May and his associates, and his or their heirs and assigns, are hereby authorized to erect as many piers of stone or other material in the bed of said river as may be necessary for the support and construction of said bridge, *Provided* a span of at least seventy-five feet wide from pier to pier, and embracing the principal channel of the river, be left and always kept open for the passage of all craft navigating said river.

SEC. 3. The rates of toll for crossing said bridge shall be the same as the said May is authorized to receive at his ferry.

SEC. 4. The proprietors of said bridge shall always keep the same in good repair, so as to admit of convenient and safe passage for all persons and their property on payment of the tolls.

SEC. 5. In case the said bridge shall be destroyed by freshets or other accidents, and the same shall not be rebuilt or repaired in reasonable time, the charter hereby granted shall cease and be at an end.

SEC. 6. If said May and his associates, their heirs or assigns, shall fail to commence said bridge within three years, or shall fail to finish the same within five years from the passage of this Act, then the privilege hereby granted shall cease and be at an end.

SEC. 7. Any person passing over said bridge with a horse or carriage or other vehicle in a faster gait than a walk shall be subject for each and every such offence to a fine of five dollars, to be recovered before any justice of the peace in either of the counties of Tazewell or Peoria, in an action of debt for the use of the proprietors, *Provided*, that a copy of this section shall be kept fixed up in large letters in a conspicuous place at each end of said bridge.

SEC. 8. If any person shall willfully or negligently do or cause to be done any injury to said bridge, the person or persons so offending shall forfeit and pay to the proprietor or proprietors of the same three times the amount of said injury, to be recovered by action of debt or action on the case before any justice of the peace or other court having jurisdiction of the same.

SEC. 9. The said bridge shall be deemed a public highway, within the meaning of the laws providing for the punishment of persons injuring or obstructing or destroying public highways or bridges in any manner or by any means whatever.

SEC. 10. The proprietors of said bridge are hereby authorized to erect gates as well as a house or houses at either end of said bridge for the collection of tolls.

SEC. 11. This Act to be in force from and after its passage.

Approved January 26th, 1847.

An Act in addition to an Act entitled "An Act to authorize the construction of a bridge across the Illinois River," approved January 26th, 1847.

SECTION 1. Be it enacted by the people of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly, That said corporation shall be known by the name and style of the Peoria Bridge Association, and by that name and style shall be capable of suing and being sued, pleading and being impleaded, answering and being answered unto in all courts and places whatsoever.

SEC. 2. The mode of serving process in any suit or proceeding against said corporation shall be by delivering a copy of the writ or process to the Secretary of said corporation at least ten days before the time of sitting of the court to which the same shall be made returnable.

SEC. 3. The said corporation shall have the right to extend said bridge on the present road as laid out for a distance of one mile from where the said bridge now terminates, either by trestle work or by throwing up the earth and planking the same; *Provided* that said corporation shall not demand or receive any more tolls than is now allowed by the charter to which this is an amendment.

SEC. 4. This Act to take effect from and after its passage.

Approved June 19th, 1852.

The bridge was built under the authority of the said Acts, by the Defendants.

The Plaintiff next called JOSEPH PARKER, who stated,—I live in Peoria, Loomis came to my house, in November 1856, badly hurt and wounded. He remained about three weeks. He paid me \$20 00, for taking care of him. I saw the bridge on Monday after the accident, the railing had been broken; there was a board nailed over the railing where it had been broken. I saw the Plaintiff's horses and wagon. One of the horses was badly wounded in the shoulder, and is not well yet. The wagon, except the wheels, was pretty much broken up; harness also. The other horse appeared to be lame and stiff. I examined the railing and posts of the bridge; about one third of them were loose at the bottom; some were rotten, and some I could push off with my hands. I did not consider the bridge safe. The team of the Plaintiff was a gentle, ordinarily quiet team, and the Plaintiff was a prudent and careful driver, so far as I know. I think the timber on the side of the bridge, on the top of the plank, was about 6 inches thick and 10 inches wide, and was lying on the flat side.

HENRY PRICE, called by the Plaintiff, stated,—I am a veterinary surgeon. I doctored Loomis' horses in November 1856; one was badly cut in the shoulder, the other lame in the back; one never got well, he was worth \$100 00 before he was injured. Loomis paid me forty dollars for doctering his horses, it was worth that sum. I did not consider the bridge safe; there were holes in it sometimes where a horse might get his foot through.

Plaintiff next called NATHANIEL BROWN, who stated,—I live in Tazewell County, three fourths of a mile from Loomis'. Loomis drives horses as well as common men. He is a careful and prudent driver, and his horses were as gentle as horses ordinarily are. Since his accident, he is not able to perform near as much labor as he could before.

