

No. 8803

Supreme Court of Illinois

Thomas P. Ayres

vs.

Robert R. Kelly

71641  7

Be it remembered that in the town of on the 22^d day of January 1849 Robert R. Kelly made and filed in the Clerk's office of the Williamson County Circuit Court the following affidavit to wit.

Robert R. Kelly
vs
Thomas P. Ayres } trespass & assault damages \$1000.
Affidavit Term of the Williamson
Circuit Court 1849

Be it known that on this day January 22^d A.D. 1849 personally appeared before the undersigned Clerk of the Circuit Court in and for the County of Williamson and State of Illinois Robert R. Kelly and after being duly sworn according to law made oath that he is about commencing an action of trespass & assault against Thomas P. Ayres of the County and State aforesaid for that the said Thomas P. Ayres did on the 18th day of January A.D. 1849 at the County of Williamson and State of Illinois without any just cause or provocation make divers assaults upon the person of the said Robert R. Kelly and then and there with his fist and a large and dangerous knife did inflict various bruises wounds and scars upon the person of the said Robert R. Kelly and then and there with the said knife did cut stab and wound the said Robert R. Kelly in a most brutal and shocking manner in such that the said Robert R. Kelly's life was for a long while almost despaired of. This deponent further states that he expects to recover of and from the said Thomas P. Ayres in said suit for the wrongs aforesaid a large amount of damages to wit the sum of one

thousand dollars and further states that he fears
and believes that the benefit of whatever judgement he may
recover in the said action for the wrongs and injuries
aforesaid will be lost unless the said Thomas P. Byrnes
be held to bail as the law directs in such cases and
further this deponent saith not

Sworn to and subscribed before me the day and year
above written

John T. Gudow Clerk circuit court

And afterwards towit, on the 22nd day of January
1849 the said Robert R. Kelly sued out of the Clerks
office of said County the following writ of Capias
ad respondendum which is in the words and figures
following to wit,

State of Illinois }
Williamson County } set

The people of the State of Illinois
to ~~any~~ the Sheriff coroner or any Constable of said
County Greeting You command you to take the body
of Thomas P. Byrnes if he be found in your County
and him safely keep so that you have him before
our Circuit Court on the first day of the next term
thereof to be commanded and holden at the Court-
House in the Town of Marion on the 2nd Monday in
April next then and there to answer Robert R. Kelly
of a Plea of trespass with damages to the damage
of the said Plaintiff of one thousand dollars as he
alleges and herein fail not at your peril and hereby
make due return to our said court as the law

Records from
Williamson

Kelly }
as }
Ayres }

Directs

Witness John T London Clerk of
Said Court and the judicial seal therof at
Marion this 22^d day January AD 1849

John T London clk

It is ordered that the defendant may be held to
bail in the sum of five hundred dollars

John T London clk

And afterwards to wit on the 24^t day of January 1849
Joel Hufstutter Sheriff of said County of Williamson
made the following return upon the aforesaid writ
to wit, Execute the within by arresting the within
of T Arnes and taking bail for the amount
Specified this January 24^t 1849

I Hufstutter Sheriff

And afterwards to wit on the 24^t day of January
1849 Joel Hufstutter Sheriff filed in the Clerks Office
of said County the following Bond to wit,

State of Illinois } \$55
Williamson County }

Be it remembred that on the 24^t day
of January AD 1849 before the subscriber Sheriff of
Said County of Williamson personally appeared T P.
Arnes J T Goddard James Morgan B F Hurley and
John Davis who severally acknowledged themselves to
owe to Robert R Kelly the sum of \$500 to be levied
upon their goods and chattels lands and tenements to the
use of said Kelly if default be made

in the conditions following to wit
 Whereas the said Sheriff has this day arrested the said
 T P Byus who is now in custody upon a writ of
 Capias ad suspicendum issued from the Circuit Court
 of Said County in a plea of Trespass Vicr-Arms
 pending in said court against him Now therefore if
 the said T P Byus shall well appear on the first day
 of the next term of said court then and there to answer
 unto said plea and abide the order of the court and
 not depart ^{the court} without leave then this Recognizance to be
 void and of none effect otherwise to be in full force
 and virtue

