No. 12852

Supreme Court of Illinois

Kelsey.

VS.

Lamb.

71641

Charles L. Kelsey James R. Lamb 7869

In Supreme Court of State of Menois April Term. AD 1859. Sames. R. Lamb Grow Bureau Cour. Ceremit Cour-Now Comes the Said affected and Say, that in the second proceedings & Judgment of the Said Circuit Court, there is munifest enor, in this to wet. 1 That The daid Court rendered Judgmis. against the defendant, when his special pleas were unousuered and no force Therew formed 2 - That - the Lord Court proceeded to tral upon the defendants plea of the general ifone without despessing of the Defendants Special pleas. -3 That i the position of the pleading of Cose The Court had no authority to render Said Sudgment against the defendant. Wherefore the said appellant prays that Said dad great maybe received Peters & Thruce 112852-1 allys for Belsey

And now comes the appella by Wallace his counsel and suys that in the record afnesaid there is no error suchas said appellant has above assigned and this applies pray may be enqued of by the count and that said judgement maybe affirmed to Millalla ce

for appetlee -

It day legest de 4 118 came int the Oli of the alect of the Circuit court in and let Comby & Bureau and State of Ollins, cans To tomb by daylor & Theps his allow son filet his preimpe for Summond and bone for cost herein in the works and figures rollowing to wit Pracepfordum dat of Allmois (88 September Serm 1888 Charles & Mily She Clerk wil iku sum padd in the cade upon promises, Lange 200,0 Jaylor & Thepo . alls for Ill Buran County S. September dem 1830 In Court Court Charles L. May 3 Charles L. & Esy 3. "He do havy into ourselved to carity for costs in this aut and a broudge ourdelnes bound to pay or cause to be paid all costs 212252-2]

which may accuse in this action wither to the 2 opposite party or to any of the Officers of this Court in pursuance of the laws of this state Later this 26 day of august 1850 Jaylor & Thelpel and on the dame day process of summond essued out of the Office of the West of said Court out when servered is in the words and figured of Lower to wet I wear county of it the Sheriff of oaid County Greeting Summons mon thanks I. Hisy i he shall be going in you comy promably to be and appear before the earl cercuit court a card only on the int day of the mest term therey to be holder at the Court. Loude in the count of Timeston in Sand county on the first monday in the month of Sp timber ment to answer unto dames of Lamber of a plea of trespose on the case upon promised to the dumage of said Frantif as he says with down of two handres areas, and have you then and there this unit with an indorsament there on in what manner you shall have executed the We shess Edward . W. Fisher the of our Said Curit Court and the Lat thereof

at conceton in said County this 96th day of Chequest in the Far of our Lord one thousand eight hundred one fifty eight. c. et siche clas per the Mall Jentins Sep 12 Upon which summond the Sheriff of Said County made the following endordement to wit · I down the within wit by reading to Erails Shiff's Resturn Le. Melsey this 37. day of Chiquest a D 1818 and on the 2%. day of Chipast a I 18st come tu said Sailif by mi al my aforesais and il & his decition and day of note by him the and there declared upon which are in the words and figured following to wit Declaration That Simoid (Sin Errant Court of Said Co Towar country o September Jam Ch. 1 15 danied St. can , and by ay it Thelps his attorneys complains of Charles Le Italy the defendant is a pea of tropied on the ad upon promises or that whereas the Soul defendant on the fourter the day of dene in the You of our too one thousand eight hundre o. I fifty him at the country of Bureau aforesan made his promosony note in writing bearing date on that day and delivered the same to the plantit 1/2850-5]

and thereby then and there, three years after the date thereof promises to pay the plantiff the sund On rendred and fefty dollars for vain times with interest thereon from date at the rate of in per cent per annum which period hath now day de and the Sout Exarted de telong then and there in consideration of the premised promised to pay the amount of the said note and interest to the said Thantiff according to the lenor and Effect of the dand promissoy note yet the said defendant hath destregarded his promises and hath not paid the dand dern of many and interest or any part thereof to the damage of the pro till of Iwe hundred dollars and thereupon he brings wit V. Jaylor & Phelps Atts for Plff copy of not sued on in the foregoing narration Three From after date & promise to pay James R. dant or order one hundred and fity dollard with interest thereon at six per certifien ansum from date for value received come 14th 1055 (Signed) Charles &. It's That before the Koncratte Martin Ballon fidge

of the twenty this sudicial Ecount of the State of Said Einen Hart Court and Einen Hart

