

No. 12059

Supreme Court of Illinois

Newlan

vs.

Pres. & Trustees of Aurora

71641 7

Hane 1922
Thos. Nicolai
vs.
Pres. & Trs. of T. of Aurora

22

12059

Superseding account
12059

Replaced

2853

Clerk's Office of Kane County
Circuit Court Geneva June 30th 1852

Be it remembered that there was filed in
Office of the Clerk of Kane County, Circuit Court
at the 13th day of August A.D. 1851 a Bond
of which the following is a true Copy to wit
The President and Trustee
of the Town of Aurora

Thomas Newlan

Before William R.
Parker Justice of the
Peace

The Supt Gates and

H. J. Kingsbury do enter ourselves Security for
Cost, in this suit, and acknowledge Ourselves
bound to pay or cause to be paid all Cost, which
may accrue in this action either to the opposite
party, or to any of the Officers of this Court in
pursuance of the Laws of this State.

Dated July 11th A.D. 1851 Supt Gates

Approved by me July 11th 1851 H. J. Kingsbury

Wm R Parker

Afterward, to wit on the same day aforesaid
there was filed with said Clerk a Summons,
of which the following is a true Copy to wit.

State of Illinois } The People of the State
Kane County, ss } of Illinois to Samuel
New Town Constable

or to any Constable of said County

Greeting

You are hereby Commanded to summon
Thomas Newlan to appear before me at
My Office in Aurora on the 16th day of July
A.D. 1851 at 9^o clock to answer the President
and Trustees of the Town of Aurora in a Plea

of debt in a sum not exceeding one
Hundred Dollars for violation of an ordinance
thence being Section Thirty, Three and passed
June 13th 1851 by said President & Trustees
of said Town, and make due return thereof
according to Law.

Given under my hand
and Seal this 11th day of July A.D. 1851

Wm R. Parker J.P.

Served the within Summons upon the said
Thomas Mowlan by reading the same to him
July 12th 1851 Jno 30 et,

I Sacc. Tom Const.
Afterward, to wit on the same day there was
filed in said Clerk's Office a declaration of
which the following is a true Copy heretofore
The President and Trustees

of the Town of Aurora

Attice Amst

Thomas Mowlan

The Plaintiff declares
against the said defendant for a violation
of an Ordinance of the Corporation of the Town
of Aurora, Section 33^a passed by the President
and Trustees of June 13th 1851 to the damage
said President and Trustees, thence not exceeding
one Hundred Dollars.

Defendant pleads, and
tis Corporation and not guilty and further
pleads, that the Corporation had no power to pass an
Ordinance of the kind upon which they declare.

Afterward, to wit on the same day there was filed

in said Clerk's Office a Transcript of which
the following is a true Copy to wit;

The President and Trustees }
of the Town of Aurora }
v. }
Thomas Ainslaw }
Kaw County, in
Suits to Count before
Wm. C. Parker Esq. Jly
11th 1851, Plaintiff

having filed a security for Costs, Summary issued
returnable July 16th 1851 at 9th A.M.: Return-
ed served by Nailing to the defendant Thomas
Ainslaw July 13th 1851 by Samuel Luce Town
Constable for 30 Cts: July 16th 1851 9th A.M.
suit called, Plaintiff answered, and declared
against defendant in an action of debt for
Violating Section 33^d of an Ordinance of said
Town of Aurora passed June 13th 1851
dictation on file Markd. A - Defendant
moved to quash the Summons for want of the
Jurisdiction of the Court, Motion overruled.