T P Byus 
 J H Goddard 

Taken and acknowledged before James Morgan 
 Me the day and year aforesaid B A Hartley 
J Hufstutter Sheriff John Davis 

And be it remembred further that W^t Allen the attorney
 for the Plaintiff in this suit filed in the Clerk's office of
 Williamson County and State of Illinois on the 22^d day
 of January AD 1849 the following Declaration in the
 words and figures following (to wit)

State of Illinois  April Term Williamson
 Williamson County Circuit Court AD 1849

Robert R. Kelly the Plaintiff in
 this suit complains of Thomas P. Byus the Defendant in this
 suit who has been arrested by of a plea of Trespass Vicr-Arms
 for that Whereas the said Defendant heretofore
 went on the eighth day of January in the year of our
 Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty nine with force

And be it remembred further that on the day and year last
aforeaid and at the Circuit aforesaid Thomas P. Ayres the
Defendant in the aforesaid cause by Allen & Daugherty his
Attorneys came and filed his Pleas in this behalf in the
words and figures following to wit

Thomas P. Ayres
adsi }
Robert R. Kelly } Trusps ap

1st And the said Defendant by Allen & Daugherty
his Attorneys comes and defends the force and injury
where &c and says that he is not guilty in manner
and form as the said Plaintiff hath above throwed
in his Declaration herein complained against him and
of this he puts himself upon the Country &c
And the Plaintiff doth the like

Wm Allen for plff

2nd And the said Defendant for further plea in
this in this behalf as to the stabbing cutting assaulting
beating bruising wounding and ill treating the said
Plaintiff as in his said Declaration as Specified and
Mentioned and as to the tearing the clothes rending them
apart and spoiling the wearing apparel of the Robert
R. Kelly as in his Declaration Mentioned saith
aetit. Now because he says that the said Robert R.
Kelly first before the said times when &c in said
Declaration mentioned. Tovit on the day & year
there mentioned at &c aforesaid with force and
arms &c made an assault upon him the said Defen-
dant and would then and there have beat-bruised
wounded and ill treated him the said Defendant

if he had not immediately defended himself against the said Robert R. Kelly. Wherefore he the said defendant did then and there defend himself against the said Plaintiff as he lawfully might for the cause aforesaid and in so doing did necessarily and unavoidably, a little beat bruise wound and ill treat said Plaintiff and rend tear damage and spoil the said apparel in said declaration mentioned doing no unnecessary damage to the said Plaintiff on the occasion aforesaid and so the said defendant saith that if any hurt or damage then and there happened to the said Plaintiff Robert R. Kelly or his said wearing apparel the same was occasioned by the said assault so made by the said Plaintiff on him the said defendant and in the necessary defence of him self the said Thomas P. Byrnes against the said Plaintiff Robert R. Kelly which are the same supposed trespasses in the introductory part of this plea mentioned and whereof the said Jeff hath above thereof complained against him the said defendant. And this he is ready to verify &c

Allen & Daugherty for
T. P. Byrnes deft-

And afterwards to wit on the Eleventh day of September 1849 and at the Fall Term of the Williamson Circuit of said year the following motion was entered to wit

Robert R. Kelly)
vs) Trespass & tort armis
Thomas P. Byrnes)

And now on this day came the

Thomas P Ayres defendant in the above entitled cause being first duly sworn deposes and says that he caused one Joseph Bingham who was in Williamson County in the State of Illinois but who resides as he is informed in Morgan County State of Ohio to be subpoenaed in this cause to attend at this term of this court to testify on his behalf. That the said witness is not in attendance at this term of this court he expects to prove by said witness that this affiant in the several alledged supposed trespasses in Plaintiffs declaration herein that he this affiant acted in self defence and what he did was in necessary self defence against the said Kelly Plaintiff and that the names of no other witness by whom he can so fully prove these facts. He expects to be able to procure the evidence of said witness by the next term of this court & further he saith not.

