

No. **12125**

# Supreme Court of Illinois

Vandruff.

---

vs.

Whitney.

---

Joshua Vandruff }  
 James Craig & }  
 Daniel H Whitney }  
 Judgment in Circuit Court  
 of St Louis Co Missouri  
 June 16<sup>th</sup> 1842  
 no debts for \$1,999.50  
 costs 14.93<sup>3/4</sup>

Execution issued to Boone Co on above judgment  
 July 21<sup>st</sup> 1842.  
 Return not satisfied. no property  
 found Oct 19 1842

alias Execution issued on said judgment  
 Feb 18 1843. to Boone County. from  
 which there has been no return in my  
 Office.

Pluris Execution issued on said judgment  
 March 26 1847. of which there has been  
 no return in my Office.

On the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of June A.D. 1847. the above  
 named defendant Whitney filed in my Office  
 an affidavit & in the words and figures fol-  
 lowing to wit:

In St Louis Circuit Court  
 Joshua Vandruff }  
 vs } Debt \$1999.50  
 James Craig & }  
 Daniel H Whitney }  
 Costs 14.93  
 Paid from June 16/42

Daniel H Whitney one of the above named defendants  
 being duly sworn on oath deposes and saith that a

Plurvs Fi Fa was issued to Joel Flood Sheriff of the County of Boone bearing date the 26<sup>th</sup> day of March 1847 against the above named defendants for the Debt and costs as above stated, and that the said sheriff by virtue thereof did on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of May A.D. 1847 make a levy on the following described lands & premises property of this deponent to wit: All of the south easterly half of Block No 4. to wit: Lots No 1. 2. 3 & 8 in Block number 4. in Whitney's addition to the town of Belvidere and also that piece of timber land commencing at the North East corner of the North west quarter of Section Twenty seven. Township 44 North 3 East of the third principal Meridian Running thence west on section line seventy seven rods. thence south twenty rods. thence East seventy seven rods. thence North Twenty rods to the place of beginning containing 9 acres & 100 rods of land and also that piece of Land in said County commencing at the N. E. corner of the E half of the S. E. quarter of Section Twenty Eight in Township 44. N of range 4 East of the third principal meridian thence west forty rods. thence south to the center of the Kishaway River. thence up the center of said River to the East line of said lot. thence North on said line to the place of beginning containing thirty acres of Land. Also Lots 5 & 6 in Block No 40 in the original plat of Belvidere. And this deponent further saith that on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of October A.D. 1842 he the said deponent presented his petition to the district Court of the United States holden at Springfield in the State of Illinois praying the said Court to be declared a Bankrupt which said petition was accompanied with a schedule of all of his the said deponents Debts & liabilities together with a just statement of all property owned by him and in the statement of said indebtedness was included the above said judgment. This deponent further saith that on the 17<sup>th</sup> day of June A.D. 1843 the said District Court of the United States for the District of Illinois did decree a final.

discharge of this deponent from all Debts owing by him at the time of the presentation of his said petition for the benefit of said Bankrupt Laws among said Debts were included the judgment upon which said execution has issued and the aforesaid levy has been made.

This deponent further saith that at the time of receiving his discharge under the said Bankrupt Laws was not the owner of the property now levied upon by the said Sheriff, as above described or any part thereof but to the same had no interest whatever either in law or equity.

Sworn & subscribed before me this 25<sup>th</sup> day of May 1847  
J. P. Loop J.P.

Daniel H Whitney

County of Boone and State Illinois

Sworn to & subscribed before me this 11<sup>th</sup> day of October A.D. 1847

Wm H Bradley clerk

circuit court of St. Louis Co. Ills.

The following entry appears of Record in the Circuit Court aforesaid of the date of October 11<sup>th</sup> 1847.

Joshua Vandruff

vs

Daniel H Whitney &  
James Craig

Motion for Stay &

The defendant D H Whitney by his counsel comes and files his petition verified by affidavit & moves the court therein to direct a stay of further proceedings under and by virtue of an execution issued in this cause from this court on the 26<sup>th</sup> day of March A.D. 1847.

which motion is sustained by the court and further proceedings under said Execution are ordered to be stayed until the next term of this court to which filing of said petition as also to the decision and order of the court thereon the Plaintiff by his attorney excepts and on motion of the defendant Whitney by his attorney leave is granted him by the court to amend his petition.

And afterwards the following entry appears of Record of the date of March 14. 1848

Joshua Vandruff  
vs  
James Craig and  
Daniel H Whitney

On Motion to Stay Execution

On motion of the Defendant

Daniel H Whitney by his attorney the order heretofore entered for leave to amend his affidavit is vacated and the Plaintiff by his attorney moves the court to vacate the order heretofore made staying proceedings under the execution heretofore issued in this cause for reasons filed.

