

12946

No. _____

Supreme Court of Illinois

Town of Ottawa

vs.

Walker et al

71641  7

STATE OF ILLINOIS, SUPREME COURT,

THIRD GRAND DIVISION.

APRIL TERM, A. D. 1859.

Error to La Salle County.

TOWN OF OTTAWA AND PHILO LINDLEY VS. GEORGE E. WALKER AND RICHARD THORNE.

ABSTRACT OF THE RECORD.

Record. Bill directed to February term, 1859, of La Salle Circuit Court; filed, November 12th, 1858.

1 Bill alleges that on the 3d day of September, 1858, there was filed in the office of the Clerk of the County Court of La Salle County, a certificate in writing, in substance as follows:

2 "At a town meeting, held at the Court House in the City of Ottawa, on Tuesday, April 6th, 1858, George B. Macey was chosen moderator, and J. D. Pennell clerk *pro tem*.

"At three o'clock of the same day J. D. Caton presented a petition for a tax of one-half mill on each one dollar valuation of the taxable property in the town of Ottawa, to be assessed for the purpose of repairing roads and bridges; and that the commissioners appropriate \$150 of the same towards repairing or building a road or bridge near the residence of L. Leland.

"And, at four o'clock on the same day, L. B. Delano presented a petition for a tax of two mills on each dollar valuation of taxable property, to be assessed for the purpose of building a free bridge across Fox River, near the Aqueduct: all of which was presented in due form and unanimously carried.

"STATE OF ILLINOIS, } ss. I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a
La Salle County, } true copy of entries of votes for raising money
made since the last annual meeting of the Board of Supervisors and re-
corded in the Town Books.

"Witness my hand, this 2d day of September, A. D. 1858.

J. B. RICE,
Town Clerk of said Town."

2 & 3 That about the hour of 3 o'clock, P. M., of the day on which said town meeting was held, by the direction of the electors then present, the balloting for officers of said town was suspended for the purpose of transacting the general business of the day, and, thereupon, said meeting did transact such general miscellaneous business, and the petition presented by Caton was acted upon and the tax therein mentioned voted, which business occupied about ten minutes, and, thereupon, the moderator announced that the miscellaneous business was closed, and the balloting was again resumed; and, at the time said announcement was made, no order was made by the electors for the transaction of any other business

Record. of a miscellaneous nature; and, afterwards, about the hour of 4 o'clock,
2 on said day, and after many of the electors, who were assembled at the time said announcement was made, had left said meeting, the action upon said petition presented by Delano was had as mentioned in said certificate, the balloting for the officers of the town having been suspended for that purpose.

3 & 4 That the Aqueduct mentioned in the said certificate is within the corporate limits of the City of Ottawa. That said city is incorporated by an act of the General Assembly, approved, Feb. 10th, 1853; and that, in and by said charter, exclusive power is given to the City Council to open, alter, abolish, widen, extend, establish, grade, pave, or otherwise improve and alter, repair streets, avenues, lanes and alleys, and other public highways, and to establish, erect and keep in repair bridges.

4 That, by Sec. 1, Art. 5 of said charter, it is provided that "the City Council shall have power and authority to levy and collect taxes upon all property, real and personal, within the limits of the City, not exceeding one-half of one per cent. per annum upon the assessed value thereof, and may enforce the payment of the same in any manner to be prescribed by ordinance, not repugnant to the Constitution of United States and of this State."

That there is no highway crossing said Fox River at or near the Aqueduct except the same be within the limits of the City of Ottawa.

5 That by Sec. 4 of an act to incorporate towns and cities, approved Feb. 10th, 1849, it is provided that "the corporate authorities of cities, incorporated under any special act, shall have power to pass all the ordinances and by-laws, and possess all the powers authorized under the laws and amendatory acts incorporating either of the cities of Springfield or Quincy."

That by an act entitled "An act to reduce the act incorporating the City of Springfield, and the several acts amendatory thereof, into one act, and to amend the same," approved March 2d, 1854, and by Art. 5, Sec. 4 of said act, it was provided that "the City Council shall have power, within the jurisdiction of the city, by ordinance, to have the exclusive control and power over the streets, alleys, and highways of the city, and to abate and remove any of the encroachments or obstructions thereon; to open, alter, abolish, widen, extend, straighten, establish, regulate, grade, clean, or otherwise improve the same, to put drains and sewers therein, and prevent the encumbering thereof in any manner, and protect the same from any encroachment or injury. To establish, erect, construct, regulate and keep in repair bridges, culverts, and sewers, sidewalks and cross-ways, and regulate the construction and use of the same, and to abate any obstructions or encroachments thereof."

