

No. **12355**

# Supreme Court of Illinois

O'Regan

---

vs.

O'Connor

---

United States of America }  
State of Illinois } S.S.  
County of Cook }

Shew before the Honour-  
able John M. Wilson Judge of the Cook County  
Court of Common Pleas at a regular Term  
of said Cook County Court of Common Pleas within  
and for the county of Cook and State of Illinois  
begun and holden at the Court House in the  
City of Chicago in said County and State on  
the second Monday being the tenth day of  
September in the year of our Lord one thousand  
eight hundred and fifty five, and of the  
Independence of the United States the Eightieth

Present the Honourable John M. Wilson Judge

Daniel M. Shoy, Prosecuting Attorney

James Andrew Sheriff

Attent Walter Kimball Clerk

2

As it remembered that heretofore to wit on the  
twenty sixth day of April in the year of our  
Lord one thousand Eight hundred and fifty  
five came Charles O Connor Plaintiff by his  
attorney Arnold Larned Lay and filed in  
the office of the Cook County Court of com-  
mon Pleas, his Petition for a Mechanic Lien  
which said Petition for a mechanics Lien is  
in words and figures following to wit;

Cook County Court of Common Pleas

To the Hon John M. Wilson Judge  
of the Cook County Court of Common Pleas  
Of the same Term of said Court:

Your Petitioner Charles Connor would respect-  
fully shew unto your Honor that he is building  
mason, and has been engaged in said business  
for a number of years past in the City of Chicago  
in the County of Cook and State of Illinois -  
and your petitioner would further shew  
that in the month of May and June in the  
year 1853 the Rev. Rev<sup>d</sup> James O Vanderelde  
then Catholic Bishop of the City of Chicago  
was seized in fee simple of Block forty eight in

Kinzies Addition to the City of Chicago and his  
 successors in office in trust for the benefit of the  
 Catholic Church of the State of Illinois and that  
 said Block was bought and held by the said  
 Bishop for the purpose and with the intent  
 and object of erecting thereon a Cathedral  
 or Church for the use of the said Catholic Chu-  
 rch - And your petitioner would further show  
 that in the month of June 1853 a Church  
 was contracted for and commenced to be erec-  
 ted on said Block with knowledge assent and  
 under the superintendence of the Rt. Rev<sup>d</sup> James  
 O. Yanderulde then Bishop of Chicago under  
 the name and designation of the "Church of the  
 Holy name" -

That one J. A. Kinelle became and  
 was at that time with the knowledge appoin-  
 tment and consent of the said Yanderulde as  
 Bishop as aforesaid, Pastor and Treasurer of the  
 said "Church of the Holy name", and by virtue  
 of said appointment and with the knowledge  
 and approval of the said Yanderulde as Bishop  
 as aforesaid was fully authorized and empowered  
 to make and enter into contracts for and on  
 behalf of said Bishop and his successors in  
 office for the building of said Church and for  
 materials therefor and which said contract  
 when so made were binding upon the said

Bishop and his successors in office — " — 4

And your petitioners further show that the said Kinsella did go on and make contracts for the erection of said building and that said church was under and by virtue of said contracts made by the said Kinsella erected upon the said Block forty eight during the years 1853 and 1854 —

And your petitioners would further show that the Rt Rev<sup>d</sup> Anthony O'Regan in the month of A.D. 1854 became and was successor of the said James O. Vandevelde and as such successor by virtue of the statute in such case made and provided became and was seized in fee simple in trust as aforesaid for the use of said church of the said Block forty eight as aforesaid — And your petitioners would further show that on the tenth day of April A.D. 1854 your petitioners and the then Catholic Bishop of the City of Chicago by and through the Rev<sup>d</sup> J. A. Kinsella the Pastor and Treasurer of the said "Church of the Holy Name" having the power and authority to make & enter into such contracts as aforesaid made and entered into a certain contract in writing a copy of which is as follows to wit;

"This Agreement made this 10<sup>th</sup> day of April 1854 between very Reverend J. A. Kinsella

and Chas O'Connor (building mason) all of  
Chicago Ill - Witsupeth - - -

The said Chas O'Connor  
for and in consideration of the payment herein-  
after agreed to be made to him by the said  
J. A. Kissella, has and does hereby agree to  
execute all the masonry of the walls of Church  
known as the Church of the "Holy name of Jesus"  
situate at the corner of Wolcott and Superior  
St. Chicago as follows -

Said O'Connor is to build the walls of the bui-  
lding ready for roof and the front central tower  
to the height of the peak of roof & set the cut-stone  
which is required in the same; the said O'Connor  
to furnish all labor of every kind all scaffolding  
machinery tools &c that may be required in the  
proper execution of the work - The said very Rev<sup>d</sup>  
J. A. Kissella furnishing all bricks cut stone lime  
sand upon the ground ready to go into the work

And the said very Rev<sup>d</sup> J. A. Kissella for and  
in consideration of the said, C. O'Connor fully &  
faithfully executing the aforesaid work, according  
to the design furnished and to the full & comple-  
te satisfaction of Burling & Baumann Superint-  
endants thereof, and as fast as the materials  
therefor are supplied by the said Kissella does  
hereby agree & has agreed to pay to the said O'Connor  
Chas O'Connor as the work progresses the sum of

6

Six Dollars and forty cents \$6.40 per thousand  
bricks laid in the work, the quantity to be deter-  
mined by Pauling & Bauman who will measure  
the same, by getting the building on the outside  
and including in the measurement all the  
doors & windows, all cut stone to be measured  
as brick work. Steps for the entrance doors not to  
be included in contract --

In witness, whereof the parties hereto have  
set their hands the day and year first above  
written --

Signed J. A. Kinnella Pastor "Metamora"  
Chas. Brown

as in reference to the original contract now in the  
possession of this petition, and ready to be produced  
as this Court shall direct will more fully appear

And your petitioner would further show that  
he did in pursuance of said contract so made  
as aforesaid go on with the work and labor therein  
upon his part stipulated and agreed to be  
performed, during the spring summer and fall  
of 1854, and was ready and willing, and offered  
to complete and perfect the contract upon his  
part to be performed, but was prevented from  
so doing by the failure of the said J. A. Kinnella  
Treasurer as aforesaid and agent of the Bishop  
to furnish the brick and materials and money  
to carry on said work and that by and through

such failure your petitioner was unable fully to complete the said job.

And your petitioner further states that according to the measurement of Messrs Paulding & Barnum the superintendants of said building your petitioner under and by virtue of the terms of said contract did the following work and was entitled to the following pay therefor as follows to wit:

Laying	2,452,995 bricks @ \$6.40	15,699.00
Cutting	200 ft of Stone @ .35	70.00
Laying	3429 ft rough stone @ 10	342.90
		<hr/>
		\$16,111.90

and that the amount and value of the work and labor so performed as aforesaid by your petitioner was sixteen thousand one hundred and eleven Dollars and ninety cents, and that the said work and labor was done & performed by and under the direction of the said J. A. Kinsella for and on behalf and by the authority of the Catholic Bishop of the City of Chicago and said work & labor was done & performed in and about the erection of the said Church of the Holy name -

And your petitioner further states that he did in like manner at the request solicitation and by the direction of the said J. A. Kinsella so acting as aforesaid for and on behalf of the



8

said Bishop during said year 1854, the precise  
time your petitioner cannot state, perform and  
do the following work and labor in about the  
erection of said Church in and upon said  
Block to wit; tallying brick 38 1/4 days at 10¢ \$47.81

And your petitioner further shows that he has  
received and paid applied in and upon said  
contract the sum of nine thousand nine hundred  
and sixty three Dollars and fifty five half cents  
and that the balance still owing your petitioner  
in & upon said contract and for his work & labor  
in and upon said building called the Church  
of the Holy name erected upon Block sixty eight  
is six thousand two hundred and sixteen Dollars  
and fifteen and half cents —

And your petitioner would further show that  
he has demanded of the Rt Rev<sup>d</sup> Anthony O'Regan  
Catholic Bishop and successor of the Rt Rev<sup>d</sup>  
James O'Handerelde a part of the balance  
due for the said work and labor performed as  
aforesaid under said contract prior to the filing  
of this petition and which said amount was  
before stated was the amount due your petiti-  
oner at the time of said demand, and that  
upon said demand the said Bishop Anthony  
O'Regan then and there neglected and refused  
to pay said amount — " —

And your petitioner avers that the said Anthony O'Regan Catholic Bishop as aforesaid failed to perform the part of the said contract hereinbefore set forth upon his part to be done and performed and that by reason hereof your petitioner without his own default has been prevented from completing said Contract.

And your petitioner would further show that the said amount of six thousand two hundred and sixteen Dollars and fifteen and a half cents, has been for a long time due and payable to your petitioner and that although often requested the said Rt Rev<sup>d</sup> Anthony O. Regan has not paid the same in any part thereof to your petitioner.

Your petitioner therefore prays that he may be declared to have a lien and may obtain a judgment for the amount of his claim and that a decree or order may be entered herein for the sale of the said Block forty eight and that the proceeds of the said sale may be applied for the discharge of said demand according to the provisions of the Chapter entitled "Lien" of the revised Statutes of the State of Illinois.

Your petitioner also prays process of summons to the Rt Rev<sup>d</sup> Anthony O'Regan Bishop of Chicago returnable to some term of this Court  
Arnold Larned Lay Solicitor } Charles Connor

22055-67

Charles Connor }  
 " }  
 Rt Rev Anthony O'Regan }

Cook County Court  
 of Common Pleas

Clerk will issue  
 summons in above case directed to Sheriff  
 of Cook County commanding him to summon  
 above named Defendant, returnable to June  
 Term 1855 -

April 26, 1855.

Arnold Larned Day  
 Solicitor for Petitioner

And thereupon on the same day and year  
 last aforesaid, there issued out of the office  
 of the Clerk of said Court, the proper writ  
 of summons, which said writ and endorsement  
 thereon is in words and figures as follows to wit:

State of Illinois } ss.  
 County of Cook }

To The People of the State of Illinois to the Sheriff  
 of said County = Greeting -

We command you that you summon Rt.  
 Rev<sup>d</sup> Anthony O'Regan if he shall be found

11

in your county, personally to be and appear  
before the Cook County Court of Common  
Pleas for the county of Cook on the first day  
of the next term thereof to be holden at the  
Court House in the City of Chicago in said  
County on the first Monday of June next  
to answer unto Charles O. Connor in his  
certain Petition for a Mechanics Lien filed  
in the said Court —

And have you then and there this writ with  
an endorsement thereon in what manner you  
shall have executed the same —

*S.S.* Notary = Walter Kimball Clerk of  
our said Court and the seal thereof  
at the City of Chicago aforesaid this  
26<sup>th</sup> day of April A.D. 1855 —

Walter Kimball  
Clerk

Served this writ on the within <sup>named</sup> Anthony  
O'Regan by delivering a copy thereof to  
him

James Andrew Shuff  
J. S. Bulkley Deputy

12

And afterwards to wit; on the twenty seventh day of September in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty five comes the said Defendant by his attorneys Waller & Canfield and filed in the office of the Clerk of said Court, his Answer, which said answer is in words and figures as follows to wit;

The answer of Anthony Regan to a petition under the Mechanics Lien Law filed in the Court County Court of Common Pleas by Charles Connor -

This respondent availing the benefit of all exceptions to the many errors of law & fact in said petition contained in answer thereto says, that he is non Roman Catholic Bishop of Chicago, but he denies that the Block of land referred to in complainants Bill was bought by any of his predecessors & held by them for the purpose & with the intent & object of erecting thereon a cathedral or church. He also denies that in the month of June 1853 or at any other time a church was contracted for & commenced to be erected on said Block with the knowledge assent & under the superintendance of Rt Rev<sup>d</sup> James

O'Fanderelde then Bishop of Chicago —  
 He also denies that J. A. Kinsella was at  
 that time with the knowledge appointment  
 & consent of said Fanderelde as Bishop as  
 aforesaid, Pastor & Treasurer of said Church  
 of the Holy Name & denies that said Kinsella  
 was authorized in manner shape or form  
 to make any contracts whatsoever which  
 would be binding upon said Bishop &  
 his successors especially in relation to said  
 Church — This respondent admits that  
 he is the successor of James O'Fanderelde  
 as Catholic Bishop of Chicago but denies  
 that he was so on the tenth day of April  
 1854 & that at that time or at any other  
 time the said Kinsella by & with the  
 consent or approval of this respondent  
 made & entered into the contract referred to  
 in complainant's bill, with said O'Connor  
 and says that he was not Bishop of Chicago  
 at that time, nor was the said Fanderelde with  
 This respondent denies all authority of said  
 Kinsella to act in the premises & refers to the  
 Court whether the said Kinsella had any  
 right to make a contract to be binding upon  
 the Bishop of Chicago, when there was no  
 Bishop of Chicago in existence as was the  
 case on the tenth day of April 1854 —

When he derived his authority from no one who  
 ever had been the Bishop of Chicago -  
 This respondent denies that said Kinsell a wa-  
 pastor of said Church or held any office whatever  
 under the Bishop of Chicago which would au-  
 thorize him to bind said Bishop in any man-  
 ner - This respondent also denies the correctness  
 of the complainants account if he is to be  
 held responsible in any manner; therefore calls  
 for full proof of the whole account -

He admits he did not pay said Connor  
 as charged in said Bill and holds he is not  
 legally bound to do so he denies that said  
 Connor has any lien by virtue of said Mecha-  
 nics Lien Law, or any other law on the said  
 building or on the lot on which it stands -

This respondent resists the prayer for a decree &  
 sale & having fully answered as advised prays  
 to be hence dismissed with his costs &c -

Mellen Caulfield } + Anthony Oregon  
 circuitus in dect } Bishop of Chicago

Cook County } S.S.  
 State of Illinois }

Anthony Oregon being  
 first duly sworn, states that the foregoing

answer and the things therein contained me  
true <sup>from his own knowledge and so far as derived</sup> from information of  
others he believes them to be true

+ Anthony O'Regan  
Bishop of Chicago

Given & subscribed before  
me this 27 day of Sept-  
ember A.D. 1855 -

Calvin D Wolf  
Justice of the Peace

And afterwards to wit: on the second day of  
October in the year last aforesaid, the said  
Defendant by his said attorneys comes and  
files in the office of the clerk of said Court  
his Replication which said Replication  
is in words and figures as follows to wit:

Charles Connor }  
" } Replication  
Rev<sup>d</sup> Anthony O'Regan }  
The Replication of  
Charles Connor to the answer of Rev<sup>d</sup> Anthony  
O'Regan -

This Repliant waiving all manner of  
exceptions & right of exceptions &c for application



16

therunto says that he will aver maintain  
& prove his petition to be true certain & suffi-  
cient in the law to be answered unto: & that  
the said answer of D def<sup>s</sup> is uncertain un-  
true & insufficient to be replied unto by the  
repleant without this &c

And hereby they pray as on & by said  
petition they have always prayed —

Arnold Sarned & Lay  
for petitioners

And thereafter to wit: on the eighth day of  
October in the year last aforesaid, said day  
being one of the days of the September term  
the following among other proceedings were  
had in said cause and entered of record  
to wit:

Charles O. Curran

At Rio<sup>2</sup> Anthony Oegan } Petition for Mechanics Lien

And now comes the  
said Defendant by his attorneys Waller Caff-  
rell & Bradley and move the Court to continue  
this cause to the next term, which motion is  
overruled by the Court, and it is Ordered that  
this cause stand continued from day to day  
at the costs of said defendant —

17

And afterwards to wit; on the thirty first day  
of October in the year last aforesaid - the said  
Plaintiff by his attorneys Arnold Larned &  
Lay comes and filed in the office of the clerk  
of said Court, his Amended Petition which  
said Amended Petition is in words & figures as  
follows to wit;

Cook County Court of Common Pleas

Patrick O'Leary )  
vs )  
At the Court of said Court )  
of said County )  
of Cook County )  
Illinois )  
Petitioner for mechanics lien

And now comes the said  
petitioner by Arnold Larned & Lay his solicitors  
and amends his said Petition filed herein as  
follows -

And your petitioner further shows  
that the said Church of the Holy Name  
was erected with the knowledge and assent  
of said Bishop Vanderwilde, and that the  
said Church has been accepted used and  
appropriated by the duly authorized agents  
and officers of the Catholic Church and  
the Bishop of Chicago the defendant herein.

And your petitioner further shows that  
there is due your petitioner for work done  
and performed, and materials furnished

18

in the church of the Holy name with the  
knowledge permission and assent of James  
O'Randelle the Catholic Bishop of <sup>the city of</sup> Chicago  
the sum of \$9943.55. and that said work  
and the labor and material have been ac-  
cepted appropriated and used by the said  
Catholic Church, and your petition further  
shows that said Bishop knowing said work  
was being done and materials furnished  
made no objection to the same and further  
avows that the said Church of the Holy name  
has been accepted used and appropriated by  
the duly authorized agents and officers of  
said Catholic Bishop Anthony Reagan  
Arnold Larned Lay  
Solicitor

And thereafter to wit; on the first day of November  
in the year of our Lord one thousand eight  
hundred and fifty five. said day still being  
one of the day of the September term of the  
Cook County Court of Benjamin Niles the following  
further proceedings were had in said cause  
and entered of Record to wit;

Charles Connor  
vs  
Atty Anthony Reagan } Petition for Mechanics Lien

19  
And now at this day comes the said petitioner  
by Arnold Larned Day his solicitor and said  
Defendant by Waller Saulfield his solicitor  
also come, and said petitioner withdraws his  
amended petition from the files and the motion  
to continue by said defendant is over-ruled  
by the Court, and thereupon this cause came  
on to be heard on the petition answer & Repli-  
cation thereto and on motion of said petiti-  
oner it is ordered that a jury come, and there-  
upon come a jury of good and lawful men  
to wit: George Wrench R. A. Smith J. W. Grammis  
Bryson Marshall George W. Laird, George Anderson  
Theodore Hubbard, G. G. Chilcott Asa Sitch  
J. S. Roberts, Thomas Hill & J. M. Kelly  
who being duly elected tried and sworn well  
and truly to try the issue joined in this cause  
after hearing a part of the testimony addu-  
ced the further hearing of this cause is pos-  
tponed until the morning morning to which time  
the Jury are permitted to separate.

And there after to wit: on the second day of  
November in the year last aforesaid the fol-  
lowing further proceedings were had in this  
cause and entered of Record to wit:

Charles Connor

vs  
Rt Rev Anthony O'Regan

} Petition for Mech Lien

And now again come the parties aforesaid by their solicitors and the Jury empannelled in this cause also come and after hearing a part of the evidence the hour of adjournment having thereupon arrived, the further consideration of this cause is postponed until tomorrow morning to which time by consent of parties the Jury are permitted to separate -

And afterwards to wit: on the third day of November in the year last aforesaid the following further proceedings were had in said cause and entered of Record

Charles Connor

vs  
Rt Rev Anthony O'Regan

} Petition for Mech Lien

And now again come said parties by their said solicitors and the Jury in this cause empannelled also come and after hearing the remainder of the testimony and a part of the argument of counsel the hour of adjournment of the present Term of the court having arrived, by agreement and stipulation of said parties - It is also ordered that the further consideration of this cause be

continued to Monday morning next the first day of the November Vacation term of this Court, and that the cause be submitted to the Jury on that day for the rendering of their verdict, and that their verdict may be received, and entered and have the same effect as though received and entered at the present September term of this Court, and that the Jury be permitted to separate and meet the Court on Monday morning.

And afterwards to wit; on the fifth day of November in the year eighteen hundred and fifty five, said day being one of the days of the November Vacation term, the following further proceedings were had in said cause and entered of Record to wit;

Charles O. Connor  
 vs  
 At Rio Anthony O. Regan } Mechanics Lien

And now at this day again come the said parties by their solicitors aforesaid, and the Jury empannelled in this cause also come, and after hearing the closing argument of counsel, <sup>of which were excepted to by both parties, as by the</sup> and instructions of the Court, retire to consider of their verdict.

