

12331

No. \_\_\_\_\_

Supreme Court of Illinois

Bank of Pekin

---

vs.

Farnsworth

---

The Bank of Peru

vs  
Elijah N. Farnsworth

119

1857

Bank of Peru

vs

Farnsworth

17331



Saballe County Court. September Term 1855.

State of Illinois }  
Saballe County } p

Pleas. Proceedings and judgments held and taken in and before the Saballe County Court, in the State of Illinois at the Court house in Ottawa in the County of Saballe of September Term thereof - to wit - of the third day of September in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and fifty five and of the Independence of the United States of America the Eightieth -

Present Henry G. Cotton Judge  
Saml W. Raymond Clerk  
Francis Warner Sheriff

Be it remembered that on the 22<sup>d</sup> day of August 1855 a precipe was filed and Summons issued thereon in which Precipe and Summons are in the words ~~and~~ and figures following to wit:

Precipe Elijah W. Farnsworth } Saballe County Court  
vs } September Term A.D. 1855  
Bank of Peru } Assumpsit - Damages \$500.00

The Clerk of the Saballe County Court will please issue Summons in the above entitled cause, returnable to September Term A.D. 1855,

David L. Hough

Endorsed

Atty for Plaintiff

"Filed Aug 22 - 1855.

J W Raymond clk

Herrick Repty

Summons The People of the State of Illinois, To our  
Sheriff of our County of LaSalle - Greeting  
We command you that you Summon  
Bank of Peru, if they shall be found  
in your County personally, to be and  
appear in our LaSalle County Court, before our Judge  
thereof, on the first day of the next term ~~thereof~~ of said  
Court to be held at the Court House in Ottawa,  
on the first Tuesday in September next, at ten  
O'clock in the forenoon, then and there to answer  
unto Elijah N. Fansworth, in a plea of Trespass on  
the Case as promiss to the damage of said  
plaintiff as he says of Five hundred dollars,  
And have you then there this writ and the  
manner in which you shall have executed the  
same - In witness whereof, we have caused  
the Seal of our said Court to be hereunto affixed  
and attested by Samuel W. Raymond our Clerk  
thereof at Ottawa 23<sup>rd</sup> day of August 1855.

Sam<sup>l</sup> W. Raymond Clerk  
E. L. Herrick Deputy

Enclosed

" Executed this writ by reading the same  
" to E. C. Allen Cashier of the Bank of Peru  
" and by leaving a copy of this writ with  
" him - Aug 24<sup>th</sup> - 1855,

" F. Warner Sheriff

" By S. L. Harris Deputy - "

" Ser & ret 60

" Copy 50

" 16 Feb 80

" \$190 "

" Filed Sept 3<sup>rd</sup> 1855

" S. W. Raymond clk "

Be it also remembered that on the 24<sup>th</sup> 1855 a declaration was filed in this cause which declaration is in the words and figures following, to wit;

State of Illinois } In County Court thereof  
 LaSalle County } To June Term A.D. 1855,

Elijah N. Farnsworth

vs

The Bank of Peru

Elijah N. Farnsworth

Plaintiff, complains of the Bank of Peru, defendant, in a plea of assumpsit, for that whereas, on the twenty second day of September in the year of our Lord, eighteen hundred and fifty four at Peru, to wit, at the County of LaSalle aforesaid, the said defendant made his certain promise in writing for the payment of money, commonly called a certificate of deposit, and thereby then and there, in consideration that one John Farnsworth had, before that time, deposited with the said defendant two hundred and forty dollars in current money, promised to pay to the said John Farnsworth or order the sum of Two Hundred and forty dollars in like current money on return of said certificate of deposit, duly endorsed by the said John Farnsworth, in force from the date thereof, which period has long since elapsed, And the said John Farnsworth, to whom, or to whose order the payment of said sum of money current in the said certificate of deposit specified, was to be made after the recalling

