

No. 12743

Supreme Court of Illinois

---

Dodge

vs.

Mack

---

THURSDAY

158-41.

William W. Dodge  
Jacob Haast.

158

1274 b

359

P  
Do it remembered that I do for to wit; on the twenty second day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty eight this was filed in the office of the Clerk of said Court in the County of <sup>declaration above</sup> Cognovit in the words and figures following to wit:

Declarant  
State of Illinois / Circuit Court  
Cass County of On Information - Dec. 22, 1858

Jacob Mack complains of  
William Doherty of a plea of trespass on the case  
upon promise.

To that whereas the defendant on the 22nd day of November A. D. 1858 at Peoria to wit at the County aforesaid made his certain promissory note in writing and there and then delivered the same to the plaintiff and thereby promised to pay the plaintiff or order the sum of one hundred and seventy three dollars and sixty nine cents with interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum and current out of exchange on New York for value received forty days after the date thereof which period has now elapsed and then and there in consideration of the promise promised to pay the amount of said note to the plaintiff according to the ten and effect thereof.

And whereas afterwards and on the 22nd day of December A. D. 1858 at the County aforesaid the defendant was indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of ten dollars for money then and there paid by the

Plaintiff for the sum and the request of the Defendant for  
attorneys fees in this cause, (and the Plaintiff avers that  
the difference of Exchange between said Cities and said  
New York is at the rate of one cent for sixteen or one  
dollar on the hundred dollars)

That being to enable the  
Defendant afterwards, to act on the day and year and at  
the County last aforesaid promises the Plaintiff in  
consideration of the promises last mentioned to pay him the  
said last mentioned sum of money on request

But although  
requested he has refused and still does refuse to pay any  
part of either of said monies To the Plaintiff's damage  
of three hundred dollars and thereupon he being under  
the H. Stone

Diffs Atty

The original note and account due on 200 Dollars  
said Marked A.

| Statement of Amount due             |              |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Principal of Note due Dec 21/81     | \$178.67     |
| Interest 44 days at 10 per cent.    | 1.31         |
| My fees paid for me and at request  | <u>16.00</u> |
| Total Amount due                    | \$185.98     |
| Exchange on \$15.00 at one per cent | 1.85         |
|                                     | \$186.85     |

Cognovit That the said William Doherty by William J. Ryan his attorney  
duly authorized and empowred by virtue of the power of

Attorney files herewith one attached to said note marked  
A" comes and waiving the service of process confesses the  
action of the plaintiff and that the plaintiff by reason of  
the non-performance of his said promises has sustained  
damage to the amount of one hundred and eighty <sup>in</sup> dollars  
and eight five cents for which sum the defendant confesses  
judgment in favor of the plaintiff with costs of suit and  
the defendant further agrees that no writ or process or appeal  
shall be prosecuted upon this judgment nor any Rule in  
Equity filed to interfere in any manner with the operation  
of the same and release all errors that may intercede  
the entering up of said judgment or issuing execution  
thereon and also consents that immediate execution  
may issue on said judgment.

William Doherty  
By Wm F Bryan  
his atty."

And on the <sup>20</sup> day of <sup>Oct</sup>; on the twenty second day of  
November in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred  
and fifty <sup>two</sup> days there was filed in the office of the clerk of said  
Court in said cause a Note, Power of Attorney and  
Affidavit in the words and figures following, to wit:

Note

\$173.69

Toronto November 22d 1855

Forty days after date, for value received I promise  
to pay to Jacob Mack or order the sum of one hundred  
and seventy three dollars with interest at the rate of ten per cent per an-  
num from date until current out of London or New York.

William Doherty

Z. James

Power of  
Attorney

I know all - hereby these presents, that whereas, the subscriber William Doherty is justly indebted to Jacob Mack upon a certain promissory note, bearing even date herewith, the sum of one hundred and seventy three dollars and sixty nine cents, made payable to the said Jacob Mack or order, and due forty days after the date thereof.