Subscribed and sworn
to before me this 10th day of
April 1849

T. P. Ayres

John T. Loudon Esq

And afterwards to wit on
the same day this the following order was made and
entered of Record to wit,

Robert R. Kelly
is of Trespass v. et- arms
Thomas P Ayres

And now on this day came the parties
by their attorneys and the defendant Thomas P Ayres having filed his
affidavit herein that he cannot safely proceed to trial for the want
of material evidence It is therefore ordered by the court that this
cause be continued to the next term of this court - at the defen-
dants cost

And also the said Plaintiff was forced and
obliged to and did necessarily pay lay out
and expend a large sum of money to wit,
the sum of one hundred dollars in and about
endeavoring to be cured of the cuts bruises stabs
scratches wounds and lame up and disorder
last aforesaid occasioned as aforesaid to wit
at the County and State aforesaid And
other wrongs the said Defendant then and
there did to the said Plaintiff contrary to
the form of the Statute in such case made
and provided and against the peace
and dignity of the people of the ~~people~~
State of Illinois and to the damage of the
said Plaintiff of one thousand dollars
and therefore he sues &c

Wm J Allen

Atty for Plaintiff

State of Illinois }
Williamson County }
Set } Williamson Circuit Court
April Term AD 1849

Pleas before the Circuit Court within and for the County of
Williamson and State of Illinois on the tenth day of Ap-
ril in the year of our Lord one thousand Eight-
hundred and forty nine

Be it remembered that on the day and year above
mentioned and at the circuit aforesaid the following
affidavit was made and filed in the words and
figures following to wit

Thomas P. Ayrus }
Ado }
Robert R. Kelly }
Inspurp }
2nd day April Year 1849

and prevented from performing and transacting his necessary affairs and business by him during that time to be performed and transacted and also thereby the said Plaintiff was forced and obliged to and did necessarily pay lay out and expend a large sum of money toward the sum of one hundred dollars in and about endeavoring to be cured of the bruis
Cuts Stabs wounds sickness sores lameſſ and disorders aforesaid occasioned as aforesaid toward at the County and State aforesaid

And whereas also the said Defendant with force and arms at the County and State aforesaid on the day and year aforesaid made another assault on the said Plaintiff and then and there did beat bruise wound and ill treat him and also with a certain large and dangerous knife did cut and stab the said Plaintiff on divers parts of his body and then and there cut stabbed and wounded the said Plaintiff in so grievous a manner that his life was by reason thereof greatly dispeſed of and by reason of said cutting stab
bing beating & wounding the said Plaintiff then and there became sick and disordered and so continued for a long space of time toward for the space of four weeks thereafter following and was during all that time thereby rendered incapable of following and transacting his necessary affairs and business by him during that time to be done

and arms at the County of Williamson and state
of Illinois assaulted the said Plaintiff and then
and there with great force and violence seized and
laid hold of the said Plaintiff and then and there
with a certain large and dangerous knife and with
his fists gave and struck the said Plaintiff a great
many violent strokes and blows on and about
divers parts of his body and then and there with
the said large and dangerous knife severely stabbed
and cut the said Plaintiff on and about divers
parts of his body. And also with great force
and violence shook and pulled about the
said Plaintiff and cast and threw the said
Plaintiff down to and upon the ground
and then and there violently kicked the said
Plaintiff and gave and struck him a great
^{many} other blows and strokes and also then and there
with great force and violence rent tore and damaged
the clothes and wearing apparel to wit one coat
one waist coat one pair of breeches an cravat
one shirt one pair of stockings and one hat
of the said Plaintiff of great value to wit
of the value of fifty dollars. Which the said
Plaintiff then and there wore and was clothed
with By means of which said several premises
the said Plaintiff was then and there greatly
hurt cut brised and wounded and became
and was sick long lame and disordered
and so remained and continued for a long
space of time to wit for the space of four
weeks thence next following during all of
which time the said Plaintiff thereby suffered
and underwent great pain and was hindred