of the said Certificate of deposit, before the payment  
of the said sum of current money therein specified,  
to wit, on the day and year first aforesaid, at the  
County aforesaid endorsed the said Certificate of deposit,  
by which said endorsement, he the said John Farn-  
sworth then and there ordered and appointed the  
said sum of current money in the <sup>said</sup> Certificate  
of deposit specified to be paid to the said plaintiff,  
and then and there delivered the said Certificate of  
deposit so endorsed as aforesaid to the said plaintiff  
by means whereof and by force of the Statute in such  
Case made and provided, the said defendant  
then and there became liable to pay to the said  
plaintiff, the said sum of current money in the  
said Certificate of deposit specified, according to the  
tenor and effect of said Certificate of deposit; and  
being so liable he the said defendant, in Consi-  
deration thereof afterwards, to wit, on the day and  
year aforesaid, at the County aforesaid undertook,  
and then and there faithfully promised the said  
plaintiff to pay him the said sum of current  
money in the <sup>said</sup> Certificate of deposit specified, according  
to the tenor and effect thereof, And the said  
plaintiff in fact saith, that afterwards, to wit, on  
the first day of August, in the year of our Lord  
eighteen hundred and fifty five, to wit, at the Banking  
House of said defendant, at Peru, in the  
County aforesaid, the said Certificate of deposit  
was duly returned duly endorsed as aforesaid, to  
the said defendant, and payment of the said  
sum of current money in said Certificate of  
deposit specified was duly demanded by the  
said plaintiff of the said defendant according  
to the tenor and effect of the said Certificate of deposit,

Yet the said defendant, not regarding his said promise, hath not as yet paid the said plaintiff the said sum of current money in the said certificate of deposit, specified, nor any part thereof, although often requested so to do, but he so to do hath hitherto wholly neglected and refused, and still doth neglect and refuse, to the damage of the said plaintiff of five hundred dollars

And for that whereas also, heretofore to wit, on the 22<sup>d</sup> day of September A.D. 1854, at Essex in the County aforesaid, in consideration that one John Farnsworth, at the special instance and request of the said defendant, would deposit in the Bank of Essex, for four months <sup>from</sup> and after said last mentioned date, two hundred and forty dollars in currency, he the said defendant recollecteth and then and there faithfully promised to pay to the plaintiff, the said sum of two hundred and forty dollars in currency in four months after the date of said deposit. And the said plaintiff in fact saith, that the said John Farnsworth, on the day and year aforesaid, at the County aforesaid, did deposit with the defendant, said sum of two hundred and forty dollars in currency, and did suffer the same to remain on deposit with the defendant, for four months from the date aforesaid, which period has long since elapsed, Yet the said defendant has not paid the said sum of current money, nor any part thereof to the plaintiff although often requested so to do, to the damage of the plaintiff of five hundred dollars, And for that whereas also, the said defendant, heretofore to wit, on the first day of August A.D. 1855, at the County aforesaid, was indebted to the

6,

~~County aforesaid~~ Plaintiff in the sum of five hundred dollars for money then and there lent by the plaintiff to the said defendant, at his request; And in five hundred dollars for money then and there paid and received by the defendant for the use of the said plaintiff,

And in five hundred dollars for money found to be due from the defendant to the plaintiff on an account then and there stated between them, And whereas, the defendant afterwards, to wit, on the day and year aforesaid, at the County aforesaid, in consideration of the premises, then and there promised to pay the said several sums of money to the plaintiff on request, Yet the said defendant hath disregarded his promises, and hath not paid the said several sums of money, nor either of them, nor any part thereof, although often requested so to do. To the damage of the plaintiff of five hundred dollars and thereupon he brings suit by

David L. Hough  
His Attorney

Copy of Instrument declared on  
"Bank of Penn.

Penn Ill. Sept. 22<sup>nd</sup> 1854

*Certificate of deposit*  
John F. [unclear] Esq. has deposited in this Bank Two hundred and forty \$ dollars currency to the credit of himself payable in like funds on return of this certificate, duly endorsed, Four months after date.  
Ed. C. Allen Cash

7  
on the Back of which is enclosed,  
" Pay<sup>to</sup> the order of  
E. N. Farnsworth  
John Farnsworth  
Pay the bearer  
E. N. Farnsworth "

Entered,

" Filed Aug 24<sup>th</sup> 1855

J. W. Raymond clk

E. L. Herrick sept

Be it also remembered that on the 3<sup>d</sup> day  
of September A.D. 1855, the same being one  
of the days of said September Term of said  
County Court the following order was made  
and entered of record in this Cause, to wit,

Elijah N. Farnsworth

60

24

Bank of Peru

} Assumpsit

} This day comes the Plain-  
-tiff by Hough his Attorney - and on his Motion  
it is ordered that defendant file a plea herein  
by Wednesday morning -