39

said defendant enters his exceptions to the  
instructions given by the Court to the Jury  
and afterwards the Jury come into court  
and say (We the Jury find the issue for the  
complainant on the contract and that there  
is due said complainant for work and labor  
done under the contract, set forth in the  
complainant's petition the sum of six thousand  
and two hundred and sixty three dollars  
and ninety six cents,) and said defendant  
thereupon enters his motion herein for a new  
trial in this cause, which motion after being  
heard is overruled by the Court to which ruling  
and decision of the Court the said defend-  
ant here excepts ~

Therefore it is considered adjudged and  
decreed that the said complainant have  
a mechanics lien upon the premises described  
in the petition in this cause as Block Forty  
eight (48) in Krizis addition to the city of  
Chicago with the building thereon situate  
for the said sum so found due as aforesaid  
according to the Statute in such case made  
and provided ~

And it is further considered that the  
said complainant do have and recover  
of the said defendant out of the said  
premises the said sum of six thousand two

Hundred and sixty three dollars and ninety six cents as found due as aforesaid together with his costs and charges by him about this behalf expended and have execution therefor against the said described premises and that said premises be sold to satisfy the same -

And therefore said defendant prays an appeal to the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois which is allowed on his filing an appeal Bond herein in the sum of Eight Thousand dollars with security to be approved by the Judge of this Court in twenty days from this day - and thirty days time is given said defendant to prepare and file herein his bill of exceptions -

And afterwards to wit; on the twenty second day of November, in the year last aforesaid the following among other proceedings were had in said cause and entered of Record to wit;

Charles O. Connor

Attest Anthony O. Regan

Mechanics Lien

This day comes



said defendant by Mallen & Carlfield his  
attor sollicitus and on his motion it is ord-  
ered that the time be extended to the first  
day of December next to file his appeal bond  
therein -

And thereupon, on the thirtieth day of Nov-  
ember in the year last aforesaid, comes the  
said Defendant and filed in the office  
of the Clerk of said Court his Appeal Bond  
which said appeal Bond is in words and  
figures as follows to wit:

Know all men by these presents that  
We Anthony O'Regan Catholic Bishop of  
Chicago John Darlin & William Donohue  
are hereby held & firmly bound unto Charles  
O'Connor in the penal sum of Eight thousand  
Dollars - With us our hands and seals this 28<sup>th</sup>  
day of November 1855 -

The condition of the above Bond Obli-  
gation is such that whereas the said Charles  
O'Connor, did on the 5. day of November  
1855, recover a judgment against the above  
bondmen Anthony O'Regan Catholic Bishop  
of Chicago & upon the Church of the Holy  
Name in Block 48 in Yonkers addition  
to Chicago on a petition under the Mechanics

Lien law in the Cook County Court of Common  
 Pleas at the September Term thereof for six  
 Thousand Dollars Two hundred and sixty  
 three & 9/10 Dollars (\$6213 9/10) damages &  
 cost of suit & whereas the said Anthony Regan  
 Catholic Bishop of Chicago has prayed for  
 & obtain an appeal from said judgment  
 to the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois  
 returnable to the said Supreme Court to be  
 holden at Ottawa in the third Grand Division  
 in State of Illinois on the second Monday  
 of the month of June next

And if the said Anthony Regan  
 shall duly prosecute his said appeal and  
 pay to the said Charles Connor such judg-  
 ment cost & interest and damages as  
 shall be allowed by the said Supreme  
 Court in case the said judgment shall be  
 affirmed then this obligation to be void else  
 to remain in full force & effect

+ Anthony Regan  
 Bishop of Chicago

Seal

November 28. 1855

John Darlin

Seal

Mrs Donohoe

Seal

Approved by me this

30<sup>th</sup> day of November 1855

John W. Mills

Judge of the Cook County Court of C. Pleas

And afterwards to wit: on the sixth day of December in the year last aforesaid, comes the said Defendant by his said Solicitor and filed in the office of the Clerk of said Court his Bill of Exceptions which said Bill of exceptions is in words & figures as follows to wit:

Be it remembered that on the trial of the case of Charles O Connor vs. Anthony O Regan on petition for a mechanics Lien upon the church of the Holy Name on Block 48 in Krizis addition to Chicago, in the Cook County Court of Common Pleas before the Hon J. M. Wilson; the following persons were introduced examined & sworn as witnesses - said trial being at the September Term 1855 of said Court -

Rev. Mr. Glenny introduced by complainant said he was a Catholic Priest & came to Chicago in 1849 that he found Bishop Yandeville acting as Bishop of Chicago, when he came - He knew Rev J. A. Krissella, said Krissella was President of <sup>of</sup> St Mary of the Lakes & Pastor of the Church of the Holy Name - He knows the Church situated on Block 49 in Krizis addition; the Church was commenced about 29<sup>th</sup> June 1853; he was

27

present at the laying of the corner stone —

"Here all parol evidence to show Mr Kinsella acted as agent of the Bishop was opposed by Defts counsel — Objection overruled by Court & evidence admitted to which defts excepted" — — — — —

It was laid by Bishop Goodenough — The foundation was two or three feet above the ground when the corner stone was laid — Bishop Connor of Pittsburgh was present also Mr Kinsella Rev Mr Hoge Rev Mr Green, were also present Mr O'Connor the complainant was present and two or three hundred people — Mr Connor had the stone prepared & took part in directing how it was to be laid — The manner of laying the corner stone was by the Bishop going the walls saying prayers & psalms, there was a cross erected in the center & when he came to the corner stone he blessed it — The foundation walls then built are the same upon which the structure now stands — Mr Connor and the Bishop were in a position to see each other at the laying of the corner stone —

Don't know whether the Bishop saw Connor when the stone was blessed, it was placed in its position by Mr Connor. Bishop Connor preached on the occasion of laying the corner stone from the Balcony of the College, which is just opposite the Church — His discourse was a Lecture on the unity of the Church —

28

He alluded to the Church of the Holy Name and made a few remarks exhorting the people to be generous about contributing. of this he is not positive - It is his opinion - after laying of the corner stone, Mr. Kirsell remained in the city until January 1855 - he acted as President of the University & Pastor of the Church & as treasurer - It is the custom as far as he knows in this Diocese for the pastor to act as treasurer in building churches - & also for the pastor to make contracts for the building - The Bishop never signs the contracts as far as he knows, he thinks this is the general custom in this diocese -

"All the testimony in relation to this custom was objected to by defendant's counsel, objection overruled & evidence admitted by the Court subject to defendant's exceptions" The Bishop must give his approbation for the building of the church - The usual mode is for the Pastor to consult the Bishop, before commencing the church & get his approbation - It is then the duty of the Pastor to make the contracts - He saw the Bishop on one occasion after the laying of the corner stone at the college - He had to pass the church in going from his house to the college - from the 29<sup>th</sup> June when the work was commenced until August when the corner stone was laid, at which time the exterior was built two or three feet above the

ground - Mr. Obama was doing the work - There was a catholic paper in the city called the Western Tablet - The Bishop recognized it as his organ & he published communications to the people in that paper. he has seen that paper in the Bishop's house -

Bishop Vanderelde left Chicago some time in November 1853. - He continued to act as Bishop until he left - From 1849. to Nov 1853 Vanderelde continued to act as Bishop of Chicago -

I found J. A. Kinsella occupying the position of Pastor of Church of Holy Name & President of the University of St Mary of Lake when I came and he continued to act in that capacity until January 1855 -

The Pastor of the Church is in this Diocese Treasurer by virtue of his office - Kinsella was Pastor & Treasurer - The Bishop can sign the contracts but seldom does so - He has never known Bishop Vanderelde sign a contract for the building of any church in the Diocese during his administration -

Upon cross examination he stated - Bishop Vanderelde left Chicago November 1853. he the Mitres did not know he had ceased to be Bishop of Chicago when he laid the corner stone - The functions of laying a corner stone of a church are not episcopal as they can

30

be performed by a priest - The laying of the corner stone of a Church by a Bishop, he should think would of itself be sufficient approbation if the Bishop had previously refused his consent. This he considered merely as theological question, and his opinion is not based upon any fact. He is not sufficiently well acquainted with the Canon of the Church to say whether there are canons which require the Bishop's assent in writing before the commencing of a church - He does not think that Bishop Vandeveldt was in Chicago, when the church was commenced. He was absent on a visitation of the diocese, was gone two or three months, got back the day before corner stone was laid - The Bishop had just returned from Milwaukee - Mr Knisella remained till January 1855 - he continued to act as president of St Mary of the Lakes and Pastor of Church till then - He thinks it is the custom in this diocese for the pastor to be treasurer.

"Exceptions taken as to evidence of custom"

Mr Knisella acted as treasurer - Before a church can be erected it is necessary to have the approbation of the Bishop - The Bishop can sign the contracts for the building - He knows of his own personal knowledge of only one instance when the pastor of the church signed the contract for Building viz: the frame Church on North

side near the new church - Mr Kissell signed the contracts for that - After the approbation by the Bishop it is the Office of the Pastor to make the contracts - He saw the Bishop at the college once after corner stone had been laid - The Bishop resides on Michigan Avenue, corner of Madison Street & Michigan Avenue - Mr Connor went on with the work from June till August & on to the Bishop O'Regan came to Chicago about August or September 1854 - He was consecrated Bishop 25<sup>th</sup> July 1854 at St Louis -

He understood Bishop O'Regan sent back to the Holy See his appointments as Bishop of Chicago & it was sent to him again - when he accepted it; this was in the Spring or Summer of 1854, a short time before his consecration

The church of the Holy name was opened last Christmas, and a collection taken up to help pay for the church by the permission of Bishop O'Regan -

If application had been made to the Bishop <sup>and his consent</sup> refused a church could not peacefully be commenced by the Pastor but if a church was commenced without his approbation and he afterwards helped the corner stone it would be sufficient approbation -

The Bishop has power to stop the building



at his will, and to remove the Pastor, and if he does not interfere but allows the church to go on, he thereby gives consent to the church being built — Bishop O'Regan gave permission to have the church opened Dec 25 1854 — The usual services were performed — He gave his assent to the opening of the church — "A church can not be opened against the wish of the Bishop —"

I paid \$100 to Bishop O'Regan out of the collection taken up when the church was opened — The church has been regularly opened for service since that time —

An administrator has the same power which the Bishop has & can perform the same acts excepting that he can not ordain or confirm —

Re-examination by complainants counsel — A Bishop can change a Pastor at pleasure — The catholic paper before mentioned was published by Mr Ballentine who is a protestant — All the priests at the University of St Mary of the Lake collected contributions for the building of the church — The list of contributions was published in the Western Tablet — While Mr Kinsella was president of the University Bishop Yandeuille sent to him to bring <sup>him</sup> the Keys of the college & give them up to him — Mr Kinsella sent him

word to come & get them himself - When a person is appointed to a Bishoprick he has the right to send back his appointment & his jurisdiction as Bishop does not begin until he accepts the appointment -

He has none before - appointment does not give jurisdiction, but acceptance - Resignation also deprives him of jurisdiction ~ ~ ~

"New contract between J. A. Kinsella & Charles O'Connor read to Jury & accepted to by defendants counsel" -

This agreement made this 10th day of April 1854. between very Reverend J. A. Kinsella and Charles O'Connor (Building mason) all of Chicago Ills - Metropolitans -

The said Charles O'Connor for word in consideration of the payments herein after agreed to be made to him by the said J. A. Kinsella has and does hereby agree to execute all the masonry of the Walls of Church known as the Church of the "Holy Name of Jesus" situate at the corner of Wolcott and Superior St. Chicago as follows -

Said O'Connor is to build the walls of the Building ready for roof, and the front central Tower to the height of the peak of

34

roof & set the cut stone which is required in  
the same - The said O'Connor to furnish all  
labor of every kind all scaffolding, machinery  
tools &c that may be required in the proper  
execution of the work - The said very Rev<sup>d</sup> J  
A. Kissella furnishing all bricks cut stone lime  
& sand upon the ground ready to go into the  
work - And the said very Rev<sup>d</sup> J A Kissella  
sworn in consideration of the said, C. O'Connor  
fully & faithfully executing the aforesaid work  
according to the design furnished and to the  
full & complete satisfaction of Emory H. Baum  
man - Superintendent thereof, and as fast  
as the materials therefor are supplied by said  
Kissella - does hereby agree & has agreed to  
pay to the said Chas O'Connor as the work  
progresses the sum of six Dollars and forty cents  
\$6.40 per thousand bricks laid in the work, the  
quantity to be determined by Emory H. Baumman  
who will measure the same, by girdling the  
building on the outside and including in  
the measurement all the doors & windows - all  
cut stone to be measured as brick work - Steps  
for the entrance doors not to be included in  
contract - In witness whereof the parties here  
to have set their hands the day and year first  
above written - J. A. Kissella Pastor, C. O. Connor

Chas O'Connor

35-

The signature of said J. A. Kinsella to said contract was admitted by the Dept -

(Rev Mr Breen - introduced by Hoff - He was teacher in university of St Mary of the Lake is a catholic priest - came to live in Chicago in 1849 - He knows <sup>Bishop</sup> Vandeveldt - don't remember when Bishop Vandeveldt came to Chicago, was Bishop when he came to Chicago. He knows church of Holy name, it is just in front of College - It was commenced late end of June 1853 - Corner stone was laid 3<sup>d</sup> August 1853 - the walls of the entire building were then two or three feet above the ground - Mr O'Connor was the builder - Bishop Vandeveldt officiated at laying corner stone - The Bishop & priests went in procession around the walls & the corner stone was blessed by prayer - Mr O'Connor officiated as mason in laying corner stone - Mr Kinsella was present & was pastor of church - was so till last Jan'y - It is the custom for pastors in the diocese to make contracts for building churches -

"Evidence of custom again objected to & overruled & exception taken" -

He has never known any contracts for building of churches in this diocese to be signed by the

36

Bishop - We don't remember the particulars of the sermon preached by Bishop. Connor. I think he tried to stimulate the people to contribute to Church - It is province of pastors to build churches -

"Objected to by Defendant, objection overruled"  
The Western Tablet was the organ of Bishop of Chicago, both Bishops Vandeveldt & O'Regan ("objected to & overruled"). Remember Bishop Vandeveldt did pass by the church & saw it after corner stone was laid -

I have always considered the pastor acted as ~~no~~ Bishop, agent in the matter of the building of churches - & made the contract in his own name - I have never known a contract for the building of a church to be signed by a Bishop -

They have always been signed by the pastor, as far as I know - After the laying of the corner stone of a church by the Bishop it would be the duty of the pastor to go on & complete the church -

### "Crop. Examined"

It is necessary that the Bishop should give his consent before commencing a church -  
We do not know that the Bishop never signs

the contracts for the building of churches -  
 I don't know of any facts in relation to the  
 customs of Pastors making contracts for building  
 churches in this diocese (Here again all testimo-  
 ny of custom objected to by defendants counsel  
 & objections overruled by the Court)

The Bishop was not responsible for the things  
 in the Tablet - There was no obligation on part  
 of editor to exclude or publish pieces for the Bishop  
 He the witness assisted in collecting money from  
 the people to build the Church, several mem-  
 bers of the congregation & some priests were empl-  
 oyed in collecting - He had frequent conferences  
 with Rev Mr Kinsella about the collections -  
 Rev Mr Kinsella & they intended to pay for the  
 Church by contributions from the people & of  
 the Bishop - He promised to contribute -  
 He believes the Bishop was absent on visitation  
 of the diocese when the Church was commenced  
 in June - he was also at Milwaukee - got back  
 very shortly before laying of corner stone - The Bishop  
 promised a contribution - He visited Bishop  
 Vanderwilde to lay corner stone on the forenoon  
 of the day when it was laid - He (the witness)  
 has been absent from Chicago since last Jan-  
 uary - Rev Mr Kinsella, Rev Mr Clancy & he left  
 at the same time, they went to New York & now  
 reside there - Bishop O'Regan called on them

38

for their resignation & had offered them different positions in the diocese some time previous to their resignation - The Bishop gave us - no exerts - He came here during this trial because he always had an anxiety about this matter. He feels a deep interest in it - He came on a letter received from Rev Mr. Cloury - one motive he had in coming here was to attend this trial. He wishes to have it decided honestly & justly & thinks it would be honest & just to decide it for Mr O'Connor - He (the witness) & Mr Cloury have been much together & conversed about this case - Has seen much of Mr O'Connor since he came to town & talked about this case with him - Rev Mr Cloury & witness have been in Mr O'Connor's house since coming to town & had frequent conversations with him - has no interest but that justice may be done in the matter - He remembered that in the summer Bishop O'Connor spoke of the generosity of the people in building a church - The church was opened last Christmas day for service & a collection was taken up to pay for church - there was nothing but the walls up & roof on the building -

(~~Here witness testified introduced of Oct 29 1853. & objected to by defendants counsel. objection overruled by the court~~) and exceptions taken

The Pastor always acts as agent of Bishop in the building of Churches & signs the contracts This has been the usual course - I know it from the knowledge which I have of the relation between the Bishop & Pastor -

I have been six years a Trust & have never known a Bishop to sign a contract for the building of a church - The Bishop has only to say one word & he can stop all proceedings - The day that Bishop Vander-elde laid the corner stone he promised a subscription for the church -

introduced of October 29-1853 & objected to by Defendants Criminal. objections overruled by the Court & exceptio non tollit. Here Western Tablet

(J. J. Ballentine publisher of Paper (Western Tablet) introduced - He was the proprietor in Oct 1853. - It was the official organ of the Bishop - It was so by his permission - The manuscript of publications were generally destroyed - sometimes burned & sometimes preserved - He is a Presbyterian - Western Tablet had the inscription "Organ of the Bishop of Chicago" because the Bishop in the habit of publishing his address & communications to the people, and making known his official acts & visitations, in no other way was it his organ - The paper was sent to the Bishop - Then a proclamation of Bp. Vander-elde in the paper was offered - the list of subscriptions



to the Church, Diocesan Intelligence - 40

Papers of Sept 3<sup>rd</sup> / 53 (List of subscriptions offered  
admitted (excepted to) also no of paper of Aug-  
ust 6<sup>th</sup> July 30<sup>th</sup> - July 9<sup>th</sup> - 16<sup>th</sup> - 23<sup>rd</sup> - June 25<sup>th</sup>  
9<sup>th</sup> July -

New Catholic Church, South Chicago <sup>41</sup>

The very Rev. F. A. Hussella has opened a subscription list for the erection of a large church so much needed by the people of his Parish - He has already made application to some of his congregation, who as the enclosed list testifies have contributed very generously - Before the next issue of the Tablet, he will be able to call on the remaining and largest portion of his flock from whom he expects the same generosity.

The following have subscribed towards the erection of the church the sums attached to their names

List of Subscriptions on "Tablet" of date 25 June 1853.