The defendant then Read, that the Corporation
not guilty, and further that the Corporation
have no power to pass an Ordinance of the
kind declared upon. Defendant asked
for time. Issued same and put into it in
the hand of J. T. Barron Const., who returned
the same with the following panel of men
who were sworn to take the Oath: Wm. Hawley
Joseph Fister, A.C. Curtis, H. Bayley, J.T.
Thompson, Stephen Fuller, A.B. Fuller, Stephen
Sorel and Swan as Witnesses in the cause by
the Plaintiff: After hearing the proofs and
allegations and arguments of Counsel. The
jury returned no charge of J. T. Barron Const.
who was duly sworn to take charge of the Jury

After deliberation the Jury returned unto
Court with a verdict that the ^{defendant} be found
Guilty and assess the fine at Two Dollars
for which sum the Court rendered Judgment
and the Costs of this Suit as taxed below to
Total \$3.57 for which Plaintiff had execution entry
Security for Costs 25 13 oaths 75
Sum 18³/₄ Execution fee 50
Sub 18³ Rev Sec 30
Rev. Jury 38 Rev Rec 50
Juryman 25 Total fee 50
\$3.57

Defendant paid Jury \$1.50 B.S. McArthur
Claimed Witness fee - July 27th 1851 defendant
filed his Bond for Appeals which was Approved
by me, fee for writing of Appeals & Transcript
50 Cts
and afterward, to wit on the same day there
was filed in said Clerk's Office a Bond
of which the following is a true copy to wit

"Know all men by these presents
that we Thomas Crowley Whittaker
of the County of Kane and State of Illinois
are held and firmly bound unto the President
and Trustee of the Town of Aurora in the sum
sum of Seventeen Dollars Current Money of the
United States, for the payment of which we
and truly to be made. we bind ourselves on
our executors and administrators jointly
severally and firmly by these presents.
Witness our hands and Seal, the 29th day
of July A.D. 1851

The condition of the above

Obligation is such that whereas the said
Board of Trustees did on the 16th day of July
AD 1851 before William R. Parker a Justice
of the Peace in and for said County, recover
a Judgment against said Thomas Anulan
for the sum of Five Dollars, besides Costs, from
which said Judgment of the said Justice, the
said Thomas Anulan has taken an appeal
to the Circuit Court of said County. Now if the
said Thomas Anulan shall prosecute his appeal
with effect, and shall pay the said debt and
all costs and can the said Judgment shall
be affirmed on the trial of said appeal in the
said Circuit Court, and shall pay and satisfy
whatever Judgment may be rendered on the
dismissal or trial of the said Appeal in the
appeal in the said Circuit Court, then the
above obligation to be void, otherwise
to remain in full force and virtue.

Approved by me at my
Office in Aurora this }
22nd day of July AD 1851 }

Wm R. Parker
State of Illinois }
Kane County, ss }

Thomas Anulan \$55
Markinson 75
\$62 50

I William R Parker
a Justice of the Peace in and for the County
and State aforesaid do hereby certify the above
to be a full and perfect copy of the proceeding
and Judgment from my docket at the above
entitled cause, Dated August 9th 1851

Wm R. Parker J.P.

Afterward, to wit on the 20th of November
AD 1851 it being one of the days of the Nov.
Term of Kau County, Circuit Court, 1851 the
following among other proceedings were
had to wit

Plaints of the
Town of Aurora } Appeal
114 v Thomas Newland This day comes the
defendant & moves
to dismiss this suit
for want of Jurisdiction, the Court being fully
advised & concurred thereto.

And afterward, to wit on the 22nd day of Nov.
1851 the defendant filed in the Clerks Office
of Kau County, Circuit Court, a Bill of exception
of which the following is a true Copy to wit,
The President & Trustees }
of the Town of Aurora Appeal
v Thomas Newland Be it remembered

- 1st That the Magistrate had no jurisdiction of the
subject matter of this suit
- 2^d That the President and Trustees of the Town of
Aurora had no jurisdiction, power or authority
to pass the ordinance upon which this suit is
brought
- 3^d That the said ordinance is void because
inconsistent with the Laws and Constitution
of the State of Illinois
- 4th That the summons demands, not exceeding

one Hundred Dollars instead of Five Dollars
the extent of the fine that can be imposed
by the ^{said} Corporation

5th That the ordinance of said Corporation be
void and unconstitutional.