That by Sec. 1, Art. 10, of said city of Ottawa, it is provided that "The inhabitants of the City of Ottawa are hereby exempt from working on any road beyond the limits of the city, and from paying any tax to procure laborers for working on the same."

6 That the complainants are taxpayers and property owners in the town and in the city of Ottawa.

That the Board of Supervisors of La Salle county, at their annual meeting, passed an order that said tax for said Fox River bridge be assessed upon said town, and that Philo Lindley, Clerk of the County

Record. Court, will, unless restrained by the court, extend said tax on the collector's book of said town.

6 & 7 Charges that said two mill tax has never been legally assessed, and that the town meeting had no right to pass any resolution to levy said tax, at the time, when the vote, mentioned in said certificate, was taken.

7 That said certificate does no show that said tax was voted at any town meeting of the town of Ottawa.

That no town meeting had a right to direct the building of a bridge within the City of Ottawa, or to raise any tax therefor, or to direct concerning the location or construction of any bridge or bridges within the City of Ottawa, or to direct the imposition or assessment of any tax for such purpose, but that such power is, by law, vested exclusively in the city; and that said City Council of said city have never directed or permitted the construction or erection of any bridge across Fox River at the point mentioned in said certificate.

PRAYER.

8 That said Lindley be restrained from extending said two mill tax upon the collector's book of the town of Ottawa, and that upon the final hearing of the bill the injunction be made perpetual.

9 Injunction issued Nov. 13th, 1858, and served Nov. 15th, 1858.

At November Term, A. D. 1858, defendants moved to dissolve the injunction, which motion was then and there overruled by the court; and afterwards, and at said November Term, A. D. 1858, it was ordered, adjudged, and decreed by the Court that the said injunction be made perpetual, and that the said Lindley, his clerks, &c., be forever enjoined from extending said tax upon said tax book.

Errors Assigned

15 1st That the bill contains no equity upon its face & no matter or things sufficient to authorize the court to grant the injunction or to render a final decree.

16 2nd The court erred in overruling the motion to dissolve the injunction.

17 3rd That the defendants were not called as a defendant.

~~before the consideration of the same~~

16 3^d That the defendants were not lawfully before the court for the purpose of a final hearing, at said Nov Term A.D. 1868

" 4th That defendants were not called or in default at or before the rendition of the decree

" 5th The court erred in rendering the final decree at a term prior to the one to which the bill was directed

" 6th That the court erred in enjoining the clerk from extending the tax upon the tax book

" 7th That the court erred in rendering said final decree & in making said injunction perpetual

~~before the consideration of the same~~

16 3^d That the defendants were not lawfully before the court for the purpose of a final hearing, at said Nov Term A.D. 1868

" 4th That defendants were not called or in default at or before the rendition of the decree

" 5th The court erred in rendering the final decree at a term prior to the one to which the bill was directed

" 6th That the court erred in enjoining the clerk from extending the tax upon the tax book

" 7th That the court erred in rendering said final decree & in making said injunction perpetual

STATE OF ILLINOIS, SUPREME COURT,

THIRD GRAND DIVISION.

APRIL TERM, A. D. 1859.

TOWN OF OTTAWA AND PHILO LINDLEY *vs.* GEORGE E.
WALKER AND RICHARD THORNE.

Error to La Salle County.

B R I E F .

The Court has no power to go back of the record and determine the regularity of the proceedings at the town meeting. Art. 8, Sec. 1 of the Township Organization Law, Cooke's Stat., 331, provides that "the Moderator, chosen to preside at the annual or special town meeting, shall regulate the business and proceedings thereof, *and shall decide all questions of order.*" His decision is conclusive, and its correctness cannot be disputed.

Art. 10, Sections 2 and 3, Township Organization Law, Cooke's Stat., 332-3, provides that the Clerk "shall transcribe, in the book of the records of his town, the minutes of the proceedings of every town meeting held therein, and he shall enter in his book every order or direction, and all rules and regulations by any such town meeting."

"He shall deliver to the Supervisor, before the annual meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the County, in each year, certified copies of all entries of votes for raising money, made since the last meeting of the Board of Supervisors, and recorded in the town book."