Charles Connor Malcott St	\$50,00
John B Kehoe Chicago	20,000
Thomas Haly Clark St	20,000
William Hemming Young St	15,000
Richard Laphin Chicago Ave	10,000
Anthony O'Brien North Water St	10,000
Austin Harris Young St	10,000
John Sweeney Wm LaSalle St	10,000
John Garmon Wells St South Side	10,000

William Keating	Com of King's & Co	100.00
John Kealy	Deaibu St	100.00
Thos Young	Com of Ohio Amist	100.00
Peter Connolly	Anth Water St	100.00
John Farrell	Ohio St	50.00
Mrs Brannan	en Mich Ave & Rush St	50.00
James Duffly	Ohio St	50.00
Michael Mullen	Michigan St	50.00
John McQueen	King's St	50.00
Patrick Connor	Anth Water St	50.00
Patrick Jones	Michigan St	40.00
Daniel Ryan	Do	36.00
James McCorthy	Do	36.00
James Murphy	Anth Water St	30.00
Mary Hartington	Rich Galway	24.00
John McHale	Illinois St	25.00
Patrick McKern	Do	25.00
John Considine	King's St	20.00
James Quinn	King's St	20.00
Mamie Cliffrid	Do	20.00
Miss Emma Sutton	Chicago Ave	20.00
Anne McKe	Michigan St	20.00
William Kehoe	Woolcott St	20.00
Peter Brady	Do	20.00
Patrick Lynch	en Walled & King	20.00
Thomas Armstrong	King's St	20.00
Patrick Roan	Anth Water St	24.00
Michael Hyland	Do	26.00

John Daily	do	2500
A. C. C. a Catholic convert Chicago		200
Mrs Healy Dearbn St		200
Laurance Nolan Kinzie St		300
Richard McLaughlin		500
Miss Mary Ann Barnett Cr. Mt. Erie		150
" Mary Lynsly		1000
" Rosanna Kennedy		1000
Mrs Mary Higgins Cr. Mt. Erie		1200
" Egarr	do	1000
" Edith Dacey	do	600
" Thrope	do	1200
Patrick Comodine	do	1200
Michael Quigley	do	1000
John Harley	do	1200
Owen Handman	do	1200
John Gurraty Illus St		1200
James Poyam	do	300
John White	do	1000
Michael O'Meara	do	1200
Simon Quiligan	do	1200
Miss Margaret Forver	do	1000
James Ryland Cr. Mt. Erie St		1200
James Daily Indiana St		1200
Mrs Keferman	do	1000
Mr Smyth	do	1200
John Brennan	do	1200
Thomas Dwin	do	1200

James Quinn	Indiana St	1200
Darby Cuttle	do	1200
Mrs Prunigan	en Cap. & Ind. St	500
Miss Maria Burke	do	600
" Mary Ann Coleman	do	600
Mrs Considine	do	300
Timothy Connell	Youngs St	1200
Mrs O'Brien	do	300
John McNeppin	Chicago	25
M Laffin	do	7.00
Miss Honora Fogarty	Indiana	300
James McKeale		1200
Edward Fogarty	en Ind. Land St	1200
Michael Duffly	" & Rm	1200
James Carty	" & Rm	1200
Patrick Hogan	Ontario St	1000
James Prunigan		1200

Subscriptions to Church of Holy Name South Chicago In paper of 20th July 1853

Patrick O'Connor. North of Chicago Avenue	\$50.00
Thomas J Knulla Superior St	30.00
Patrick Connolly do	20.00
James Farrell Grand St	20.00
Owen McCarthy on Kinzie & Dearborn St	20.00
Mr John J Knulla Superior St	5.00
John Jones Illinois St	12.00
W. T. Anonymous Chicago	17.00
Anonymous do	5.00
Mrs Ryan Michigan St	2.50
Mrs McDougal LaSalle St	1.50
Anonymous from Cincinnati	11.25
Patrick Griffin North Water St	5.00
Michael Bartley Grand St	12.00
Mellann Danner Kinzie St	5.00
John Durling North Water St	6.50
Mrs Rouse do	5.00
Mrs Dalton do	1.00
James Keegan do	12.00
James Lavelle do	5.00
Anne Walsh do	1.00
Luke Walsh do	00.50
John Redpath do	00.50
James McHally do	12.00
John Flynn Kinzie St	5.00

Thomas Broderick	Watu St	46 2.00
John O'Leary	do	6.00
John Kenney	do	12.00
James McNamee	do	10.00
Thomas Fitzsimmons	St. Watu St	1.00
Lamunce Torru	Indiana St	5.00
Robert O'Donnell	Michigan St	12.00
Michael Ryan	do	8.00
Michael Dingley	do	6.00
Patrick Hogan	City Hotel	12.00
Edwards Reddy	on Volcutt Mich St	12.00
Michael Murphy	Clarke St	5.00
William Gump	King's St	10.00
James O'Rafferty	do	10.00
Mrs Mary M Intyre	Ohio St	6.00
Bridget Balu	Wabash Avenue	8.00
Sarah Joyce	Michigan St	5.00
Ellen Mahony	Washington St	1.00
Anna Hanten	State St	5.00
Ellen Rochford	State St	5.00
Mary Anne Donhy	Mich Avenue	3.00
Mary Daly	Clarke St	5.00
Mary Finlmg	do	2.00
Hannah Cornell	do	2.00
Johanna Duggan	do	1.00
Margaret Syron	do	2.00
Bridget Rock	Ontario St	5.00
Hannah Rock	Randolph St	2.00

Ellen Linton Mills St		47
		<u>1.00</u>
Catharine Brady do		1.00
Honora Colvig do		1.00
Mr Devoy Sherman House		5.00
Anonymous Chicago Annex		10.00
John Walsh Chicago Annex		5.00
Laurance Nolan do		3.00
James McGinnis Johnson St		12.00
Daniel Byrne do		5.00
Patrick Power do		5.00
C. P. Anonymous Chicago		3.00
Samuel Gandy with the protest Mr South Paul		1.00
Patrick Long do		5.00
John Kuce do		2.00
John Malloney do		2.00
John Ryan do		2.00
Patrickweeney do		2.00
Thomas Callahan do		2.00
John Dempsey do		2.00
John Brennan do		2.00
Daniel Curry do		2.00
Mellie Ann Cloney do		3.00
Robert Caples do		1.00
Michael Murphy do		1.00
John Smyth do		1.00
Michael Gleason do		1.00
Daniel Slattery do		1.00
John Ryan do		1.00



Michael Finishe	do	48 100
Daniel Roach	do	100
John Byrne	do	100
Patrick Handerkim	do	100
John Handerkim	do	100
Daniel Coffey	do	100
John Griffith	do	100
John Shuckran	do	100
Edmond Mast	do	100
John Haley	do	100
Thomas Riley	do	100
John Riley	do	100
Edmond Ham	do	100
John Brown	do	100
John Merner	do	100
John Murray	do	100
James O'Connor	do	100
John Hollyman	do	100
James O'Hara	do	100
Thomas Kavanagh	do	100
Patrick Kavanagh	do	100
Arthur Doyle	do	100
Million Calliton	do	100
Philip Breen	do	100
Patrick Redmond	do	100
Patrick Kealy	do	100
M <sup>r</sup> O'Brien	do	100
Patrick Bolger	do	00 50

James Curry	do	100
Yanett Moore	do	100
Laminee Redmond	do	100
Thomas Doyle	do	100
John McGuire	do	100
Michael McGuire	do	100
John Barry	do	100
Patrick Lyons	do	100
Christopher Wright	do	100
Henry Barenbrose	do	100
Daniel Malony	do	100
Charles O'Connor	do	100
James Healey	do	100
Philip Waters	do	100
Patrick McCabe	do	100
Wm Gorman Kingie St		1200
Ellen Redmond Malcott St		100
John Devitt	do	1200
Patrick Walsh Cu Micho Malcott St		500
Thomas Howard	do	1200
James McGrath	do	600
Michael Calford	do	1200
Laminee Redmond	do	1200
John Keating	do	200
Michael Whelan Kingie St		1200
Bridget Walsh Chicago		100
Catharine Roe	do	600
Ellen Rombe	do	200

On 1st July 99

Elizabeth Herring	do	5.00
Elizabeth Kelly	do	5.00
Mrs Klasker Rush. Street		5.00
Maria Deagas	do	25
James Fitzgeralds. cor. Wolcott & Mich		12.00
Catharine Lamb, Chicago		6.00
Conradus McCarthy Kinzie St		20.00
Thomas Fitzgerald Wells St		12.00
Mrs Fitzgerald	do	1.00
Austin Mullholand Shanties Met by Lew		12.00
Martin Hogan	do	12.00
Thomas O'Brien	do	12.00
James Malone	do	12.00
Mrs Mills	do	6.00
Patrick Mills	do	12.00
Malachy Mc Namara	do	6.00
Mrs. Connolly	do	.36
John Stock	do	12.00
William Malloy	do	1.00
Mrs Cunningham	do	6.00
James Harvey	do	12.00
William McClain	do	12.00
Thomas O'Brien	do	6.00
James Crogan	do	12.00
William Brady	do	12.00
Andrew Wickey	do	6.00
Michael Hornum	do	12.00
George Emmott	do	12.00

		51
Michael Mangon	do	1200
Canelius Tang h	do	600
John Barrett	do	1200
Mrs & John Kowin	do	1200
Patrick Finikau	do	500
John Connolly	do	600
Andrew Lynchatt	do	600
Math & Sullivan	do	600
Phillip Sullivan	do	600
John Doolan	do	600
Dennis Kynes	do	600
John Curran	do	300
Mathew Origley	do	600
Patrick Hickey	do	1200
Jerry Haffey	do	100
Patrick Healy	do	500
Owen Cabot	do	1200
Patrick Williams	do	1200
Kevin Flynn	do	500
John Sylwood	do	800
Phillip Furlong	do	1200
William Keys	do	1200
Nicholas Monaghan	do	1200
Thomas Maher	do	600
Hugh O'Brien	do	1200
Patrick Fuller	do	1000
John Barry	do	600
Michael Dryer	do	1200

Patrick Walsh	do	1.00
Thomas Barry	do	2.00
Mrs Reardon Lasalle St		3.00
John Ryan	do	12.00
Thomas Mansfield	do	5.00
Edmund McEug	do	12.00
John Daly	do	20.00
Jeremiah Connor West Side		1.00
Peter Butler Chicago		1.00
Mrs John Murphy St. Math St		10.00
Richard O'Donn	do	1.00
Mrs Maria O'Melen on Park St		10.00
James Kepper Chicago St		12.00
Margaret Stone Chicago		5.00
Catharine Sullivan St. Math St		1.00
Charles Brown	do	12.00
Mrs McKean Fleming St	do	4.84
Mamie Green Chicago St		12.00
Ellen Sullivan	do	5.00
Timothy Harrington Chicago St		12.00
Patrick Connor	do	12.00
Michael Moran	do	12.00
James McDermott	do	12.00
Edmund Coffey	do	12.00
Michael Connor	do	12.00
John McSamura	do	12.00
James Connor St. Math St		12.00

		53
Murray Connors	Knizri St	1200
William Connors	do	1200
John Griffin	do	1200
Patrick Carmody	do	1200
Patrick Griffin	do	1200
Michael Cunningham	do	1200
Patrick Sweeney	do	1200
John, Butler	do	1200
Mrs. Gallagher	St. Mathew St	600
John O'Mealy	Knizri St	1200
Dan's Ryan	do	1200
Patrick Ruby	do	1200
Devance McLean	do	1200
Patrick Quigley	do	1200
Peter Connors	do	1200
Thomas Brown	do	1200
Jerry (Collins) Rogers	do	1200
John Collins	do	1200
Daniel Cronane	do	1200
Patrick Connor	do	1200
Patrick Kelleher	do	1200
Patrick Madden	do	1200
James Cliffrid	do	2000
Henry Barrett	do	1200
Patrick Oaghney	do	1200
Rosey Reilly	do	1200
James Sheehan	do	1200
James Keatley	do	1200

Patrick Sullivan	Do	1200
Matthew Sullivan	Do	1200
Owen Byrne	Do	600
Martin Suffy	Do	600
Michael Wallace	Do	1200
Charley Brown	Do	1200
Michael Loftus	Do	1200
Andrew Scott	Do	600
George Cherus	Do	50
Michael Horan	Do	1200
Patrick Stannus	Do	1200
George Sheppard	Do	1200
Wilton Miller	Do	1200
John Leakey	Do	2000
Mr. Sullivan	Rockford Ill	5000
John Abraham	Michigan St	10000
Daniel b. Scally	Sherman St	10000
Patrick McAlpine	Dearborn St	10000
Edmund D. Kolgan	Michigan St.	5000
Michael Opel	Knique St	3000
James Shields	Rockford Ill	3600
Wm. O. Keilly	Indiana St	5000
Thomas McCarthy	Illinois St	3500
John Maddock	Chicago	2000
Patrick Maddock	Do	1000
Pete Dolan	St Mary St	1000
Miss McLean	LaSalle St	1000

As before July 16

Michl O'Bernie	on Market & King	55	1500
James Quirk	Clark St		1000
James Grady	West Side		1000
Timothy Kelly	Clark St		500
Mrs August	do		1000
Johny Ennis	do		1200
John Inwell	do		1200
Michael Bestin	do		1200
Mrs Melvin	Ohio St		500
Charles Grassie	do		600
Benny Mathew	do		200
John Campbell	do		600
Timothy Carey	LaSalle St		1200
Timothy Reardon	do		200
James Christy	Indiana St		2500
James Hughes	do		2500
James Martin	King St		1200
Burges Castello	do		1200
Thomas Cannon	do		1200
Peter Cannon	do		1200
James Hyland	do		1200
Patrick Farrell	do		1200
Mrs Mary Oram	LaSalle St		1000
C L McQuigal	do		1000
Henry Fitch	do		1000
John Crawford	do		1200
Devin O'Neil	Illinois St		1200
Thomas Griffith	do		800



		56
John J. Reynolds	Do	6.00
John Daly	Do	3.00
John Maher	Do	15.00
Martin Brennan	Do	3.00
Thomas Brennan	Do	3.00
James Donagan Sarallst		12.00
John Clifford	Do	6.00
Thomas Clifford	Do	12.00
Wm Carroll	Do	3.00
John Hogan	Do	2.50
James O'Brien	Do	12.00
Thomas McBrean Sarallst		3.00
William McGrath	Do	3.00
John Crasby Indianast		13.00
Wm Brady	Do	12.00
Jeremiah Prendergast	Do	13.00
Daniel Johnson	Do	15.00
James M Mahon Mellyb		2.00
Patrick Kramanagh Sarallst		2.00
James Murphy	Do	2.00
James Dalton	Do	3.00
Miss Jane Sweeney	Do	6.00
Hugh Kelly	Do	2.00
Grace Sweeney	Do	6.00
Michael Fanelly	Do	12.00
William Mullin Indianast		3.00
Daniel Connolly	Do	3.00
James Connolly	Do	1.00

John Boyke Ohio St		57
John Leary Do		1000
David Fleming Do		1200
John Hoare Coast West side		600
Archibald Craig Blake St		300
Michael Walsh St Mata St		1200
William Lee Kinzie St		600
Owen McCauley Kinzie St		600
Patrick Walsh Do		1500
Patrick Hennipen Do		500
Richard Gibbons Do		1200
Patrick Gibbons Kinzie St		1200
William Rogan St Mata St		1200
Mrs Garaghan Kinzie St		1200
Mrs Barrett Do		1200
Mrs McCarthy St Mata St		600
Edmund McCarthy Do		1200
Charles Cahu Do		1000
Martin Nolan Do		1200
Henry Cahu Do		500
Michael McGowan Kinzie St		800
John Canfield Do		1000
Thomas Cornick Do		1000
Thomas Stack Do		1000
Michael O'Neil Do		1200
Michael Dugley Do		1200
David Cahill Do		1200
William Fitzguald West		1200

Mr Fitzgerald	Do	600
Thomas Gary	Do	1000
Catherine Dume	Do	300
Mr Farrell & Mathews		500
Patrick Casey	Do	1200
William Connor	Do	1200
Mrs Mary Mamalis Mich Arone		600
Dominic Mamalis on Randolph & Deu St		1000
Bridget McGinnis	Almond St	300
John McDray	Knizie St	500
Thomas McCabe	Ann. Len. Mts	300
Annathy Nancy	Do	100
Patrick Leonard	Do	500
John Walsh	Do	300
Dennis Callinan	Do	100
John Bullon	Do	100
John Boughton	Do	400
William Fuby	Do	100
John Gary	Do	100
Patrick Graham	Do	100
Michael Gayer	Do	100
Michael Fisher	Do	100
James Kelly	Do	100
John McKenna	Do	500
Edmund Murtal	Do	100
James Fitzgerald	Do	500
Thomas Fitzgerald	Do	200

James Malony	Dr	59 100
Patrick Hutton	do	150
John Fitzpatrick	Dr	200
James Linsan	do	200
Andrew Splam	Dr	200
John O'Connell	do	200
Thomas Mansell	do	200
Daniel Caslin	do	100
John Fabbini	Dr	500
Thomas McGeugh	do	200
John Mahony	Dr	100
Anne O'Dowd	- Dr	200
John Burley	do	100
Daniel Feulon	Dr	100

On paper July 25

Phillip Conly & Charles Nelson Oak Street		500.00
Eliza Taylor Allison St		100.00
Capt John Mendenhall Chicago Ave		500.00
Patrick H McEnright Blake St		200.00
William Bond Indiana St		200.00
Cornelius Guyer Quebec St		250.00
William Scott Mills St		350.00
Thomas Ryan Blake		250.00
William Walsh Duane St		250.00
Pathe Latta Chicago		200.00
Patrick O'Keefe Superior St		200.00
John Callahan Ohio St		200.00
Daniel O'Loughlin Indiana St		200.00

		60
Timothy Lynch	Duresson St	20.00
John Walsh	do	20.00
Mrs O'Keefe	do	20.00
Catharine Mellians	Mick Avenue	10.00
Mrs Mary Holleran	on Ontario St	10.00
Catharine Byrne	Mills St	6.00
Margaret Yargan	Summit Home	6.00
Caroline McMahon	on LaSalle & 1 <sup>st</sup> Me	3.00
Thomas Strickland	Chicago	100.
Edward bread	do	10.00
Stephen Sexton	on LaSalle & 1 <sup>st</sup> Me	10.00
Thomas Lynch	LaSalle St	5.00
Mrs Smyth	Erie St	10.00
Mulligan Jones	LaSalle St	3.00
Mrs Long	Huron St	12.00
Mrs Scanlan	LaSalle St	12.00
Mrs Frost	Huron St	5.00
Miss Winifred O'Keefe	Superior St	3.00
Patrick Geeraty	do	15.00
James O'Keefe	Duresson St	12.00
Mrs Emma Ryan	Erie St	3.00
Dennis Ryan	do	12.00
Patrick Ryan	do	12.00
Patrick Healey	Carrall Mead Dr	10.00
Thomas Whyte	Superior St	12.00
Mrs Murphy	Huron St	6.00
William Power	Chicago Avenue	10.00
John Miller	on Franklin Ohio	18.00

John Regan	Franklin St	1200	61
James Haly	Do	1200	
Michael Dunlan	Ohio St	1000	
Dane Dunlan	Do	300	
Rody Mahony	Do	1100	
Michael Cahill	Do	300	
Mrs. Milu en	Franklin & Ohio St	300	
William Rice	Ohio St	300	
Thomas Brennan	Ohio St	1500	
John Holoman	Indiana St	1200	
John Buckley	Black St	1200	
John H. Munday	Do	1000	
Patrick Cullen	Illinois St	100	
Lamonee Grant	Do	800	
Bridgett Carroll	Do	300	
John O'Brien	Chicago	00.25	
Michael Minkin	Shanters 3rd		
	Young Street	400	
Thomas Soughton	Do	100	
Arlton Kung an	Do	100	
Patrick Galvan	Do	100	
Patrick Mahony	Do	100	
Denis Soughton	Do	100	
John Hammell	Do	100	
Denis Connolly	Do	100	
Imialty Coffey	Do	300	
John Buddy	Do	1200	
Michael Kelly	Atwater St	1000	