Plaintiff then called IRA PRATT, who stated,—I live in Tazewell County, three-fourths of a mile from Plaintiff. I knew his horses, they went well enough,—were gentle. I saw his son ride and drive; and his daughters, from 11 to 15 years of age, were accustomed to ride one of them. I mended Loomis' wagon after the accident occurred, for which he paid me \$20 00.

Here the Plaintiff rested.

The Defendant then called O. CHAUNTE, who testified,—I live in Peoria, and am a civil

engineer, by occupation. The Rail Road, as appears by the books and records of the Peoria and Oquawka Rail Road Company, was located in 1851 and 1852. In July 1854, when I came to Peoria, the tressel work of the road where Plaintiff's accident happened, was up. The location of the road at that place is an eligible one, and there is no other proper or eligible location than the one then and now occupied by said road. In order to raise the bluff and get on to the highlands East, it was necessary for the railroad to keep up Farm Creek; and the road could not cross the river at Peoria at any other place, without great additional expense, and considerable more distance. There was a necessity of crossing the bridge at some place, and the line where the said road is located, crosses at a point where it is less likely to interfere with the travel over it, than at any other place. I was present at the time of the Plaintiff's accident, about 500 feet off. My attention was first attracted by the noise of the horses' feet upon the bridge. Same time I saw the engine moving slowly, it moved about 4 feet; steam was escaping from the cylinder. The horses of Plaintiff jumped, and backed some. Loomis, the Plaintiff, stood up in his wagon and whipped his horses once or twice, then sawed their heads with the lines. They then cramped the wagon round, and backed against the railing of the bridge. The railing snapped, and the hind wheels of the wagon went over, the reach hung poising upon the edge of the bridge about 5 seconds; the seat slipped back; Plaintiff fell down first, then the wagon, then one horse, and then the other. There was time for the Plaintiff, (if he had presence of mind) after the hind wheels were over the bridge, to have got out of the wagon upon the bridge. It was about a minute and a half after the horses took fright, that they went off the bridge. The team being frightened by the locomotive was the cause of the accident. The team was about seventy feet from the engine when the accident occurred. It was fifty-five feet from the outside of the bridge to the railroad track. The posts of the railing of the bridge which I saw, were halved into the sleepers with a dovetail, and the top pieces and the top railing were mortised on; and the bridge was safe and strong for all ordinary use. The railing was made of upright posts, 5 inches square, attached to the sleepers, braced on the outside at every other post, with a railing 2 by 6 inches in the centre, and 3 by 5 inches at the top, and 3½ feet high. I think Loomis, the Plaintiff, managed his horses with all the judgment and prudence that a man in his situation would be likely to do. But he sawed their heads while they were backing, and while the wagon was poised upon the bridge, after the hind wheels had gone over. The top railing at this place was not sound; it was dozy; but outwardly it being weather beaten, there was nothing to indicate unsoundness. After it was broken, it showed that it was partially decayed. But one of the posts were broken off at the time of the accident. There was no brace to this post; but there were braces to the two on each side, nine feet distant from this one: neither of which were broken or thrown off. The braces were at each bent, when the timbers projected beyond the planks of the bridge. The string piece or timber on the edge of the plank where the horses backed the wagon off, was twelve inches square, and the wagon was backed over the timber.

GEORGE RODGERS, called by Defendant, and testified,—I am a carpenter and bridge-builder, and have been so for more than seven years. I saw the Plaintiff's wagon going over the bridge at the time of the accident. I was about 20 feet from the bridge, on the lower side. The wagon, team and Plaintiff came off that side. I considered the bridge a safe bridge for the passage of teams. The railing is as good as is generally put on bridges. I have been at work about and near the bridge, about a year, for Cruger, Secor & Co. When I first saw the wagon of Plaintiff's, it was nearly against the railing. Plaintiff was stand-

ing up. From the time I first saw it in this position, five or six seconds of time elapsed before it went off the bridge. Plaintiff was pulling on the lines. The wagon was poised on the bridge, after the hind wheels were over, 4 or 5 seconds. I think the Plaintiff might have jumped out on the bridge. I think he was pulling the horses; do n't remember of his striking them. None of the posts were broken. The railing appeared sound on the outside, but upon examination, on the inside it had commenced decaying. I built two bridges within the past year, about 200 feet long, each; and put upon them the same kind of railing as was on this bridge.

Defendants then called HENRY MAXWELL, who testified,—I saw the the accident. I was standing at the engine. The hind wheels of the wagon, when I first noticed it, were against the railing of the bridge, and Loomis, the Plaintiff, was pulling back on the lines, and when the hind wheels hit the railing, it snapped, and over they went. The wagon, after the hind wheels were over, stopped five or six seconds on the bridge, before it finally went over. I was about two hundred feet off at the time. I was baggage master on the railroad. The engine was hauling up iron and tin. The Plaintiff's horses took fright at the engine. There were timbers lying along on the top of the bridge, on the planks, on both sides. The bridge was safe for all ordinary travel. Large loads and teams were daily in the habit of crossing it.

