No. 13092

Supreme Court of Illinois

People

VS.

Petrie

71641



No. 25.

PROPLE'S CAUSES.



The People of El. C. M. Craig Joseph Petrice Difts. return Fils May 29, 1860 L. Veland Clk.

State of Ollmini Supreme Court 3 & Grand Scisson The People Ex Mel Christopher W braig Joseph Petric To Joseph Petric the about nanuel definelant you are hereby notified that I shall?) myselfor myattones) appear before said court at the Supreme Cut vous mi the city of Ollewa in the Count of Sewall's State of oresaid on the 22 day of may ust or as soon thereofter as connect can be heard an then and these more paid court for a mandamis to assurant of and melerth seal of said court to be dred To the Shiriff of M Muy Count in Saice State The Revold on said definement to compell him said Petric to certify to the Votes cust at the last Town Trueting in the Town of som ni seul Willing Court Town oute & day of opril ad the for le Meraig as fustion option Pleece of said Foron - toch & Clerk of said hermy Oo, as prayed for in a Pet, two therefor mowen file in Paid court in Rail Cause - according to the form of the Statuley in luch case made an browled Christofher Hevery) No detoch may 11 thes

When levent } Cilman & Browniege being day sworen dort depose aw say that he olded on the 19th day of may Isles service the route notice upon the within named Joseph Petre & delivery to how a true copy thise of tallo reading the same toline Jules criber L Leonen to before we the 15 day Gilman & Burnidge. of was aprilled me Buniffonty freit of 23 1

State of Illinois---- Supreme Court:

THIRD DIVISION—APRIL TERM, 1860

THE PEOPLE, EX. REL., C. W. CRAIG, vs.

JOSEPH PETRIE.

Alternative Writ of Mandamus.

And the said Defendant, by Joslyn & Hanchett, his Attorneys, comes and defends the wrong etc., and says that he ought not to be compelled to answer or make return of said writ, because he says that the said writ and the matters and things therein contained in manner and form as the same are therein stated and set forth, are not sufficient in law for the said relator to have or maintain the said writ against the said defendant; and he, the said defendant, is not bound by law to answer nor make return of the same. And this he is ready to verify; wherefore, by reason of the insufficiency of the said writ, the said defendant prays judgment, and that the said writ may be quashed. And the said defendant states and shows to the Court here, the following causes of demurrer to the said writ:

I. The said writ does not show that the relator was at the time when, etc., eligible to the office mentioned in the writ, and which the relator claims in said writ, was at the time when, etc.,

vacant.—Rev. Stat.—page 329:—art. 6:—sec. 1.

II. Said writ does not aver that the defendant had failed to return the name of the relator to the County Clerk, as required by law.—Rev. Stat.—page 333:—art. 10:—sec. 4.

III. There is no law requiring Town Clerks in Counties adopting township organization, to certify votes for Justices of the

Peace to the County Clerk.

IV. Said writ does not aver that the defendant did not return the votes for the relator to the County Clerk, nor that the same had not been returned in due season; said writ only avering that the defendant refused to return said votes when applied to by the relator, which the defendant might very properly have done, for the reason that the said votes had already been returned when so applied to by the relator.

JOSLYN & HANCHETT,

The people of Rel

Attorneys for Defendant.

C. W. CRAIG, vs JOSEPH PETRIE.

And the said Defendant, by Joslyn & Hanchett, his attorneys, comes and defends the wrong, etc., and for answer and return to said writ, or unto such parts thereof as it is necessary for him to answer unto, says that the said office was not vacant at the time when, etc., in said writ mentioned, nor had the said Walkup, at the time when, etc., removed from the said Township, and this he prays may be enquired of by the Court.

JOSLYN & HANCHETT, Attorneys for Defendant.

The Pulle ce, 4. rd. ce Joseph Petrice Defts. return

Fils May 29, 1860 L. Veland CR.

6

OF STATE State of Illinois, Supreme Court within & for the Third Grand Division SS.
of sand State. The People of the State of Ellin Greeting:
Whereas it appears to the furthers of our said Supreme Court from the Complains of Christopher W. braig of the county of Me Henry & State of Illinois, that hentofu to wit on the fifteenthe day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand Eight hundred and sixty there was a vacancy in the office of one of the Justices of the Peace within and for the town of Dorr within and for said County of Me Henry Christopher Walkup the former in cumbent of said office having removed out of and from said town of Dorr; that Joseph Petrie of said Town was at the said time acting town Clerk town, and as such the Statute in such Case made and provided did on the twentieth day of March in the year of our Lord one Thousand Eight hundred and Sixty Call a town meeting within and for said town for the transaction of lawful