		69
John Kelly A Water St		6000
Patrick Linnahan	do	1200
Richard Kresson	do	600
James Walker	do	1200
James Hadden	do	1200
Henry Gibbons	do	1000
Patrick Penhoun	do	1000
Dennis Clifft	do	1000
Samuel Tracy Market St		1000
Michael Gorman	do	1200
Michael Dymon	do	1000
Anne Dodge Huron St		500
Samuel Jurgent Market St		1500
John Lynch Franklin St		1000
Thomas Walsh Quinson St		1200
Patrick Walsh	do	1200
John Murphy	do	500
Patrick O'Ruffe	do	1200
John Torney Sedgwick St		1000
Daniel O'Shannassy	do	1200
Thomas O'Connell	do	1000
Mary O'Connell	do	500
John O'Connell	do	1200
Samuel Torney	do	1000
Samuel Dempsey	do	300
Ellen Harranagh Market St		1000
Budget Gavin Market St		1500
Margaret Brogan Madras St		200

		63
Agst 6 <sup>th</sup>	James Bousie North Water Street	\$100.00
	Edward McCall Ohio St	5000
	John Kearney Ontario St	2500
	James Barry do	2500
	Bernard McCarthy Mollcott St	2500
	Cornelius McCarthy Clark St	2000
	Denis Smith Dearborn St	2000
	Michael Cunningham Durwin St	1200
	Nannah Dowd Chicago	300
	Thomas Connolly do	100
	Ellen Lynn do	200
	Patrick McDonald do	200
	Patrick Martin Clarke St	1200
	Charles Callahan do	100
	Mathew Whyte Southern Depot	500
	Thomas O'Hara St Water St	1000
	Patrick Crowley Knizie St	1000
	John Blood ex Mich Molecot St	1000
	James Byrne Knizie St	1000
	Anonymous Molecot St	1000
	Patrick May St George LaSalle	2000
	Domence Walsh Indiana St	1200
	Elizabeth Walsh do	300
	Margaret Walsh do	300
	Michael McBoyer South Water St	300
	Bridget McWhan Fremont House	100
	Michael Hartney Knizie St	1000
	Ellen Hartney do	300



Bernard Mezey	LaSalle St	64
Richard Barber	Michigan St	1500
John Ambrose	Illinois St	500
Thomas Dohy	LaSalle St	600
Johanna O'Brien	Quabour St	500
Philip Durling	Shanties beyond bigden	1200
Francis Hughes	do	1200
Samuel Grenchan	do	1200
George Gillmartin	do	500
James Halloran	St. Kate's St	1200
Anne Darcy	Mills St	500
Catherine Darcy	Randolph St	500
Patrick Sweeney	St. Kate's St	200
James O'Hara	do	100
Patrick Masterson	en Diffusion Carroll	800
James Rudy	en Cluita & Diffusion	800
Anne Gagnig	Matterm Home	200
Anne Sullivan	do	500
Julia Mahony	St	500
Margaret Lynch	do	200
Ann Hillman	St	500
John Kennedy	do	500
Anne Lynch	do	200
Matilda Austin	do	200
John Gore	do	200
John Churchill	Garden City Home	100
Mary Hillman	City Hotel	200
Ellen Redmon	do	100

	Mary McAllister	City Hotel	65-
			100
	Caroline Nolan	do	100
	Bridget Kidney	do	100
	Margaret Regan	do	100
	From friend	Kempie St	500
	Michael Dugly		600
	Thomas McAuley	do	600
	Daniel Langan	do	1000
	Mr Burke	Randolph & West side	200
	Francis Welch	On Ripe & Indiana Sts	1200
	Richard Joyce	Kempie St	600
	Walter Sages	do	200
	John Dailey	do	600
	Mr Crawford	Clute St	1200
	Michael Dond	St Water St	100
	William Conly		500
	Charles Callahan	Clute St	900
	William Gorman	Kempie St	1200
	Linn's Walsh		500
	Stephan Millson	Kempie St	200
	Michael Rean	Kempie St	100
	John Bergan	do	2000
	Edmond Lake	LaSalle do	200
Sept 23	Robt Brennan	Randolph & Hill	10000
	Michael Doyle	Superior St	10000
	John McCrean	Madison St	2500
	Martin & Bernard	Whte Illinois St	2500
	Patrick Murrey	Market St	1500

Edward Murray South Water St	66
Francis Gallahan Clark St	1000
Patrick Sheehan do	800
Daniel Redman Habsted St	500
Anonymous Chicago	100
Ellen Higgins Dearborn Street	1000
Michael Fitzpatrick Munson Ave	500
Lancee McCarthy Chicago	1000
Anonymous do	200
John Egan Kenzie Street	100
John Mullen do	200
Mary McKean do	200
John McHatch do	100
Dennis Hamilton North Water Street	100
Dennis Haranough	100
John Walsh on S. Water and LaSalle	500
Michael Cannon Chicago	100
Patrick Smith do	200
Michael O'Brien LaSalle Street	1000
Michael Morney do	500
Ellen Reynolds Walnut do	100
Mrs McGrath State Street	500
Edward Conally S. Water Street	1000
Mary Conway do	100
Philip Ruddy do	100
John Ferdinand	300
Cornelius Ryan	100
Thomas March Dearborn Street	200

James O'Hara	South Water St	67
James Gillman	do	200
Michael McQuath	Sevier St	200
Patrick Baxter	South Water St	500
Anthony Moore	do	500
Daniel McKillip	do	500
Patrick O'Skill	do	200
James Bagan	do	200
John McSherry	Market St	500
Patrick Brennan	Madison St	500
John Conroy	Market St	1000
Mr John Conroy	do	200
John Dalton	Ship Yard on North Branch	1000
Michael Kelly	do	500
Thomas Dunne	do	1000
Daniel Green	do	500
Alexander Kennedy	do	500
Martin McMahon	do	1000
Charles McNamee	do	1000
John Finnie	do	100
Mathew Reardon		1000
Darby Kettle	Ohio Street	500
Denis Finegan	Rmie Street	500
James Murphy	Michigan St	300
Open Coyne	Kenzie Street	200
John Kinsella	do	100
Richard Joyce	do	50
Bridget Brennan	over Rush & Andis	500
Anne Hughes	do	500

Annie Burton		100
John Aurling Little Rock Ill		100
William Lewis Fremont House		1000
Charles O'Reilly Harmon Street		500
Mary Kulp Quincy Street		200
John Nickman Chicago		100
Catharine Hayes do		200
Paul Gray do		500
John Weller Quincy St		200
James Lemmon North Water St		500
Wm Smyth Quincy Street		1000
Patrick Curran		1200

July 35<sup>o</sup>

John Vanston Indiana St	69
Michael Brophy Blake St	1000
Owen Byrne North Water St	1000
James Cassiday Do	600
Emmatty Byron Do	1000

In paper of July 9th publication of visitation by Bishop Vandeveldt admitted under assurance from Council - that they would prove the Bishop ordered it - also editorial notice of visitation admitted in same manner -

Episcopal Visitation - for the month of July

We are informed that after blessing or consecrating the recently finished Church of Chester Randolph County on the 29th inst Feast of the Apostles St. Peter and Paul our Right Rev Bishop will protract his visitation of the Southern part of the State; though he proposes to return a 4th time to that very flourishing portion of his Diocese during the course of the present summer or ensuing autumn -

The following is the itinerary of the visitation for the month of July -

Saturday 2<sup>d</sup> July he will at the request of the Most Rev Arch Bishop of St Louis

70

administer the sacrament of confirma-  
tion in the Church of the Fathers of the  
Society of Jesus dedicated to St Ferdi-  
nand at Florissant St Louis County Mo.

Sunday 3<sup>rd</sup> July he will administer  
the same Sacrament at High Mass in  
the Church of the Fathers of the same  
Society at St Charles Mo and after Vespers  
at the German Church in the same town

Tuesday 5<sup>th</sup> July visitation and con-  
firmation at the French village St Clair  
County Illinois -

Wednesday 6<sup>th</sup> July at the town of Matto-  
los Monroe County Illinois -

Thursday 7<sup>th</sup> July at the united cong-  
regations of James Mills and Adelsbeger -

Friday 8<sup>th</sup> July at Cahokia St Clair  
County Illinois -

Sunday 10<sup>th</sup> July at Springfield Con-  
gamon County -

Monday 11<sup>th</sup> July at Jacksonville Ma-  
gan County

Tuesday 12<sup>th</sup> July at Beardstown Cap  
County

Wednesday 13<sup>th</sup> July at Mount Sterling  
Brown County

Thursday 14<sup>th</sup> July at Pittsfield Pike  
County -

Friday Saturday and Sunday 15<sup>th</sup> 16<sup>th</sup>  
17<sup>th</sup> July various congregations of Calhoun  
County -

Tuesday 19<sup>th</sup> July at Pekin Tazewell  
County and Iowa

Thursday 21<sup>st</sup> July at Cap and Dog  
Gage County -

Sunday 24<sup>th</sup> July Confirmation  
at St Joseph's Church Chicago -

Monday 25<sup>th</sup> July visitation and con-  
firmation at St Joseph Church New River  
Cook County -

Tuesday 26<sup>th</sup> July at Buffalo Grove  
Lake County -

Wednesday 27<sup>th</sup> July at Murray's set-  
tlement Lake County

Thursday 28<sup>th</sup> July return to Chicago

Saturday 30<sup>th</sup> July departure for Milwaukee

Sunday 31<sup>st</sup> July Consecration of new  
cathedral of Milwaukee Wisconsin -

We have just received intelligence that  
on the 21<sup>st</sup> June feast of St Aloysius our  
Right Rev Bishop celebrated pontifically  
and gave confirmation to 69 persons at  
the Church of St Francis Xavier attached  
to the University of St Louis; and that on  
the following Thursday 23<sup>rd</sup> he administered  
the same sacrament in the Church



of St Liborius St Clair County and conferred  
the name and minor Ordus on Ferdinand  
Kalvillage a seminarian of the Diocese

It being the anniversary of the Consecra-  
tion of the Church of St Liborius (the first  
Church ever consecrated by Bishop Fond-  
velde) solemn High Mass was sung by the  
Rev Henry Hartmann of Hannover Clinton  
County; Deacon Rev G. H. Ostlanger Deacon of  
Bellville - Sub-deacon - Rev Hermann Liev-  
mann of Centerville St Clair County assi-  
stant Deacon Rev Augustus Buckwedde  
Pastor of the Congregation and Rev Patrick  
Joseph Patonovsky of St Joseph's Church  
St Louis who delivered a very eloquent  
and impressive discourse analagous to  
the occasion

73

Rev Isaac Labelle for complete - Is a catholic priest  
was for five years employed on mission in this diocese -  
Bishop Vanduelde was Bishop when he first took the  
mission - Bishop O'Regan was Bishop, Vanduelde's  
successor - He knew Rev Mr Grinnella - He was pas-  
tor of church of Holy Name - He was present at laying  
the corner stone - It is the general custom in the  
diocese for pastors to make contracts for building  
churches - (I have again testimony of custom admi-  
tted, by court subject to exceptions of depts counsel)  
He built two churches under Bishop Vanduelde  
and one under Bishop O'Regan in this diocese.  
The contracts of these churches were made by  
him as pastor - But I had the approbation of the  
Bishops -

Crab examined - He holds no official position now  
in catholic church - The pastor signs contracts for  
building if he chooses - He paid the workman for  
building the churches & collected the money from  
the people for the purpose - He knows <sup>of his own personal knowledge</sup> of no other  
instances of pastor signing contracts but those  
mentioned by Mr. Clonry himself -

Mr Daniel O'Hara for complete - Said he used to  
act as editor of Western Tablet - that the notice  
of the Bishop's visitations of Sept 3<sup>rd</sup> 1853 was written  
by the Bishop -

Itinerary of Episcopal visitation &c for the  
month of September -

- 1<sup>st</sup> Thursday Bloomington McLean Co
- 2<sup>d</sup> Friday Springfield Sangamon Co
- 3<sup>d</sup> (Saturday) Ordination at Alton or St Louis
- 4<sup>th</sup> Sunday }
- 5<sup>th</sup> Monday } will be at St Louis
- 7<sup>th</sup> Wednesday Confirmation at Highland Madison Co
- 9<sup>th</sup> Friday do at Centropolis Effingham Co
- 11<sup>th</sup> Sunday do at Marshall Clark Co and blessing of Church
- 13<sup>th</sup> Tuesday do at Baldwinville Edgar Co and blessing of Church
- 14<sup>th</sup> Wednesday visit to St Mary of the Woods Fairhants
- 16<sup>th</sup> Friday Will be at Vincennes
- 17 Saturday Confirmation at St Francisville Sance Co
- 18 Sunday do at Mount Carmel Wabash Co
- 19 Monday do at Stringtown Richland Co
- 20 Tuesday do at St Marie Jasper Co
- 22 Thursday Salem & Marion Co
- 23 Friday Leavelle Clinton Co
- 25 Sunday Pittsville St Clair Co
- 26 Monday Will be at St Louis
- 29 Thursday Beardstown Cas Co

That the manuscripts of such notices were generally thrown away as waste paper that the heading of the paper was selected especially by the Bishop

"The  
Western Tablet"

75

"Official organ of the diocese of Chicago" <sup>"Detroit"</sup>  
"Where the spirit of the Lord is there is Liberty" <sup>2 Cor. 10. 17</sup>

That the paper was left at the Bishop's House  
every week - that the Bishop generally saw  
proof of whatever was written by him -

In paper of Nov 5/53, article headed  
diocese of Chicago, editorial court  
say who wrote it positively - should think  
such an article would not appear without  
Bishop's knowledge & assent, objected  
to admitted subject to exceptions -

"Diocese of Chicago"

Last week Bishop Vandewelde made an excu-  
sion to Mill County and visited Colist twelve  
miles Grove and Millington - In the last  
place he administered the sacrament  
of confirmation to 14 persons many of them  
adults and made arrangements to complete  
the church which is then in progress of erec-  
tion, and is to be dedicated to God in  
honor of St. Rose of Lima -

On last Sunday 30<sup>th</sup> October eight  
sisters of Mercy were admitted to the  
sacrament of profession in the chapel of the

26

Current near the cathedral at Bishop  
Vanderelder's Mass, which was celebrated  
at 6 o'clock A.M., the religious names  
of the Sisters were Mary Catharine Mary Con-  
zaga, Mary Agnes, M. Francis Regis  
Mary Louis M. Chilomera Mary Ben-  
edict and M. Patricia

On the same day Bishop Vanderelder  
preached at the High Mass in St. Mary's  
Cathedral and before the benediction  
announced that it was the last time  
he would appear before the congregation  
as connected with the diocese of Chicago  
as the Rt. Rev. Dr. Henry of Milwaukee had  
been appointed administrator of the Diocese  
and the Most Rev. Archbishop of St. Louis  
would act as administrator of the newly  
erected Diocese of Quincy - He stated  
that during the week he would leave  
Chicago on his way to Naples made  
some remarks relating to his translation  
to another see a favor which he had  
obtained from the Sovereign Pontiff at  
his own particular request - exhorted  
all to remain firm in their allegiance  
to their ecclesiastical superiors, to assist  
and maintain their authority firmly  
as St. Paul asserts that they are placed

77  
over them to rule the church of God and  
that they shall have to give an account  
of the souls entrusted to them to the Prince  
of Pastors from whom they receive their  
commission and authority -

He concluded by asking pardon of all  
for any offence or disedification which he might  
have given them during the period of his episcopacy  
and protested that from the bottom of his heart  
and in the presence of God he forgave all those  
that might have injured, offended or afflic-  
ted him and especially those who might  
at any time have endeavored to alienate their  
esteem and affections from him -

Finally he recommended himself to the  
prayer of all and promised that he would  
never forget them in his supplications to the  
Father of mercies, but would endeavor to draw  
down upon them the choicest blessings of Heav-  
en, peace happiness and fidelity to the  
grace of God in this world and eternal  
felicity in the world to come -

The Bishop was frequently interrup-  
ted by the intensity of his feelings and the  
tears and sobs of many members of the cong-  
regation evinced how deeply they felt and  
appreciated the parting words of him, who  
for nearly five years had been the chief

pastor of the Diocese of Chicago -

78

When the emotion had partly subsided  
the Bishop entered and gave the last benedi-  
ction - Nov 5. editorial, objected & admitted  
subject to exceptions - all the extracts above  
admitted subject to slight exceptions -

Nothing which concerned the Bishop  
personally was inserted in the paper without  
its being first submitted to him -

79

Edward Burling for complainant = said he was an architect - was present at laying of the corner stone, it was laid by Bishop Vandueldt assisted by Mr. O'Connor & myself - He & his partner supervised the erection of the Church -

His firm name was Burling & Cannon - The foundation except front part was entirely completed in 1853 - The reason front was not completed they wanted large stones & winter coming on prevented us getting them - He made the estimate of the work as exhibited by bill sent on in this case -

It is correct according to the contract = he wrote the contract at the solicitation of Mr O'Connor the was surprised at the quantity of brick made <sup>out</sup> more than was in the building - he went over it again according to his estimate there were 2,452,995 brick in church costing \$15699<sup>00</sup>

He has affixed the certificate of Burling & Cannon of the amount due on the contract - which which witness proves to have been made by him & which is thereupon read to Jury - & which is in the words & figures following viz:

Church of Holy Name To Chas O'Connor Dr  
 1854 Masonry of New Church Building

In laying 2,452,995 = Bricks @ 6.40	15,699.00
" Cutting 500 ft of Stone @ 35 <sup>00</sup>	17.00
" Laying 3429 sq of Rough Stone 10 <sup>00</sup>	342.90
	\$ 16,111.90



To Very Rev<sup>d</sup> J. A. Kissell,

We certify that we have carefully measured & calculated the above quantities and know them to be correct according to contract for same -

Burling & Bauman  
Architects & Supt

Chicago Dec 5/54

We would further say that the workmanship done by the said O'Connor is of the best quality of its kind, and altogether entirely satisfactory

Burling & Bauman

Measurement of Brick work in Church of Holy Name

4 Octagonal Towers		4021.4	
18 Buttress 22 x 3 x 35		4095	"
18 Do 76 x 94		1260	"
Lower section Girth 328 x 11 1/2 x 29		1037 3/4	"
Upper Section " 512.4 x 35 x 29		4930.2	"
4 Buttresses 78 x 78 x 116		2704	4
18 Do 87 x 11.6		1776	"
Lower 1 <sup>st</sup> Section 162 x 31.6 x 4		20712	"
" 2 <sup>d</sup> " 139.8 x 9 x 4		5128	"

4 Gables	263.6	} x 29	3898.6
12 "	115		3465 "
4 "	179.6		1974 6
1 "	336		924 6
12 Piers	1.4 x 1.8 x 4 1/2		117 "
14 "	3 x 2 x 5 1/2		462 "
28 "	1. x 1.8 x 4 1/2		<u>210</u>
			10,902.3 " 8
			<u>22 1/2</u>
			218044
			218044
			<u>54511</u>
Total Amt of Bricks -			2,452,995

Out Stone Work 75 x 28 = 200 ft

Rough Stone -

14 Piers	5 x 5 x 3	1150
12 "	2 1/2 x 2 1/2 x 2 1/2	187
Wall	162 x 2.8 x 4	1738
"	84 x 2.8 x 4	224
"	20 x 3 x 4	<u>240</u>
		3429

This certificate correctly states the amount due to O'Connor according to the contract -

The work was done in a satisfactory manner -

The stone work was at the same price as the contract of the year before the amt due for stone work was \$3429

The whole amount due - \$16,211.90

There was a failure to provide bricks and the men were discharged by O'Connor. In the want of Bricks they could not go on for the want of Bricks - If there had been no interruption for want of Bricks the work could have been completed in