HIRAM BUNN, called by Defendant, testified,—I was standing on the bridge, thirty or forty feet from the Plaintiff's team, at the time the accident occurred. The team was frightened at the engine, and backed off the bridge. Plaintiff was jerking his horses, and slapping them with the lines. It was a minute or over, after the horses were frightened, before they backed off. The bridge was safe if teams were not frightened. Other teams passed over safely. Loomis had time to get out of his wagon, after the horses were frightened, before the wagon was backed off the bridge. I have often seen men with teams, when an engine was passing, get out and hold their horses by the heads; I have seen a great many do so. I think Loomis had time to jump from his wagon, on to the bridge, after the hind wheels were over the edge of the bridge: I said at the time, he was foolish for not doing so. I saw him pulling on the lines before the wagon struck the railing. I am a bridge builder and carpenter by occupation.

The Defendant then read in evidence an Act of the General Assembly, entitled "An Act to incorporate the Peoria & Oquawka Rail Road Company," approved February 12, 1849; and also an Act entitled, "An Act to amend an Act entitled an Act to incorporate the Peoria & Oquawka Rail Road Company, approved February 12, 1849," approved February 10, 1851. And also an Act entitled, "An Act to amend an Act entitled an Act to amend an Act entitled an Act to incorporate the Peoria & Oquawka Rail Road Company, approved February 10, 1851," Approved June 22, 1852. Which said several Acts are as follows, to wit:

Section 1. Be it enacted by the people of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly; that all such persons as shall become Stockholders, agreeably to the provisions of this Act, in the corporation hereby enacted, shall be, and are hereby constituted and declared a body corporate and politic, by the name of the "Peoria and Oquawka Rail Road Company;" and by that name shall have succession for the term of fifty years from and after the passage of this Act, and may by said name sue and be sued, complain and defend in any court of law or equity; may have and use a common seal; and alter or renew the same at pleasure; may make by-laws, rules and regulations for the management of its

property, the regulation of its affairs and for the transfer of its stock, not inconsistent with the laws and constitution of this State, or of the United States; and may moreover appoint such subordinates, agents, officers and servants, as the business of said corporation may require, and allow them a suitable compensation, prescribe their duties, and require bond for the faithful performance thereof, in such penal sums, and with securities as they may choose, who shall hold their office during the pleasure of a majority of the Directors of the said corporation.

Sec. 2. The said corporation shall have the right to survey, locate and construct, and during its continuance to maintain and continue a Railroad, with single or double track, and with such appendages as may be deemed necessary for the convenient use of the same, from the town of Peoria, on the Illinois river, in the county of Peoria, to the town of Oquawka, on the Mississippi river, in the county of Henderson, and to locate and construct the same on such line, course or way, as may be designated and selected by the Directors of said corporation, whereon to construct and make the same; and may also prescribe the manner in which said railroad may be used; by what force the carriages to be used thereon may be propelled; to regulate the time and manner in which goods, effects and passengers may be transported and carried on the same, and the rates of toll on the transportation of persons or property thereon. And it shall also be lawful for the said corporation to unite with any other Railroad Company already incorporated, or which may be incorporated, upon such terms and at such points and parts of the said Rail Roads, as may be agreed upon by the Directors of said companies: And also to construct such other and lateral routes as may be necessary to connect them with any other route or routes which may be deemed expedient, under the same privileges and restrictions as are herein contained.

Sec. 3. The capital stock of said corporation shall be Five Hundred Thousand Dollars, which shall be deemed personal property, and shall be divided into shares of one hundred dollars each. The said Capital Stock may at any time hereafter be increased to a sum not exceeding One Million Dollars, if the same shall be judged necessary, to be subscribed for and taken under the direction of the Directors of said corporation, whenever they shall cause one or more books to be opened for the purpose, and in such manner as may be prescribed by the by-laws of the said corporation.

Sec. 4. The following named persons, to wit: Alex. Turnbull, James W. Davidson, and Wyatt B. Stapp, of Warren County; Preston Martin, John McKiuney, and Robert M. Patterson, of Henderson County; Samuel B. Anderson, James Knox, and David Meek, of Knox County; and William S. Moss, Alfred G. Curtenius, and Isaac Underhill, of Peoria County, shall be deemed Commissioners for receiving subscriptions to the Capital Stock of said Corporation; who shall within twelve months after the passage of this Act, cause books to be opened at such places as they may deem proper, for receiving subscriptions to the said Capital Stock. Notice of the times and places when and where the said books will be opened, to be given by publication, (for at least thirty days previous to opening the same,) in some public newspaper, printed and published in each of such places. On opening said books, the Commissioners shall attend, by themselves or agents, and continue to receive subscriptions to the Capital Stock of said Corporation, from all persons who will subscribe thereto, until the whole amount thereof shall have been subscribed, when the said books shall be closed. Each subscriber shall at the time of subscribing, pay to the Commissioners, the sum of Five Dollars on each share of the stock subscribed for by him. And the said Commissioners shall, as soon as the Directors of said Corporation are elected, deliver to them the

whole amount so received, and also all subscription books and papers belonging to said Company. A majority of the Commissioners above named may fill any vacancies that may occur in their number by death, resignation or otherwise.