5 within and for the County of Bureau in Soul date on monday the with day of September in the Year of our Load one thousand eight hundred and fifty eight Fresent How. Martin Ballow Sudge Edward Me. Fisher Eleck Horge W. Slipp States allowy Charles to the Samuel his attempt and it's his plead herin in the words and figures following E. Steldy Den the said defendant come Cleas and defined the every ours injury when to and ways that he did not undertake and promise in manner and form as the sail plaintiff hall complained against him and of this he the ware defendant full himself upon the Country & and for a further plea in this behalf the day defendant days action now because he days that the consideration of said note view a title to a eclain brack of land to with J. E. 4 of de 8-14. 212850-47

110. all, which the said plantiff fraudulently repredented that he had with motent to cheat and defraud the Said defendant when the said Clambil well their that he has no little to the como aforesaid and the said defendant received no consideration what were a said note but the come was wethout andedication and this he the waid defendant is ready to verify wherefore he graye Sadgment V: o derd & Farmel ally or Det. and the said defendant says action non, because he ways that the said plaintiff obtained the supposes resecution of the Said note sued whom by duress that this deft. I Levi Underson had a valid to little upon the collowing premises to wit S. 64 of 8 downship 14 storth of Range 9 East of 114 F. M. and that down plaintiff claimed another and ado to little upon said primised and Suid plantiff threatened to red from deft said too little unless said deft would exente the said note and that said deft was compelled to excut wait note to avoid the annoyance of a pretended redemption by the baid planliff, although daid plantiffs las lette was voit. which well known to the Said O'll at Said time I this he the said deft. is ready to verify whereupon he pray duly ment elew & Farmele West altys

Charles to. lelsey adsumpsit of deplember being the Mow comes the partiety Taylor his attorney and the defendant com by Seters & Farmell his allowings and by agreement of Said parties a gury is walved and this cause is Submilled to the Court for treat and the Court finds the essues herein in favor of the plaintiff and assesses the damages the said plantif halt. Sustained herein at the sum of one hundred and Liverty some dollars and thirteen cent Judgment It is therefore considered by the bourt that the Said planliff have and recover of the said defin don't the Said der of one himdred and seventy more dollard and thesten cents his damage aforedain logether with all his sorts and charge in and about his suit in this tohalf infunded and that he have wenter therefor Charles L. Melsey \ assimpsit of Polember being the of ou one to de dant Mo for appeal Teters his allowing and move the wort for an appeal to the dupreme con h which is allow on condition that said defendant file to appel 52853

bond herein in the dum of your hundred dollars with within to Udd ad decurity within thirty days from that date and on the 21th day of Septen ber being the 14. day of Said Ferm come the desendant by his alterneys aforesaid and filed his appeal bond herem which is in the words that we charles to telsey and Justin St. Olds appeal Bond the County of Bureau and State of Allmois are held and simily bound unto sames the heamb a so of the same county and shat in the penal dem of Four hundred dollars current money of the United States for the payment of which well, and truly to be made we bind our school our heirs executors and administrates fointly deverally and terrally by these presents witness our hands and whe condition of the above obligation is such that whereas the sould come to the want did on the eighth day of softenber 62 1858 in the Ecrement Court in and for the County and State aforedain recover a judgment against the about Counden to harles in stelling for the dern of one hundred and deventy mine dollars and thirtien cents deimages I the costs of weit from which Judgment of sail ceremit but the sail Enailes