Crop Examined

89

The number of brick astonished him - He made a proximate estimate of the number - The meaning of getting according to his construction, is to take a line & go all around the building & measure into all the angles and around the projections - He & Mr. Brown had a controversy about the mode of measurement he first measure the <sup>ten feet</sup> tower of building by taking the length & breadth of the building then measuring the buttresses & adding both together - in this measurement the walls & openings and buttresses were measured as solid - there are eighteen Buttresses all hollow - each hollow 8 inches by 16; The upper thirty two feet of the building were measured according to the construction of getting by which the projections are all measured twice - all the corners are measured twice - the walls are hollow the opening between them is eight inches - there are twenty one windows - thirty six feet high by eight wide - The measurement of the upper 32 feet was made by adapting the line to all the indentations and measuring around all the projections and angles - it would require 242,000 brick to fill openings of windows & doors  $\frac{1}{4}$  of hollow space between the walls filled by arches & connections - The contract was departed from by the parties several times - The design was furnished

by him a year before this contract was made.  
There were several plans afterwards proposed by  
Mr Guinness - the Brick gables on top of the Church  
are considerably in error - They are not built  
according to the contract - Persons are now engaged  
in taking them down - There are six gables on  
each side - Mr. Connor had the plan - would  
not say whether the fault is his the miteep or  
Mr Connor - He thinks an allowance for this  
defective work was made by him in his estimate  
to which Mr Connor agreed - The plans he  
furnished Connor were correct & the work  
does not correspond with the plan -

There might have been an error in the plan  
in respect to the gables - Mr Connor always  
claimed that he had built according to the  
plan &

The building was 46 1/2 feet high - The  
original plan was 36 feet - but it was afterwa-  
rds altered & made 10 feet higher - The extra  
ten feet were of course worth more proportionally  
than the rest of the wall - The difficulty &  
expense of the job - increasing in proportion  
to the height - An extra charge made on ac-  
count of this addition to height by Connor -  
The number of bricks included in the computation  
exceeded the actual number in the building  
from 500,000 to 700,000. This was made up by construction  
measurement -

84

Ordinary hollow walls are usually measured solid - that is the custom - The reason is that it is much more trouble to build a wall hollow than it is to build it up solid - Chimneys are always measured solid - I am now employed upon the Church as architect - I was employed by O'Hara the Pastor of the Church

In this case considering that the space in the wall is 8 inches the space ought to be reckoned as solid -

Mr Dermott for complainant - He went for Mr O'Connor on the Church - saw the present Bishop at the Church in August or September 1854 - The Bishop was looking at the building don't know whether Mr O'Connor was then or not

The Bishop was inside & outside of the building

### Crap Examined

Has been a mason for twenty years - has been three years in this city - The ordinary price for laying brick the season the church was built was about \$4.00 - he has never built any such building - He does not know how the building was measured - knew nothing about the contract or mode of measurement -

83

Mr Scally for complainant - He is Stone cutter  
& furnished the cut stone for the building -

It was more difficult in this case to set stone  
than in an ordinary building from the incli-  
ned position in which they had to be placed.  
The large stones had not to be raised high  
the small stones had to be raised high -  
Can't tell what it was worth to raise them  
(after making an estimate) think that the  
full value of laying the cut stone in the  
building would be \$1400 -

The stones were by the plan required to be laid  
at an angle where the bearing was at so few  
points, it was difficult to hold them in place  
& the work was difficult & laborious -

### Defence -

Mr Ballentyne re-called by defence  
He was the proprietor & publisher of the Western  
Tablet - The pastoral letters of the Bishop  
to his flock were published in it - The list  
of contributions were handed in to be publi-  
shed by Rev Messrs Owen & Clowry - They were  
published like any other advertisement &  
paid for by them - He is a Presbyterian &  
the Bishop was not answerable or responsible  
for what appeared in his paper - The adoption  
of the paper as the Bishop's organ was the

mere use of the paper as a medium of communication to his flock -

Has the following question was put by defence  
"Are you or not aware of any public declaration of Bishop Younducloti prior to the 10th of April 1854 to the effect that the building of the Church of Holy Name was against his approbation? If so state where, on what occasion & when it was -

(Objected to as irrelevant - objection sustained  
exceptions taken by defence -

Objected to unless shown to have been made in the presence or hearing of the plaintiff or brought home to his knowledge or unless the party offering states that he expects to prove the plff had knowledge thereof -

Charles O. Conna } Court County Court  
" } of Common Pleas  
At Rev Anthony Regan } Mechanics Lien -

Messrs Arnold Sarned & Day

Attos for Plaintiff } Take Notice That  
on the 9th day of October 1855 I will send out from the Clerk's office of sd Court a dedimus protestatum directed to any Judge or Justice of the Peace for the City of Natchez State of Mississippi to take the Deposition of the At Rev -

87

James O'Farrelde of P<sup>d</sup> City who is a non-  
resident of the State of Illinois on the inter-  
rogatories hereto attached to be read in evi-  
dence in said suit on the part of the Defendant

Anthony O'Regan

(Interrogatories by Defendant to James O.  
Farrelde ———)

- Q<sup>1st</sup> Did you ever hold any office in the Catholic Church  
in the City of Chicago Illinois? If so what was it,  
how long did you hold it & when were you divested  
of it?
- " Q<sup>2<sup>d</sup></sup> Who succeeded you as Bishop of Chicago & when  
was your successor consecrated, Bishop of Chicago  
& when did he enter upon the duties of his office—
- " Q<sup>3<sup>d</sup></sup> Did you while Bishop of Chicago by yourself  
or any agent make any contract with Charles  
O'Connor, in regard to the Stone or brick work of  
the Church of the Holy Name? If so what was  
it & whom did you make it ———
- " Q<sup>4<sup>th</sup></sup> Did J. A. Kinsella hold any office by virtue of  
which he had authority to make any contract  
with Charles O'Connor or any one else in regards  
to the erection of said Church or any Church —



Qnt 5<sup>th</sup> When was the building of the Church on Block 48 in Kinzie addition to the town of Chicago known as the Church of the Holy Name, commenced & what were the circumstances under which it was begun & carried on?

" 6<sup>th</sup> Did you ever authorize said Kinisella to make any contract about the building of said Church? Did you ever recognize the authority of said Kinisella to build or contract for the building of said Church?

" 7<sup>th</sup> According to the rules & regulations of the Catholic Church what sort of authority must a person have to build a Catholic Church - whether he be an officer or not in said Church?

Walter & Caulfield  
Depts Atlys

Cross Interrogatories to be propounded to Rev. S. A. Vandervelde

- 1 How long did you live in the city of Chicago after the commencement of the Church of the Holy Name
- 2 Did you mark out the spot on which said Church was to be built?

- 89
- 3 Did you direct or what portion of said Block 48 said Church of the Holy Name was to be built?
  - 4 Did you not Rev. D. A. Kinsella, select a place for said Church near the centre of said Block 48 than it now stands and did he not place it where it now is in conformity with instructions from you?
  - 5 At what time did you select or designate the place upon which said Church of the Holy Name should be erected and how long before the commencement of said Church?
  - 6 Were you aware that the said Church was in the course of erection on said Block and for how long were you aware of it?
  - 7 Was it not within your power to have stopped the work on said Church at any time after it was commenced or to have prevented its being commenced at all if you thought fit so to do?
  - 8 Did you not know that money was being raised in Chicago to defray the cost of erecting said Church and were not the list of subscriptions therefor published in the Western Tablet and did you not take said paper and publish notices in said paper under your hand and seal and was it

not your Organ in the Diocese?

90

- 9 To whom did you give directions as to where said Church should be placed and who had the superintendence care and management of the work of erecting said Church?
- 10 Was it a part of the duty and business of Rev. S. A. Kinsella as Pastor of the Church of the Holy Name to superintend the erection of said Church and to attend to the execution of the contracts for the work done thereon and to the payments therefor?
- 11 Is it not the ordinary and usual duty of the Pastor of the Church to attend to the business connected with the erection of a church edifice for the Church of which he is Pastor?
- 12 Have not all churches which have been erected in the diocese been erected under the superintendence of their Pastors and did you ever in your capacity as Bishop make or sign the contracts for the erection of such churches, and if so state when and where and on what occasion and for what church you signed such contracts?

91

13 Have not a number of Churches been built in the Diocese while you were Bishop there of and if you state about how many and state whether the contracts for the building of such Churches were not made by the Pastors of said Churches respectively?

14 Were not the Pastors of these Churches entirely subordinate to you in your official capacity as Bishop and had you not the power of removing any parish priest from your diocese at your pleasure?

15 Was not Rev. S. A. Kinisella appointed Pastor of the Church of the Holy Name by your predecessor, Rt. Rev. Bishop Quarter and did you not ever remove him from said office by letter or verbally, or did you appoint any other person Pastor of said Church and if so whom, when, how and in what manner did you so appoint such other person?

16 Were you or not aware that a Church was being erected on the property of section on Block 48 in Kinizer addition the title to which was in your name as Bishop, and that the erection of said Church was under the superintendence of Rev. S. A. Kinisella the Pastor of said Church?

17<sup>th</sup> Did you not know that in the ordinary course of such business the said Kinsella would be likely to enter into contracts for work, labor and materials for the erection of said Church?

18<sup>th</sup> Were you not aware that Charles O'Connor the plaintiff in this suit was at work on said Church or had a contract for doing work thereon?

19<sup>th</sup> Did you not at various times see workmen at work on said building or know of their being so at work?

20<sup>th</sup> If you saw workmen at work on said building or knew of their being so at work, by whose directions and under whose superintendence did you suppose them to be employed?

21<sup>st</sup> Did you ever direct any of the persons so employed on said building to desert from working thereon or give them any reason to know that the work on which they were engaged was not sanctioned or authorized by you?

22<sup>nd</sup> If you knew that the building was going on under the direction of Rev. S. A. Kinsella and you had the power of removing him at once at your option (and the churches in the Diocese were usually erected

93

under the superintendence of the Parish priest) and the contracts made by him and you did not remove him or give any notice to the persons at work under said Kinsella the said Church was unauthorized by you were you not holding the said Kinsella out to such persons as authorized by you to make contracts for the erection of a church on said Block?

23<sup>d</sup> At what time did you lay the corner stone of said church?

24<sup>th</sup> At the time you laid the corner stone of said Church were you not exercising episcopal authority in said diocese?

25<sup>th</sup> Did you at the time of laying said corner stone or at any other time give notice to the public through the Western Tablet your Organ or in any other manner that the acts of said Kinsella in contracting for the building of said Church were not authorized by you?

26. At what time did you make known to the diocese that you had ceased to be Bishop of the Diocese or at what time did you cease to appear as Bishop or to perform and assume the episcopal functions and authority in the diocese?

94

27<sup>th</sup> At the time Rev. C. A. Hirsella was superintending the erection of the church and at the time you consecrated said church was it known in the Diocese or to the public, that you were removed or transferred or were you not at said time recognized and regarded in the Diocese as its Bishop and assuming to be and act as such?

Arnold Larned & Son for Plffs

Answers of the Right Rev. James O'Connell of the City of Natchez & State of Mississippi to questions or Interrogatories prepared by Mallon & Causfield, Attorneys to Rt. Rev. Anthony O'Regan of Chicago. Defendant in the suit of Charles Connor vs. Rt. Rev. Anth. O'Regan

Answer to Interrogatory 1<sup>st</sup>

I was Catholic Bishop of Chicago nominated on 3<sup>rd</sup> of October 1845; consecrated in St. Louis on the 14<sup>th</sup> February 1849 & was transferred to the See of Natchez on the 29<sup>th</sup> July 1853.

Answer to Interrog 2<sup>d</sup> =

My immediate successor as Bishop of Chicago was the present incumbent Rt. Rev. Anthony O'Regan = If I rightly remember, he refused

95-

To accept, when first appointed & was appointed again  
in the Spring of 1854 & then accepted - He was conse-  
crated at St Louis on the 25<sup>th</sup> July 1854, but enter-  
ed upon the duties of his office or at least had a  
right to do so as soon as he had accepted the  
appointment, being then Bishop elect & having  
full jurisdiction over the diocese

Answer to Inter 3<sup>d</sup>

I never made any contract of any kind  
with Charles Connor in regard to the Church  
of the Holy Name, nor ever had any communication  
with him on the subject of that Church -

Ans to Inter 4<sup>th</sup>

Rev J. A. Kinsella never held any office in  
the Church or under me in virtue of which he  
had authority to make any contract with any  
one about the erection of any Church

Answer to Inter 5<sup>th</sup>

I do not precisely remember when the Church  
of the Holy Name was commenced - I was then  
absent for several months on the visitation of  
the Western & Southern part of the Diocese & said  
Kinsella commenced it without my knowledge  
or consent. When I returned to Chicago the  
stone work was raised two or three feet above the



ground, & that on my own property - 96  
I expressed my disapprobation to himself & to  
several of the Priests of the Diocese & to some  
of the Society - I was told that said Grinnella  
had given out that he acted as my agent  
but I never appointed him nor acknowledged  
him as such, I called him to account &  
reproved & condemned him for his refractory conduct

Answer to Inter 6<sup>th</sup>

I never authorized Rev<sup>d</sup> Mr Grinnella to make  
any contract for said Church, nor recognized  
his authority to contract for it, or to build it -

Answer to Inter 7<sup>th</sup>

According to the rules & regulations of the  
Catholic Church, no one is allowed, whatever  
may be his office or Station to erect a Church  
for Catholic worship unless he has the express  
permission or consent & approbation of the Bish  
op of the Diocese in which it is proposed to  
build it - *Ina. omnia testor*

J. B. Van Derulde  
Bp. of St. Charles

97

Answers of the Rt Rev<sup>d</sup> James O. Vandeville Bishop  
of Statches to the Interrogatories proposed by Messrs  
Arnold Larned & Say Attorneys for the Plaintiff  
Charles O'Connor

Answer to Interrog 1<sup>st</sup>

As I was ~~sent~~ absent from Chicago & do  
not know when the Church was commenced I  
cannot specify the time I lived in Chicago  
after its commencement -

Answer to Interrog 2<sup>d</sup>

Before the Church was commenced I did  
not mark out any spot where I wished the Church  
to be built, though I had formed a plan about  
it -

Answer to Interrog 3<sup>d</sup>

I never directed on what part of Block 48  
a Church for the North Side was to be built &  
I would never have built it where it now stands

Ans<sup>r</sup> to Inter 4<sup>th</sup> Rev J. A. Finisella never acted under my  
instructions with regard to selecting the site  
or to building the Church of the Holy Name.

Ans<sup>r</sup> to Inter 5<sup>th</sup> I never selected or designated the place on  
which the Church should be built before it  
was commenced - But after I returned to Chicago

& found the church commenced. I stated to several  
 Priests & to some of my friends, that my original plan  
 was to build the church in the very centre of the  
 lot & on one side to build a seminary, & on the other  
 a house for the parish clergy on the plan of the  
 Philadelphia & Milwaukee - & this I would have  
 done had I built the church myself -

Answer to Inq 10<sup>th</sup>

I was not aware of it till I returned from  
 the Southern part of the Diocese, (having been  
 absent several months) when I found the stone  
 work several feet above ground -

Answer to Inq 7<sup>th</sup>. If I had recourse to law I might have stopped  
 the work, since it was an encroachment on my own  
 property - but fearing the consequences & knowing  
 that I was to be removed from Chicago I thought  
 it ~~more~~ <sup>more</sup> expedient, not to resort to legal measures  
 I tried ecclesiastical measures, but found that the  
 remedy would be worse than the evil, as Rev Mr  
 Eversella positively told me that he would not  
 submit to my authority - I could not have  
 prevented the commencement of the church  
 since it was commenced during my absence  
 & without my knowledge

Answer to Inq 8<sup>th</sup>. I repeatedly saw lists of contributions to the church.

99

of the Holy name in the Western Tablet = These lists were first published during my absence - I took the paper & it was my organ only in as much as I used it as a medium to publish official documents in my capacity as Bishop to the clergy & Laity of the Diocese - I was responsible only for such pieces or articles as appeared under my official signature - A Bishop holds himself responsible for any other matter, editorial, communicated or copied that may appear in a paper called his Organ - I may add that when some of the subscribers discovered that Rev. Mr. Kinnella was building the church without my consent they withdrew or refused to pay their subscriptions

Ans to Q<sup>y</sup> 9 I never gave any directions to anyone as to where the Church should be placed, nor whom to have the superintendence of the Building

Ans to Q<sup>y</sup> 10 It was no part of his duty or business to superintend the building of said Church unless appointed by me, which he never was

Ans to Q<sup>y</sup> 11 It is the Pastor's moral duty to attend to the building of a Church if he be capable & be authorized by the Bishop of his diocese, not otherwise -

100

Ans 7. Int 12 All the regular churches built in the Diocese of Chicago, have been built with my permission & consent - & generally speaking, not I, but the Pastors or others appointed by me made & signed the contracts - which I approved -

Ans 7. Int 13 The answer to this Question is contained in the preceding -

Ans 7. Int 14 All the Parishes of the Diocese are or ought to be subordinate to their Bishop who has the power of removing them though, as in Rev Mr Russell's case it may not always be expedient to do so -

Ans 7. Int 15 There was no church on the North Side under my predecessor except St Joseph (German) Church Rt Rev Dr Smart, I believe, given Rev Mr Russell Jurisdiction over the English speaking people of the North Side - I informed him as pastor, but as he was president of the college, he employed Revs Messrs Cloury & Breen to act as his assistants or as Pastors under him with my approbation

Ans 7. Int 16 First part answered in Interrog. 6<sup>th</sup>. - The Block (No 48) belonged to me & my successors in office - I never had a title to the church built on it - As regards the church, the building of it & contracting for it Rev Mr Russell was alone responsible & those who contracted

with him probably knew that he acted against <sup>101</sup>my will & that I would oust him by legal process -

Ans<sup>r</sup> to Q<sup>ty</sup> 17, Whilst Bishop of Chicago, I never knew anything about Rev<sup>d</sup> Mr<sup>s</sup> Knisell's contracts for building said church - of course I thought it likely, that he had entered into such contracts on his own hook;

Ans<sup>r</sup> to Q<sup>ty</sup> 18 It was only when the corner stone was laid that I was told that Mr<sup>s</sup> Chas<sup>s</sup> O'Connell had taken the contract for the stone work -

Ans<sup>r</sup> to Q<sup>ty</sup> 19 I saw workmen at work at the church as I saw other workmen at other buildings but never inter-fered with them, nor ever went to look at the work or speak with them. I scarcely ever visited the college after the church had been commenced. I believe the only visit I made there after it had been commenced was at the laying of the corner stone -

Ans<sup>r</sup> to Q<sup>ty</sup> 20 Once or twice riding about in a cab I saw workmen at work at the church, but I never enquired nor positively knew, by whom they were employed nor under whose superintendance they worked of course I suppose that they worked under Rev<sup>d</sup> Mr<sup>s</sup> Knisell's superintendance, though I had no certainty of it -

Ans<sup>r</sup> to Q<sup>ty</sup> 21 I never did see I never spoke to them whilst at work

Ans<sup>r</sup> to Q<sup>ty</sup> 22. Scarcely all this has been answered above - I will merely add that I never held out Rev<sup>d</sup> Mr Kinsella as authorized by me to make contracts for the erection of said Church, but frequently stated that he had commenced the Church without my consent & against my will & that those who contracted with him did so at their own risk

Ans<sup>r</sup> to Q<sup>ty</sup> 23 I don't precisely remember at what time I laid the Corner Stone of said Church, I think it was on the first Wednesday of August 1853 - Yes I now remember this was the day -

Ans<sup>r</sup> to Q<sup>ty</sup> 24 The laying of the corner stone of a Church is not an act of Episcopal authority since it may be done by a simple Priest -

Ans<sup>r</sup> to Q<sup>ty</sup> 25, I repeatedly told several persons especially Priests of the Diocese that Rev<sup>d</sup> Mr Kinsella was not authorized by me to build said Church & that he did it against my will but I do not think that I ever gave any notice of it in the Western Tablet -

Ans<sup>r</sup> to Q<sup>ty</sup> 26 I knew from the Propaganda & from the Pope himself before I left Rome in 1852 that

143

I would be removed from Chicago & transferred  
to another diocese & on my return to Chicago  
in December 1852 I mentioned it to several  
persons - The next Spring 1853 - I should have  
to be transferred to the See of Natchez & this too  
I mentioned to several of my friends & it  
appears to have been known in Natchez during  
the month of June - The decisive appointmen-  
t to Natchez took place on the 29<sup>th</sup> July of  
that year 1853, but I continued to exercise epis-  
copal functions in the Diocese of Chicago, till I  
left it on the 3<sup>rd</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> November of that year -  
Things done for the benefit of the Diocese thou-  
gh I no longer had episcopal authority  
over it, when I left it - My permission to exercise  
Episcopal functions, quite a different thing  
from Episcopal Jurisdiction or authority  
was obtained from the archbishop of the Province  
Most Rev. P. R. Kenrick of St Louis -

Ans<sup>r</sup> to Int<sup>r</sup> 27 Answered in the preceding - neither I or any  
other Bishop ever, consecrated ~~the~~ the Church of the  
Holy Name - I laid the corner stone at the  
request of the Bp of Pittsburgh who had been  
asked to do it by Rev. Mr. Knissella who prea-  
ched on the occasion - As I mentioned  
before any simple Priest may lay the corner  
stone of a Church - So far from its being an



104

act of Episcopal Jurisdiction or authority  
it is not even strictly speaking an Episcopal  
function

Inac testor -

J. O. Vandeville  
Bp of Natchez

I the undersigned Justice of the Peace in  
and for the County of Adams and State of  
Mississippi residing in said the City of Natchez  
do certify that the foregoing Deposition  
of James O. Vandeville was taken by me  
at my office in the City, County of Adams  
in the State of Mississippi on the twentieth  
day of October A.D. 1855. That the said wit-  
ness was first duly sworn by me and  
that the same was written by said witness  
and after carefully reading the same  
the said witness signed the same in my  
Presence -

Witness my hand and seal at  
Natchez this October 20<sup>th</sup> A.D. 1855

R. M. Wood. J. C. C.

State of Mississippi } ss.  
Adams County }

I, Richard A Inge  
Clerk of the Probate Court of the County  
of Adams State of Mississippi do hereby certify  
that Robert M. Wood whose genuine signature  
appears to the above certificate, is and was  
at the date hereof, an acting Justice of  
the Peace in and for said County of Adams  
duly elected qualified and commissioned  
and that all of his acts in the premises  
are and ought to be entitled to full faith  
and credit in Judicature thereout -

In testimony whereof I have here-  
unto set my hand and the seal  
of said Court at the City of Natchez  
the 20<sup>th</sup> day of October A.D. eighteen  
hundred and fifty five -

Rich A Inge  
Clerk.