And afterwards to wit: on the same day the following entry appears of Record

Joshua Vandruff

vs

James Craig and  
Daniel Whitney

On Motion to Stay Execution

Now came on to be heard the cross motion heretofore filed by the Plaintiff by his attorney to vacate the order heretofore made staying proceedings under the execution heretofore issued in this cause which motion after argument is overruled by the court to which ruling and decision of the court the Plaintiff by his attorney excepts and the application heretofore made by the Defendant Daniel H Whitney by his attorney for a perpetual stay of the execution referred to in this application is sustained by the court to which ruling and decision of the court the Plaintiff by his attorney excepts

And afterwards to wit: on the 16<sup>th</sup> of March 1848 the following entry appears of Record

Joshua Vandruff

vs

James Craig and  
Daniel H Whitney

On Motion to Stay Execution

By agreement of the Plaintiff by his attorney and with the consent of the Defendant Daniel H. Whitney by his counsel that part of the order rendered on the 14<sup>th</sup> inst directing a perpetual stay of the Execution upon which this proceeding is based is ordered by the Court to be vacated and the original order heretofore made suspending the execution, to remain in force until the further order of this Court.

And afterwards to wit: on the 17<sup>th</sup> of March 1848 the following entry appears of Record

Joshua Vandruff

vs

James Craig &  
Daniel H Whitney

Proceeding to Stay Execution issued  
on a judgment in this entitled cause

The said Plaintiff Joshua Vandruff by his attorney comes and moves the court on affidavits filed to let the levy & Execution in this case stand as a security for whatever judgment may be obtained against said defendant Daniel H Whitney in an action to be brought against him by the said Plaintiff on the judgment upon which said Execution issued.

And afterwards to wit: on the 20<sup>th</sup> March 1848 the following entry appears of Record.

Joshua Vandruff

vs

James Craig &  
Daniel H Whitney

Motion to stay execution

Now at this day came on to be heard

the motion heretofore filed by the Plaintiff by his attorney  
to let the levy & execution in this cause stand as a security  
for whatever judgment may be obtained against said  
Whitney in an action to be brought against him by said  
Plaintiff on the judgment upon which said execution is  
which after argument is overruled by the court and the execu-  
-tion is ordered to be set aside to which decision of the court  
the Plaintiff by his Attorney excepts. It is therefore con-  
-sidered by the court that the defendant Whitney have and  
recover of the Plaintiff his costs by him in this behalf  
expended and that he have execution therefor.

State of Illinois

In said County of

J. Wm. Bradley Clerk of  
the Circuit Court in and for said County do  
truly certify the foregoing memorandum, and  
copies from the record of the proceedings of  
said Court to be truly and correctly transcribed  
therefrom as the same now appear in  
my Office. In testimony whereof I  
have hereunto set my hand  
and affixed the Seal of said  
Court at my Office in Gallatin  
in said County this 11<sup>th</sup> day of  
August A.D. 1857  
Attest William A. Bradley Clerk

James Vandrupp

vs

James Craig &  
Lewis McWhitney

Error to Joe Stevens

And now comes the plaintiff in error and says that in the record & proceedings aforesaid and in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid and there is manifest error in this to wit

- 1<sup>st</sup> The court erred in granting an order to stay the execution in favor of p<sup>ty</sup> in error against defendant in error mentioned in the record aforesaid ~~the court erred in~~
- 2<sup>d</sup> The court erred in annulling the motion of plaintiff to set aside the order staying the execution in favor of plaintiff in error against the defendant in error
- 3<sup>d</sup> The court erred in each order and decision made in the case
- 4<sup>th</sup> The court erred in rendering the Judgment aforesaid in manner & form aforesaid.

W. W. Cook  
atty for p<sup>ty</sup> in error



And the said Defendant in Error comes & says,  
that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid  
as the said Plaintiff hath assigned & of this &c.

Guthrie & Marsh  
Jrs. Writ in Error

28  
Subma. proceedings

in} Standish & Co

James Craig

Genl. of Whiting

\$2.25/100

Record

Filed March 7<sup>th</sup> 1853.

L. Deland Clerk.

Also assigned of error.

Filed June 15<sup>th</sup> 1853.

L. Deland Clerk.

By J. P. N. Deland Esq.