Sec. 5. The affairs of said Corporation shall be managed by a Board of nine Directors, to be chosen annually by the stockholders, from among themselves. The first election for Directors shall be holden as soon as may be after the Stock has been subscribed. The Commissioners shall give notice of the time and place at which a meeting of the Stockholders will be held for the choice of Directors, and at the time and place appointed for that purpose, the Commissioners, or a majority of them shall attend and act as inspectors of said election. The Stockholders who shall be present either in person or by proxy, shall proceed by ballot, to elect their Directors; and the Commissioners present, shall certify the result of said election under their hands; which certificate shall be recorded in the books of the Corporation, and shall be sufficient evidence of the election of the Directors therein named. All future elections shall be held at the time and in the manner prescribed by the by-laws and regulations of said corporation. Each stockholder shall be entitled to vote in person, or by proxy duly authorized, one vote for every share he may own at the commencement of each election, and a plurality of votes shall determine the choice. But no stockholder shall be allowed to vote at any election after the first, for any stock which shall have been assigned to him within thirty days previous to the day of holding such election.

Sec. 6. It shall be lawful for the Directors to require payment to the subscriptions to the Capital Stock, at such times, and in such proportions, and on such conditions as they shall deem proper, under the penalty of the forfeiture of the stock, and all previous payments thereon; and they shall give notice of the payments thus required, and of the place where, and of the time when the same are required to be paid, at least thirty days previous to the time of requiring said payments, by publication in at least three public newspapers, printed in the State.

SEC. 7. The said corporation is hereby empowered to purchase, receive and hold such real estate as may be necessary and convenient in accomplishing the objects for which this incorporation is granted, and may by their agents, engineers and surveyors enter upon and take possession of and use all such lands and real estate as may be necessary and indispensable for the construction and maintenance of said railroad and the appendages and accommodations requisite and appertaining thereto; and may also receive, take and hold all such voluntary grants and donations of land and real estate as shall be made to the said corporation for the purposes aforesaid. But whenever any lands, real estate or materials shall be taken and appropriated by said corporation for the location or construction of said railroad or its appendages, or any work appertaining thereto, and the same shall not be given or granted to said corporation, or the proprietor or proprietors do not agree with said corporation as to the amount of damage or compensation which ought to be allowed and paid therefor, or shall not mutually agree on some person or persons to appraise the same, the damages shall be estimated in the manner following: The said corporation or the owner or owners of said lands may, on giving notice of their intended application and the time and place of making the same, apply by petition to the Judge of the Circuit Court of the county in which said lands may lie, or in the absence of the said Judge from the said county then to the senior County Commissioner of said county, or the County Judge of said county, particularly describing in said petition the lands to be appraised, and upon proof that reasonable notice has been

given as directed, the said Judge, or in case of his absence as aforesaid the said senior County Commissioner shall, on hearing the said petition, appoint three disinterested persons, freeholders and residents of the county in which said lands may be situate, as commissioners for the purpose of assessing such damages, and the order in which they are appointed shall specify the lands proposed to be appropriated and occupied by said corporation for the purposes aforesaid. The said appraisers, after being duly sworn before some officer legally authorized to administer oaths honestly and impartially to assess such damages, shall proceed by viewing said lands, and by such other evidence as the parties may produce before them, to ascertain and assess the damages which such owner will sustain by the appropriation of his land for the purposes aforesaid, over and above the benefit and advantage which said commissioners shall adjudge will accrue to such owner or owners by the construction of said railroad. The said appraisers shall make report in writing to the said Judge of the Circuit Court, reciting the order of their appointment and specifying the several parcels of land described therein; the names of the owner or owners of the respective parcels if known, stating that fact, and specifying also the damages which the owner of the respective parcels will sustain by reason of the appropriation of the same for the purposes aforesaid; which said report shall be filed in the office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court of the county in which said lands may lie. In case either of the parties is dissatisfied with said assessment, the said Judge may at the next term of the Circuit Court in said county, on hearing the parties in interest, or if both shall not appear on proof of notice being given to the opposite party, modify the said assessment as to him shall appear just. At the said term of the Circuit Court holden next after the filing of said report as aforesaid, a record shall be made of the said report, with the order of the Court thereon, accepting or modifying the same. On payment of the damages, if any, then assessed, and the expenses of the assessment, the said corporation shall immediately become seized and possessed of the said land and property, and entitled to the use of the same for the purposes aforesaid.