On the 5<sup>th</sup> day of September 1855, the same being  
also one of the days of said September Term of  
said County Court a plea was filed in this  
Cause which is in the words and figures fol-  
-lowing, to wit,

Sasallo County Court Sept, term 1855

The Bank of Peru  
 ads  
 Elijah N. Farnsworth

}  
 }  
 }

And now comes the defendant by William Cherrness its attorney & defends & when it is said says that it did not undertake and promise in recourses of form as the plaintiff hath above thereof complained and of this it puts itself upon the the country. And the plaintiff doth the like,

And for a further plea in this behalf as to the first and second counts of plaintiffs declaration the said defendant says actio non because it says, that the consideration of the said Certificate of deposit in said counts mentioned, was a certain draft which is in the words of figures following, to wit:

\$250. Per Sep 22 1854  
 Ninety days after date pay to the order of  
 J. S. Day & Co at H. A. Tucker & Co Chicago Ill. Two  
 Hundred fifty Dollars value received and  
 charge the same to account of  
 To John S. Coates Esq. John Farnsworth  
 Per Ill

Certificate of Deposit  
 received  
 J. S. Coates

Endorsed. Pay E. C. Allen Esq cash or order  
 J. S. Day & Co

And the said defendant saith that the said draft was afterwards to wit on the 23<sup>d</sup> day of December 1854 presented at the office of H. A. Tucker & Co, and payment thereof demanded, which said payment was refused and defendant further saith that said draft was on the said 23<sup>d</sup> day of December duly protested for nonpayment of which said protest, the said John Farnsworth

9

& John L. Coates had due notice - and the defendant avers that said draft still remains wholly unpaid and the defendant further avers that at the time the certificate of deposit aforesaid became due and payable and at the time the said draft became due and payable the said John L. Coates was insolvent and the said John Farnsworth was and still is the owner of the said certificate of deposit - and defendant further avers that the assignment thereof to said plaintiff is fraudulent and was made for the purpose of preventing said defendant from setting off against the said certificate of deposit, the amount of said draft above mentioned said draft being as defendant avers the sole and only consideration for said certificate of deposit, and the defendant further avers that this action is prosecuted in the name of said plain but actually for the use and benefit of said John Farnsworth - and that they are entitled to set off the amount of the said draft above mentioned against the said certificate of deposit, in said first & 2<sup>d</sup> Counts mentioned - which said sum of money mentioned in said draft and so due and owing by said John Farnsworth to said Bank of Peru exceeds the damages sustained by the plaintiff by reason of the nonperformance of the said several supposed promises and undertakings in the said declaration mentioned and out of which said sum of money so due and owing from said John Farnsworth to said defendant, the said defendant is ready and willing and hereby offers to set off and allow to said plaintiff the full amount of the said damages

and this the defendant is ready to verify whereupon the defendant prays Judgment &c

And for a further plea in this behalf the said defendant avers to the first and second Counts of said declaration said action because it says that the said Certificate of deposit was assigned by said John Farnsworth after the same became due and payable by the terms thereof to said plaintiff and the defendant avers that at the said time when the said Certificate of deposit became due and payable as aforesaid - and at the time the said Certificate was assigned by said John Farnsworth to said plaintiff before and at the time of the commencement of this suit, to wit, at all aforesaid the said John Farnsworth was indebted to said defendant in the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars upon a certain other bill of exchange bearing date on the 22<sup>d</sup> day of September 1854 made and drawn by said John Farnsworth upon one John L. Coates whereby the said John Farnsworth requested said John L. Coates ninety days after the date thereof to pay to F. S. Day & Co or their order the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars for value received which said bill of exchange the said F. S. Day & Co afterwards to wit, on the day aforesaid enclosed & delivered to said defendant and which said bill of exchange when the same became due and payable according to the tenor and effect thereof to wit on the twenty third day of December 1854 was presented & shown at the Office of H. A. Tucker also the place where said bill of exchange was made payable for payment thereof - but the said John Farnsworth and John L. Coates there & there neglected and refused to pay the said sum

of money in said bill of exchange specified whereof  
 said John Farnsworth afterwards to wit on the day  
 & year last aforesaid at the place aforesaid had  
 notice & then &c

which said sum of money so due & owing from  
 said John Farnsworth to said defendant exceeds  
 the damages sustained by plaintiff & out of which  
 defendant is ready and willing & hereby offers  
 to set off & allow to plaintiff the full amount of  
 said damages & this the defendant is ready to  
 verify & whereof &c