I now, therefore, in consideration of the premises and of the sum of one dollar to me in hand paid by the said Jacob Mack the receipt whereof is fully acknowledged, I do hereby make, constitute and appoint Wm. F. Bryan or my attorney in any court of record, to be my true and lawful attorney, irrevocably, for me and in my name, place and stead, to appear before any justice of the peace, or in any Court of Record, in term time or vacation, in any of the States or Territories of the United States, at any time after the date hereof, to waive service of process, and confess judgment in favor of the said Jacob Mack or his or their assigns or assignees, upon the said note for the above sum, or for as much as appears to be due, according to the true and effect of said note, with interest thereon, together with costs; also, for ten dollars usual attorneys fees, to be used to the amount due on entering up judgment; also to file a cognovit for the amount that may be due, with an agreement therin, that no suit of law or appeal shall be prosecuted upon the judgment entered by either kind, nor any bill in equity filed to interfere in any manner with the operation of such judgment; and to release and

that may intervene in the carrying up of such judgment, or in the execution thereof, and also to consent to immediate execution upon such judgment. Having thereby ratifying and confirming all that my said attorney may do by virtue hereof.

Witness my hand and seal this eleventh day of November  
A.D. 1856.

In presence of { William Doherty   
William C. James. }

Affidavit State of Illinois /  
Pecoria County / of William C. James being first duly  
Sworn says that the within Power of Attorney was signed and  
Sealed by the within names William Doherty in his presence,  
that he saw said Doherty execute the same and that he  
is personally Acquainted with said Doherty  
Subscribed and sworn to 3 William C. James.  
before me this 30<sup>th</sup> day  
of December A.D. 1856

Henry W. Pack  
Notary Public

And afterwards, to wit; on the twenty ninth day  
of March in the year of our Lord one thousand  
eight hundred and fifty nine, there was filed in the office  
of Clerk of said court in said cause a motion, affidavit &  
Notice in the words and figures following, to wit:

6 Motion State of Illinois  
County of Illinois In the Circuit Court  
for this County.  
Jacob Mack Judgment for M.  
& William Doherty Execution December 22<sup>nd</sup> 1851  
Number 1778

William M. Dodge Administrator of the estate of William Doherty late of the County aforesaid, defendant in the above entitled cause by Charles L. Long and William H. O'Brien his attorney comes into court now and moves the court to remand said cause and suspended by all proceedings upon the execution issued therein, or otherwise recovered, and thereupon to set aside the leg made thereof, upon the goods chattels and property of said William Doherty, for that there is no legal judgment to warrant or uphold said execution and for that the said William Doherty departed this life before the making of said leg, and for that the said chattels and property were in the actual possession of said administrator peacefully acquired, before and at the time of the making of the leg aforesaid, and for that the Plaintiff's record and proceedings in said cause and the execution and the proceedings that followed, are otherwise irregular and contrary to the law of the land, in manifestly appear by the affidavit of said administrator hereinbefore filed and by the plaintings record and

Proceedings in the case wherein said execution was issued  
on the said William M. Dodge being brought into court for the  
letters of administration issued to him upon the estate of  
said William Doherty, which show to the court that he  
is such administrator and ought to be heard upon  
the motion etc.

Bonney & O'Brien  
Attorneys for said  
Administrator.

Affidavit. State of Illinois 2d  
County of Cook 2d William M. Dodge, Administrator  
of William Doherty late of said county deceased, being  
first duly sworn says, that the facts touching the log  
mentioned in the foregoing motion are substantially as follows  
to wit: That the execution was issued on the 22<sup>nd</sup> and  
delivered to the Sheriff on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of December A.D.  
1851, that no log was made during the life time of the  
defendant, that the said William Doherty died on the  
10<sup>th</sup> day of March A.D. 1859 - that on the 14th day of  
March A.D. 1859 the Sheriff went with said execution  
to the City good store occupied by the defendant in his  
life time and found the same in the possession of the said  
who had charge of the same before the death of the  
defendant, and was then and then informed by said  
clerk that they were taking an account of stock for  
and on behalf of the Appointees of said Doherty  
as directed by the Court of the wife of said deceased,  
James that the Sheriff demanded possession of the goods and