The transcript of the Town Clerk shows that the petition for the two mill tax "was presented in due form and unanimously carried."

The proceedings are regular upon the face of the record, and the record must be taken as true.

The 5th Sec. 11 Act of 1857 Cooke's Stat. 368 is directory merely 14 Barb. 291
Art. 3, Sec. 1, Town. Org. Law, Cooke's Stat., 326. The law provides that town meetings shall be held within the town, and the Court will presume that the meeting was held at the proper place, when the bill does not allege that it was not.

The law only requires a certified copy of the "entries of votes for raising money," and it is not necessary to set forth the whole proceedings.

Sess. Laws 1853, page 300; 10 Miss. 410. The City Council is not vested with exclusive power over highways and bridges within the city limits, unless such highways and bridges were established and constructed by the city authorities; the Council have exclusive power, and it is their duty to construct highways and bridges for the benefit and convenience of the people of the city, but they are not bound to construct roads and

bridges within the city limits for the benefit and convenience of the people of the town; that is a duty which devolves upon the town authorities alone, and their power to perform it is coequal with, if not superior to, that vested in the Council.

Sess. Laws 1853, page 314. The city charter provides that "the inhabitants of the city of Ottawa are hereby exempt from working on any roads beyond the limits of the city, and from paying any tax to procure laborers for working on the same."

It is manifest, from this clause, that the legislature intended to recognize the right of the town to tax property within the city for road purposes, and to limit the application of the tax to improvements within the city.

Private Laws 1854, 2d Sess., p. 35. The act giving cities incorporated under any general or special acts, the same powers possessed by the cities of Springfield and Quincy, was passed Feb. 10th, 1849; the amendatory act, giving the city of Springfield exclusive power over highways, was approved March 2, 1854, and therefore did not come within the provisions of the act of Feb. 10, 1849, which was not intended to include amendments that might be made after its passage.

The Court below had no authority to render a decree before the term to which the bill was directed. The appearance of the defendants was only for the purpose of a motion to dissolve, and gave the Court no jurisdiction to render a decree at that term.

It was error for the Court to render a decree upon the bill alone, without the defendants being called, or in default.

D. P. JONES,
For Plaintiffs in Error.

STATE OF ILLINOIS, } ss. The People of the State of Illinois,

SUPREME COURT,

To the Clerk of the Circuit

Court for the County of La Salle Greeting:

Because, In the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of La Salle County, before the Judge thereof, between George E. Walker & Richard Thorne who sue as well for themselves as for the tax payers of the Town of Ottawa plaintiffs, and The Town of Ottawa & Philo Lindley —

defendants, it is said manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the aforesaid defendants

as we are informed by their complaint and we being willing that error should be corrected, if anywhere be, in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly, without delay, send to our Justices of the Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plaint aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our Justices aforesaid at Ottawa, in the County of La Salle, on the first Tuesday after the third Monday in April next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law.

Witness, The Hon. John D. Caton, Chief Justice of our said Court, and the Seal thereof, at Ottawa, this 30th day of March — in the Year of Our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty-nine.

L. Lelcend

Clerk of the Supreme Court,
by J. D. Rice Deputy

144
The Town of Ottawa
& Philo Lindsey.

vs
George B. Walker and
Richard Thorne Whaley,
Witt of Dror



The Town of Ottawa
et al vs

George E. Walker et al
Recipe

Filed March 30. 1839.

L Leland
 Clerk

STATE OF ILLINOIS, } ss. The People of the State of Illinois,
SUPREME COURT,

To the Sheriff of the County of La Salle

Greeting:

Because, In the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment
of a plea which was in the Circuit — Court of La Salle
County, before the Judge thereof, between George E. Walker & Richard
Thorne who sue as well for themselves as for the tax
payers of the Town of Ottawa.
plaintiffs and The Town of Ottawa & Philo Lindley —

defendants, it is said that manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the said
Defendants —

as we are informed by their complaint, — the record
and proceedings of which said judgment we have caused to be brought into our Supreme Court of the State of Illinois, at Ottawa, before the Justices thereof, to correct
the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; Therefore, We
Command You, That by good and lawful men of your County, you give notice to the said

George E. Walker & Richard Thorne —

that they be and appear before the Justices of our said Supreme Court, at the next
term of said Court, to be holden at Ottawa, in said State, on the first Tuesday after the
third Monday in April next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and
the errors assigned, if they shall see fit; and further to do and receive what said
Court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom
you shall give the said George E. Walker & Richard Thorne —

notice, together with this writ.