Parties by their attorneys and the counsel
for the plaintiff moved the court to strike
the 2nd plea filed herein from the files which
motion is by the court overruled

And afterwards again on the
same day came the defendant Thomas P.
Aynes and made & filed the following affidavit
to wit,

R Kelly
as } Plaintiff
Thomas P Aynes } Defendant

Thomas P Aynes being
first duly sworn deposes and says that the
plaintiff Robert Kelly is so unsettled as to
endanger the officers of this court with respect
to their legal demands and also he fears that
the said plaintiff is unable to pay the costs
of this suit & further he swears not to be
subscribers and sworn
to before me this the 11th day of September
1849

John T Gordon Clerk

And afterwards again on the same day this the follow
ing rule was entered to wit,

Robert R Kelly
as } Plaintiff Rest arms
Thomas P Aynes } Defendant

And now again on this day

Came the parties by their attorneys and upon affidavit of the defendant a rule nisi is granted to give security for costs or show cause

And afterwards again tomt on
the same day the following order was made tomt,

Robert R. Kelly }
vs. S. Yusup }
Thomas P. Ayres } Suspof But denies

And now again on this day came the parties by their attorneys and upon hearing of the rule nisi filed herein to give security it is considered by the court that sufficient cause is shown and the rule discharged

And afterwards again tomt on the same day came the Plaintiff Counsel and filed his application herein (To mt)

R. R. Kelly }
vs. S. Yusup }
T. P. Ayres } Sept-Term Muson Circuit
Court AD 1829

And the said plff by his attys as to the plea of the said Plaintiff by him secondly above pleaded says you did now because he says that the said defendant at the time when & c in said plea mentioned committed said assault upon him the said Plaintiff and then and there stabbed cut assaulted beat bruised and tore the clothes and wounding apparel of him the said Plaintiff

without this that he the said Plaintiff at the time when he in said Plea mentioned, with force and arms made an assault upon him the said Defendant and would then and there have beat bruised wounded and ill treated him the said Defendant if he had not immediately defended himself against the said Plaintiff and of this he puts himself upon the Country &c
 And the Defendant }
 doth the like }
 Allen & Daugherty }

Montgomery Allen
for Plaintiff

Allen & Daugherty

And afterwards went on the same day this the following order was made went

Robert R. Kelly }
 vs } Suspendedict arms
 Thomas P. Ayres }

And now on this day came as well the Plaintiff by W. J. Allen & Montgomery his Attorneys and the Defendant by Allen & Daugherty his Attorneys and issue being joined upon the several pleas filed herein let a Jury come and there upon came the jurors of the Jury to wit John K. Dillard John C. Norris William B. Crain H. R. Hendrickson L. C. Parkes Thomas G. Lewis George W. Russell Hugh Hicks Isham Fuller John Phillips Lewis Calvert and Caldwell Russell twelve good and lawful men of the County who being elected tried and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined do say we of the Jury find the defendant guilty and assess the Plaintiff damages at \$55.53 cents

Motion for new trial entered

Robert R. Kelly }
 vs } Suspense & it arms
 Thomas P. Ayres }

And now on this day came
 the defendant by Daugherty & Allen his attys
 and moved the Court for a new trial and
 arrest of judgment habeas

Motion withdrawn

Robert R. Kelly }
 vs } Suspense & it arms
 Thomas P. Ayres }

At this day the counsel
 for the defendant withdrew the motion herein for
 a new trial &c It is therefore considered by the
 Court that the Plaintiff recover of the Def-
 endant the sum of \$ 55.⁵⁵₁₀₀ in manner and
 form as aforesaid by the Jury together with
 his proper costs and charges by him about
 his suit in this behalf expended and
 the defendant in money &c

And afterwards to wit on the same day
 the following order was entered Towit

Robert R. Kelly } Suspense & it arms
 vs }
 Thomas P. Ayres }

And now on this day came
 the parties by their attorneys and the counsel for
 the defendant prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court
 which said prayer is allowed by the defendant entering
 into bond within twenty days with James Morgan or 83^{1/2}
 Farlong securities in the sum of one hundred dollars

the counsel for the Defendant filed in the cause
herin this his following Bill of exceptions (towis,