SEC. 8. When the lands or any other property or estate of any married woman, infant or person *non compos mentis* shall be necessary for the construction of the said railroad or its appendages, the husband of such married woman or the guardian of such infant or person *non compos mentis* may release all damages in relation to the land, property or estate to be taken and appropriated as aforesaid, as fully as they might do if the same were holden in their own right respectively, or the husband or guardian of any such person whose property shall be taken may appear and act for and in their behalf in obtaining an assessment of the damages to the same under this Act. And in case any such infant or person *non compos mentis* whose property may be taken as aforesaid shall be without guardian, the Judge of the Circuit Court or the Commissioners shall have the power to appoint a guardian *ad litem* to act in behalf of such person.

SEC. 9. Whenever it shall be necessary under this Act of Corporation for the construction of a single or double track railroad, as herein authorized, to intersect or cross any stream of water, or any public road or highway, it shall be lawful for the said corporation to construct across or upon the same; *Provided* the said corporation shall restore said stream or water course or public road or highway thus intersected to its former state, or in a sufficient manner not to materially impair its usefulness.

SEC. 10. If any person shall willfully or negligently do or cause to be done any act or acts whatever whereby the said road, or any building or construction or work of said corporation,

or any engine, machine or structure, or any matter or thing appertaining to the same shall be stopped, obstructed, weakened or impaired, injured or destroyed, the person or persons so offending shall forfeit and pay to said corporation treble the amount of damages sustained by reason of such offence, to be recovered with costs of suit in the name of said corporation, by action of debt, and such offender or offenders shall also be deemed guilty of misdemeanor, and be subject to indictment and punishment as in other cases.

SEC. 11. For the purposes of facility in the construction of the railroad authorized in this Act, the said corporation shall be permitted to negotiate a loan or loans of money to the amount of its capital stock, and pledge all of its rights, credits and franchises for the payment thereof.

SEC. 12. It shall be the duty of the corporation hereby created, when the railroad contemplated by this Act shall have been completed, to keep and maintain the same in good condition and repair, and whenever from any cause whatever the same shall become injured and out of repair the said corporation shall immediately proceed to repair the same, under a penalty of the forfeiture of the amount of all damages caused by such want of repair in said road.

SEC. 13. This Act shall be deemed and considered a public Act, and shall be favorably and justly constructed for all purposes therein expressed and declared, in all courts and places whatever.

SEC. 14. The railroad contemplated herein to be completed within ten years from the passage of this Act.

Approved February 12th, 1849.

An Act to amend an Act entitled "An Act to incorporate the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company," approved February 12, 1847.

SECTION 1. Be it enacted by the people of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly, That the company incorporated under the said Act to which this is an amendment shall be required to construct said road through the towns of Farmington, Fulton county, Knoxville in Knox county, and Monmouth in Warren county, and that they shall establish depots in each of said towns and places; *Provided*, however, that nothing in this act shall be construed as to prevent said company from having stations at other points or places for the reception or discharge of goods, produce, stock or other commodities.

SEC. 2 Said company are hereby authorized to acquire and hold so much of the grade of the Peoria and Warsaw Railroad as they may deem necessary, and may employ and use the same in the construction of their said road, and if said grades and right of way should belong to the State of Illinois, the said company may purchase the same from the Governor of this State, upon such terms as they shall agree upon, and such contract if made shall be binding upon both parties.

SEC. 3. The said company is authorized to organize and proceed in the construction of said road under the restrictions, conditions and limitations contained in said Act to which this is an amendment, whenever the sum of one hundred thousand dollars is bona fide subscribed and five per cent. thereof actually paid in.

SEC. 4. The said company is hereby authorized to build a branch of said road in the same manner as the main track is to be erected hereby, to commence at or west of Monmouth, thence to the Mississippi River at or above Shokoken, in Henderson county.

SEC. 5. The commissioners named in the Act to which this is an amendment, together with Asa D. Reed, of Fulton county, Wm. J. Phelps, Rudolphus Rouse, Peter Sweat and Joshua P. Hotchkiss, of Peoria county, who are hereby made commissioners, shall exercise the same powers and duties under this Act as are enjoined upon them in said former Acts.

SEC. 6. The said road contemplated herein to be completed within ten years from the passage of this Act.

SEC. 7. This Act to take effect from and after its passage.

Approved February 10, 1851.

An Act to amend an Act entitled "An Act to amend an Act entitled 'An Act to incorporate the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company,'" approved February 10, 1851.

SEC. 1. Be it enacted by the people of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly, That the capital stock of the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company is hereby increased to the sum of thirty-five hundred thousand dollars; and the stockholders of said company and their successors shall have perpetual succession by the name and style aforesaid, and shall have and enjoy all the rights and privileges heretofore or herein granted to said company by said General Assembly.