Il . Steldy had prayed for and obtained an appeal to the Supreme Court of Said State Now of the Said Charles to telsey shall duly prosecuto his said appeal with effect and shall more over pay the amount of the judgment colls in terests and damaged undered against him in case the Said judgment Shall be affermed in the said I upreme least then the above obligation to be void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue Charles Le. Telsey Deel Questin de. Ode Ger Bureau Comy et Edward M. Fisher Clark of the Circuit Court within and for said County the state aforesaid do hereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and perfect afy of all the proceedings in the above entitled could as the same appeared on the and of the The litemony where I here who set, my hand and affix the seel of the in Said County this lit day of a fail Edward Me Freher Clark perfympall fenkins dep clf Fee \$3.25. paid by appellans

In Supreme Court, State of Illinois, } TO THE APRIL TERM, A. D., 1859.

CHARLES L. KELSEY, App vs. JAMES R. LAMB.

Appeal from Bureau.

This was a case brought by the Appellee against the Appellant, to the September Term, 1858, of the Circuit Court of said County:

Pages 3, 4.

Pages 5, 6.

Page 7.

Pages 7, 8.

Plaintiff declared upon Promissory Note. Defendant upon the 2d day of said term filed the general issue, and two special pleas in bar; 1st special plea, no consideration; 2d do. fraud and no consideration. On the 3d day of said, term, without any issue having been taken on said special pleas, a Jury was waived, and the cause was submitted to the Court for trial, and judgment was given for the Plaintiff, and Defendant appealed, and now assigns for error, the rendition of said judgment against the Defendant, when his special pleas were not demurred to, traversed, or avoided, but were confessed by the Plaintiff, by his not taking issue upon them, and that it was error in the Court to proceed to trial upon the general issue without disposing of the special pleas, and refers to the following authorities to sustain his position: 3 Gil., 311. 4 Gil., 405. 15 Ill., 13. 20 Ill., 124. 11 Ill., 549.

PETERS & FARWELL, Att'ys for Appelled

Linstford 16: 1889

Chous & Alla

Ku 83.25

Chas I, Kelsey abstract & Porils authorities of affelt-File Sprit to 1839

SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS,

Third Division-April Term, 1859.

CHARLES L. KELSEY, Appellant,

JAMES H. LAMB, Appellee

JAPpeal from Bureau.

POINTS FOR APPELLEE.

The record shows that the parties appeared and submitted the case. There were issues. The pleas that remained unanswered were not sufficient to stand alone. They present no defence whatever.

But had they been substantial and meritorious, it was appellant's duty to move in arrest of judgment. He was in court and had an opportunity of doing so. Had he done so, the court might have corrected the error. Not having done so, he has waived his right to do so here.

Selby vs. Hutchinson, 4 Gilm., 330. Mager vs. Hutchinson, 2 Gilm., 270.

W. H. L. WALLACE, for Appellee.

Kelsey = 74 Land Poriets for appelle

Charles, L. Kelsey us Apple from Buseau James, R., Lamb In this Case whouther 2 day of the term at which the case was tried, the defendant filed the general ifsue, and two special pleas, In the 3 day of Said term a lary was wained, and the partie, procede de d to treal, without any ifane formed afron said pleas, or any disposition made of them in adequay, which is futal to the rulidity by the plaintiff Sadgment. "In 3 Gil 326. This court hard "That the defendantsplea of payment 11 was wholly an answered, and this defect I is increvable by any intendement of law. If The matter Let up by it , not being I devied, the defendant was outilled 1, to Indquent apon it, and that the 1 Court consequently ened as well 1, as in proceeding to treal of the remaining 11 ifore in the cause, as in rendering 11 Judgment against-the defendant 1, and for this nasen the Indquent is

In 15 Ills 13 - This court Said "That there were Several pleas filed I when which no ifme was taken, I nor was there any disposition made. I or notice taken of them, but the I parties proceeded to trial upon " other ifnes and pleas - This I hus depentedly held tobe ends This Court decided in 20 Vel, 124 4 11 Ills 549 that it-was ever to to proceed to trial, until all the ifours were determined, These authorities au conclusive on the question presented by the Appellants afrequent of errors, and Show beyond doubt, that the Court end, and that the Sudgment of the Court below Should be reversed. Peters & Farnell allys for Mellant,

- No 177-Charles I, Kelsey Sas. R. Lamb Brieffor Spellant Files April 29

In Supreme Court, State of Illinois, \ TO THE APRIL TERM, A. D., 1859.