And for a further plea in this behalf as to the  
 first and second counts of said plaintiff's declar-  
 -ation said defendant says actio non, because  
 it says that the said certificate of deposit was  
 assigned by said John Farnsworth to plaintiff  
 after the same became due and payable as  
 aforesaid and at the time the said certificate of  
 deposit was assigned as aforesaid the said John  
 Farnsworth was indebted to said defendant in the  
 sum of two hundred fifty five dollars & seventy five  
 cents upon a certain ~~certificat~~ judgment which  
 the said defendant in the name of E. C. Allen who  
 then was and still is cashier of the Bank of Peru heretofore  
 to wit in May term of the Cook County Circuit Court  
 recovered against the said John Farnsworth in a  
 certain plea of trespass on the case upon promises, whereby  
 it was considered and adjudged that said E. C. Allen  
 should recover against the said John Farnsworth  
 the sum of two hundred and fifty five dollars and  
 seventy five cents for his damages besides costs,  
 whereof the said John Farnsworth ~~was~~  
 was convicted as by the record and proceedings  
 thereof remaining in the office of the clerk of said Court

121

more fully appears which said Judgment still  
 remains in full force & effect not reversed paid  
 satisfied or annulled, & defendant says that  
 Execution has been issued on said Judgment &  
 returned nulla Bona and which said defendant is  
 prepared to verify by the record & debt further, <sup>avers</sup> that the  
 said John Farnsworth is insolvent which said  
 sum of money so due and owing from the said  
 John Farnsworth to said defendant as aforesaid  
 exceeds the damages sustained by said plaintiff  
 by reason of the non performance by said defendant  
 of the said several supposed promises and under-  
 takings in said declaration mentioned and  
 out of which said sum of money so due and  
 owing the defendant is ready & willing and  
 hereby offers to sett off and allow to the plaintiff  
 the full amount of the said damages and this  
 the defendant is ready to verify wherefore it  
 prays judgment &c

J<sup>m</sup> Chermason  
 dfts atty

Enclosed " Filed Sept. 5" 1855  
 J. M. Raymond clerk

Be it also remembred that on the said 5<sup>th</sup>  
 day of Sept 1855 the following order was made  
 and entered of Record in said County Court  
 in this Cause - to wit;

60  
 Elijah N. Farnsworth }  
 vs } assumpsit  
 Bank of Psm }  
 This day comes the plaintiff

13.

by Hough his attorney and the defendant also comes by Chumars its attorney, and files a bill of discovery herein and on his motion it is ordered that a writ of injunction issue herein;

Be it remembered that on the 14<sup>th</sup> day of December A.D. 1855, the same being one of the days of the December Term A.D. 1857 of said Court by Court the following order was made and entered of record in said Court, to wit

18

Elijah N. Farnsworth	}	Assumpsit
vs		
Bank of Peru	}	This day comes the Plaintiff by D. L. Hough his attorney - and defendant by Chumars its attorney - whereupon motion, this cause is continued to the next term of this Court,

Be it also remembered that on the 13<sup>th</sup> day of March 1856, <sup>at the March term of said county court</sup> the following replications were filed in this cause, to wit,

Elijah N. Farnsworth	}	Laballe County Court
vs		
The Bank of Peru	}	March Term A.D. 1856

And the said plaintiff as to the second plea of the said defendant by him above pleaded says precludi non se because he says that the consideration of the

Said Certificate of Deposit in the said 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> Counts of the said plaintiffs declaration mentioned was not the draft in the said plea set forth, but was for the Consideration set forth in the said 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> Counts of plaintiffs declaration respectively & for no other or different Consideration - And the plaintiff avers that all and singular the allegations of the said defendant in said 2<sup>o</sup> Plea contained, of & concerning said draft, as well as the presentment & demand of payment thereof, the protest thereof for non-payment & notice thereof, are wholly untrue - And the plaintiff avers that at the Commencement of this suit, the said John Farnsworth was not the owner of the said Certificate of Deposit, nor is he now the owner thereof, but on the contrary thereof the plaintiff at the Commencement of this suit was & now is the owner of the same -