106

James Rogge, was then called for defence - He is a carpenter - He & his partner Donoho put the roof on the Building - They did it according to the drawing & model furnished them by Brulnig & Barrman the architects - Brulnig directed them about the work - The roof is made right but it does not fit on the small gables - they are too small & do not correspond with the plan - the gables are not built right - they are twelve (12) of them - They were not made to fit according to the model - They don't fit by three feet - the angles at the apex are too obtuse & the perpendicular is not sufficiently high by three feet - The gables are now being torn down partially and rebuilt to correspond with roof - The roof is correct according to the model -

Mr Carter by defence - Is an architect - has seen the building known as Church of Holy Name has not examined it particularly - Does not know its size except from the plans which he has examined & are before him - The number of bricks in the building according to the measurement which he has made from said plans including half the openings of the windows is 12061.11 - in this he does not compute the

107

hollows of the walls = The walls being hollow  
some allowance would be made -  
The space between the walls of eight inches  
being filled up one fourth by connections  
one third of the hollow space should be con-  
sidered in the measurement as solid -  
The mode of measurement adapted by Bur-  
ling in his constructions of girthing makes a  
hundred & eighty feet of wall more than there  
is in the Church - The word "girthing the  
Building" in the contract would not justify  
the construction of Burling by which this  
Quantity is arrived at - Girthing the building  
means to adapt a straight line on the outside  
to each side of the building & then multiply  
the length thus obtained by the height &  
thickness of the wall, for the Quantity - In this  
the buttresses would then be added -  
In ordinary hollow walls we usually measure  
the walls solid, because it is more work to  
make them - I never measured a wall of  
this kind - Don't know what the custom as to  
openings - Where the person doing the work does  
not furnish the material - Where the Workman  
furnishes the material the custom is to  
include for the openings as solid & in this  
case the builder gets the value of materials as  
well as labor not actually furnished -

108

It would be worth double the price of ordinary  
brick laying per thousand to lay the brick in  
such a building as this —

I made my computation soon to in my  
direct examination on the basis of a building  
36 feet high - If the building was ten feet  
higher - of course the number of bricks would  
be increased proportionably - I know nothing  
of the quantity of brick in the building from  
actual measurement of the building -

I have made my computation entirely  
from the plans of the building, submitted  
to me & which I supposed to be correct in  
these plans gave 36 feet as the height -  
But stone work is worth more than ordinary  
brick work - There was no building like this  
put up in Chicago —

On a re-calculation of the amount of bricks  
in the building, according to my mode of  
getting - and including  $\frac{1}{2}$  the openings &  
counting  $\frac{1}{4}$  the wall solid and I make  
1,907,000 brick - If the wall was  $46\frac{1}{2}$  feet  
high - that proportion should be added viz  
 $\frac{10\frac{1}{2}}{36}$  of the above sum should be added to it  
to get the whole number of Bricks —

Rev Mathew Dillon for defence - Says he is at  
present President of University of St Mary of

the Lake has charge of the parish of Holy Name  
 He was stationed in the diocese of St Louis  
 before Bishop O'Regan came here - He came  
 here with Bp O'Regan about 13<sup>th</sup> August 1854  
 Bishop O'Regan requested him to come - Bishop  
 O'Regan rejected his first appointment as Bish-  
 op of Chicago & sent it back to Rome - He  
 rec<sup>d</sup> a second appointment and accepted it  
 it & on 12<sup>th</sup> July he the mitrep rec<sup>d</sup> a letter  
 from him requesting him Mr Dillon to come  
 to his consecration on 25<sup>th</sup> July & accompany  
 him to Chicago - He the present Bishop was  
 then President of a Seminary at Carondelet  
 near St Louis - Thinks he the Bishop rec<sup>d</sup>  
 his second appointment in July 1854 -

This evidence was accepted to by the  
 plffs counsel, the mitrep states that the  
 appointment refusal & acceptance were all  
 in writing

An administrator is clothed with episcopal  
 functions to a certain extent - The councils  
 of Baltimore are bridging on all the Catho-  
 lic clergy in the country -

Now the defence offered a canon of the 5<sup>th</sup>  
 Baltimore council in evidence & the counsel  
 for plffs agreed the mitrep might write a  
 translation of it & it could be offered after-  
 wards -

Mr Dillon further said that a pastor must  
 have written or express authority from his Bishop  
 before he can commence to build a church -  
 It is the duty of the pastor when he has the

assent of his Bishop to build churches 110  
110

"We urge Bishops that they be vigilant lest their priests should burden Church property or destroy confidence to the detriment of religion by contracting debts in the impudent erection or repairing of Churches or in any other manner therefore they must require at least a yearly statement of their accounts & not permit them to contract debts either in the name or for the purposes of the Church without written permission so to do"

111

A. church commenced without the authority of the Bishop would be improper - The Jurisdiction and authority of a Bishop commences when he accepts of his appointment - an administrator is clothed with episcopal functions, but can do no act to bind Church property - His appointment is merely temporary in the absence of a Bishop to maintain the discipline of the Church until the vacancy is filled with a Bishop - his appointment comes from the Metropolitan not from the Holy see whence alone a Bishop's appointment can come -

If a Bishop's resignation of a Diocese were accepted by the Holy see such Bishop might nevertheless be retained in his place & continue to exercise all the duties of the office until his successor was appointed if so directed or empowered by the Holy see -

### Reproduction of testimony by complete

W. Price for complainants - As a master builder contracts to amount to \$75,000 a year think that the price stated in the contract is not too much for work of that character - The extra price is caused by the want of floor the necessity of having high scaffolding built - Openings similar to those in the plan (here produced)



112

are much trouble - I think the contract  
was a fair one - I would not have taken it on  
the same terms -

The price of common brick laying was \$4  
a thousand -

Crap Exam - The price of \$6  $\frac{40}{100}$  is much above  
the common price of laying brick, but is right  
for work of this kind - It was worth \$4 a  
thousand that season to lay common brick -

Above evidence of quantum meruit was  
objected to by defence - objection overruled  
evidence admitted subject to exceptions of  
deft's counsel - & so of all the rest of the test-  
imony on Quantum Meruit -

Price - Awa master builder have large  
contracts from the church of Holy Name  
I was familiar with the O'Connor contra-  
ct consider it was no more than a fair con-  
tract - I would not have taken it on the same  
terms - The price of common brick laying  
was \$4 a thousand that year -

M Dumot - fu Complet - He set all the cutstone  
in the building - It was very troublesome  
Do not think price of contract too high -  
It was a fair price for the work -  
And this was all the evidence introduced in this case

The defendant moved the Court to instruct the Jury

- 1 No Lien can exist in this case unless the contract was made for the use with the owner of the lot on which the building was erected or with his agent expressly authorized to contract -
- 2 If the Jury believe that the contract aforesaid was made with J. A. Kinsella & not with the owner of the lot the complainant can not obtain a lien upon the property -
- 3 If the Jury believe from the evidence that J. A. Kinsella did not obtain the assent of Bishop Fairbairn to the erection of the Church of the Holy Name, but commenced the same in his own responsibility, the ceremonial of laying the corner stone by the Bishop was not such an act of ratification of any contract made by J. A. Kinsella as would make said Bishop or his successor responsible
- 4 If the Jury believe said Church was commenced without the previous assent or authority of Bishop Fairbairn and that said Fairbairn ceased to be Bishop of Chicago on 29th July 1853 & laid said corner stone on 3rd August following - the act of laying the corner stone

114

after he ceased to be Bishop aforesaid can have no binding force upon the land of the Bishop of Chicago & his successors in office

- 4 That the act of an administration cannot render the Land of the Catholic Church in the Diocese of Chicago voted in the Bishop of Chicago his successors, responsible
- 5 If the Jury believe that a Chinella undertook to build said Church on his own responsibility intending to pay for the same by such contributions & collections as he could raise from the people & made this contract with Ch. Aberson with the understanding express or implied that he was to be paid in this manner no Lien can exist in this case
- 6 If the Jury believe from the evidence that said Vandevilde had ceased to be Bishop of Chicago & had left the diocese before the contract was made and said O'Keagan was not then Bishop of Chicago - no act of Vandevilde before leaving bind the property of Bishop of Chicago through that contract - no acquiescence or words, or act of said O'Keagan after he became Bishop indicating an acceptance of the work can be construed into a ratification of said contract

113

unless they believe from the evidence that the existence of said contract and its terms were brought home to the knowledge of said O'Keefe;

7 If the Jury believe that when Chas O'Connor made the contract in this case with J. F. Kinsella said O'Connor gave credit to said Kinsella & looked to him for payment - no lien can exist in this case -

8 It is incumbent on the complainant to show that there was a Bishop of Chicago capable of contracting as such for the work at the date of the contract & that he also did contract with said O'Connor in order to recover a lien under said contract -

9 If the Jury believe that said church was commenced with permission of the Bishop of Chicago & that permission extended only to authority to build the church on his land without authority to bind him or hold him responsible therefor - no lien can then exist in this case -

10 That permission by the owner to build upon his land does not create an implied undertaking on his part to pay for the building

- 116
- 11 If the Jury believe from the evidence that O'Connor has not executed the work according to the contract he can not recover a lien under said contract in this case —
  - 12 If the Jury believe that said church was built without the authority of the Bishop of Chicago & they further believe that said Bishop has accepted or used the building such acceptance or use is no approval of the contract in this case & he or said church can not be held responsible in this proceeding
  - 13 If the Jury do not find upon the contract in this case they can not find on a Quantum Meruit under this proceeding —
  - 14 That the mere approval of the work and acceptance or use of the same is no approval or acceptance of the contract under which it was built —
  - 15 That a principal can not ratify an act done by another which he would have no authority to do himself at the time it was done and that if said Kissella made the contract in this case before said O'Regan was made Bishop he could not ratify said contract by any act proven in this case

that would make him responsible in this proceeding -

- 16 That the denials in the answer of Bishop O'Regan of the statements of the petition - are to be taken as true unless contradicted by two witnesses to the same facts or one witness with strong corroborating circumstances -
- 17 The jury will disregard all parol evidence introduced by the complainants to show that the contract in this case made by Charles Connor with J. A. Kusella was made by said Kusella as agent of the Catholic Bishop of Chicago -
- 18 The jury will disregard the testimony of Messrs. Henry Green & Labelle touching the custom of Pastors signing contracts for the building of churches in the Diocese of Chicago -

Which instructions the court refused to give and the defendant then and there entered his exceptions to the opinion of the court in refusing said instructions -

If the Jury believe from the evidence that the Pastors of the Churches respectively according to the uniform custom of the Catholic Church in the Diocese of Illinois are the proper persons to make contracts for the building of the Churches authorized by the Bishop to be built for the use of their respective congregations & if the Jury further believe that the Pastor of the Church of the Holy Name of Jesus that while Pastor he made the contract proved in this case & set forth in the petition & if you further believe from the evidence that the building of the Church was authorized by the Bishop or administrator of the diocese of Chicago then the Petitioner is entitled to recover the amount due by virtue of the contract less the amount admitted to have been paid upon the contract by the petitioner.

If the Jury are satisfied from the evidence that the custom is <sup>not</sup> uniform in the diocese of Illinois or that the building of the Church was not authorized by the Bishop of the Diocese of Illinois the complainants cannot recover upon the contract.

If the Jury believe from the evidence that while the Church was erecting the Bishop

or administrator of the diocese of Chicago was cognizant of the fact & saw the work progressing. without making objection after the work was done, took possession of the church & appropriated it to the use of the church of which he was the representative head - The petitioner is entitled to recover what the work was reasonably worth -

The Jury must adapt the mode of lecture & not of Bowling - The above instructions were accepted to by Defendant's counsel. And the defendant prays that this his bill of exceptions be signed & sealed by the Judge of said Court which is accordingly done -

Done at Chambers this 6th day of December 1855

John M. Wilson Seal  
Judge of the Cook County  
Court of Common Pleas

State of Illinois  
County of Cook  
J. S. S.

I Watten Kimball Clerk of the Cook County Court of Common Pleas in & for said County & State do hereby Certify that the foregoing is a full true and correct Transcript of all the papers filed and



and Orders entered of Record in said Court  
in the case of Charles Cannon Plaintiff  
and Peter Anthony O'Regan Defendant  
as the same now appear on the files of  
said Court now in my office

In Testimony Whereof I have  
hereunto set my hand and  
affixed the Seal of said Court at  
Chicago this 29th day of May  
A.D. 1856.

Walter Kimball Clerk  
#2



And said Appellee by L. L. Diekey  
comes and says that there is in said  
record and proceedings no errors  
such as is alleged by appellant and asks  
that said judgment & decree may  
in all things be affirmed

L. L. Diekey for  
Appellee -

Charles Connor

Rt. Rev. Anthony  
C. Ryan,

Trasler

Spec. Trans. \$50  
Paid by R. C. C. C.

1850

68  
Anthony C. Segan

vs  
Charles C. Connor

Filed June 10 1896

L. S. Band, Clerk

Be it remembered that on the trial of  
the case of Charles Connor vs. Anthony O'Regan  
on petition for mechanics lien "upon the Church  
of the Holy name" on Block 48 in Kinzie's addition  
to Chicago in the Cook County Court of common  
law then before the Hon. J. W. Nelson the following  
persons were introduced, sworn & examined as  
witnesses - said trial being at the September  
Term 1855 of said Court.

Rev Mr. Blony introduced  
by complainant, said he was a catholic  
priest & came to Chicago in 1849 that he found  
Bishop Vanderelde acting as Bishop of Chicago  
whom he knew - He knew Rev. C. J. Kimball  
said Kimball was President of University of  
St. Mary of the Lake - Pastor of the Church of  
the Holy name - He knows the church situ-  
ated on Block 49 in Kinzie's addition: the Chi-  
urch was commenced about 29th June 1853 -  
he was present at the laying of the corner stone  
"The all parol evidence to show Mr Kimball  
acted as agent of the Bishop was opposed by  
deft's counsel - objections over-ruled by court  
& evidence admitted - to which deft's excepted."  
It was laid by Bishop Vanderelde - The founda-  
tion was two or three feet above the ground  
when the corner stone was laid - Bishop  
Connor of Pittsburgh was present & Rev Mr  
Kimball. Rev Mr Hoge Rev Mr Queen were also

present, Mr. O'Connor the complainant was  
present and two or three hundred people  
Mr O'Connor had the stone prepared & took  
part in directing how it was to be laid &  
The manner of laying the corner stone  
was by the Bishop going the walls saying  
prayer & psalms - there was a trap nested in  
the centre - and when he came to the corner  
stone he blessed it - the foundation walls then  
built are the same upon which the structure  
now stands - Mr O'Connor and the Bishop  
were in a position to see each other at the  
laying of the corner stone - Sent Kinn when the  
Bishop saw O'Connor, when the stone was  
blessed, it was placed in its position by Mr  
O'Connor, Bishop O'Connor preached on the  
occasion of laying the corner stone from the  
balcony of the College which is just oppo-  
site the Church - His discourse was a lecture  
on the unity of the Church - He alluded  
to the Church of the Holy Name and made  
a few remarks exhorting the people to be gen-  
erous about contributing - of this he is not  
positive - It is his opinion - After the layi-  
ng of the corner stone Mr Kinnella remained  
in the city until January 1855, he acted  
as President of the University & pastor of the  
Church & as Treasurer - It is the custom

as far as he knows in this diocese for the  
pastor to act as Treasurer in building churches  
& also for the pastor to make contracts for the  
building - The Bishop never signs the contracts  
as far as he knows, he thinks this is  
the general custom in this diocese (all the  
in relation to this custom was objected to  
by defendants counsel, objection over-ruled  
& evidence admitted by the court subject  
to defts exceptions) the Bishop must give his  
approbation for the building of the church -  
The usual mode is for the pastor to consult the  
Bishop before commencing the church & get  
his approbation - It is then the duty of the  
pastor to make the contracts - He saw the Bi-  
shop on one occasion after the laying of the  
corner stone at the college - He had to pass  
the church in going from his house to the college  
From the 29<sup>th</sup> June when the work was com-  
menced until August when the corner stone  
was laid at which time the exterior wall was  
two or three feet above the ground - Mr Brown  
was doing the work - There was a catholic paper  
in the city called the Western Oracle - The  
Bishop recognized it as his organ & he published  
communications to the people in that paper  
He has seen the paper in the Bishops House -  
Bishop Fardeweldi left Chicago some  
time in November 1855 - He continued to act



as Bishop until he left in June 1849 to Nov  
1853 Vandeveldt continued to act as Bishop  
of Chicago - I found D. A. Hinckley occupying  
the position of Pastor of Church of Holy  
Name & President of the University of St Mary  
of Lake when I came, and he continued to  
act in these capacities until January 1855  
The Pastor of the Church in this diocese  
treasurer by virtue of his office - Hinckley  
was pastor & treasurer is

The Bishop can sign the contracts but  
seldom does so - He has never known Bishop  
Vandeveldt sign a contract for the building  
of a church in this diocese during his  
administration -

Upon cross-examination he stated Bishop  
Vandeveldt left Chicago in November 1853  
The the witness did not know he had ceased  
to be Bishop of Chicago when he laid  
the cornerstone - The functions of laying  
a cornerstone of a church are not episcop-  
al as they can be performed by a priest -  
The laying of a cornerstone of a church  
by a Bishop he should think would of itself  
be sufficient approbation if the Bishop had  
previously refused his consent - This he  
considers merely as a theological question  
and his opinion is not based upon any facts -

He is not sufficiently well acquainted with the Canons of the Church to say whether there are canons which requires the Bishop's assent in writing before the commencing of a church - He does not think that Bishop Vanderelde was in Chicago when the Church was commenced.