In Supreme Court

Orshua Vandriff

vs  
A. H. Whitney, implorator  
with James Craig

Ernest S. Davis

Among the reasons why the judgment  
in this Cause should not be reversed  
are the following;

There is no Record (such as the  
law Contemplates) of any judgment  
in the Court below. The paper on  
file, which is dignified by the name  
of Record, appears to be a mere Memorandum  
of the Clerk of steps  
taken in the Court below. It is  
clearly infamous in its Commence-  
ment and manifestly insuffi-  
cient in its Conclusion, being im-  
properly attested and not showing  
whether it contains or not a State-  
ment of all the proceedings in  
the Court below. And it is now  
too late to change or amend it  
there being a finding in Error,

But suppose it is a Record  
it shows that <sup>alias</sup> ~~copies~~ Execution  
were irregularly issued by the  
Clerk without a return of the  
alias ~~next~~ Execution, and the Plein

Execution should for that reason  
if none other have been set aside.

It shows no evidence in the  
Court below nor does it show there  
was none. It being then silent  
on that point the legal presump-  
tion is that the Court below de-  
cided upon proper & sufficient  
proof.

There is no Bill of Exceptions  
and the Record does not show  
the reasons for exceptions mentioned  
in Record.

Sec 46 Practice Act (I quote  
from recollection) shows the Cir Court  
may in its discretion stay or set  
aside proceedings under & unless  
it is submitted whether the  
exercise of this discretion is sub-  
ject to review in this Court

The party by <sup>the</sup> decision of Cir Court  
is not prevented from applying  
to the Court below ~~to permit~~ <sup>to permit</sup>, if it  
deems it proper, the issuing of  
another Execution - the Execu-  
tion under consideration being only  
temporarily stayed

The original affidavit referred  
to in Record shows that after White-  
ney's discharge in Bankruptcy the

It in question was issued and  
very made, whereupon W, in one  
sense of the term, pleads his dis-  
charge in bar of further proceedings  
by issuing execution. Such a  
discharge is final & binding upon  
every body until either set aside  
in U.S. Court granting it or  
by affirmatively showing it was  
obtained in fraud of Creditors  
which might perhaps be done  
by suing upon the judgment  
where an issue could be made  
up and tried. And this was  
the question I supposed until  
I arrived here the record merely  
presents. But as it does not  
I will not discuss it.

Now while the Record shows  
no evidence upon which the  
Court below stayed proceedings under  
execution, I repeat it does not  
show either that there was no evi-  
dence or insufficient evidence  
the intendants and presumptions  
of law are in support of decision  
of Court below, & the Plaintiff in  
Error cannot upon such a  
Record say there to the contrary.

This case as it now stands  
as I understand it, presents no  
questions but those of the practice

of this Court and it is of course  
unnecessary to cite authorities on  
questions which cannot properly  
arise now.

July 8/53

Allen C. Miller  
Atty Gen Dist in Error

In the foregoing I beg leave to add on the part of Dist in error  
that the power of the Court to stay or set aside  
executions, as provided for in Sec 46 of the Practice  
Act is incidental to the general power of the Court  
over its process, is a discretionary power, its exercise  
is in the nature of a mere interlocutory order, not  
subject to a writ of error—

The order of the Court setting aside the execution is not a  
judgment in which a writ of error will lie.

Brooks vs Hunt. 17. John. 484-6.

Gr. Pr. 706.

Without a bill of exceptions containing the evidence, & all the  
evidence before the Court on the motion, the Court  
cannot see that there was any error in the ruling  
of the Court below.

J. Mank  
for Dist in Error

No 28.

Joshua Vandewuff

vs

D. H. Whitney

Print

Filed July 12, 1853.  
L. Nelson Clk.

*[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]*

Bethesda 15 May 1853

Nowell Eaton

Dear Sir:

I take the liberty to enclose to you a stipulation in a case on Error from Jo Sains which I wish you to hand to the Clerk for filing.

This is a matter in which my partner, Mr Bruce, who is now at Ottawa is not engaged. Mr Huellet, who is the attorney for Pluffe in Error, informs me that Miss Glover Cook has the case temporarily in charge for him.

The title of the case may not be exactly correct but I presume there is no other suit from Jo Sains that will prevent your identifying the case when reached on the call of the docket.

I expect now to be at Ottawa during a part of the term unless my business, which is now very important at home, prevents. In case I am not, Mr Marsh of Rockford will take charge of this case for me.

Very Respectfully  
Yours &c  
Allen C. Hullett.

Texas March 5<sup>th</sup> 1853

Dear Sir

Enclosed please find a transcript in case of Joshua Vandrup vs James Craig & Daniel Whitney which you will please file forthwith and issue writ of Error thereon. The defendant Whitney lives in at Belvedere Boone County and the deft Craig lived in Joe Davies Co but is now deceased. I enclose you five dollars to pay Clks fees with and will from time to time forward fees as due or required.

I have written to book & Slover this day to attend to the argument upon the writ of Error and in order to make sure that they will attend to it please speak to them to attend to it.