And the plaintiff further avers that the assignment thereof to the plaintiff was not fraudulent, & was not made for the purpose in the said 2<sup>o</sup> plea alleged, but on the contrary thereof the said assignment was made in good faith & for a valuable consideration, And the plaintiff further avers that this suit is not prosecuted in the name of the plaintiff, but actually for the use and benefit of said John Farnsworth, but on the contrary thereof is prosecuted for the sole use and benefit of the plaintiff - And this the plaintiff prays may be enquired of by the Country &c,  
And doth likewise

And the said plaintiff as to the 3<sup>o</sup> plea of the said defendant, by leave of the Court first obtained, says precludi non, because he says, that at the several times in the said plea mentioned, the said John Farnsworth was not



and on their motion it is ordered that this Cause be continued to the next term of this Court at plaintiffs Costs to be taxed

Be it also remembered that on the 5<sup>th</sup> day of Jan<sup>y</sup> 1856 a Demurrer was filed in this Cause which is in the words and figures following, to wit,

Elijah N. Fausworth }  
 vs }  
 The Bank of Penn }  
 March term 1856

And the defendant by William Chumerson his attorney comes and defends & says that the said first and second replications of said plaintiff to the second and third pleas of said defendant are and each of them is insufficient in law and that he is not bound to rejoin to the same whereupon he prays judgment &c

W<sup>m</sup> Chumerson

deft's atty

And the defendant assigns the following Causes of demurrer

- 1<sup>st</sup> That said first and second replications to said defendants second and third pleas are and each of them is double
- 2<sup>d</sup> That said replications are informal and insufficient

The defendant joins in Demurrer to Plff's Demurrer to 4<sup>th</sup> plea - William Chumerson

deft's atty



18.

the plaintiff by Hough his attorney - and defendant by Chumason and Eldridge its attorneys whereupon after hearing the arguments of counsel on the demurrer filed, by said plaintiff by his said attorney - to the fourth plea filed herein by defendant, the said demurrer is sustained, by the Court, to the first Count in the declaration of plaintiff filed herein, to which decision of the Court the plaintiff then and then accepted and abides by his said declaration

Saballe County Court March Term 1857  
State of Illinois }  
Saballe County }

Pleas. Proceedings and Judgments held and taken in and before the Saballe County Court in the State of Illinois - at the Court House in Ottawa in the County of Saballe of March Term thereof to wit of the second day of March A.D. 1857 and of the Independence of the United States of America the Eighty first

Monday March 2<sup>d</sup> 1857  
Court met Pursuant to Law

Present John C. Champlin Judge  
Samuel W. Raymond Clerk  
Enl. Waterman Sheriff

Be it further remembered that on the 3<sup>d</sup> day of March 1857 the same being one of the days of said Term of said County Court the following order was made and Entered of record in

Said Court, to wit;

3  
Elijah N. Farnsworth }  
vs } assumpsit  
Bank of Peru }

This day this cause came on to be heard on the demurer of the plaintiff to the 4<sup>th</sup> plea of defendant, and it appearing to the Court that the said demurer had been sustained at a former term to the first count of the declaration, it is ordered that said demurer be overruled so far as said plea applies to the 2<sup>d</sup> count of said declaration.

Be it also remembered that on the same day the following order was made and entered of record in said County Court in this cause, to wit;

3  
Elijah N. Farnsworth }  
vs } assumpsit  
Bank of Peru }

This day again came the parties herein by their said attorneys whereupon came the following jurors of a Jury, to wit, Dolfus Clark, O. H. Sigler, Josiah E. Shaw, Ransom Palmer, Thomas Halcott, William F. Luskens, H. W. Southland, Ephraim Beardsley, James Smith, P. L. Porter, Henry S. Clark and O. P. Purdue, who were duly elected tried and sworn, to well and truly try the issues herein according to the evidence whereupon after hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, and after due deliberation thereon had the jury return the following verdict



Be it also remembered that on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of March 1857 the same being also one of the days of said term of said County Court a bill of exceptions was filed in this cause which Bill of Exceptions is in the following words & figures - to wit;