Witness, The Hon. JOHN D. CATON, Chief Justice
of our said Court, and the Seal thereof, at Ottawa,
this 30th — day of March — in the
Year of Our Lord One Thousand Eight Hundred
and Fifty-nine.



L. Leland
Clerk of the Supreme Court.
J. B. New Deputy

The Town of Ottawa
vs Philo Lindley —
vs
George D. Walker & Richard
Thorne who sue.
Sent for:

Served by recouling to the within
named George D. Walker & Richard
Thorne March 31st 1839

F. Warner Sheriff
for H. B. Hall

Serv & ret \$10
2 miles $\frac{1}{2}$ 10
1,20

Filed April 16. 1839
L. Leland
Clerk



State of Illinois

LaSalle County vs. Phas Affer the Honorable Nathan E.
Hollister Circuit Judge for the ninth
judicial district in and for said State and the preceding
Judge of the LaSalle County Circuit Court at a term of
said Court commenced and held at the Court
House in Ottawa in the first Monday in the month
of November, the same being the first day of November
in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and
fifty eight and of the United States of America the
eighty third

Present

The Honorable Nathan E. Hollister

Preciding Judge

John F. Stark

Clerk

Washington Bushnell State Attorney

Eri L. Waterman

Sheriff

Be it remembered that on the 12th day of November 1858
a certain Bill in Chancery was filed in the office of the
Judge of said Court in the words and figures following
to wit:

'State of Illinois LaSalle County and Circuit Court
thereof to February term A.D. 1859

To the Honorable Nathan

E. Hollister Judge of said Court Complaining therefrom
that John Hopper two citizens George E. Walker and
not subjects unto themselves as for the tax pages of the Town of Ottawa
Richard Thomas that they are citizens of the Town of Ottawa
in the County of LaSalle and State of Illinois.

Our citizens further show that on the 3rd day of
September A.D. 1858 there was filed in the office of the Clerk
of the County Court of said LaSalle County a certificate in

2

substance as follows

"At a Town meeting held at the Courthouse in the city of Ottawa on Tuesday April 6th 1838 George B. Mealey was chosen moderator and J. D. Penwell Clerk for term.

At three (3) o'clock of the same day J. D. Eaton presented a petition for a tax of one half mill on each one dollar valuation of the taxable property in the town of Ottawa, to be assessed for the purpose of repairing roads and bridges and that the Commissioners appropriate one hundred and fifty (150) dollars of the sum towards repairing or building a road or bridge near the residence of L. LeSauve,

and at four o'clock on the same day L. B. DeLean presented a petition for a tax of one mill on each dollar valuation of the taxable property to be assessed for the purpose of bridging a poor bridge across Fox River near the Agnew's, all of which was presented in due form and unanimously carried"

"State of Illinois, I do hereby certify that the foregoing is ^Pas the County of a true copy of entries of acts for raising money made since the last annual meeting of the Board of Supervisors and recorded in the Town Books,

Witness my hand this 5th day of September AD 1838

J. B. Rice Town Clerk of said Town"

From Oratus further know by your honor that about the hour of three o'clock in the afternoon of the day on which said town meeting was held, by the direction of the electors then and there present the balloting for the offices of said town was suspended for the purpose of transacting the general business of the day which the

Electors might lawfully transact at the term meeting
 and thereupon said term meeting did transact such
 general miscellaneous business and the petition first
 mentioned in the certificate aforesaid as having been
 presented by J. D. Eaton was presented and voted upon
 and the day thereon mentioned voted by said term
 meeting, which business occupied about ten minutes
 and thereupon the moderator announced that the
 miscellaneous business of said meeting was closed and
 the balloting was again resumed, and at the time said
 announcement was made no order was made by the
 electors of said term for the transaction of any other business
 of a miscellaneous nature on that day, and afterwards
 about the hour of four o'clock on said day and after
 many of the electors who were assembled at the time said
 announcement was made had left said term meeting
 the action of said term meeting upon the petition presented
 by J. B. Deacon was held as mentioned in said certificate
 the balloting for the offices of the term having been suspended
 for that purpose. Your voters are advised & inform you
 that fact to be that said term meeting had no power after the
 transaction of the business of a general nature had been over
 and the balloting for term offices resumed to receive
 said petition or to act upon the same or to vote said
 petition, that all such proceedings of said term meeting at
 such time were wholly void.