8

Property herein and also demand'd to be key of the store  
but said Clerk refused his demands and each of them  
and denied the right of the Sheriff to lay, and told  
him he should not do so wth their consent, and the  
Sheriff declining his authority to seize the property by  
force there and there indorsed a key upon the suit  
and without seizing or removing any of the property  
or assuming any authority over the same, occupying  
or attempting to appoint any person to take charge of  
the same, went away leaving the said Clerk in  
undisturbed possession as before, that on the 17<sup>th</sup> day of  
March A.D. 1859 this affiant was appointed Adminis-  
trator of the estate of said Dohart, and without hindrance  
or opposition took peaceful and actual possession  
of said store and goods and commenced making  
out ~~an~~ an inventory thereof, that on the 21<sup>st</sup> day of  
March A.D. 1859, the Sheriff having been indemnified  
by the Plaintiff went again to said store and  
demanded admittance of said Administrator which  
was refused that he after <sup>were</sup> looked and  
thereupon the Sheriff broke the same and entered  
force into said store, that this affiant then and there  
denied the right of said Sheriff to seize the property therein  
or any part thereof, and claimed the same as such  
Administrator, but the Sheriff seized a portion of the goods  
and chattels in said store the property of said William Dohart  
in his life time and removed the same from the store.  
I began but am soon to begin the W. H. Dodge  
27<sup>th</sup> day of March A.D. 1859 E. Bellarmine

Copy of  
Letters of  
Administration

"Copy -  
Letter of Administration  
State of Illinois <sup>3</sup> recd.  
County of Peoria <sup>3</sup>

The People of the State of Illinois  
to all to whom these presents shall come, Greeting:

Know Ye that whereas William Doherty late of  
the County of Peoria and State of Illinois died intestate  
as it is said, on or about the 10<sup>th</sup> day of March A.D.  
1859, leaving at the time of his decease, personal property  
in this state, which may be lost, destroyed, or diminished  
in value, if speedy care is not taken of the same.

To the end therefore, that said property may be  
collected and preserved for those who shall appear  
to have a legal right or interest therein, we do hereby  
appoint William H. Dodge of the County  
of Peoria and State of Illinois, Administrator of all  
and singular the goods and chattels, rights and  
credits, which were of the said William Doherty at  
the time of his decease, with full power and authority  
to recover and collect said property and  
debt whencesover the same may be found in this  
state, and in general to do and perform all other  
acts which prudence, or necessity may be require of him by law.

Witnessed and sealed at the County Courthouse in the County of  
Peoria in the State of Illinois this 1<sup>st</sup> day of April A.D. 1859 and witnessed and  
signed before me this day of April A.D. 1859  
John C. Hebbell  
 Clerk of the County Court

9<sup>th</sup>

State of Illinois vs. Clerk's Office,  
St. Clair County, Ill.

I, Charles Kellelle, Clerk of the  
 County Court of St. Clair County do hereby certify, that  
 the within and foregoing is a true copy of the Letter  
 of Administrator issued to Mr. Dodge, administrator  
 of W<sup>m</sup> Doherty, deceased.



Witness my hand and official  
 Seal this 29<sup>th</sup> March 1859

Charles Kellelle

Clerk.

Notice State of Illinois vs. ad.  
 County of St. Clair In the Circuit Court  
 for St. Clair County  
 Plaintiff vs. Judgment for 7th.  
 & Execution issued December 22<sup>nd</sup> 1858  
 William Doherty Numbered 973

To William D. Bryan Esq. Attorney  
 for the above named Plaintiff - You are hereby notified  
 that I have this day filed in the office of the Clerk of  
 St. Clair County, A Motion and affidavit copies of  
 which are hereto attached, and that at the opening  
 of Court to-morrow morning the 30<sup>th</sup> instant, I shall  
 ask said Court to allow said Motion  
 St. Clair March 29<sup>th</sup> 1859

Wm M. Dodge Proctor  
 of W<sup>m</sup> Doherty, deceased "

Affidavit

State of Illinois D Co.  
County of Peoria D William H. Dodge being first duly  
sworn says that he has this day informed William F.  
Payne, attorney for Jacob Karch a copy of the foregoing  
Motion, affidavit and notice herein filed D,  
affiant further says that said William F. Payne as  
attorney for said Karch, personally directed the copy  
mentioned in said affidavit and that said Payne  
has information and notice of all the proceedings  
mentioned therein

Subscribed and sworn to Wm M. Dodge  
before me the 29<sup>th</sup> day of  
March A.D. 1859  
C. T. Stoen, etc.