Elijah N. Farnsworth } LaSalle County Court

vs  
The Bank of Peru }

Be it remembered that

on the third day of March 1857 that being one of the days of the March term 1857 of the LaSalle County Court this cause came on to be tried before Honorable John C. Champkin and a Jury, upon the pleadings & issues joined in this cause and which are as follows, (See Declaration, pleas of deft, Replication of Plff, to the pleas of defendant, and demand to defts 4<sup>th</sup> plea and the order of the Court sustaining the Plaintiff's demand to defts 4<sup>th</sup> plea to the first count of the plaintiff's declaration above)

The plaintiff offered in evidence a certificate of deposit, in the words and figures following, to wit,

" Bank of Peru  
" Peru Ill Sept 22<sup>nd</sup> 1854  
" \$240 #

" John Farnsworth Esqr has deposited in this  
" Bank Two Hundred & forty # Dollars Currency  
" to the credit of himself pay able in like funds  
" on return of this certificate, duly endorsed.  
" Four months after date

No 556. Ed. C. Allen Cash<sup>r</sup>

"Certificate of Deposit"

Two Hundred & forty Dollars  
to the credit of  
John Farnsworth  
Sept 22<sup>nd</sup> 1854

Endorsed "Pay" to the order of  
 "E. N. Farnsworth"  
 "John Farnsworth"

The defendant by its counsel admitted that the signature of Ed. C. Allen Cash, signed to said Certificate was genuine and that said Allen was Cashier of the Bank of Peru on the day of the date thereof - which was all the evidence offered in connexion with and to prove the same,

The defendant objected to the introduction of said certificate of deposit in evidence for the following among other reasons

1<sup>st</sup> that the said Certificate was issued in violation of law and void,

2<sup>d</sup> That it is an agreement to pay articles of personal ~~personal~~ property and not money and that the Bank had no authority to issue any bill note or Certificate for the payment of any thing but money -

3<sup>d</sup> That no action could be maintained upon the Certificate or to recover the money deposited unless in the name of the depositor,

4<sup>th</sup> That the Bank of Peru had no authority to issue any bill or note for the payment of money unless such bill or note was signed by the President and Cashier of the Bank

Which objections were overruled by the Court and the certificate was read in evidence to which decision of the Court in overruling said objections and in permitting said certificate to be read in evidence the defendant by its counsel then and there excepted

The plaintiff then introduced David L. Hough as a witness who testified that prior to the

Commencement of this suit he presented the said Certificate at the Bank of Peru and demanded payment thereof which was refused, this was all the evidence introduced by the plaintiff - and the plaintiff rested -

It was admitted by the plaintiff that John Farnsworth the depositor named in said Certificate of Deposit was the owner thereof after the same became due and payable and that he had not transferred the same until after that time,

The defendants Counsel then called Ed. C. Allen as a witness who testified that at the day of the date of said Certificate of deposit he was Cashier of the Bank of Peru and as such Cashier he signed the same that the sole and only consideration of said Certificate of deposit given by said John Farnsworth to Defendant, was a certain bill of exchange which is in the words and figures following

\$250.                      Per Sep. 22<sup>nd</sup> 1854

Ninety days after date pay to the order of  
F. S. Day & Co at H. A. Tucker & Co Chicago Two  
Hundred ~~and~~ Fifty Dollars value received and  
Charge the same to account of  
To John L. Coates Esq                      John Farnsworth  
Per Ill.

& which was Endorsed

Pay \$ Ed C Allen Cash in order  
F. S. Day per Allen  
without recourse

Ed. C. Allen

on the Back of which draft is the following Endorsement - and which said Endorsement was not offered

in Evidence by defendant, viz "Judgment  
 "Obtained on this Note against John Farnsworth  
 "in the Cook County Circuit Court on the 9<sup>th</sup> day  
 "of May A.D. 1855 for the sum of \$255.75 costs of suit in favor  
 "of E.C. Allen L.D. Hoard Clk. C. Court"

The defendant Counsel then offered the said draft with evidence of its protest for non payment in evidence and offered to prove in connexion therewith that at the time of the acceptance of said draft or bill of exchange by said John L. Carter that said acceptor was insolvent and that when the same became by its terms due and payable John Farnsworth the owner thereof was also insolvent - which said draft and evidence offered in connexion therewith was rejected by the Court and the whole of it excluded from the consideration of the jury to which ruling of the Court the defendant Counsel then & there excepted, this was all the evidence received or offered on the trial of this cause.