Your voters further show to you that the
 agreement mentioned in said certificate is within the
 corporate limits of the city of Ottawa, that the first
 designation in said certificate as the location of said
 bridge would be within the limits of the city of Ottawa
 that on the back side of said Petition it is about the

distance of six hundred and eighty feet from said agricultural
to the South line of said city, that on the East side of said
pines it is about the distance of forty from hundred and
eighty feet from said agricultural to the point on the East
line of said city where the said pines crosses said line,
that south of said agricultural the whole of said river is
within the limits of said city, that said city of Ottawa
as an incorporated city incorporated by an act of the
General Assembly of the State of Illinois Approved
February 10th A.D. 1833, That in case of said charter
of incorporation is given to the city council of said
city to open alleys, bridges, rivers, streams, establish
roads, piers or otherwise improve and alter certain
streets avenues parks and alleys and other public
highways, to establish, such and keep in repair
bridges as of article five (5) of said charter referred
to which is hereby made with more fully and at large
appears.

Your exatos further show that by said charter
Section one (1) of article fifth it is provided that "the
city council shall have power and authority to lay and
collect taxes upon all property, real and personal
within the limits of the city, and exceeding one half of
one per cent for summons upon the assessed value
thereof, and may enforce the payment of the same
in any manner, to be presented by ordinances not
repugnant to the Constitution of the United States and
of this state".

Your exatos further show to your Honor, that there
is no street or other highway crossing said Fox River
at or near said agricultural except the dam or
within the city of Ottawa aforesaid.

Our orator further shows you herein that by virtue of an act to incorporate towns & cities approved February 10th 1837 section four, it was provided that "The corporate authorities of all towns and cities incorporated under chapter thirty five, including corporations of the Revenue Code, or under any special acts, shall have power to pass all the ordinances and by-laws and possess all the powers authorized under the laws and amending acts incorporating either of the cities of Springfield or Utica."

Our orator further that by an act of the General Assembly of said State intituled "An act to amend the act incorporating the city of Springfield, and the several acts amending the same, into one act, and to amend the same" approved March 2^d A.D. 1834 and by Article five (5) section four (4) of said act it was provided that the City Council shall have power within the jurisdiction of the city, of ordinances, to have the exclusive control and power over the streets alleys and highways of the city and to regulate and remove any encroachments or obstructions thereto to open, alter, widen, extend, straighten established, regulate grade, clean or otherwise improve the same; to put drains and sewers therein and to prevent the encumbering thereof in any manner and protect the same from any encroachment or injury, to establish, erect, construct, regulate and keep in repair bridges culverts and sewers sidewalks and cross walks, and regulate the construction and use of the same and to abate any obstructions or encroachments thereto."

Our orator further shows you herein that by section one (1) of Article ten (10) of the said chapter of the

said city of Ottawa it is provided that "The inhabitants of the city of Ottawa are hereby except from working on any road beyond the limits of the city and from paying, any tax or fine or sum for working on the same,

Your orators further show that they are tax payers in the Town of Ottawa and in the City of Ottawa aforesaid.

Your orators further show to your Honor that at the Annual meeting of the Board of Supervisors of LaSalle County - the following order was entered upon the records of the said Board.

"It is ordered by the Board that the following rate of taxes be assessed upon the following named several towns of this County for the current year, for the purpose of defraying sum and other expenses, the same having been certified by the Clerk of the several towns so ordered under the direction of the Supervisor of said Town of Ottawa one mill for roads and bridges and two (2) mills for Fox River Bridge (Special)."

Your orators show that Phil Lindley Collector of the County Court of LaSalle County is now preparing the collectors books of said County and extending the taxes thereon that he will unless restrained by order of this Honorable Court extend upon the collectors book of the Town of Ottawa in said County a special tax of two mills on the dollar valuation of property in said Town for the bridge over Fox River aforesaid claiming that he has a right to do so by virtue of the proceedings of the Annual meeting aforesaid as witnessed by the certificate aforesaid and of virtue of the said order of the Board of Supervisors aforesaid.

Your orators are advised and believe and charge the fact to be that said tax has never been legally

7

I beg all concerned, that it would be a violation of the rights
of your estates to have the said tax extended upon the
said Collector's books, that the term meeting of the Town of
Ottawa had no right to pass any resolution to lay, the
tax aforesaid at the time when the aforesaid mentioned
in said certificate was taken, the miscellaneous
business of said term meeting having been closed
before the time when said tax was taken.