CHARLES L. KELSEY, Appeal from Bureau.

This was a case brought by the Appellee against the Appellant, to the September Term, 1858, of the Circuit Court of said County:

Pages 3, 4.

Pages 5, 6.

Page 7.

Pages 7, 8

Plaintiff declared upon Promissory Note. Defendant upon the 2d day of said term filed the general issue, and two special pleas in bar; 1st special plea, no consideration; 2d do. fraud and no consideration. On the 3d day of said, term, without any issue having been taken on said special pleas, a Jury was waived, and the cause was submitted to the Court for trial, and judgment was given for the Plaintiff, and Defendant appealed, and now assigns for error, the rendition of said judgment against the Defendant, when his special pleas were not demurred to, traversed, or avoided, but were confessed by the Plaintiff, by his not taking issue upon them, and that it was error in the Court to proceed to trial upon the general issue without disposing of the special pleas, and refers to the following authorities to sustain his position: 3 Gil., 311. 405. 15 Ill., 13. 20 Ill., 124. 11 Ill., 549.

PETERS & FARWELL, Att'ys for Appell

Charles L. Kelvey das R. Lamb Abstract & authorities,

In Supreme Court, State of Illinois, \ TO THE APRIL TERM, A. D., 1859.

CHARLES L. KELSEY,)
vs.
JAMES R. LAMB.
Appeal from Bureau.

This was a case brought by the Appellee against the Appellant, to the September Term, 1858, of the Circuit Court of said County:

Pages 3, 4.

Pages 5, 6.

Page 7.

Pages 7, 8.

Plaintiff declared upon Promissory Note. Defendant upon the 2d day of said term filed the general issue, and two special pleas in bar; 1st special plea, no consideration; 2d do. fraud and no consideration. On the 3d day of said, term, without any issue having been taken on said special pleas, a Jury was waived, and the cause was submitted to the Court for trial, and judgment was given for the Plaintiff, and Defendant appealed, and now assigns for error, the rendition of said judgment against the Defendant, when his special pleas were not demurred to, traversed, or avoided, but were confessed by the Plaintiff, by his not taking issue upon them, and that it was error in the Court to proceed to trial upon the general issue without disposing of the special pleas, and refers to the following authorities to sustain his position: 3 Gil., 311. 4 Gil., 405. 15 Ill., 13. 20 Ill., 124. 11 Ill., 549.

PETERS & FARWELL, Att'ys for Appelled.

to affidavit of ments on file and if the rule of the cant is himsing the pleas may be disrigared.

Plea don't Show then was no attendent didnation, now that there was any con mante of tate. It a trick our the ifree was the form to motion in arrest was made and he had no right to ask a remarked his the court hickory might have given while

7,00

5/2852-107

Charles I, Kelrey Vas R, Gamb Abstract authorities, for appellant

Filed Ofpril 20,185 9 Le Geland belerk

SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS.

Third Division-April Term, 1859.

CHARLES L. KELSEY, Appellant,

vs.

JAMES H. LAMB, Appellee

Appeal from Bureau.

POINTS FOR APPELLEE.

The record shows that the parties appeared and submitted the case There were issues. The pleas that remained unanswered were not suficient to stand alone. They present no defence whatever.

But had they been substantial and meritorious, it was appellant's duty to move in arrest of judgment. He was in court and had an opportunity of doing so. Had he done so, the court might have corrected the error. Not having done so, he has waived his right to do so here.

Selby vs. Hutchinson, 4 Gim., 330. Mager vs. Hutchinson, 2 Jilm., 270.

W. H. L. WALLACE, for Appellee.

Relsey VL Lamb Points for appeller