business which might legally loving before said Meeting - which said nother for said town meeting or call there for was duly given according to law and is in the words and figures following to wit; Annual To "Notice is hereby given to Lands of the town of Dorr in the County of Me Henry and State of Illinois that the annual Town Meeting for said town will be held at the Court House in Woodstock on the third day of April A.D. 1800 for the transaction of all such business as may in pursuance of law come before such meeting (signed) forefathe Petric Town Clerke Dated the 20 Moday of March AD. 1860. And whereas it further appears to the justices of our said Supreme Court that in purauana of said notice the legal voters of said town of Don AD. 1860 at the Con How day their vote I'd relect bus A.W. Smith as moderator of Sand lown Meeting and that Thempoor Said town Meeting

was organized in purename of the Statute in such cases made and provided; and that the said Christopher Ne braig being is to resident of said to and was a candidate for the of feration of the Peace to file said Vacancy so Existing in Said town of Dorr as afousaid and received votes for said Office. And whereas it further appears to The fustice of our said Supreme Court: that upon the Counting of said votes The said Christopher W, Lerting had a clear majority of twelve (12) votes If all of the votes Cast at said Election or town meeting for said office of fustin of the Peace, which said fact the said foreph Fetrie admits but defiantly proclaims that he will not certify the said votes as afouraid to the said county although he has often bein requested by the said Christopher W. Trung And whereas it further appears to the firstens of our said Supreme Court Thus the said thistopher W.

Traing did within twenty days from said this day of April AD, 1860 Enter ento his official bond as such further of the Peace, before the County Clerk of said county of Me Henry, which said bond was by said Clarke duly approved and acceptain And whereas it juitar to the furthers of our said Suprime Court that although twenty days has blapsed since said blection yet he The said Joseph Petric wrong fully refuses & still doth refuse to lertify to the County Clerts of saw M'Henry County the said votes so cast as agousaid at said town meeting and to do and perform such other as & law he ought to do. We then fore being willing That due and speedy justice be down to the said Christofter W. brain in this behalf Command you the said fourth Petrice the without delay you do for Certify said votes Said Thistopher We train for the office of Justice of the Reach as hen who for set forthe to the cointy Clerk of

said Me Henry County december, to the Statute in such conser made and provided; or that you a pleas before The fustices of our now in seasion in State on the second day of next and show Cause why you the said Joseph Petric Should not certify the said votes so east for the Said Christopher W. Craig for the office of fratier of the Reace as herein before set forthe to the bleck of said M' Henry County Counts Witness the Howardble John D. Catou Chief Justice of Our said Supreme Court and The seal thereof at Ottown this 22 med Jay of May A.D. 1860

Le Leland bluk I fi De Rie Deputy

The Reofile Ex rel. Thuis Joseph Petrie alternative wit of Mandanus

State of Illinois---- Supreme Court:

THIRD DIVISION—APRIL TERM, 1860.

THE PEOPLE, EX. REL., C. W. CRAIG, vs.

JOSEPH PETRIE.

Alternative Writ of Mandamus.

And the said Defendant, by Joslyn & Hanchett, his Attorneys, comes and defends the wrong etc., and says that he ought not to be compelled to answer or make return of said writ, because he says that the said writ and the matters and things therein contained in manner and form as the same are therein stated and set forth, are not sufficient in law for the said relator to have or maintain the said writ against the said defendant; and he, the said defendant, is not bound by law to answer nor make return of the same. And this he is ready to verify; wherefore, by reason of the insufficiency of the said writ, the said defendant prays judgment, and that the said writ may be quashed. And the said defendant states and shows to the Court here, the following causes of demurrer to the said writ:

I. The said writ does not show that the relator was at the time when, etc., eligible to the office mentioned in the writ, and which the relator claims in said writ, was at the time when, etc.,

vacant.—Rev. Stat.—page 329:—art. 6:—sec. 1.

II. Said writ does not aver that the defendant had failed to return the name of the relator to the County Clerk, as required by law.—Rev. Stat.—page 333:—art. 10:—sec. 4.

III. There is no law requiring Town Clerks in Counties adopting township organization, to certify votes for Justices of the

Peace to the County Clerk.

IV. Said writ does not aver that the defendant did not return the votes for the relator to the County Clerk, nor that the same had not been returned in due season; said writ only avering that the defendant refused to return said votes when applied to by the relator, which the defendant might very properly have done, for the reason that the said votes had already been returned when so applied to by the relator.

JOSLYN & HANCHETT,

The Despuesale ____ Attorneys for Defendant.

C. W. CRAIG, vs JOSEPH PETRIE.

And the said Defendant, by Joslyn & Hanchett, his attorneys, comes and defends the wrong, etc., and for answer and return to said writ, or unto such parts thereof as it is necessary for him to answer unto, says that the said office was not vacant at the time when, etc., in said writ mentioned, nor had the said Walkup, at the time when, etc., removed from the said Township, and this he prays may be enquired of by the Court.

JOSLYN & HANCHETT, Attorneys for Defendant. Joseph Retrice
25 ats

The Revplo Ex Rel

Company of the property of the prope

Filo May 29.1860 L. Veland Clk.