Your estates charge that said certificate filed with
the County Clerk does not show that said tax was
levied at any term meeting of the Town of Ottawa, but
only at a term meeting held at the Cornershouse in the
City of Ottawa, nor does it show that the said
George B. Meacy the moderator of said term meeting
was a citizen of said Town of Ottawa.

Your estates are advised and believe and
charge the fact to be that in term meeting of the Town
of Ottawa had a right to direct the bridging of a
bridge within the limits of the city of Ottawa or to make
any by-laws or to direct concerning the location
or construction of any bridge or bridges within the
city of Ottawa, or to direct the imposition or
assessment of any tax for such purpose but that
such power is by law vested exclusively in the said
City of Ottawa and that the said City Council of said
City have never directed or permitted the erection
or construction of any bridge across Fox River at the
points mentioned in said certificate. Your estates
further charge that if the said tax is intended by the
said Philo Suddes upon the Collector's book of the
Town of Ottawa that your estates will be obliged to
pay a considerable portion of said tax.

Was much grieved & your orators am in
 danger of suffering injury and are vermidless
 except in equity which scatters of this set an property
 Cognizance and ultimate your orators pray that
 the said firm of Ottawa and the said Philo
 Lindley & son made parties defendant to this bill and
 that the said Philo Lindley & son restrained by acts of
 Congress rising out of and under the seal of this
 honorable court from extending upon the collective
 sum of the terms of Ottawa the aforesaid special
 act of two miles upon each dollars valuation of the
 real property of said firm with the final order
 of this court and that the said firm of Ottawa and
 Philo Lindley & son summoned to answer this their
 bill and that upon the final hearing thereof the
 said Congress to make provision for such
 other & further relief as to your Honor shall seem
 meet or to equity shall appear

John Clark

Solicitor for Comptd

State of Illinois, County of Richland, Being duly
 before the County of Richland, sum of one thousand dollars
 and things in the above bill stated
 and self unto so far as the same are stated as of his
 own knowledge are true and so far as the same are
 stated upon information & belief as true to the best of
 his information & belief R. Blaine
 Subscribed & sworn to before me this
 27th day of October A.D. 1838 P. Lindley Clerk

Subscribed & sworn to before me this 27th day of December
 1838 J. H. Schubelck

And Afterwards to wit; at the ^{since} Second Term of said
Court for said year a certain order was made in said
Court in the words and figures following to wit;

"George E. Walker & Richard Prince who ever as well for
themselves as for the tax pages
of the Town of Ottawa,

to Bill for Infomation

The Town of Ottawa to This day the complainants
Philis Liddle & Comr of Shns Cork Brns

Solicitors and the defendants by

D. P. from their solicitors and after hearing the arguments
of counsel it is ordered by the Court that an Infomation
be given in accordance with the prayer of the
Plt.

Whereupon which order an Infomation was given
in the words and figures following to wit;

"State of Illinois to The People of the State of Illinois
Fayette County vs Philis Liddle Plaintiff
Whereas George E. Walker & Richard

Prince for themselves as well as for the tax pages of the Town
of Ottawa have lately exhibited their Bill of Complaint to the
Judge of the Circuit Court of said County on the
chancery side thereof against the said Philis
Liddle & others defendants - Wherein among other things
it is alleged that you are about to assess on the tax book
for the Town of Ottawa a tax of two mills on the dollars
on the taxable property in the Town of Ottawa for the
purpose of bridging a gap bridge across the River
near the aqueduct in said town.

10 Wherefore in consideration of the premises do strictly
 enjoin and command you the said Philo Siddle
 and your clerks that you do absolutely and entirely
 desist from extending such tax as is above stated
 until you shall appear and answer the demands
 of us & said court make other orders to the contrary
 to the Sheriff of said County to execute
 witness John H. Stark Clerk of said Court & the seal
 whereof this 13th day of November 1838
 J. H. Stark Clerk

Which said writ was returned filed in said Clerk's
 office on the 15th day of November 1838 duly executed
 as follows to wit:

" Execute this writ by sending the same
 to Philo Siddle who accepted service of the same this
 13th day of November 1838 E. L. Waterman, Sheriff
 Jr. F. Warner Dft."