The cause was submitted to the jury who rendered a verdict in favor of the plaintiff for two hundred and fifty six dollars and four cents damages, whereupon the defendant by its Counsel moved the Court for a new trial which said motion was by said Court then and there denied and overruled, and to which said decision of said Court - overruling said Motion, said defendant by its Counsel then and there excepted and prays that this its Bill of Exceptions may be signed and sealed by the Court and made a part of the record, which

is done in open court this 6<sup>th</sup> day of March  
A.D. 1857 the same being one of the days of  
said Term

John C. Champline *Clk*

Enclosed "Filed March 11" 1857

"S. W. Raymond *cl*

"Herrick

Be it also remembered that on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of  
March 1857 a Bond was filed in the office of the  
Clerk of said County Court which Bond is in  
the words and figures following, to wit;

Know all men by these presents, that we the  
Bank of Peru by Frederick S. Day vice President as  
principal and Theron S. Brewster as security  
are held and firmly bound unto Elijah N.  
Farnsworth in the penal sum of Five Hundred  
dollars lawful money, for which payment  
well and truly to be made we bind ourselves our  
heirs executors and administrators jointly  
and severally firmly by these presents, sealed with  
our seals and dated this 21<sup>st</sup> day of March 1857 -

The conditions of the above obligation is such  
that whereas the said Elijah N. Farnsworth did at  
the March Term 1857 of the County Court of the County  
of Caball receive a judgment against the above  
bondmen the Bank of Peru for the sum of two hun-  
dred fifty six dollars of four cents besides costs from  
which judgment the said Bank of Peru has  
taken an appeal to the Supreme Court of the State  
of Illinois - now if the said Bank of Peru shall  
prosecute their suit with effect and shall pay what

ever judgment. Shall be rendered by the Court upon dismissal or trial of said appeal then the above obligation to be void otherwise to remain in full force & Effect

The Bank of Peru Seal  
Fredk S. Day, V. Pres. Seal  
Sheron & Brewster Seal

Encloned.

"Filed March 24<sup>th</sup> 1857

"S. W. Raymond Clerk"

State of Illinois }  
LaSalle County } &

I, Samuel W. Raymond  
Clerk of the County Court in and for said County  
do hereby certify that the above and foregoing  
is a true, correct and complete transcript in  
the case of Elijah N. Farnsworth vs the Bank  
of Peru from the records and files now  
remaining in my office.

Witness my hand and the Seal  
of said Court at Ottawa this 16<sup>th</sup>  
day of April 1857

S. W. Raymond Clerk

Bank

<sup>119</sup>  
The Bank of  
Penn

7

Elijah W. Parsons

Record

Filed April 21. 1854

S. Seland  
Clerk

Details fees for Transcript  
Paid by Chequers \$8.50

The Bank of Lou. Illinois Supreme Court  
appellants }  
Elijah W Farnsworth 3.

The appellant in this  
cause now comes by Chumasco  
& Eldredge its attorneys and assigns  
the following causes of error.

1<sup>st</sup>

The Court Erred in proceeding to the  
trial of this cause before an issue  
was formed on the 4<sup>th</sup> plea of ~~offer~~  
appellant to the 1<sup>st</sup> & 2<sup>d</sup> Counts of  
the ~~plea~~ declaration,

2

The Court Erred in proceeding to  
trial without first disposing of  
the demurrer of appellant to the  
replications of the appellee

3. The Court Erred in admitting  
the certificate of deposit received on  
in Evidence to the jury.

4. The Court Erred in Excluding  
the Evidence offered by the  
appellant.

5. The Court Erred in not permitting  
the draft offered in Evidence by  
the ~~appellant~~ <sup>introduced</sup> ~~in Evidence~~ <sup>in Evidence</sup>  
to the jury as a set off to the  
Demand of the appellee

6. The Court Erred in overruling

the motion for new trial.

7 The Court Erred in rendering  
judgment against the appellant,  
Chumasio & Elareajo  
Atty for ~~plaintiff~~ Appellants

And now comes the said defendant  
in error and says that in the  
record & proceedings of said case  
there is no error

B. L. Cook

atly for deft in error

Sup. Court  
The Bank of  
P. M. 119

E. N. Hammons  
asst of Evors

Filed April 22, 1857  
S. Seland  
Clerk

The within joins in  
error filed May 1<sup>st</sup> 1857  
S. Seland  
Clerk

Chambers & Seland  
for P. M. Evors