Robert Kelly)
vs) *his self*
Thomas P Arys)

Be it remembred that
on the trial of this cause at Marion
in the State of Illinois on the 11th day of
September 1849 before the Honl Mr A Denning
Judge. the defendant Thomas P Arys by
his Attorney before the commencement of
the trial in this cause entered his motion
to withdraw his plea of not guilty filed herein
which motion the court overruled, and would
not allow him to withdraw his said plea of
not guilty. To which opinion of the court
in overruling his motion to withdraw his said
plea of not guilty filed herein.. the defendant
by his Attorneys excepts. and prays that
this his bill of exceptions may be signed ~~and~~
sealed and made a part of the record
in this cause all of which is done
accordingly done se

W A Denning Seal

And again on the same day
and at the filing of the above. the following
was also filed as follows (towis)

Robert Kelly
vs
Thomas D. Ayus }
Thomas D. Ayus }
True copy

Be it remembered that on the trial of this cause before the Honorable Mr a Denning Judge, at Marion in Williamson County State of Illinois on this the 11th day of September 1849 The defendant by his attorneys asked the Court to instruct the Jury, as follows to wit

"That if the Plaintiff committed the first assault, the verdict should be not guilty"

"That if Kelly assaulted Ayus as is alleged in defendants 2nd plea the verdict should be not guilty"

Which said instructions the court refused to give to the Jury to which opinion of said Court in refusing to give said instructions to the Jury the defendant by his attorneys excepts.

That on the trial of this cause the defendant asked and obtained from the Court the following instructions to the Jury in this cause to wit

"That although the Jury may believe from the testimony that Kelly committed the first assault yet if they further believe from the evidence that Ayus carried his resistance further than was necessary for his self defense he was not justified in

"So doing, and if the Jury so believe
"they will find a verdict for the
"Plaintiff

That the said last above
Mentioned instruction so asked by
Said Plaintiff was upon the trial
of this cause given by the Court, to the
Jury, to the opinion of the said Court,
in giving said instruction, the Defendant
also by his attorney excepts, and
Prays the Court to sign seal and make
this his bill of exceptions a part of the
record in this cause all of which
is accordingly done &c

Wm a Leving ^{Esq}

And afterwards again on the fifteenth
day of September AD 1849 The Defendant
Thomas P. Ayres executed and filed in
the Circuit Clerks office of Williamson
County the following bond To wit

I know all men by these presents
that in Thomas P. Ayres principal
and James Morgan and B. H. Furlong
Security are held and firmly bound unto
Robert R. Kelly in the penal sum
of one hundred dollars for the
payment of which well and truly
to be made we bind our selves our heirs

Executors and administrators jointly and severally firmly by these presents, signed with our hands and sealed with our seals this 15th day of September AD 1849

The condition of the above obligation is such that whereas the said Robert P. Kelly did at the September Term of the Williamson Circuit Court in the year of our Lord 1849 before the Honl William A Denning Judge of said Court recover a Judgment against the above bounden Thomas P Ayres in an action of Trespass, for the sum of thirtythree and thirtythree Principal and Costs of said and whereas the said Thomas P Ayres hath prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court of the said Cause which hath been granted Now if the said Thomas P Ayres shall well and truly pay the Judgment Costs interest and damages in case the Judgment shall be affirmed in the supreme court and shall duly prosecute his said appeal then this obligation to be void otherwise to remain ~~in force~~ in full force and virtue

Signed sealed and delivered
in presence of me this
September 15th AD 1849
John P Loudon Clerk

T P Ayres Seal
James Morgan Seal
B F Hurley Seal

State of Illinois
Williamson County

I John P Loudon Clerk of
the Circuit Court in and for the County of

Williamson and State of Illinois do hereby
certify that the above and foregoing is a true
and perfect copy of the Record and proceedings
in the of Robert R Kelly against Thomas P.
Ayer as appears by a reference to the files
and records of my office

Given under my hand
and official seal at
Office in Marion this
19th day of October AD 1849

John J Loudon Clerk
U.S. W.C.