Came on this
day to be heard, and after hearing the arguments
of Counsel, the Court ordered, said Motion, and
thereupon decided the ordinance legal and
constitutional to which opinion of the Court
in favoring the defendant, Motion, the
defendant by his Counsel excepted, which
said party the Court to sign this his Bill of
exception, which is done in Open Court this
20th day of November AD 1852.

Mark Skinner

Judge Cook Co Com Pleas
held by Justice Kaufman

Afterward to wit on 13th day of May AD 1852
it being one of the days of Kane County Circuit
Court 1852 the following among other proceedings
we had to wit

President and Trustees }
of the Town of Aurora } Appeal
v

Thomas Griswold } This day over the
plaintiff, by Montauk,
their Attorney, and the defendant by Day his
Attorney also over. and on motion of the plaintiff
it is ordered by the Court that a Jury come whencever
Came a Jury of good and lawful men to wit
Geo Thompson Silas W Curtis
James Dodd John Gregg
Sylorita Richardson Amos W Moore

Stephen Wait Afft Hennington
Simeon R M Kirby Julius Alexander
Edwin Clark Lyman German
being severally elected trial and sworn also
Counsel, and after hearing the evidence, sat in
under Charge of an Officer of the Court to
Consider of their Verdict, Subsequently returned
unto Court, and for a Verdict upon their
Oaths, say that we the Jury find the ~~expenses in~~ defendant
plaintiffs and find their Debt to be Five Dollars,
Thereupon Comes the defendant by Day, and
Move for a new trial,

and afterward, to sit on the 22nd day of
May AD 1852 it being one of the days of
the Asusual Term of Kankakee Circuit Court
the following among other proceedings now
had to sit;

President and Trustees } Appeals
of the Town of Aurora This day Comes on
21 v Thomas Newlan to be heard the defendant
Motion Interven-

enture him for a new trial, the Court being
fully advised overrule the same; it is therefore
considered by the Court, that the Plaintiff have
and recover from the defendant, the sum of
Five Dollars, and three Cents, in this suit expended
and have execution therefor to which the defen-
dant by his Counsel excepted.

and afterward to sit 19th day of May 1852
there was filed in the Clerks Office of Kankakee
Circuit Court a Bill of exception, of which
the following is a true copy to wit,

The President and Trustees
of the Town of Aurora

Thomas Newlan

Be it remembered
that on the trial

of the above entitled cause, the plaintiff called
Milton Swarthout who being first duly sworn
stated that he was the Clerk of the for the
plaintiff, and had the records of the Corpo-
ration ad his possession; that he had been
Clerk for the plaintiff, for three or four years
months past past, and that the Record, then
produced with the original record, & proceedings
of the Board of Trustees of said Town.

Further
Witnesses for the plaintiff, also being sworn stated
that he was the Clerk for the President & Trustees
of said Town in 1851, and that the Records
as testified to by the above named Witness
Swarthout was the Record, of the President &
Trustees of said Town and that in June 18th day
of 1851 while he was Clerk the Trustees of said
Town passed an ordinance in the words, and
figures following to wit

" Sec 33. Be it ordained by the President and
" Trustees of the Town of Aurora that any person
" or persons, who shall by themselves or himself
" or herself or agent sell, barter or exchange within
" the limits of the Corporation of said Town any
" Wine, Rum, Brandy, Gin Whisky, beer or any
" Other Alchaleolic or intoxicating liquors
" in any quantity less than Twenty Dollars, or
" who shall, barter, sell or exchange, the said
" Liquors or drinks, or any of them, to the value of

" by any quantity; and suffer them to be drawn
" or any of them to be drawn in any house
" tavern, Store, grocery or other house, shed
" or other building occupied by them him or
" her, shall on Conviction be fined for any
" Offense Five Dollars, The giving away
" of any of the aforesaid drink, or liquors for
" the purpose of avoiding the provision, of this
" act shall be construed a selling within
" the Meaning of this act." which ordinance
was made 33 section of ordinances passed
by said board and for a violation of which
this suit was brought. The ordinance was
signed by said Wm. H. Miller, as Clerk of said
board, and that he posted them exact copies
of said ordinance in one of the most publick
places, in the village of Aurora, within the limits
of said Corporation, and that they had been
up for more than ten days, before the instituting
or bringing of this suit without issuance of an ordinance
of the town requiring the same.