This afternoon, to wit, on the thirtieth day of March  
A.D. 1859 there was filed in the office of the Clerk of said  
Court in this cause an affidavit in the words and figures  
following, to wit:

"Jacob Karch D Circuit Court  
vs D March Term A.D. 1859  
William Doherty D

In a Motion to set aside leg. to  
State of Illinois D  
Peoria County D

John Payne, Sheriff of said county  
having been duly sworn says that he is the Sheriff  
mentioned in the Motion and affidavit of William H.

P

Dodge, Administrator of Said Dorothy, ~~and~~ sue in said  
Court upon this Motion, that the facts herein stated  
are substantially true so far as they relate to the action of  
the affiant with the exception that on the ~~14th~~<sup>15th</sup> day  
of March instant, affiant as such Sheriff and having  
said execution and others of same date in favor of  
some plaintiff and against Said Dorothy, went  
into said store and demanded possession of said  
goods by virtue of said execution - that on that  
the said Clark who was more than in possession of said  
store professing to act under authority and claiming no  
personal interest in said store, informed affiant  
that if he desired to have goods he must do so on  
his own responsibility, to which affiant replied  
that he would do so on his own responsibility - that  
affiant then demanded the key, which they ~~were~~  
refused to give - that affiant then offered to ap-  
point one of said clerks as Custodian of said  
goods, but they refused to do so - that affiant  
then ordered said Clark out of said store, that they  
refused to go, ~~unless~~<sup>unless</sup> forced out - that said clerk  
then told affiant that said store would be open  
every day during business hours and that affiant  
could then have ingress and regress to and from  
said store at his pleasure - That said store  
was always after that day closed and locked  
so that affiant could not gain admittance,  
except by forcing the door - That while in said

Store on the 14th instant, he made and induced  
the boy of that date, which is now on the back of  
said execution - That said execution was all  
delivered to him on the same date to wit: December  
23, 1858 - That affiant considers himself as having  
a right to enter said Store at any time after said  
14th to take away said goods by virtue of said ar-  
rangement with said clerks.

described and sworn to before me this 30th day of March 1889  
C. P. Sloan att. 3 "

Proceedings in the Circuit Court in and for the County  
of Boone in the State of Illinois in vacation after  
the December Term A.D. 1858 of said court with  
Year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred  
and fifty eight

Wednesday December 2nd A.D. 1858  
Jacob Clark

William Doherty

On the twenty second day of December  
in the Year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred  
and fifty eight there was filed in the office of the Clerk  
of this Court the declaration of the said Jacob Black

in this action by George H. Stone attorney and thereupon  
W. J. Bryan one of the attorneys of the court on behalf  
of the said William Doherty and by virtue of a power  
of attorney for that purpose executed by the said  
William Doherty and now produced and filed  
in the said Clerks office together with the affidavit  
of William C. Jones joining the due execution of  
said power of attorney by the said William Doherty,  
file a plea to said declaration, and therein as to  
the matters and things in the plaintiffs declaration  
herein contained say it is true that he did accuse  
and promised in manner and form as the plaintiff  
has declared against him and that by reason of  
the non performance of said promises and under-  
takings the plaintiff has sustained damages to the  
amount of one hundred and eighty six dollars  
and eighty five cents Therefore it is considered  
by the Court that the said Root Rock have and  
recover of the said William Doherty the sum of  
one hundred and eighty six dollars and eighty five  
cents toward his damages aforesaid, in full payment  
Confessed, and also his costs and charges by him in  
his suit in this behalf expended and that he have  
declaration therefor.

Proceedings at a term of the circuit court began  
on the 1st Court house in the City of Peoria, in and  
for the County of Peoria in the State of Illinois on the  
first Monday of March in the Year of our Lord one thousand  
eight hundred and fifty nine, it being the seventh day of March  
instant. Present the Honorable John P. French, judge of the  
16th judicial circuit in the State of Illinois, John Flynn, sheriff  
and Frank J. Hearn, clerk to wit:

Wednesday March 3d A.D. 1859

Frank Hearn

William Doherty This day came the plaintiff by William  
A. Flynn his attorney and also James W. U. Daughy Administrator  
of the estate of the said William Doherty deceased by attorney  
John H. Brown his attorney and the cause came on to be heard  
on the Motion of said Administrator to stay proceedings in  
the cause and to let aside the bill, for reason stated in  
Motion on file — and the court having heard the argument  
of counsel and being fully advised in the premises now made  
said Motion.

