

12616

No. _____

Supreme Court of Illinois

Kellogg, et al.

vs.

Pillsbury.

71641  7

William Kellogg }
 William S. Hays } pps
 Richard Hays }
 Henry P. Lynde } Curo

In the Supreme Court

Shamua P. Pillsbury
 Aft in Error

And the said pps
 in error came and say
 that there is manifest error in
 the record of the proceedings and judg-
 ment in this cause to the injury of
 pps and for a judgment of
 errors when the record show
 to the Court here the following

1. The Court erred in permitting
 the Clerk to assess the damages
2. The Court erred in rendering judg-
 ment without first ascertaining of the
 amount filed by the defendants, before
3. The Judgment was against
 law
4. The Judgment should have been for
 the defendants
5. The Judgment was against
 the right of conscience

For which and
 other errors appearing in the record the
 plaintiffs pray the Court to set aside
 reverse said judgment and of Harding
 from me, & the Court pps

And now comes the said defendant
by Weed & Williamson their Attorney
comes & says that there is no such
error in the record & proceedings
aforesaid, nor in the judgment
aforesaid wherefore he prays that
said may be affirmed

Weed & Williamson

Plas before the Honorable John S Thompson
Judge of the tenth Judicial Circuit of the
State of Illinois, At a circuit Court begun
and held at the Court house in Mazonuth
within and for the County of Warren and
State of Illinois on the thirce Monday in the
Month of March In the year of our Lord
one thousand Eight hundred & fifty seven
It being the sixteenth day of said month

Present Hon John S Thompson. Judge

James H Stewart State Attorney

William Laferty Clerk

Charles M Mills Sheriff

Chamur P Pillsbury

vs

Assumpsit

William Kellogg

William S. Moss

Charles S Clark

Richard Gregg

Henry Lightner &

James Knox

A. P. Pillsbury
vs
Kellogg Moss & Co

The following is a copy of the
Declaration filed March 6th 1857 in the above
entitled Cause

State of Illinois } March term A.D. 1857 of
Warrin County } of the Warrin County Circuit Court
Ithamer P Pillsbury Plaintiff by J. C.
Madden his attorney complains of William Kellogg,
William S Moss, Charles S Clark, Richard Gregg,
Harvey Lightner and James Knox. Defendants
in Custody &c of a Plea of trespass in the case on
promises. For that whereas one S A Brown & son
heretofore to wit. on the twenty fourth day of June
one thousand eight hundred and fifty six at
Monmouth in the County aforesaid made their certain
promissory note in writing bearing date a certain day
and year therein mentioned to wit the day and year
aforesaid and thereby then and there promised to
pay at the Banking House of Mackay & Dumbley in
Monmouth, on the first day of January next after
date, meaning the first day of January 1857 to the
order of said Defendants by the name and style of
Kellogg Moss & Co, the sum of three hundred dollars
with Interest at the rate of ten per cent. For Value
Received, and then and there delivered the said
promissory note to the said Defendants, and the

said Defendants to whom or to whose order the payment of the said sum of money in the said promissory note specified was to be made after the making of the said promissory note before the payment of the said sum of money therein specified to Wit. in the day and year aforesaid at the place of insaid indorsed the said promissory note by which said indorsement they the said Defendants by the name and style of Kellogg Mess & Co then and there and appointed the said sum of money in the said promissory note specified to be paid to the said Plaintiff and then and there delivered the said promissory note so indorsed as aforesaid to said Plaintiff and the said Plaintiff avers that afterwards when the said promissory note became due and payable according to the tenor and effect thereof to Wit. on the first day of January A D 1857 at the said Banking House of Mather & Dumbley to Wit. at Portsmouth in the County aforesaid the said promissory note was duly presented and shown for payment thereof and payment of the said sum of money therein specified was then and there duly required according to the tenor and effect of the said promissory note but that neither the said Kellogg Mess & Co nor the said S A Brown and son nor any person or persons on behalf of the said S A Brown & son did or would at the said time when the said promissory note was presented and shown for payment thereof as aforesaid or at any time before or afterwards pay the said

sum of money therein specified or any part thereof but wholly neglected and refused so to do of all of which said several promises the said defendants afterwards to Wit. on the day and year last aforesaid had notice. And thereupon afterwards to Wit. on the third day of January A.D. 1857 at the place aforesaid the said promissory note was duly protested for non-payment thereof according to the statute in such case made and provided of all of which said several promises the said defendant afterwards and within two days then next following to Wit. on the day and year last aforesaid at the place aforesaid had notice. And the said Plaintiff avers that at the time when said note became due and payable to Wit. on the 1st day of January A.D. 1857 to Wit. at the place aforesaid. The said S. A. Brown & son were utterly insolvent so that the institution of a suit against them for the recovery of the amount of money in said promissory note specified would have entirely unavailing. And that the said S. A. Brown & son are still utterly insolvent and a suit at this time & since said note became due and payable would have been utterly unavailing, by means whereof and by force of the statute in such case made & Provided the said defendants then and there became liable to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of money in the said promissory note specified when they the said defendants should be therunto afterwards requested. And being so liable they the said defendants in consideration thereof afterwards to Wit. on the day and year last aforesaid