Fri 25th Oct 49
A. M. Boston
Clerk

Thomas B. Ayres

No 3

Robert D. Kelly

two from William

8803

1849

Book No
Southam

Mt. Vernon

At a Supreme Court, began and held at Springfield, on Monday the 12th day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty nine, to wit: On Wednesday the 21st day of November in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty nine.

Present, the Honorable SAMUEL H. TREAT, Chief Justice.
" " JOHN D. CATON,
" " LYMAN TRUMBULL,

Thomas P. Atches

vs.

Error to Williamson

Robert R. Kelly

On this day came again the said parties, and the Court having diligently examined and inspected, as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, as the matters and things therein assigned for error, and being now sufficiently advised of and concerning the premises, are of opinion, that in the record and proceedings aforesaid, and in the rendition of the judgment

aforesaid, there is manifest error: Therefore it is considered by the Court, that for that error and others in the record and proceedings aforesaid, the judgment of the Circuit Court in this behalf rendered, be reversed, annulled, set aside, and wholly for nothing esteemed, and that this cause be remanded to the Circuit Court for such other and further proceedings as to law and justice shall appertain. The whole with the costs against the said

Opinion of the Court by Chief Justice Treat.

This was an action of trespass for an assault and battery. The defendant pleaded not guilty, on which issue was taken; and on assault demense, to

which the plaintiff applied de injuria previous to the trial the defendant asked leave to withdraw the plea of not guilty, which the Court denied. On the trial, the Court refused to instruct the jury "That if the Complainant committed the first assault, the Verdict should not be guilty" but instructed them, that if the defendant carried his resistance further than was necessary in self defence they should find for the plaintiff. It is insisted that, under the issue formed in the case, the defence was complete on proof that the plaintiff committed the first assault, although the defendant may have used more force than was necessary to repel it. There are some authorities that favor this view of the case, but the better opinion seems to be that the plaintiff may, under the application of de injuria to the plea of Non assault de mensa, and without a special application or a new assignment, show that the defendant's battery was excessive. It is so laid down in 2^d Greenleaf, Eq, Sec. 95. 1st Stephens' A.P. 216, and 1st Chitty, pl. 636, 10. American Edition and expressly decided in the cases of Fisher vs. Bridges & Blackford, 518, and Curtis vs. Carson 2, New Hampshire 539. in Bennett vs. Appleton, 23 St Wendell, 378 it was held in an action of assault and battery when Maliter Marris impunit was pleaded, that the plaintiff could under the application de injuria recover damages for an excess of force on the part of the defendant. In Hartman vs. Eccles, 15 Massachusetts, 347 when the defendant to an action for an assault and battery that as a Master of a vessel he moderately chastised the plaintiff one of the crew of the ship, for a wilful disobedience of orders, to which the plaintiff applied de injuria the Court held that it was competent for the plaintiff to prove that the beating

was excessive and beyond all proportion to the offence committed; those cases are not distinguishable in principle from the one before us. The application de injuria is a general traverse of the whole plea, and under it, the plaintiff is at liberty is at liberty to adduce any proof that tends to disprove any of the facts alledged in the plea. It is only when the plaintiff seeks to introduce new matter which shows that the facts stated in the plea, though true do not justify the trespass, that he is required to apply specifically or revo apon. 3^d Greenleaf; Ev. S. 633. The plea in this case, pursuing the form in 3^d Chitty's pl., 1068, alleges that the defendant committed the act complained of in self defence, "necessarily and unavoidably", and did "no unnecessary damage to the plaintiff." A material averment of the plea is, that no more force was used than was necessary to repel the assault. If that degree of force was exerted the averment fails, and with it the defence, there was no error in the instructions of the Court. We think however that the Court erred in not allowing the defendant to withdraw his plea. The plaintiff has an unqualified right to dismiss his whole cause or any substantive cause of action stated in his declaration. The rights of the parties should be reciprocal. The defendant should be permitted to abandon his whole defence or any distinct part of it. This is a matter of course. The Court has no discretion over it. Now the plaintiff can be injured by the unconstitutional withdrawal of a plea, we are at a loss to conceive. In this case it removed the burden of proving his cause of action. It was insisted on the argument.

that the defendant was not prejudiced by the refusal
of the Court. That may be otherwise. His ob-
ject in withdrawing the plea, may have been
to dispense with the further attendance of the
witnesses relied on to prove the cause of action,
and thereby diminish the amount of costs
which he might be adjudged to pay.