The afternoon to wit: on the first day of April in  
the Year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty  
nine then and there filed in the office of the Clerk of said Court in this  
cause a Bill of Exceptions to the name and figures  
following, to wit:

In the Circuit Court of  
Linn County.

Jacob Mack v.  
William Doherty

Motion to stay proceeding  
Set aside leg. &c.

Monday March 30<sup>th</sup> 1859

It is remembered that on the day  
before the Court saw William H. Dodge, admi-  
nistrator of said William Doherty by Charles E. Bonney  
his attorney, and said Jacob Mack by William S.  
Bryant his attorney came to the court house on  
to be heard upon the Motion of said William H.  
Dodge Administrator of said William Doherty which  
Motion is in the words and figures following, to wit:

[See page 6 & 7 of this transcript]

The Respondent said William H. Dodge administrator  
of said Doherty to have in evidence in support of his  
Motion an affidavit in the words and figures following, to wit:

[See page 7 & 8 of this transcript]

The said Jacob Mack has in evidence  
against said Motion an affidavit of said  
John Bryant in the words and figures following, to wit:

[See page 11 & 12 of this transcript]

This was all the evidence  
besides the pleading &c in the case - and  
upon the arguments of Counsel the Court allowed  
said motion - to which ruling and judgment the  
said William M. Dodge then and there acquiesced  
and therupon prayed the Court to sign and seal  
a bill of exceptions - which is accordingly done.

E. W. Powell *S. D.*

State of Illinois  
County of Peoria  
I, Noah P. Sloan, esq.  
of the Circuit Court in and for the County  
of Peoria in the State of Illinois, do hereby  
Certify that the foregoing is a true and  
Correct Copy from the Record and  
the files in my office.

In witness whereof, I have set my hand and affix the  
Seal of said Court at office this Sixth day of April  
in the Year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred  
and fifty nine. Noah P. Sloan, esq.

State of Illinois  
In the Supreme Court at Ottawa -  
Of the April Term A.D. 1859 -

And therefore comes the said  
William M. Dodge, administrator of the  
17th June

said William Doherty by Charles C. Bonney  
his attorney, and says that in the record and  
proceedings aforesaid, and also in the ven-  
diction of the judgment aforesaid, there is  
manifest error in this, to wit:

1. There is no legal judgment to warrant the  
issuing of the writ of execution.
2. The Levy mentioned in said proceedings is  
illegal and void.
3. The property seized by the Sheriff was the property  
of the administrator at the time it was taken,  
and is not subject to any execution  
against said William Doherty.
4. The Court below erred in overruling the mo-  
tion to stay proceedings, and set aside the  
Levy.
5. The record and proceedings aforesaid are other-  
wise irregular and contrary to the law of  
the Land.

Wherefore the said William M. Dodge  
prays that the judgment aforesaid for the  
errors aforesaid and for other errors appa-  
rent in the record and proceedings aforesaid  
may be reversed, annulled and altogether  
held for nothing, and that he may be re-  
stored to all things which he hath lost by  
occasion of the said judgment &c.

Charles C. Bonney,  
attorney for plaintiff in error.

Whereupon comes the said Jacob Mack  
by Bryan & Stone his attorneys and says  
that there is no error either in the record and  
proceedings aforesaid, or in the rendition of  
the judgment aforesaid - and prays that  
the said Supreme Court now here, may  
proceed to examine as well the record and  
proceedings aforesaid, as the matters afore-  
said above assigned for error, and that  
the judgment aforesaid in form aforesaid  
given, may be, in all things affirmed &c

Bryan & Stone  
Attsy

In his office last  
15<sup>th</sup>

William W. Dodge Esq.

first Mach

Com to Provincial Court

Kward      M  
Errors      M  
Finder      M

Bonney for P.M.

Bryan for D.P.