As the case is important to me I hope you will not neglect filing the transcript & attending to it. The time for



Prosecuting writ of Habeas nearly  
efficed. ~~John's Truly~~  
E. Southwick

Vandrupp  
Whitney  
Paine

Filed March 7. 1850.  
d. Deland C. W.

State of Illinois, sct.

WRIT OF ERROR—FREE TRADER PRINT.

The People of the State of Illinois,  
To the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the County of *Boone* — GREETING :

BECAUSE in the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which  
was in the Circuit Court of *Jo Davis* county, before the Judge thereof, between —

*Joshua Vandruff*

plaintiff and *Samuel H. Whitney*

defendant it is said manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the aforesaid *plaintiff*

as we are informed by *his* complaint, and we being willing that error, if any there be, should be  
corrected in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that  
if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly, without delay, send to our Justices of the  
Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plea aforesaid, with all things touching the same,  
under your seal, so that we may have the same before our justices aforesaid at Ottawa, in the county  
of La Salle, on the *2<sup>d</sup> Monday in June* — next, that the record and proceedings,  
being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done  
according to law.

WITNESS, the Hon. SAMUEL H. TREAT, Chief Justice of our said  
Court, and the seal thereof, at Ottawa, this *7<sup>th</sup>*  
day of *March* in the year of our Lord one thousand eight  
hundred and fifty *three*.

*L. Keland* Clerk of the Supreme Court.

Joshua Vanduff  
vs  
Paul, D. Whitney  
Writ of Error

Filed March 7, 1853.  
S. Ireland Clk.



WITNESSES the Hon. James H. Tappan, Chief Justice of our said  
Court, and the said Justice of the Peace, this 7th day of  
March 1853, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight  
hundred and fifty three.

James H. Tappan  
Justice of the Peace

STATE OF ILLINOIS, }  
Supreme Court.

The People of the State of Illinois,

To the Sheriff of the County of Boone Greeting:

BECAUSE in the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the circuit court of Jedaviss county, before the Judge thereof, between Joshua Vandruff plaintiff & Daniel A. Whitney impleaded with James Craig

defendant, it is said that manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the said

Vandruff as we are informed by his complaint, the record and proceedings of which said judgment we have caused to be brought into our Supreme Court of the state of Illinois, at Ottawa, before the justices thereof, to correct the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; therefore we command you, that by good and lawful men of your county, you give notice to the said Daniel A. Whitney

that he be and appear before the justices of our said supreme court, at the next term of said court, to be holden at Ottawa, in said state, on the 2<sup>nd</sup> Monday in June next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and the errors assigned, if he shall see fit; and further to do and receive what said court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom you shall give the said Whitney notice, together with this writ.

Witness, the Hon. SAMUEL H. TREAT, Chief Justice of our said Court, and the seal thereof, at Ottawa, this seventh day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty three.

S. Ireland Clerk of the Supreme Court.

Joshua Vandruff

vs

Daniel H. Whitney

Sci. Fa. to Whitney

Boone County.

Filed March 17<sup>th</sup> 1853.  
H. Seland Clk.

Answer the petition by answering to  
the petition of Samuel H. Whitney  
this 15<sup>th</sup> day of March 1853

Wm. H. Wood

Attorney at Law  
Boone County

For same \$0

92 10

For 10

80



In Supreme Court

Term  
Nov 1853

John P Vandruff

vs  
Daniel W Whitney

Error from Jr  
Dairs

implied with

Craig

It is hereby stipulated that this  
Cause shall not be taken up  
for argument until 2 weeks  
from this day unless by consent  
and that either party shall then  
be at liberty to apply for a Con-  
tinuance of the same without  
prejudice

Done at New York 13. 1853

A. C. Miller

Atty for Deft

S. A. Hulbert

for Plff in error

Supreme Court

J. P. Vandrust  
Plt in Error

vs

Whitney & Craig

Defendants

Filed June 16<sup>th</sup> 1853.  
L. Leland Clk.  
By P. K. Leland Depy.

*[Faint, illegible handwritten notes or bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]*

Vandruff  
vs  
Daniel Whitney  
impleaded with Craig

Supreme Court  
Error to Soc Davies  
Circuit Court

It is further stipulated that this  
cause shall not be taken up before  
the ~~24th~~<sup>seventh</sup> day of July next

June 24th 1853

S. A. Hulbert  
for Plff in Error  
A. C. Haller  
Hon Depts - Error

Mr. Clark

Please file this stipulation  
A. C. Haller

2125-17



28  
Tandruft

vs  
Whitney

---

Stipulation

Filed June 28. 1859.  
S. Seland Ck.

C 28

Joshua Vandrieff

by

Daniel H. Whitney

---

28

1853

Repaired

1853

~~W. H. Hunt & Co.~~