Sec. 2. The acts of the Commissioners for receiving subscriptions to the Capital Stock of said Company, and in the election of Directors, on the twentieth day of June, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty-one, are hereby declared to be legal and valid in law; any omission or imperfection in relation to said organization to the contrary notwithstanding.

Sec. 3. The Directors of said Company are hereby empowered and authorized to locate said railroad on the most direct, eligible and feasible route from Peoria to Knoxville, without reference to Farmington: Provided that said Company shall build a branch of said railroad to Farmington, and shall establish a depot there.

Sec. 4. The said Directors are also empowered and authorized to locate a branch of said railroad, provided for in the fourth section of the act to which this is an amendment, according to the survey already made thereof, and to fix the termination of said branch on the Mississippi river, at a point opposite to, or above or below the City of Burlington, in the State of Iowa; which termination shall be within two miles of said point, opposite said City of Burlington, Iowa.

Sec. 5. The said Company shall have the right of way upon, and may appropriate to its sole use and control, for the purposes and uses of said railroad Company, any land belonging to the State of Illinois, not exceeding two hundred feet in width, whenever any such land may be situated along said railroad, for its entire length, as well as along its branches; and may enter upon and take possession of, and use all and singular any land, streams and materials of any kind, belonging to said State, for the location of depots and stopping stages; for the purpose of constructing bridges, dams, embankments, excavations, station grounds, spoil

banks, turnouts, engine houses, shops and other buildings necessary for the construction, completing, altering, maintaining, preserving, and complete operation of said road; and all such lands, waters, materials and privileges are hereby forever granted to said Company, for said purposes.

Sec. 6. Said Company are hereby authorized and empowered to make and establish a ferry across the Mississippi river, at the termination of the branch west of Monmouth, to such point on the Iowa shore of said river, as said Company may be authorized or required to land at. Said Company are also authorized and empowered to make and establish a ferry across the Illinois river, from any ground owned by them in the City of Peoria, to the opposite shore of said Illinois river, in Tazewell County. They are hereby authorized to make and use all necessary boats and apparatus of all and every description whatsoever, advantageous and necessary for said Company, at either or both of said ferries, and propel the same by horse, steam, or any other mode or power that to said Company may seem best: provided that said Company shall not use said ferries, or allow the same to be used for any other purpose than those connected with, or arising out of said railroad.

Sec. 7. Said Company shall have authority and power to improve all or either of their landings, at either or both of said ferries, by building a pier or piers, or making embankments into either or both of said rivers; provided that any such improvement does not interfere with the free navigation of either of said rivers.

Sec. 8. If any person shall wilfully or negligently do or cause to be done any injury to said ferries, or to any boat or appurtenance thereunto belonging, the person or persons so offending, shall forfeit and pay to said Company, three times the amount of such injury; to be recovered by action of debt, or action on the case before any Justice of the Peace, or any other Court having jurisdiction of the same. The said ferries shall be deemed public highways, within the meaning of the laws providing for the punishment of persons injuring, obstructing or destroying public highways or bridges, in any manner, or by any means whatever.

Sec. 9. The said Company are hereby authorized to continue said railroad from Peoria, on the most eligible route eastward, to a point on the Indiana state line, which shall not be more than twenty miles either north or south of a due east and west line, that would run through Lafayette, Indiana. And the same powers and privileges for construction, and maintaining this extension, and for connecting the same with other roads, as is contained in the original charter, is hereby conferred upon said Company in relation to this extension.

Sec. 10. That the Peoria and Oquawka Rail Road Company shall have power to borrow money on the credit of the Company, not exceeding its authorized Capital Stock, at a rate of interest not exceeding ten per cent. per annum, payable semi-annually, and may execute bonds therefor, with interest coupons thereto annexed, and secure the payment of the same by mortgage, or deed of trust, on the whole or any part of the road, property and income of the Company, then existing or thereafter to be acquired; and may annex to such mortgage bonds, the privilege of converting the same into the Capital Stock of the Company, at par, at the option of the holders, if such election be signified in writing to the Company, three years before the maturity of said bonds.

Sec. 11. That the Directors of said Company be, and are hereby authorized to negotiate

and sell the bonds of said Company, at such times and at such places, either within or without this State, and at such rates, and for such price as in their opinion will best advance the interests of the Company; and if such bonds are thus negotiated or sold at a discount, below their par value, such sale and disposition thereof shall be as valid and binding on the Company, in every respect, as if they were sold or disposed of at their par value.

Sec. 12. That the said Company, in securing the payment of said bonds by a mortgage or deed of trust, on the road, property and income of the Company, shall have power to execute a mortgage or deed of trust as aforesaid, to secure the payment of the full amount of bonds which the Company may at the time said deed of trust or mortgage bears date, or at any time thereafter, desire to sell and dispose of; and may execute, and sell from time to time, such amounts of said bonds, and of such dates, and payable to such person or persons as to the Directors of said Company may seem advisable, till the whole amount of bonds mentioned in such mortgage or deed of trust is executed and sold; and the said mortgage or deed of trust shall be as valid and effectual to secure the payment of the bonds so executed and sold, and of every part thereof, as if the same and every part thereof had been executed of even date with the said deed of trust or mortgage.