He was absent on a visitation of the Diocese was gone two or three months got back the day before corner stone was laid - The Bishop had just returned from Milwaukee - Mr Kinsella remained till January 1855. - He continued to act as president of St. Mary of the Lake and Santa of Chusech till then - He thinks it is the custom in this Diocese for the Pastor to be treasurer - Exception taken as to evidence of custom - Mr Kinsella acted as treasurer - Before a church can be erected it is necessary to have the approbation of the Bishop - The Bishop can sign the contract for the building - He knows of his own personal knowledge of only one instance where the pastor of the Church signed the contract for building viz; the frame church on north side. Near the new church - Mr Kinsella signed the contract for that - After approbation by the Bishop it is the office of the pastor to make the contracts - He saw the Bishop at the College once after corner stone had been laid - The Bishop

resides on Michigan Avenue corner of Madison  
Street & Michigan Avenue. Mr. Connor went  
on with the work from June till August & on  
to the — Bishop O'Regan came to Chicago  
about August or September 1854 — He was  
consecrated Bishop 25th July 1854 at St Louis  
He understood Bishop O'Regan sent back  
to the Holy see his appointment as Bishop  
of Chicago & it was sent to him again —  
When he accepted it — this was in the Spring  
or Summer of 1854 — a short time before his  
consecration —

The Church of the Holy Name was opened  
last Christmas and a collection taken up to  
help pay for the church by the permission of  
Bishop O'Regan — If application had been  
made to the Bishop & his consent refused a church  
could not properly be commenced by the pastor  
but if a church was commenced without his  
approbation, and he afterwards blessed the corner  
stone it would be sufficient approbation —

The Bishop had power to stop the building  
at his will, and to remove the pastor, and if  
he does not interfere but allows the church  
to go on — he thereby gives consent to the chu-  
rch being built — Bishop O'Regan gave per-  
mission to have the church opened Dec 25, 1854  
The usual services were performed. He gave  
his assent to the opening of the church.

A Church, can not be opened against the wish of the Bishop -

I paid \$100 to Bishop Regan out of the collection taken up when the Church was opened - The Church has been regularly opened for service since that time -

An Administrator has the same power which the Bishop has & can perform the same acts excepting that he can not ordain or confirm -

Re-organization by complainants counsel - A Bishop can change a pastor at pleasure - The Catholic paper before mentioned was published by Mr. Ballentine, who is a protestant - All the priests at the University of St. Mary of the Lake collected contributions for the building of the Church - The list of contributions was published in the Western Safflet - while Mr. Kinsella was President of the University, Bishop Fanderelde sent to him <sup>the keys</sup> the keys of the College & gave them up to him - Mr. Kinsella sent him word to come & get them himself; when a person is appointed to a Bishopric, he has the right to send <sup>back</sup> his appointment ~~back~~ & his jurisdiction as Bishop does not begin until he accepts the appointment - He has none before - appointment does not give jurisdiction, but acceptance - Resignation also deprives him of jurisdiction -

(Here contract between P. A. Kinsella & Charles  
O'Connor read to Jury & accepted to by defendant  
counsel)

(Here insert copy of the contract)

The signature of said P. A. Kinsella to said contract  
was admitted by the depts.

Rev Mr Green - introduced by Plffs. He was  
teacher in University of St Mary of the Lake  
is a catholic priest - came to live in Chicago  
in 1849 - He knows Bishop Vanderelde, dont  
remember when Bishop Vanderelde came to  
Chicago was Bishop when he came to Chicago  
He knows Church of Holy Name - it is just in  
front of College - It was commenced later  
end of June 1853 - Corner Stone was laid 3<sup>d</sup>  
August 1853 - the walls of the entire building  
were then two or three feet above the ground -  
Mr O'Connor was the builder - Bishop Vande-  
relde officiated at laying corner stone - the Bishop  
& priests went in procession around the walls  
& the corner stone was blessed by prayer - Mr  
O'Connor officiated as Mason in laying  
corner stone - Mr Kinsella was present &  
was pastor of church; was so till last January  
It is the custom for pastors in this Diocese  
to make contracts for building churches -  
(Evidence of custom again objected to over-ruled)

& exceptions taken) He has never known any  
contracts for building of Churches in this Diocese  
to be signed by the Bishop - He don't remem-  
ber particulars of <sup>the</sup> sermon preached by Bishop  
Conner - I think he tried to stimulate the  
people to contribute to church - It is province  
of pastors to build Churches (objected to by  
dependants, objection overruled) the Western  
Tablet was the organ of Bishop of Chicago  
both Bishop Vandeveldt & Keegan (objected  
to & overruled) remember Bishop Vandeveldt  
did pass by the Church & saw it after corner  
stone was laid - I have always considered  
the Pastor acted as the Bishop's agent, in the matter  
of the building of Churches & made <sup>the</sup> contracts  
in his own name - I have remembered a  
contract for the building of a Church to be  
signed by the Bishop - they have always been  
signed by the Pastor as far as I know -  
After the laying of the corner stone of a church  
by the Bishop it would be the duty of the  
Pastor to go on & complete the church -

Copy examined - It is necessary that the  
Bishop should give his consent before commen-  
cing a church - He does not know that the  
Bishop never signs contracts for the build-  
ing of Churches - I don't know of any facts  
in relation to the customs of pastors making

contracts for building churches in this diocese  
(Here again all testimony of customs objected to  
by defendants counsel & objection over-ruled  
by the court) The Bishop was not responsible  
for the things in the Tablet. - There was no obli-  
gation on part of Editor to exclude or publish  
pieces for the Bishop - He (the witness) assisted  
in collecting money from the people to build  
the church; several members of the congrega-  
tion & four priests were employed in collecting  
He had frequent conferences with Rev Mr Kissella  
about the collections - Rev Mr Kissella & they  
intended to pay for the church by contribu-  
tions from the people & of the Bishop -  
He promised to contribute - He believed the  
Bishop was absent on visitation of the diocese  
when the church was commenced in June  
He was also at Milwaukee - got back  
very shortly before laying of corner stone -  
The Bishop promised a contribution - He  
invited Bishop Tompkins to lay corner  
stone on the forenoon of the day when it was  
laid - He (the witness) had been absent from  
Chicago since last January - Rev Mr Kissella  
Rev Mr Cluny he left at the same time, they  
went to New York & now reside there -  
Bishop O'Regan called on them for their resig-  
nation & had offered them different positions  
in the diocese sometime previous to their

resignation - The Bishop gave us no credit -  
He came here during this trial because he  
always had an anxiety about this matter - He  
feels a deep interest in it - He came on a letter  
received from Rev Mr Clowry - one motive he had  
in coming here was to attend this trial - He  
wished to have it decided honestly & justly &  
thinks it would be honest & just to decide it for  
Mr Connor - He (the witness) & Mr Clowry  
have been much together & conversed about  
this case -

Has seen much of Mr Connor since he came  
to town & talked about this case with him -  
Rev Mr Clowry & witness have been in Mr Connor's  
house since coming to town, & had frequent  
conversations with him - has no interest but that  
justice may be done in the matter -

He remembers that in the summer Bishop Con-  
nor spoke of the generosity of the people in  
building a church - The church was opened  
last Christmas day for services & a collection  
was taken up to pay for church - They was  
nothing but the walls up & roof on the building  
(Here Western Tablet introduced of Oct  
29<sup>th</sup> 1853 & objected to by defendant's counsel  
objection overruled by the Court) and except-  
ions taken - " - The Pastor always acts as agent  
of Bishop in the building of churches & signs  
the contracts - This has been the usual course -



I know it from the knowledge which I have  
of the relation between the bishop & pastor  
I have been six years a Minister & have never  
known a Bishop, to sign a contract for the  
building of a church - The Bishop has  
only to say one word & he can stop all proce-  
dings - The day that Bishop Vandewelde  
laid the corner stone he promised a subscrip-  
tion for the church -

D. J. Ballentine publisher of Paper (Western  
Tablet) introduced - He was the proprietor  
in Oct 1853 - It was the official organ of the  
Bishop - It was so by his permission - The  
manuscript of publications were generally  
destroyed, sometimes burned & sometimes pres-  
erved - He is a Presbyterian - Western Tablet  
had the inscription "organ of the Bishop of  
Chicago" because the Bishop is in the habit  
of publishing his addresses & communications  
to the people; and makes known his official  
acts & imitations; in no other way was it his  
organ - The paper was sent to the Bishop -  
Here a proclamation of Bp. Vandewelde in  
the paper was offered - the list of subscrip-  
tions to the church, Diocesan Intelligence -  
Paper of Sep 3<sup>rd</sup> 53 (List of subscriptions  
offered admitted (excepted to) also No of  
paper of August 6<sup>th</sup>) Only 30<sup>th</sup> July, 9<sup>th</sup> - 16<sup>th</sup>  
23<sup>rd</sup> June 25 - 9<sup>th</sup> July, (here insert them)

due to O'Connor according to the contract.  
The work was done in a satisfactory manner.  
The stone work was at the same price as  
the contract of the year before - The amt  
due for stone work was \$343.

The whole amount due \$16,211.90 - There  
was a failure to provide brick and the men  
were discharged by O'Connor for the want  
of brick - They could not go on for the  
want of brick - If there had been no  
interruption for want of brick the work  
could have been completed -

Crop Examined  
The number of brick estimated from  
He made a proximate estimate of the  
number - The meaning of getting ac-  
cording to his construction is to take a line  
& go all around the building & measure  
into all the angles and around the pro-  
jections - He & Mr O'Connor had a contro-  
versy about the mode of measurement - he  
first measured the lower ten feet of  
building by taking the length & breadth  
of the building - then measuring the  
buttresses & adding both together; in this  
measurement the walls & openings and  
buttresses were measured as solid; then all

In paper of July 9<sup>th</sup> publication of visitation  
by Bishop Vandeville admitted under assurance  
from Compt. Council, that they would prove  
the Bishop ordered it - also Editorial notice  
of visitation, admitted in same manner (see  
in suit) -

Rev. Adore Labelle for Compt. - Is a Catho-  
lic priest - was for five years employed on mission  
in this diocese - Bishop Vandeville was Bishop  
~~was Bishop~~ when he first took the mission  
Bishop O'Regan was Bishop Vandeville's suc-  
cessor - He knew Rev. Mr. Winsella - He was  
pastor of Church of Holy Name - He was present  
at laying the corner stone - It is the general  
custom in this diocese for pastors to make  
contracts for building churches (see again  
testimony of custom admitted by court subject  
to exception of depts. Council) -

He built two churches under Bishop Vandeville  
and one under Bishop O'Regan, in this dio-  
cese - The contracts of these churches were made  
by him as pastor - But had the approbation  
of the Bishops -

Crop - examined - He holds no official  
position now in Catholic Church - The pastor  
signs contracts for building if he chooses -  
He paid the masonry for building the  
churches & collected the money from the people

for the purpose - He knows of his own personal knowledge of no instance of pastors signing contracts but those mentioned by Mr. Clouy & himself -

Mr. Daniel O'Hara for comp. said he used to act as editor of Western Tablet that the notice of the Bishop's visitation of Sep 3<sup>d</sup> 1853 was written by the Bishop - (Here insert notice of Sept 3<sup>d</sup> in full) That the manuscripts of such notices were generally thrown away as waste paper - that the heading of the paper was selected especially by the Bishop (Here insert a copy of the heading) that the paper was left at the Bishop's house very much - that the Bishop generally saw proofs of whatever was written by him - In paper of Nov 3<sup>d</sup> 53. Notice headed diocese of Chicago - Editorial - can't say who wrote it positively - should think such an article would not appear without Bishop's knowledge & assent - objected to, admitted subject to exceptions - (Here insert a copy of said article).

Nov. 5 Editorial objected & admitted subject to exception - (Here insert them) All the extracts above admitted subject to slight exception - Nothing which concerned

the Bishop personally was inserted in the paper without its being first submitted to him - Edward Burling for complaints - Said he was an architect, was present at laying of the corner stone, it was laid by Bishop Vandevelde assisted by Mr Connor & myself. He & his partner superintended the erection of the church - their firm name was Burling & Bannan - The foundation except front part was entirely completed in 1853 -

The reason front was not completed they wanted large stones & water coming on prevented us getting them - He made the estimate of the work as exhibited by bill send on on this case - It is correct according to the contract - he wrote the contract at the solicitation of Mr Connor. & He was surprised at the quantity of brick made out more than was in the building - he went over it again - according to his estimate there were 2,452,995 Brick in church casting \$15,699.00 -

Here I have affixed the certificate of Burling & Bannan of the amount due on the contract which witness proves to have been made by him & which is thereupon read to-day & which is in words & figures following viz;

(Here insert certificate)

This certificate carefully states the amount

due To O'Connor according to the contract  
The work was done in a satisfactory manner

### Crab Examined

The number of Brick astonished him. He  
made a proximate estimate of the number  
The meaning of girthing according to his  
construction is to take a line & go all around  
the building & measure into all the angles  
and around the projections. He & Mr  
O'Connor had a controversy about the mode  
of measurement - he first measured the  
lower ten feet of building by taking the  
length & breadth of the building - then meas-  
uring the buttresses & adding both together  
in this measurement the walls & openings  
and buttresses were measured as solid - there  
are eighteen buttresses - all hollow - each  
hollow 8 inches by 16" according to the  
construction of girthing the projections by  
which the upper thirty two feet of the build-  
ing were measured, are all measured twice -  
all the corners are measured

eighteen buttresses - all hollow - each hollow  
8 inches by 16; According to the construction  
of girthing by which the upper thirty two feet  
of the building were measured the projections  
are all measured twice - all the corners  
are measured twice - The walls are hollow  
the openings between them is eight inches  
There are twenty one windows - Thirty six  
feet high by eight wide - The measurement  
of the upper 34 feet was made by adapting  
the line to all the indentations and measur-  
ing around all the projections and angles  
it would require 242000 bricks to fill open-  
ings of windows & doors  $\frac{1}{4}$  of hollow space bet-  
ween the walls is filled by arches & connecti-  
ons - The contract was departed from by the Parties several times The design was furnished by him a  
year before this contract was made - There  
were several plans afterwards prepared by  
Mr Hinzella - The brick gables on top of  
the church are considerably in error -  
They are not built according to the contr-  
act - Persons are now engaged in taking  
them down - There are 6 gables on each  
side - Mr O'Connor had the plan - would  
not say whether the fault is his (the witness)  
or Mr O'Connor - He thinks an alliance  
for this defective work was made by him in  
his estimate to which Mr O'Connor agreed  
The plans he furnished O'Connor were correct

& the work does not correspond with the plan - There might have been an error in the plan in respect to the gables - Mr O'Conna always claimed that he had built according to the plan -

The building was 46½ feet high - The original plan was 36 feet - but it was afterwards altered & made 10 feet higher -

The extra ten feet was of course worth more proportionally than the rest of the wall - The difficulty & expense of the job, increasing in proportion to the height - No extra charge made on acc of this addition to height by O'Conna -

The number of Bricks included in the computation exceeds the actual number in the building from 500,000 to 1,000,000 This was made up by constructive measurement - Ordinary Hollow walls are usually measured solid - That is the custom - The reason is that it is much more trouble to build a wall hollow than it is to build it up solid - Chimneys are always measured solid - I am now employed upon the <sup>church</sup> as architect - I was employed by O'Hara the Pastor of the Church - In this case considering that the space in the wall is 8 inches & space might to be reckoned as solid -



McDermat for complainants - He worked for Mr O'Connell on the Church - saw the present Bishop at the Church in August or September 1854 - The Bishop was looking at the building - don't know whether Mr O'Connell was there or not: The Bishop was inside & outside of the building -

Crop-examiner

Has been a mason for twenty years - has been three years in this city - The ordinary price for laying brick the season the Church was built was about \$4.00 - He has never built any such building - He does not know how the building was measured - Knows nothing about the contract or mode of measurement -

Mr Scally for complainants - He is stone cutter & furnished the cut stone for the building - It was more difficult in this case to set stone than in an ordinary building from the inclined position in which they had to be placed - The large stones had not to be raised high the small stones had to be raised high - Can't tell what it was worth to raise them (after making an estimate) thinks that the full value of laying the cut stone in the building would be \$1400 -  
The stones were by the plan required to be

laid at an angle where the bearing was  
at so few points, it was difficult to hold  
them in place & the work was difficult &  
laborious

## Defence

Mr Ballantyne recalled by defence - He  
was the proprietor & publisher of the Western  
Tablet - The pastoral & letters of the Bishop  
to his flock were published in it. The list  
of contributions were handed in to be pub-  
lished by Messrs Green & Blony - They were  
published like any other advertisement &  
paid for by them - He is a presbyterian  
& the Bishop was not answerable or responsi-  
ble for what appeared in his paper - The  
adaption of the paper as the Bishop's organ  
was the mere use of the paper as a medi-  
um of communication to his flock -

(Here the following Questions were put by defence)  
Are you or not aware of any public declar-  
ation of Bishop Vandewelde prior to the 10<sup>th</sup>  
of April 1854 to the effect that the building  
of the Church of Holy name was against  
his approbation? If so state where, on what  
occasion & when it was? Objected to as irrele-  
vant & objection sustained - exceptions taken by defence

Objected to unless shown to have been made  
in the presence or hearing of the plaintiff  
or brought home to his knowledge, or unless  
the party offering states that he expects  
to prove the plff had knowledge thereof  
See recent depositions of Bishop Tardieu

James Boggs was then called for defence  
<sup>He is a carpenter</sup>  
He & his partner Wm Donoho put the roof on the  
building - They did according to the drawing  
& model furnished them by Burling & Barrman  
the architects - Burling directed them about  
the work - The roof is made right but it does  
not fit on the small gables - They are too small  
& do not correspond with the plan - The gables  
are not built right - There are twelve (12) of  
them - They are not made to fit according  
to the model - They don't fit by three feet,  
the angles at the apex are too obtuse & the  
perpendicular is not sufficiently high by  
three feet - The Gables are now being torn  
down partially and rebuilt to correspond  
with roof - The roof is correct according to  
the model.

Mr Carter by defence - Is an architect  
has seen the building known as church of  
Holy name has not examined it particu-  
larly - Does not know its size except from  
the plans which he has examined & are before

him - The number of bricks in the building according to the measurement which he has made, from said plans including half the openings of the windows is 1,206,111, in this he does not compute the hollow of the walls - The walls being hollow some allowance would be made - The space between the walls of eight inches being filled up one fourth by connections - one third of the hollow space should be considered in the measurement as solid - The mode of measurement adapted by Bowling in his construction of quithing, makes 16 hundred & eighty feet of wall more than there is in the Church - The word "quithing the building" in the contract would not justify the construction of Bowling, by which this quantity is arrived at - Quithing the building "means to adapt a straight line on the outside to each side of the building & then multiply the length thus obtained by the height & thickness of the wall, for the quantity - so that the buttresses would then be added - On ordinary hollow walls we usually measure the wall solid because it is more work to make them - I never measured a wall of this kind - Don't know what the custom is as to openings where the person doing the work does not find the material.