And afterwards to wit; on Friday November 19th 1838
 the same being one of the days of said term of said Court
 an order was made and entered of record in said cause
 in the words and figures following to wit;

" George E. Walker & Richard Prime who are as well for
 themselves as for the other judges of
 the term of Ottawa I

2 Bills for Information
 The Term of Ottawa & This day the defendants name
 Philo Siddle & J. P. Jones their solicitor and
 upon the Court to consider the
 information herein which motion is made of the
 Court."

11 due again on Tuesday December 12, 1838 the same
being also one of the days of said Winter Term, a final
order was entered of record in said cause in the mids
and terms following to wit;

"George E. Walker & Richard Prime who sue as well for
themselves as for the tax payers
of the Town of Ottawa 2
The Town of Ottawa & 3
Philo Lindley 3
Bill for Injunction

It appearing to the
court that the said defendants herein have
duly entered their appearance herein and
made their motion by Jones their Atty that
the said Injunction be dissolved, and the
said defendants having failed to file their
answer herein this day this cause came
on to be heard upon the Bill of said com-
plainants & upon the said motion. And
it appearing to the Court that a writ of
Injunction in said cause has been is-
sued out of and under the seal of this
Court by which said writ the said de-
fendant Philo Lindley & his Clerks were
strictly enjoined and commanded that
they do absolutely and entirely desist
from extending on the Tax Book for the
Town of Ottawa a Tax of Two mills on the
dollar on the taxable property in the Town
of Ottawa for the purpose of Building a Bridge
across Fox River near the aqueduct in said

injunction

100 B.R. et al.

12

Town until they shall appear and answer
the complainants bill and said Court
shall make other order to the contrary.

The said motion to dissolve said
injunction is overruled and it is
therefore ordered adjudged & decreed
that the said injunction be made per-
petual and that the said Philo Lindley,
his Clerks and employees be forever en-
joined and commanded that they
absolutely & entirely desist from exten-
ding the said tax upon the said Tax
Book of the Town of Ottawa aforesaid.

State of Illinois I, G. Smith certify that the above and
preceding Remittit of 3 Juring comprises a true full
prospect and complete record
in the said entitilee cause as the same appears
of record and on file in my Office

Witness for the Clerk of the Circuit
Court for said County and the seal thereof
at Ottawa this 29th day of March 1857

J. H. Stachleuk



The Town of Ottawa
W. H. Sibley

George E. Walker
Richard Prime
as well as the other
pages of the Town of
Ottawa

Record

15

State of Illinois - Supreme Court Thereof
Third Grand Division April Term A.D. 1859

The Town of Ottawa &
Philo Linsley Plff in error }
vs
George E Walker & Richard
Thorpe who sue for themselves
as well as for the tax payers
of the town of Ottawa -
Depts in error } Error to La Salle
}
Depts in error

And now comes the said Town of Ottawa
& Philo Linsley by J. P. Jones their solicitor
say that in the record & proceedings
aforesaid & in the condition of the decree
aforesaid manifest error hath intervened
to their prejudice, in this to wit

1st That it appears by said record that the said
bill of complaint contains no equity,
upon its face or no matters or things sufficient
to authorize the court to grant said injunction
or to render said final decree

2nd That it appears by said record that the
court erred in overruling said motion to
dissolve said injunction

- 6 In the case of ... vs ... 1858
- 3d That it appears by said record that said defendants below were not lawfully before the court for the purpose of a final hearing at said November Term 1858 of said Court
- 4th That it appears by said record that the defendants below were not called in open court, or in any wise in default to sit or before the time of the rendering of said final decree
- 5th The court erred in rendering said final decree at ^{the} term of said court ~~for~~ all previous to the one to which said bill was presented
- 6th ~~The court erred in rendering said final decree in making said injunction perpetual~~
- 6th The court erred in requiring the Clerk from executing the whole of said tax upon the tax book
- 7th The court erred in rendering said final decree in making said injunction perpetual

Therefore, & for other errors in the record
& proceedings aforesaid they pray that
said decree may be reversed, annulled
& for straight esteemur

D G Jones

Soln for Plffs in Error

And now comes the defendant in error
and says that in the record of proceeding
aforesaid there is no error.

for under the Error

B C Leek

Filed April 26, 1839,

for deft in error

144

The Town of Otis

vs

George & Walker et al

Record &
Assy to, Envrs

Filed April 18-1839

L Leland
Clerk