The judgment of the Circuit Court is
reversed with costs; and the cause is re-
manded for further proceedings.

Caton, Justice, said:

I am not prepared to concur in reversing
this judgment —

I, Timney D. Preston, Clerk of the Supreme
Court of the State of Illinois do hereby certify
that the foregoing is a true Copy of the
final order and opinion of the above
entitled Cause of Record in my office.

In testimony whereof I have
hereunto set my hand and affir-
ed the seal of the said Supreme
Court at the Court room in the
City of Vernon on the 2d day of Jan-
uary, A.D. 1850.

Timney D. Preston, Clerk
Supt. Court —

Supreme Court.

Thomas P. Ayres

Mr. B. Everett Williams

Robert P. Kelly

Final order of opinion

49

8863

Chasmas T Ayers
vs
Robert H Kelly

3 appeal from Williamson

This was an action of trespass
against a hatter. The defendant filed plea of not guilty
and two two two two two before the trial of this cause
defendant - moved the court - for leave to withdraw his
plea of not guilty, refused by the court. Trial proceeded and
judgment for \$33.33. damages for plaintiff.

Error assigned

- 1st The court erred in refusing to allow defendant to withdraw his plea
- 2nd The court refused proper instructions for defendant
- 3rd The court gave improper instructions for plaintiff

1st. Error assigned

The Motion to withdraw a plea is a matter
of Course. See 1st Ladd's Practice p 67,

2nd & 3 Errors assigned

See 2nd Greenleaf Evidence p 533, p 557,
634, p 570

If the defendant justifies in an action of trespass and
the plaintiff relies on any fact or circumstances
which either deprives the defendant of the justification
or the must reply it - specifically instead of replying
de injuria sua et because such fact or circumstance
cannot be given in evidence under the de injuria sua et

See also 2nd Starkie Evidence p 825.

1- Chitty on Pleas p 592, 593, and 414

2nd Campbell. 509

3rd Massachusetts Rep 347.

B
O
W

Glennwood. 7 stages

Mr. S. H. Stetson

Archiv. 1.

308

Thamias T Ayers } appeal from Williamson
vs
Robert H Kelly }

And the said Thamias T Ayers by Daugherty his atty comes into Court and says that at the record and proceedings -
dissolved as also in the rendition of judgment,
there is manifest error in this to wit, ¹ That the
Court erred in giving the instructions following to us,

"That although the jury may believe from the testimony that
Kelly committed the first assault, yet if they further
believe from the evidence that Ayers carried his
resistance further than was necessary for his self
defense his act was justified in so doing, or if the jury so believe
they will find a verdict for the plaintiff"

2nd There is also error in this, That the Court refused
to give for us the following instruction viz That if the plaintiff
committed the first assault, the verdict should be
not guilty.

That if Kelly assaulted Ayers as is alleged in defendant's
plea the verdict should be not guilty.

3rd There is also error in this that the Court overruled
defendant's motion for a ~~new trial~~ motion
to withdraw the plea of not guilty in this cause
by whom filed him.

points in error I Daugherty atty for T T Ayers
No. 1 Not guilty
atty for deft
in error

1 Ladd Tradit 576 & 488

2 Greenleaf Eq p 589 D 633 page 590 & 634

2nd 2 Stark's Evidence p 153, note A.

1 Chitty on Pleas p 592. 1693 - 417

15 Map Rep p 349

Stylos
var. appalachianus
S. C. Milligan

Spizanthus
Conway

Field 15th Oct. 1849
C. D. Preston, Clark

1849