The Plaintiffs have
further introduced the following Certificate of the Vents
of incorporation to prove the existence of the same
the Commission, Out with the Seal of the Court
therunto attached, which said Certificate
was in the and, and figures, following to wit:
"At a Meeting of the legal voters of the
"Village of Aurora in the County of Kane and
State of Illinois. Convened at the School House in
said village, on the sixth day of March 1845, pro-
-prietor to legal notice, for the purpose of incorporat-
-ing said Village according to the Revised Statut,

in such case made and provided, Daniel Cushing was elected President and Myron Whipple Clerk; The following rates were voted to wit. For incorporation. Od Day Isaac Muller Jerome Brown, Thos M. Merritt, Edward Stann Arthur Weston, Thomas Hamilton, Daniel Eastman, E. B. Allen, Thos Wilson Richard Feny, Chas E. Goodwin, J. G. Parker, Andrew Wagner, Chas Goodwin, Isaac Wilson, Wyatt Caw, Winslow Higgins, P. J. Wagner, Horace H. Campbell, As Sargent, R. D. Martin, B. H. Phillips, W. S. Hobbs, A. D. Estes, W. H. Hawkins, Wm Blanchard, Joseph Hartoon, David Banks, Wm. A. Tellow, Ezra Dow, James King, A. P. Fariswick, G. S. Waterman, Charles O'Conor, Daniel McCarty, Samuel McCarty, W. Tufts, Ernoch Feny, Edward Cuthbert, H. N. Goodrich, Daniel Cushing, Myron Whipple, J. S. Martin, Lemuel McCay, Rosamond Grainger, John M. Goodwin, George Higgins, A. G. Chancy, 52.

We hereby certify that the above is a true transcript statement of the proceedings of a public meeting held for the purpose herein mentioned fifty two rates were voted for incorporation and now against it

Daniel Cushing
President

Myron Whipple
Clerk

Recorded 14th March AD 1845

M. H. Hitchcock Clerk of
Kane Co. Com. Court

State of Illinois
Kane County

I, Sarah S. Warner Clerk of the
County Commission, Court

in and for said County do hereby certify the
same to be a true Copy of the proceedings
therin mentioned as appear from the Record,
in such case

Sworn
to this 29th day of April
1849

In Testimony Whereof I have
hereunto Subscribed my name
and affixed the Seal of said Court
at Geneva this 29th day of April
1849

Souih. S. Warner
 Clerk of the C
 Gen. Court of Kanawha

To the introduction of said Certificate as evidence
the defendant by his Counsel excepted which
exception the Court overruled and permitted the same
to be read as evidence to the Jury

The plaintiff
then introduced Sam'l Luce as a witness who
stated that he was present at the trial of this
Cause in the Court below and that one Soul,
was then a witness who is now deceased, and
that said Soul then and then testified, that he
about one week prior to the commencement
of this suit in the Court below purchased one quart
of Whiskey of the said defendant and paid him
therefor, that the defendant resided in the limits
of the Corporation, and sold said quart of Whiskey
within the limits thereof, and said灵魂 is Kanawha
County. The said Luce and a number of other wit-
nesses were examined as to whether they knew
of the said defendant after the passage of the
said ordinance and before the commencement
of this suit, selling, giving away, of supplies of

to be drawn any of the Seviers prohetized
by said Ormance, who severally answerd
they did not, which was all the evidence
offerd in said Cause, and that thereupon
the Cause was submitted to the Jury without
any other or further testimony on either side.
That the Court there Stated to the Counsel engaged
in said Cause that but one Speech on a side
would be allowed to the Jury, and that thenceupon
the Counsel for the defendant statut to the Court
that he had drawn what instructions he could
anticipate would be necessary in said Cause
which instructions were in the following words,
to wit:

- 1st That the Plaintiff as President and Trustee
of the Town of Aurora were only authorized to
make ordinances Consistant with the Con-
stitution and Laws of this State, and therefore
in attempting to restrain the sale of Ardent
Spirit, in Quantities grater than one quart
as provided by the Laws of the State, rendered
their ordinance void and of no effect.
- 2^d That the defendant is not liable for
a violation of the ordinances in question, it
being in Violation of the Constitution and Laws
of Illinois.
- 3^a That the President and Trustees of the
Town of Aurora had no Jurisdiction, power
or Authority to pass the ordinance upon
which this suit is brought.
- 4^{tho} That the Magistrate had no jurisdiction
of the subject Matter of this Suit.

and handed
them to the Court before the Commencement.

of his remarks to the Jury, which instructed
the Court refused to give, and the refusal of the
Court in not giving said instruction, to the
Jury, the defendant by his Counsel excepted.
The defendant's Counsel then stated to the Court
before his argument to the Jury he would pro-
pose other instructions upon questions relating
to the insufficiency of the plaintiff's proof,
while the plaintiff, ~~opposite~~^{after he} was signing said
charge to the Jury, or before the defendant's
Counsel had closed his remarks to the Jury &
had time to prepare them - That while def-
endant, Counsel was addressing the Jury,
some member of the Bar prepared the following
instructions to wit:

- 1st The Court is requested to instruct the Jury that
if it be not proved that five days Notice was
given previous to the election of Trustees for the
Town of Aurora for the holding of such election
such Corporation is not in legal existence
and the verdict of the Jury should be for the
defendant.
- 2nd If it be not proved that the ordinances
of the Corporation of the Town of Aurora were
passed by a Majority of the Trustees of said
Town such ordinances are void, and the
verdict of the Jury should be for the defendant.
- 3rd In order to make the ordinance of
the Corporation of said Town legal. Copies of
the same should be posted at least ten days
in their place, within the limits, of the Corporation
at least ten days before their taking effect
and that will the Jury believe from the evidence

they were so posted they were illegal and void
and, ~~the Jury~~ ^{the Jury} must find for the defendant.

which last
then instructions the defendant's Counsel handed
to the Court after the arguments, and the Case had
closed; and asked the Court to give or refuse
to give the same to the Jury as instructions in
said Cause - The ~~Defendant's~~ Plaintiff's Counsel declined
to agree said Cause to the Jury, and the
Court sent the Jury out of Court, and refused
to receive or entertain the instructions last
asked, for the reason that the same were not
asked for in time, in pursuance of a rule
of the Court requiring instructions to be asked
in all Cases before the commencement of the
last Argument, nor would he give the def-
endant Counsel any time to prepare any
other instructions after closing his remarks
to the Jury (although requested by defendant
Counsel so to do). the Jury returned to their
room by order of the Court and found a
Judgement for the Defendant against the def-
endant of Five Dollars, and thereupon the
defendant by his Counsel moved the Court for
a new trial, which Motion the Court allowed
and to the Opinion of the Court in granting
the defendant's Motion for a new trial, the
defendant by his Counsel excepts, and pray
the Court to sign this his Bill of exceptions which is
due to the Clerk of Court the 29th day of May A.D. 1852.

Isaac S. Wilson ^{Seal},
Judge 10

State of Illinois
Kane County, 18

I Chas. S. B. Wells, Clerk
of Kane County, Circuit Court do hereby certify,
that the foregoing is a true & correct copy of
the entire Record from the Magistrate, Court, &
all the ^{Orders} Records of the Circuit as entered of record
the Bill of exceptions on file in my office

In Testimony Whereof I
have hereunto set my hand
and Seal of said Court at
Geneva this 3^d day of July
AD 1852.