Fined April 7, 1832

J. L. C. & Son  
Black

3.80

As 3.20 No. 2 paid by Bonney

Douglas G Supreme Court  
March 3 April Term 1869

Argument of Defendant in Error

1. The provisions for payment of debts in Stat. of Will, have reference

1. To demands or claims against Estates

2. If the debts in hands of Coffer in testator or belonging to the Estate.

But a creditor who has an absolute lien by mortgage or otherwise does not make a demand or claim agst the Estate. He relies upon his lien - and claims only the specie, if any from the Estate.

Nor does the property which the debtor has incurvured or pledged in his lifetime, become

asset is the hands of the Likely in is-  
bator, except for the Rec'd over the  
amount of the in Chambers.

Such Rec'd only is the property of  
the Estate, and as such comes into  
the hands of the Likely in is for  
distribution under Stat. of Wills.

The time of the Execution "binds"  
the goods as effectively as a mort-  
gage.

3 The Statutory provisions cited by  
Miff in favor in relation to Executions  
against Real Estate, only show that  
the former law provided here, was then  
modified. The suspension of the  
deed naturally suggested  
the binding of the lens - although  
wholly unnecessary, if Execution  
had issued during life of the debtor  
and within the year -

3 There is no disagreement among  
the authorities as to the right being  
granted after the Execution Execution  
granted delivery to Sheriff during  
life of debtor - The case cited by

Offices from Ohio Reports are  
based upon an ~~Supp~~ Statute  
providing that ~~these~~ ~~times~~ shall  
not be a lie upon ~~for~~ ~~any~~ ~~purpose~~  
until after being ~~any~~

4 The cases cited in ~~any~~ ~~Supp~~  
are referred to as Conclusions as to  
the validity of Judgment.  
Those in ~~any~~ English and ~~any~~ ~~of~~  
Missouri are especially important.  
The New York & Pennsylvania Au-  
thorities are cited merely to show  
that the practice exists and is  
sanctioned in those States.

The mode of determining of these  
judgments is regulated by rule  
of the Supreme Court. They are  
under the direct supervision of the  
Judge, and are to meet the  
"conclusions of law" in judgment,  
and come up to the matter  
agreed, as if tried in ~~any~~

W. H. Bryan  
for Officier

I have not been able to find the Ohio  
Statutes in the library. - They are at  
Perma.

15<sup>th</sup>  
Dodge  
Co.  
Nebraska

Argreement of  
R. J. in Brown

No 158

Filed April 22, 1887  
L. de laune  
black

William H. Dodge  
Administrator of Supreme Court  
William O'Conor  
April 23rd  
1859  
Dear Doctor

In the filing of Special Sheriff's assignment  
it has been discovered that the certificate of service omitted for the  
word "With notice given to the Sheriff to make by affidavit  
defendant in Escheat" was the only point made in the bond last  
year, so granted that the Sheriff proceeding would appear in  
~~the certificate of service~~ in the certificate of service in  
the Sheriff's office. There is no question in regard to the per-  
fection of service by having been made the bond for Sheriff and have  
of the Sheriff to make one file ~~certificate~~ as an addition to  
his "Assignment" here before filed. The Statute enjoining  
a copy of the warrant and copy of the Sheriff's "Assignment"  
the office, the same being got in his hands.

The only object of introducing the affidavit  
of the Sheriff is ~~regarding~~ to the day of the 20th March next give  
the office to the Sheriff if any, and copy of it to the opposite party  
or Commissioner. But as an administrator can have no Sheriff,  
or stronger claim to do good than a voluntary Grant, &  
permission will suffice, so in fact the defendant Sheriff, it is  
not perceived that there can be any necessity for calling in the  
aid of a sheriff to give strength to the written treaty and removing  
of the goods by the Sheriff on the 20th March.

Should the Court Annex this otherwise, the Office claims the  
right of self-preservation. In other words to claim the benefit

of the City proceedings, the Sheriff advised him a  
suit in action.

J. J. Bryan  
Atty. for Appellee

St. Paul, Minn., Aug.

158-41  
Dodge vs  
McAll

Appellee's argt.

Filed April 26, 1859  
L. Leland  
Clerk

Peface

STATE OF ILLINOIS, ss.  
IN THE SUPREME COURT AT OTTAWA,  
OF THE APRIL TERM, A. D. 1839.

WILLIAM M. DODGE,  
ADMINISTRATOR OF WILLIAM DOHERTY, }  
Plaintiff in Error, }  
vs. }  
J. A. COH. M. A. C. K., }  
Defendant in Error. }  
Errors to Probate Circuit  
Court.