When the workman furnishes the material the custom is to include  $\frac{1}{4}$  the openings, as solid & in this case the builder gets the value of materials as well as labor not actually furnished. It would be worth double the price of ordinary brick laying per thousand to lay the brick in such a building as this.

I made my computation soon to in my direct examination on the basis of a building 36 feet high - If the building was 40 feet higher - of course the number of bricks would be increased proportionally.

I knew nothing of the quantity of brick in the building from actual measurement of the building - I have made my computation entirely from the plans of the building submitted to me & which I suppose to be correct - These plans gave 36 feet as the height - But stone work is worth more than ordinary brick work.

There was no building like this put up in Chicago - On a re-calculation of the amount of brick in the building, according to my mode of quoting - and including  $\frac{1}{4}$  the openings & counting  $\frac{1}{4}$  the wall solid and I make 1,907,000 bricks - If the wall was 46  $\frac{1}{2}$  feet high & that proportion should be added 34  $\frac{10}{16}$  of the above sum should be added to it to get the whole number of Brick ~ 11 ~

"This evidence was all excepted to by  
plff counsel - The witness state that the  
appointment - refusal & acceptance were  
all in writing in

Rev Mathew Dillon for Defence - Says He is  
at present President of University of St Mary  
of the Lake & has charge of the Parish of Holy  
Name - He was stationed in the Diocese of St.  
Louis before Bishop O'Regan came here -  
He came here with Bp O'Regan about 13<sup>th</sup>  
August 1854 - Bishop O'Regan requested him  
to come - Bishop O'Regan rejected his first  
appointment as Bishop of Chicago & sent it  
back to Rome - He rec<sup>d</sup> a second appointment  
and accepted it & on 12<sup>th</sup> July 1854 He (the  
Witness) rec<sup>d</sup> a letter from him requesting him  
(Mr Dillon) to complete his consecration on 25<sup>th</sup> July  
& accompany him to Chicago - He the  
present Bishop was then President of a seminary  
at Carondelet near St Louis - Thinks he  
the Bishop rec<sup>d</sup> his second appointment in  
July 1854 - an administrator is clothed with  
Episcopal functions, to a certain extent -  
The councils of Baltimore are binding on  
all the Catholic Clergy in the country -  
(Here defence offered a canon of the 5<sup>th</sup> Bal-  
timore Council in evidence - The counsel for  
plff agreed the witness might write a trans-  
lation of it & it could be offered after words)  
Mr Dillon further said that a pastor must  
have either written or express authority from  
his Bishops before he can commence to build  
a church - It is the duty of the pastor when

He has the assent of his Bishop to build  
churches -

Here insert the translation of the Canon  
A Church commenced without the authority  
of the Bishop would be improper - The jurisd-  
iction and authority of a Bishop commences  
when he accepts of his appointment - an  
administrator is clothed with episcopal  
functions - but can do no act to build  
church property - His appointment is  
merely temporary, in the absence of a Bishop  
to maintain the discipline of the church  
until the vacancy is filled with a Bishop -

His appointment comes from the Metropo-  
litan & not from the Holy See - whence  
alone a Bishop's appointment can come -

If a Bishop's resignation of a Diocese were  
accepted by the Holy See such Bishop  
might nevertheless be retained in his place  
& continue to exercise all the duties of  
the office until his successor was appoin-  
ted, if so directed or empowered by the Holy  
See &

Re-production of testimony by compells

W. Price for compells - Is a master builder & takes  
contracts to amt of \$75,000. a year - Thinks that  
the price stated in the contract is not too  
much for work of that character -

The extra price is caused by the want of floors  
& the necessity of having high scaffolding  
built - drawings similar to those in the plan  
(they produced) are much trouble - I think  
the contract was a fair one & would not have  
taken it on the same terms

The price of common brick laying was \$4,000  
a thousand -

Crab Examined -

The price of \$6.<sup>40</sup>/<sub>100</sub> is much <sup>above</sup> the common price  
for laying brick but is right for work of this  
kind - It was worth \$4, a thousand that  
season to lay common brick

Above evidence of Quantum Meruit was  
objected to by Defence - objection over-ruled  
evidence admitted subject to exceptions  
of Deft's counsel - & so of all the rest of  
the testimony on Quantum Meruit -

Price. Am a master builder & have large  
contracts - know the Church of Holy name  
I was familiar with the Common contr-  
act - consider it was no more than a  
fair contract - I would not have taken  
it on the same terms - The price of com-  
mon brick laying was \$4, a thousand  
that year -



McDermot for complete - He set all the cut  
stone in the building - It was very trouble  
some - Don't think price of contract too  
high - It was a fair price for the work

Here insert instructions for complainant asked by counsel


Here insert instructions asked for defence by  
counsel (& exceptions on refusal) -

Here insert instructions given by Judge

Motion for new trial overruled &c

And the defendant prays that this Bill  
of exceptions be signed & sealed by the Judge  
of said Court which is accordingly done -  
Done at chambers this

6<sup>th</sup> day of December 1865

John W. Wilson   
Judge of the Cook County Court  
of Common Pleas

State of Illinois }  
County of Cook } S. J.

I Walter Kimball, Clerk  
of the Cook County Court of Common  
Pleas in and for said County and State, do here-  
by Certify, that the foregoing is a true copy  
of the Bill of Exceptions as filed in my office  
on the Sixth day of December Eighteen hundred  
and Fifty five, in the case of Charles Connor  
vs. Thos. Reed & Anthony O'Regan.



In testimony whereof I have here  
unto set my hand & the seal of  
said Court at Chicago in said  
County this 10<sup>th</sup> day of June 1856  
Walter Kimball, Clerk

68.  
O'Regan

vs  
O'Connor

Filed June 19, 1886  
S. Leland  
Clerk.

paid by  
Mrs. [unclear] \$150  
Puff [unclear]

Paul J. Murray }  
Clerk }  
Charles O'Connell }  
vs  
Anthony O'Regan }

Edwin. E. Larned on oath states that - he is of counsel for the plaintiff in this Court - and ~~was~~ present when the Original Bill of Exceptions was <sup>presented for signature</sup> filed in this cause - to Judge Wilson and that he saw the same filed in the Clerk's Office within a day or two thereafter - That he carefully examined said bill at said time - and that <sup>the</sup> said Original Bill the ~~following~~ <sup>was</sup> following was

"And this was all the evidence introduced in this case" were not inserted - nor was there in said Original Bill of Exceptions as filed any words to that effect - or any statement that the said Bill contained all the evidence

And this affiant further states that as in said Original Bill - as filed - there was ~~nothing~~ no statement - of any exception - to the Instructions given for the Plaintiff - or to any Instructions given asked by the defendants - or to any Instructions given by the Judge - or <sup>or that any instructions were refused</sup> that any exception was taken to or ruling the motion for a new trial - But the Original Record as filed showed no exception whatever to any of the Instructions - and ~~that~~ that this affiant <sup>shortly after</sup> at the time the said bill was filed called the attention of the Clerk of said Court to said bill - and stated to him that he this affiant desired him to note, that the bill of Exceptions filed in this cause contained no

statement that it contained all the evidence - and no statement of any exception to any of the instructions - & that said Bill ~~accounted to~~ ~~the~~ must not be altered, or changed in any particular, without notice to him. And this affiant further states, that he omitted to give any particular care to said Bill so far as the evidence now concerned & ~~altered~~ ~~it~~ omitted to have a good deal of the evidence for the plaintiff - by Parling & other inter-  
-set forth in full. because as said Bill had been prepared, he did not deem it necessary to bestow much labor on it - and it was a subject of remark in the <sup>law</sup> office of the affiant & between him and his associates. Mr Arnold & Mr Lay that the Bill as drawn did not require any particular detail of the evidence -

And this affiant further states that no notice was ever given to him or to his partners as he is informed & believes of any alteration or amendment of said Bill of Exceptions - and that all the alterations & amendments made therein have been made without the knowledge or consent of the affiant - or any of the law or his associates -

And this affiant has never seen the Transcript of the Record filed in the Supreme Court

But this affidavit states <sup>the</sup> ~~of~~ <sup>as</sup> said  
Record contains - a statement - " that  
this was all the evidence which was  
offered " and also a statement that  
the instructions ~~given~~ <sup>asked</sup> for the defendant  
~~was~~ & refused were excepted to - - and  
of the Defts Counsel - & that the Justice  
has given of the Judge were - excepted  
to by depts counsel - all of which  
statements - are additions & alterations  
to the original Bill not found in  
said Bill when filed & inserted therein  
without any notice to a consent of  
the Counsel for the plaintiff -

Subscribed & Sworn }  
to before me this 13<sup>th</sup> }  
day of June A.D. 1856 }  
W. Kimball Clerk }  
Court for the Town of New York }

Orally  
by  
Deemer }  
}

Affidavit of  
E. C. Larned

Filed June 14 1862  
L. Leland  
Clerk

# was ~~not~~ handed to Judge Nelson by counsel on both sides - There was at that time some disagreement about a portion of the testimony and it was finally agreed to let it stand as Mr. Larned claimed it, and with that exception Mr. Larned ~~submitted~~ <sup>made</sup> the statement of the evidence being correctly made

J. B. G. Campbell testify and say, that I was of counsel for Anthony O. Rezan in the suit of O. Rezan vs O. Conner now pending in this court. I further say that I obtained the order in the court below giving 30 days time to file bill of exceptions, and know that said order was made on the 6th day of November, and that said bill of exceptions was signed ~~within~~ the 30 days. - The record went to distinguish between the time the verdict was rendered, and the time when said order was made whereas I distinctly recollect that the verdict was rendered on the 5th <sup>morning</sup>, and the order giving time made upon the ~~after~~ next morning the 6th <sup>morning</sup> -

I further say that the bill of exceptions as originally made out, ~~contained upon~~ contained a direction to insert the instructions asked for by deft and upon their instructions was noted the fact the instructions were refused, and the refusal excepted to by the deft - In fact the refusal to give said instructions was excepted to at the time, and this deponent supposed that the clerk in making out the record would make it up in due form - This deponent further says, that the instructions given by the court were excepted to at the time when they were given - This deponent further says, that said bill of exceptions <sup>substantially</sup> ~~substantially~~ contains all the evidence given on the trial of said cause <sup>as agreed upon between</sup> - <sup>as this deponent understood when the bill of exceptions was made</sup> That upon examination of the record he <sup>applied</sup> ~~turned~~ to the Judge of said court, in open court, and requested that said bill of exceptions might be amended, by inserting in accordance with the fact, that it contained all the evidence introduced in the case, and that he refused to give instructions asked for by deft, and that exception was taken to such refusal and to the giving of the



instructions which were ~~also~~ given - The Judge  
ordered the bill of exceptions to be so amended,  
and thereupon the clerk inserted such amendments  
in the transcript, and the same was altered  
appear in the afft of said Clerk hereto annexed  
The order for the amendment was made at the same  
Term of the Court of Com Pleas, and without notice  
to the opposite party -

B. G. Caulfield

Chas Honor  
vs  
A O Regan

I Walter Humball Clerk of the Cook  
County Court of Common Pleas being first duly  
sworn depone & say, that the amendments to the  
bill of exceptions in the case of above were made  
at on the application of B. G. Caulfield to the  
acts, atty to Hon John M Wilson whilst sitting  
in chambers, <sup>during sitting of June term of Court</sup> & deponent was acting as clerk,  
that said Wilson ordered said amendments  
to be made & there & there <sup>approved thereof upon his certificate</sup> ~~certified there to~~ and  
that the amendments were as follows - "and  
this was all the evidence introduced in this  
case" - and it being noted in the former part  
of the record that exceptions were taken to  
the instructions given by the court - it was again  
inserted - "and that the exceptions to the  
refusal of the instructions asked by depts  
were <sup>& are</sup> noted in the original bill of exceptions -  
as deponent verily believes  
~~June 14<sup>th</sup> 1856~~ and deponent was mistaken in  
the affidavit made by him on yesterday when he stated  
that the last mentioned exceptions were not in the  
original bill of exceptions

Walter Humball  
Subscribed & sworn to before  
this 14 day of June 1856  
L. D. Howard  
Clerk Cook Civ. Ct.

Anthony O'Regan  
Esq

Charles O'Connor

Esq

Filed June 16, 1856  
L. Leland  
Clk.

Supreme Court - June Term 1856 -

Anthony A. Regan }

vs  
Charles A. Conner }

} Appeal -  
} from Cook County  
} Court of Common Pleas

And now Comes said Conner  
and moves the Court to strike from  
the transcript of the record herein - the  
bill of Exceptions filed in the Court  
below on the 6<sup>th</sup> of December 1855 -

And if the Court should not sustain  
that motion - then to strike out -  
on page 112 of the said transcript  
the words "and this was all the evidence"  
"introduced in this case" and also  
to strike on page 117 - the  
words "which instructions the court"  
"refused to give and dependent thereon"  
"there entered his exceptions to the opinion"  
"of the Court in refusing said instructions"

O. Regan  
vs

O. Connor

Motion to strike  
out bill of Exceptions  
in a part thereof

Filed June 16. 1888  
L. Leland  
Clerk

*[Faint, illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]*

State of Illinois }  
Circuit Court } SS

Charles O'Connor

vs

Anthony O'Regan.

Walter Kimball on oath states that he is Clerk of the Circuit Court of Common Pleas - and was clerk on the 6<sup>th</sup> Dec- 1855. - That the Original Bill of Exceptions filed in this cause - as the same was filed - did not contain - the following words -

"And this was all the evidence introduced in this case"

and that the said Original Bill of Exceptions as filed contained no statement of any exception having made a <sup>to any instructions</sup> ~~reference~~ by the Court - or of any refusal of the Court to give any instructions on either side -

That the words above mentioned viz. "And this was all the evidence introduced in this case" were inserted in said Bill after the same was copied into the transcripts of the Record <sup>and during the present week</sup>. That they were inserted at the request of the Defendant's counsel and upon the understanding of this deponent that their insertion had been authorized by the Court -

That the statement in said <sup>Record</sup> ~~Bill~~ that <sup>any</sup> ~~the~~ exceptions Instructions were refused - and of ~~the~~ exceptions taken by depts counsel thereunto was also inserted after said transcripts had been made up <sup>and during the present week</sup> and this affiant himself wrote out the form for the same - and they were inserted in the transcripts of Record <sup>sent up to the Supreme Court</sup> upon the understanding

of this deponent that the same  
had been authorized & allowed by  
the Court

That the same allegations  
which <sup>they made</sup> appear in said Transcript of  
the Record were ~~made~~ made - on the  
said Bill of Exceptions on file in this  
office at the same time of the allegations  
of the said Transcript -

Subscribed & Sworn }  
to before me this 13<sup>th</sup> }  
day of June A.D. 1856 }  
Louis D. Board }  
Clerk of the Circuit }

And this affiant further states that ~~the~~  
E. C. Lamed of course for the plaintiff  
called upon him in his office about the  
time said Bill was filed - and called his  
particular attention to the fact that the said  
Bill of Exceptions as filed contained no statement  
that it contained all the evidence - & no  
statement that any instruction was refused  
or that any exception was taken to the  
giving a refusal of any instructions  
and that he desired this affiant to take  
particular notice of this - and that the  
said Bill of Exceptions must be and be  
attended or amended in any way without  
notice to this affiant

Subscribed & Sworn }  
to before me this 13<sup>th</sup> day of }  
June 1856 }  
L. D. Board }  
Clerk of the Circuit }

Walter Kimball

Oreilly  
vs  
O'Connor

---

Affidavit of  
Walter Kimball  
Clerk of Co. St. Louis, Mo.

---

Filed June 4, 1886  
L. Seland  
Clerk



State of Illinois, ss.

Supreme Court, Third Grand Division, at Ottawa :

**The People of the State of Illinois,**

**To the Clerk of the Circuit Court of**

**County, GREETING :**

WHEREAS, in a certain plea between

plaintiff and

defendant, lately depending in the Circuit Court

of said county, wherein judgment was rendered for the said

and against the said

and the said

the judgment

of said Court, rendered against

as aforesaid, to the Supreme Court, held at

Ottawa, on the

and in pursuance of the said

a transcript of the record and the proceedings in the plea afore-

said was transmitted. And, also, whereas it hath been suggested, on the part of said

that the said record has been diminished, inasmuch as

*the time when the order was made giving 30 days time to file bill of exceptions*

hath not been sent up; and forasmuch as the said Supreme Court are not satisfied that

there is a sufficient record sent in the plea aforesaid, but in the record there is a diminu-

tion: **YOU ARE, THEREFORE, HEREBY COMMANDED,** that, without delay,

the said

therein you cause to be transmitted to the Supreme Court, to be

held at Ottawa, on the

next, without any diminution or addition whatsoever, to the end that speedy justice may

be done in the premises, according to law; whereof you are in no wise to fail; and send

you then there this writ.

**WITNESS,** the Hon. SAMUEL H. TREAT, Chief Justice of

said Court, and the seal thereof, at Ottawa, this

day of

in the year of our Lord one thou-

sand eight hundred and fifty-

*Clerk of the Supreme Court.*

1255-89

Anthony <sup>L.S.</sup> O'Regan  
vs  
Charles O'Connor  
Precipe.

Filed May 21, 1856.

Chicago May 17<sup>th</sup> 1856

L. Leland Esq

Compt - <sup>Orbit -</sup> Wm J Johnson  
was ~~pltf~~ <sup>compt</sup> below & is the ~~pltf~~ <sup>compt</sup> in error.  
It is a chancery case taken on writ  
of error from Cook County Court of  
Common Pleas - <sup>in error for Johnson</sup> you will also  
please docket the case of Charles  
O'Connor vs Anthony O'Regan  
Catholic Bishop of Chicago, as if  
the record accompanied. This  
is on appeal from Cook County Court  
of Common Pleas. Chs. O'Connor  
pltf below. A O'Regan plaintiff  
in the appeal, or appellant -  
<sup>in for O'Regan.</sup>  
We enclose you \$10. - to  
pay docket fees in both cases, or  
rather, as it is so dangerous to send  
money by post, I will send you  
a check on R. H. Swift Co. of this  
place. May I ask you to inform us  
if you can when these cases are  
set for? We will send the records down  
as early as possible Yrs &c. Waller & Caulfield

STATE OF ILLINOIS,

Supreme Court,

ss.

The People of the State of Illinois,

To the Sheriff of the County of Cook

Greeting:

**BECAUSE** in the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the <sup>Cook County</sup>  ~~Circuit~~ court of <sup>Common Pleas of Cook</sup> county, before the Judge thereof, between *Charles O'Connor Plaintiff & Anthony O'Regan*

defendant, it is said that manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the said *Defendant*

as we are informed by *his* complaint, the record and proceedings of which said judgment we have caused to be brought into our Supreme Court of the state of Illinois, at Ottawa, before the Justices thereof, to correct the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; therefore we command you, that by good and lawful men of your county, you give notice to the said *Charles O'Connor*

that *he* be and appear before the Justices of our said Supreme Court, at the next term of said court, to be holden at Ottawa, in said state, on the *second* Monday in *June* next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and the errors assigned, if *he* shall see fit; and further to do and receive what said court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom you shall give the said *Charles O'Connor*

notice, together with this writ.

*Walter B. Scates*

WITNESS, the Hon. ~~Samuel H. Treat~~, *Walter B. Scates* Ch' of Justice of our said Court, and the Seal thereof, at Ottawa, this *21<sup>st</sup>* day of *May* in the Year of Our Lord One Thousand Eight Hundred and Fifty-*six*.

*S. Seland*

Clerk of the Supreme Court. Do

By *J. B. Rice* Deputy

We accept. receipt of the within  
M<sup>tr</sup> Charles O'Connor

Cheese May  
May 27<sup>th</sup> 1854

By Anne James  
Atty

Anthony O'Keefe  
vs  
Charles O'Connor  
Sci Pa.

Filed June 7 1856.  
Leland  
Clerk