Chas. S. B. Wells,
Clerk

Supreme Court - June Term 1852

Thomas Newland

vs
Pur & Trustee of Town of Aurora

And said Thomas Newland
by Dickey and Day his attorneys come
and allege that there is error in the fore
going record, proceedings and judgment
there is manifest error - and that said

Judgment ought to be reversed - and
applies specially the following points
of error -

1st The justice of the Peace held
no jurisdiction of the subject matter
and the circuit court therefore had
no jurisdiction -

2nd The court erred in allowing
illegal evidence for pliffs below

3rd The court erroneously rejected
proper evidence offered by deft
below -

4th The court erred in giving
instruction objected to by deft below

5th The court erred in refusing
to give instructions asked for
by deft below -

6th The court below erred in
refusing to grant a new trial

7th The court erred in rendering
judgment against deft & in favor
of pliffs -

Said Newland therefore
prays that said judgment be reversed
and held for naught -

DreKey & Day

And now comes the said defendant in error
and say that in the issue & proceedings
aforesaid and in the rendition of the judgment
aforesaid there is no error & the same true
and ought to be affirmed

Glovers Look

attty

Name 22

Thos. Marshall

as

President of Bank of Aurora

Record

Filed July 23^d 1852
T. Marshall Ch.

Record of T. C. Harkness
Offer opposition for this
Bank $\frac{1}{10}$ of $\frac{1}{10}$ of $\frac{1}{10}$
41 feet 10^d 4.10
in sides $\frac{35}{4.45}$

STATE OF ILLINOIS, }
Supreme Court. }

The People of the State of Illinois,

To the Sheriff of the County of Kane — Greeting:

BECAUSE in the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment of a
plea which was in the circuit court of Kane county, before the
Judge thereof, between the President & Trustees of the
Town of Aurora plaintiffs and
Thomas Cowlan —

defendant, it is said that manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the said —

Thomas Cowlan —

as we are informed by his complaint, the record and proceedings of which said judg-
ment we have caused to be brought into our Supreme Court of the state of Illinois, at
Ottawa, before the justices thereof, to correct the errors in the same, in due form and
manner, according to law; therefore we command you, that by good and lawful men of
your county, you give notice to the said President & Trustees
of the Town of Aurora —

that they be and appear before the justices of our said supreme court, at the next term of
said court, to be helden at Ottawa, in said state, on the 2^d Monday in —
June next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and the errors assigned,
if they shall see fit; and further to do and receive what said court shall order in
this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom you shall give the said
President & Trustees — notice, together with
this writ.

Witness, the Hon. SAMUEL H. TREAT, Chief
Justice of our said Court, and the seal thereof, at
Ottawa, this 17th day of November
in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred
and fifty two.

L. Celand Clerk of the Supreme Court.

Promiscuously served on the ~~will~~ ~~will be named~~ ~~William~~
William H. Hawkins as
President ~~& President~~ and Arthur Weston Curtis, M.
Allen & Long & Edward R. Allen. Trustees of the
Town of Aurora this 25th day of February
1853 by reading this writ to them

Ch. 22
Thomas Churton

President & Trustees of
the Town of Aurora in
Sci: Fa. 60.
Race Co.

140	230	10
Mill St N	250	
Net		3510

Fils d'Eliezer 21. 1853
d. Selad Am.

257

Know all men by these presents, That we
Thomas Newlin and A.D. Day our selfs and
firmly bound unto the "The President and Trustees
of the Town of Aurora" in the penal sum of one
hundred Dollars lawful money of the United
States, for the payment of which will and truly
to be made we bind ourselves, our heirs and
administrators, jointly, severally and firmly by
these presents - Witness our hands and seals this
23rd March 1853 -

The Condition of the above
obligation is such, That whereas the said Thomas
Newlin has obtained errors from the decision of the
Circuit Court of the County of Kane and State of
Illinois to the Supreme Court of the said State of
Illinois in the case of "The President and Trustees
of the Town of Aurora against him, and a super-
sedeas ordered by the said Supreme Court to be
issued upon the said Thomas Newlin entering
into an appeal bond in the penal sum of one
hundred Dollars with A.D. Day as security -

Now if the said Thomas Newlin in
case the said judgment is affirmed by the
Supreme Court shall pay the same, together
with the costs, interest and damages awarded
against him by the said Supreme Court and shall
also prosecute his appeal with effect then
the above obligation shall be void, otherwise
to remain in full force and effect -

A.D. Day
Thomas Newlin

22

Thomas Cleveland

Rev. & Trustees of Aurora

Supt. Board

Hills March 25. 1853
A. Cleveland Clark.