ABSTRACT OF THE RECORD.

Declaration in manuscript, filed in vacation, December 2d, 1838, on note for \$173 99, dated November 11, 1838, due at forty days, with exchange on New York, and ten per cent. interest. Declaration also contains common counts.

Cognovit by Wm. F. Bryan, attorney, for \$186 83, waiving error, *etc.*  
Copy of note sued on.

Power of attorney to confess judgment.

Affidavit of execution of power of attorney.

Motion, filed March 20, 1839, to stay proceedings, set aside levy, *etc.*, for the following reasons, to wit:

1. There is no legal judgment to warrant the execution.
2. William Doherty departed this life before any levy had been made.
3. The goods, chattels and property levied, were in the actual possession of the administrator, peacefully acquired before and at the time of the making of the levy.
4. The pleadings, record and proceedings are otherwise irregular and contrary to law, as appears by the same, and the affidavit filed, *etc.*

Proof made of the Letters of Administration.

Affidavit of Wm. M. Dodge, showing

1. Execution issued December 22d, 1838.
2. Delivered to the sheriff on the next day, the 23rd.
3. No levy made during the life of the defendant.
4. Defendant died March 10th, 1839.
5. Sheriff went to defendant's store on 14th March, 1839, and demanded possession of the clerks, which was refused and no levy made.
6. Affiant appointed administrator March 19, 1839, and took actual and peaceful possession of the property in question.
7. March 21, 1839, the sheriff, having been intimidated, broke the outer door of the store, and seized and removed a portion of the goods.

\* Certified copy of Letters of Administration.

- = Notice of motion to set aside levy, &c., and affidavit of service of copy of motion, affidavit and notice.
- n Affidavit of John Breyer, sheriff, mentioned in affidavit of Dodge, stating
  1. That that the facts stated in Dodge's affidavit are substantially true.
  2. That the clerks claimed no personal interest in the goods.
  3. That plaintiff offered to appoint one of the clerks custodian, but they refused to act.
  4. That he ordered said clerks out of the store, but they refused to go.
  5. That said clerks said that he, the sheriff, could have ingress and egress at his pleasure.
  6. That after that day the store was kept closed and locked.
  7. That he indorsed a levy on the 14th March, and "considered himself as having a right to enter and take away the goods at any time thereafter."

Proceedings of circuit court, to wit: December 22, 1848, on filing declaration, power of attorney, proof of execution thereof, and cognovit, judgment for Jacob Mack against William Doherty for \$186.83 and costs.

March 30, 1850, hearing on motion to stay proceedings, set aside levy, &c., and motion overruled.

- \* Bill of exceptions, setting out—1, motion to set aside levy, &c.; 2, affidavit of Wm. M. Dodge; 3, affidavit of John Breyer; 4, that this was all the evidence beside the pleadings.

Clerk's certificate.

"

EVIDENCE, TO WIT:

1. There is no legal judgment to warrant the issuing of the writ of execution.
- n 2. The levy mentioned in said proceedings is illegal and void.
3. The property seized by the sheriff was the property of the administrator at the time it was taken, and so not subject to any execution against said William Doherty.
4. The court below erred in overruling the motion to stay proceedings and set aside the levy.
5. The record and proceedings aforesaid are otherwise irregular and contrary to the law of the land.

CHARLES C. BONNEY,  
Attorney for Plaintiff in Error.

158.<sup>p</sup>

In the Supreme Court.

William H. Dodge Plaintiff  
vs.  
Jacob Jack

Court of Provincial Court

Abstract -

Filed April 9, 1839

A. Holland  
Att'd.

State of Illinois  
In the Supreme Court at Ottawa  
William M. Dodge  
Administrator of  
William Doherty  
plaintiff in error Moor to Peoria  
versus louisville Court -  
Jacob Mack  
defendant in error

I do hereby enter myself secu-  
-rity for the costs of the plaintiff  
in this case, and acknowledge  
myself bound to pay the same,  
according to the Twenty Ninth  
Rule of said Supreme Court -

Charles L. Bonney

In the Supreme Court

H. M. Dwyer advs. &c

Jacob Mack

Society for costs.