SEC. 13. This Act to be in force from and after its passage.

Approved June 22, 1852.

The plaintiff objected to the admission of this evidence, but the Court overruled the objection and admitted the same, and the plaintiff's counsel then and there excepted to the opinion of the Court admitting the same. This was all the evidence. The Court at the request of the plaintiff's counsel instructed the jury as follows:

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PLAINTIFF.

Given. The defendants were bound in law to keep their bridge in good repair, so as to admit of the *convenient* and *safe* passage of all persons with their property, and if the jury believe from the evidence that the bridge was not in such repair as to render the passage thereof *safe* at the time the injury happened to the plaintiff, the defendants are liable for such injury if it was occasioned by the bridge so being unsafe if the plaintiff used reasonable care.

Given. 2. The defendants were bound to keep their bridge in such repair as to render it reasonably safe from the consequence of *such accidents* as might be justly expected to occasionally occur thereon; and if the jury believe from the evidence that the want of such repair co-operated to produce the injury in this case, the defendants are liable, if plaintiff used reasonable care.

Given. 3. The defendants were bound to keep their bridge in such repair as to render it safe against such accidents as might reasonably be supposed to occur, and if the jury find in this case that the bridge was not safe so as to protect the plaintiff from such injury, the defendants are liable if plaintiff used reasonable care.

Given. 4. It is not sufficient that the bridge was safe for *gentle horses*; it must be so built and kept in repair as to reasonably protect persons from injury whose horses were not gentle and well trained, and so as to guard against such accidents as may be reasonably expected to occur.

Given. 5. If the jury believe from the evidence that the injury would not have happened if the bridge had been in suitable repair, and sufficiently strong to reasonably prevent the wagon from going over, then the defendants are liable if plaintiff used reasonable care.

Given. 6. The charter of the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad did not repeal that part of the bridge charter which requires them to keep their bridge in repair so as to render its passage safe; and they were bound in law to keep it in such condition as to admit of its *safe* passage at the time of the accident complained of in this cause.

Given. 7. The defendants had no right to place any obstruction on or near their bridge which would render its passage perilous or unsafe; nor had they a right to authorize or permit any one else to do so; and if they have done so they are liable if such obstruction caused the injury complained of, if the plaintiff used reasonable care.

Given. 8. If the defendants authorized the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company to build their road and run their engines thereon contiguous to the defendants' bridge, and on the defendants' land, and the running of such engines frightened the horses of the plaintiff and caused the injury complained of the defendants are liable, if the plaintiff used reasonable care.

Given. 9. Persons in positions of great peril are not required to exercise all the presence of mind and care of a prudent, careful man; the law makes allowances for them and leaves the circumstances of their conduct to the jury.

Given. 10. The jury may give such damages in this case, if they find for the plaintiff, as will fully compensate him for all the injuries he may have sustained by reason of the accident, including any moneys expended by him in curing himself and horses and repairing his wagon, the diminished value of his horse, and the injury occasioned to his person and intellect, and for his sufferings, pain, danger to his life and loss of time in consequence thereof.

Given. 11. If the jury believe that the defendants were guilty of criminal and gross negligence in not keeping their bridge in repair, then the jury may give exemplary damages, if they find for the plaintiff.

To the giving of which said instructions the defendants by their counsel then and there objected, and excepted to the opinion of the Court in giving the same.

The counsel for the defendants then requested the Court to instruct the jury as follows:

Refused. 1st. That the law and the charter of the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company authorized said company to construct their railroad upon the most eligible route from Peoria to the Indiana State line, and that the question of eligibility in relation to the route was a question to be decided and determined alone by said company; and that if they had located and established their road at the place where the grievances complained of in the declaration occurred, as stated in the declaration, the plaintiff as against the defendants had no right to complain of said location.

Refused. 2. That as far as the plaintiff is concerned it is wholly immaterial whether the defendants donated the land to the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company, or whether they gave said company the right of way for an agreed price, or their damages were assessed as provided by law.

3. That if the Peoria and Oquawka Railroad Company had, pursuant to law, located and

Refused. constructed their road over the land of the defendants, at the place where the alleged grievances in the plaintiff's declaration are supposed to have occurred, prior to the time of the happening of the same, and the injury to the plaintiff was occasioned by his horses being frightened by the noise of the cars, or the noise or whistling of a locomotive upon said railroad, the defendants are not liable in this action.