The President and Trustees of Supreme Court
of the Town of Aurora & 3^d Grand Division
at Thomas Newell June 20 1853.

This deponent A

Richard H. Norton being duly sworn
on oath says, that William H. Hawkins
who has been for a long time, and now is
President of said town of Aurora, will,
shortly after the above cause was brought
to the Supreme Court by writ of error, by
said Newell, several interviews with
this deponent in relation to the said said
cause; that is about the trial of the same,
the probable result of it &c. - But this
deponent says that he did not understand
or did misapprehend the said President
as intending thereby to return this deponent
to attend to and to try said cause
in said court. - And this deponent further
says that he was not aware, that there
was any such misapprehension or misunder-
standing between him and the said
President in relation to such return.
until after the reversal of his judgment
in the above cause in this court. And that if
this deponent had understood the said
President as thereby returning him to attend to
and try said cause in said Supreme Court
for said town, he would have promptly
so done. - And this deponent further says that
he tried the said cause at the Circuit for said
town, which undoubtedly made the
said President less particular in his language

and led him to the supposition and
conclusion from which he shall come
in these interviews, that his deponent
regarded himself retained on behalf
of such town to attend to and try
such cause in the Supreme Court
and further this deponent ~~saw~~ the not.

Subscribed and sworn to
before me this 30th day of Richard G. Motte,
June 28 1853

Geo. D. Clark
Notary Public

Prayd & Munsell
Montgomery Co.
Thomas Penland

Filed July 1. 1853.
D. DeLand Clk.
By P. K. Lansbury.

The President and
Trustees of the Town of
Aurora

Atto
Thomas A. Moran

Supreme Court

3^d Grand Division

June Term AD 1855.

William H. Hawkins being sworn states on oath that
he is President of the Town of Aurora that since
Town had employed Richard G. Montague a counsellor
of this court to try said cause in said
Supreme Court and believe that said cause
would be promptly attended to in said court
affiant knows of no reason why the said case
not be attended to except from the information
derived from said Montague which is embodied
in the affidavit of said Montague thereto
filed.

William H. Hawkins

Swear to and subscribed to
before me this 3^d day of
June 1855

Geo. D. B.
Notary Public

#22

President & Trustee
of Amherst
vs.
Thomas Parker

Filed July 1, 1853.

L. Leland Chard and Company
By Prof. Leland
copy, 1881

State of Illinois, sc*t*.

WRIT OF ERROR—FREE TRADER PRINT.

The People of the State of Illinois,
To the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the County of *Kane* — GREETING :

BECAUSE in the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of *Kane* — county, before the Judge thereof, between *The President & Trustees of the Town of Aurora* —

plaintiffs and *Thomas Newlan* —

defendant it is said manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the aforesaid *Newlan*

as we are informed by *his* complaint, and we being willing that error, if any there be, should be corrected in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distantly and openly, without delay, send to our Justices of the Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plaint aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our justices aforesaid at Ottawa, in the county of La Salle, on the *2nd Monday of June* — next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law.

WITNESS, the Hon. SAMUEL H. TREAT, Chief Justice of our said Court, and the seal thereof, at Ottawa, this *23rd* — day of *July* — in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty two. —

L. Keland Clerk of the Supreme Court.

32-059-147

No. 22

Thomas Brewster
vs
Prs. & Tms. of Town of Aurora
Writ of Error

Filed July 23rd 1852.
Kalamazoo Co.

This writ of error is
made a supersedas as
such is to be obeyed accordingly
by all concerned —

L. Kalamazoo Co.