{ Refused as to all but the two first propositions. } 4. [That the owners of a toll bridge are not liable as common carriers; that they are not insurers of property passing over the same,] and are only bound to use reasonable care and diligence to keep their bridge in good repair so that ordinarily gentle teams may pass in safety over the same, and that they are not responsible for any injury arising from horses taking fright at a railroad, or engine running upon the same, when said road has been constructed under the authority of law, or under a charter from the General Assembly of this State.

Refused. 5. That the owners of a toll bridge are only bound to keep their bridge within its own limits in good repair, so as to afford a safe passage to ordinarily quiet teams, and to prudent and careful drivers; and that they are not responsible for any accident or injury which may occur by reason of any railroad, structure or other thing existing, set up or operated outside of the limits of such bridge, and which had been placed, or set up or operated there under the authority of any law of this State.

Refused. 6. If the jury believe that the plaintiff himself was grossly careless and negligent, and had sufficient opportunity to prevent the injury by leaving his wagon and holding his horses by the head while the engine was passing, and that he neglected to do so, and thereby the injury was occasioned, the plaintiff cannot recover.

Refused. 7. That the owners of a bridge are not bound in law to construct any railing along the sides of the said bridge, to prevent frightened horses from running or backing off the same.

Refused. 8. That if the jury believe from the evidence that the plaintiff knew or might have known that his horses were accustomed to become frightened at a locomotive, and if they further believe that the locomotive which frightened his horses was in plain sight for at least half a mile before he approached the same, and that as he approached he or any other person of ordinary understanding and prudence could plainly perceive that said locomotive had her steam up and ready to start, then the plaintiff would be guilty of gross carelessness and negligence if he drove his team up to within a few feet of said locomotive, and if they believe that the horses became frightened at such locomotive and the accident occurred in consequence thereof, under such circumstances the plaintiff cannot recover.

Given. 9. That if the jury shall believe from the evidence that the railing of the bridge was not sound and sufficient, still it would not excuse the plaintiff from using reasonable care and diligence to avoid the accident, and if the jury shall believe from the evidence that the plaintiff did not use such reasonable care and diligence, they will find a verdict of not guilty.

Given. 10. That if the jury believe from the evidence that the injury done to the plaintiff and his property was the result of the fault or negligence of the plaintiff, or the fault or negligence of both the plaintiff and defendant, without any intentional wrong on the part of the defendant, then the plaintiff cannot recover and the jury must find for the defendant.

11. That the burden of proof lies upon the plaintiff not only to prove that he himself

Given. used reasonable care to avoid the injury, but that the bridge was not sufficient to allow the plaintiff to pass over safely.

Given. 12. That notwithstanding the Jury may believe from the evidence that the defendant may have been in the wrong in giving the right of way to the Peoria and Oquawka Rail Road Company; still, if the Jury shall believe from the evidence that the Plaintiff might by using reasonable care and diligence, avoided the accident; he was bound to use reasonable care and diligence, and if the Jury believe from the evidence he did not do so, they will find a verdict for the Defendant.

Given. 13. That if the Jury believe from the evidence in this case that the Plaintiff is entitled to recover at all, he can only recover such damages as he has proved that he actually sustained; and that no vindictive or exemplary damages can be allowed, unless it is shown that the injury, (if any), to himself and property, was occasioned by some malicious act or acts of the Defendants.

The Court gave the instructions numbered 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13, and refused to give all the others numbered 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, except so much of number 4 which reads as follows: "*That the owners of a toll bridge are not liable as common carriers. That they are not insurers of property passing over the same.*"

To the decision of the Court in refusing to give all said instructions asked, the Defendants' counsel then and there excepted.

The Jury returned a verdict for the Plaintiff, for the sum of \$5,750 00.

The Defendants' counsel entered a motion for a new trial for the following reasons:

1. The verdict is against law and evidence.
2. The damages are excessive.
3. The Court gave improper instructions to the Jury at the request of the Plaintiff.
4. The Court refused proper instructions asked by the Defendants.
5. The Court admitted improper evidence offered by the Plaintiff.

PURPLE & POWELL,

For Defendant.

The Court overruled said motion, and the Defendants' counsel then and there excepted to the decision of the Court in overruling said motion, and requested the Court to sign and seal this bill of exceptions, which is done.

M. BALLOU, [SEAL.]

Judge of 23d Judicial Circuit, Ills.

The Errors assigned are,

1. Sustaining Plaintiff's demurrer to the Defendants' 2d Plea to 1st count of the Declaration.

2. Admitting improper evidence offered by Plaintiff, and rejecting proper evidence offered by Defendants.

3. Overruling Defendants' motion for a new trial.

4. Giving Plaintiff's and refusing Defendants' instructions.

5. Rendering Judgment in favor of the Plaintiff.

N. H. PURPLE,

Defendants' Attorney.

106

Lyman J. Seamon

vs

Peoria Board of Aldermen

Filed Apr 21, 1838

L. Seamon

Clk