and at the place aforesaid undertook and then and there faithfully promised the said Plaintiff to pay him the said sum of Money in the said promissory note specified when they the said Defendants should be thereunto afterwards requested. And whereas also the said Defendants afterwards to wit on the first day of February in the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and fifty seven at the County aforesaid were indebted to the said Plaintiff in the sum of five hundred dollars lawful money of the United State of America for so much money before that time lent and advanced by the said Plaintiff to the said Defendants and at the special instance and request of the said Defendants. And for other money by the said Plaintiff before that time paid, laid out and expended to and for the said Defendants and at the like request of the said Defendants. And for other money by the said Defendants before that time had and received to and for the use of the said Plaintiff. And being so indebted the said Defendants in consideration thereof afterwards to wit on the same day and year last aforesaid, and at the place aforesaid undertook, and then and there faithfully promised the said Plaintiff well and truly to pay the said Plaintiff the said sums of money in this Court mentioned when the said Defendants should be thereunto afterwards requested. And whereas also the said Defendants afterwards to wit on the same day and year last aforesaid, and at the place aforesaid accounted together with the said Plaintiff of and concerning divers other sums of money before that time due

and owing from the said Defendants to the said Plaintiff and then and then being in arrear and indebted to the said Plaintiff in the further sum of five hundred dollars lawful money as aforesaid. And being so found in arrear and indebted to the said Plaintiff they the said Defendants in consideration thereof afterwards to wit on the same day and year last aforesaid and at the place aforesaid undertook and then and then faithfully promised the said Plaintiff well and truly to pay unto the said Plaintiff the said sum of Money last mentioned when the said Defendants should be thereunto afterwards requested. Nevertheless the said Defendants although often requested &c to wit at the time when the said note became due and payable according to the tenor and effect thereof and often times since to wit at the place aforesaid have not yet paid the said several sums of money above mentioned or either of them or any part of them or any part thereof to the said Plaintiff but to pay the same or any part thereof to the said Plaintiff the said Defendants have hitherto altogether neglected and refused and still do neglect and refuse to the damage of said Plaintiff of five hundred dollars and therefore the said Plaintiff brings suit &c

J. G. Madden Atty
for Plaintiff

Copy of Note sued on
\$300. Monmouth June 24th 1856

On the first day of Jan next after date we promise
to pay to the order of Mess Kellogg Mess & Co three
Hundred Dollars with interest at the rate of ten per
cent at the Banking House of Mackay & Quincy in
Monmouth Value received

S A Brown & son

Copy of endorsement
For value received we assign the within
note to Ithamer P. Pillsbury
Kellogg Mess & Co

Copy of Acct sued on

Money had and received	\$5.00.
Money paid out &c	\$5.00
Money lent & advanced	\$5.00.
Money on account stated	5.00.

The following is a true copy of Demurrer filed
March 16th 1857 to Plaintiffs Declaration in the foregoing
case

State of Illinois Hann Circuit Court
Hann County } March term AD 1857

Stamers Pillsbury

vs

William Kellogg
William S. Cobb
Richard Gugg
James Knox
Charles S. Clark }

And the said Defendants save
said Clark & Knox come and defend the wrong and
injury when &c and say that they ought not to be held
to answer unto the Plaintiff in this case because they
say that the said Declaration and each of said Counts
are not nor are either of them sufficient in law
for them to answer unto and of this they put
themselves upon the Judgment of the Court. And
pray Judgment &c

George H. Hallam

Defts Attorney

And afterwards to wit on the 20th day of March AD
1857 the following record was made in the foregoing case

98 *Stamner P Pillsbury* *Assumpsit*
 vs
William Kellogg, William S Moss
Charles S Clark Richard Gregg
Harvey A Lightner & James Knox

This day came the Plaintiff
by his Counsel, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the
Court that William Kellogg, William S Moss, Richard
Gregg and Harvey A Lightner had been duly served with
process and being three times solemnly called came not
nor any person for them to defend this suit, but
made default herein. Therefore it is considered by the
Court, that the said Plaintiff have and recover of and
from the aforesaid Defendants the amount of his damages
herein and as those damages are unknown to the Court
it was ordered by the Court, that the Clerk assess the
same, and the Clerk having assessed and reported
the damages at the sum of Three hundred and twenty
two Dollars and twenty cents damages, Therefore it is
considered by the Court that the said Plaintiff have
and recover of and from the said Defendants aforesaid
the sum of Three hundred and twenty two Dollars
and twenty cents damages as aforesaid, Together with
his costs by him in this suit expended and may
have execution therefor, And it is further

ordered by the Court that a Scire facias issue herein directed to the Sheriff of Peoria County against Charles S. Clark returnable to the next term of this Court to show cause if any he can why he should not be made a party to this judgment. And it is further ordered by the Court, that this cause as to said Charles S. Clark, be continued until the next term of this Court.

The following is the full amount of Costs in the foregoing case as appears of Record

Stamers P Pillsbury } Name Circuit Court
vs } March term AD 1857
Kellogg Moss & Co }

Clerks fees W Safety	6.30
Copying papers to S Court	2.00

Sheriffs fees C Mc Millen Name Co	70
" " J. W Smith Peoria "	3.50
" " C. M. Euter Knox "	1.60

Notary Public fees	
J C McAllen protesting note &c	1.75

Witnesses fees	
Erastus Pised	2.00
" " C. H. Ardin	4.00
	31.75

State of Illinois }
Warren County } I William Safety Clerk of the
Circuit Court for said County do hereby certify
that the foregoing is a full, true, and complete
Copy of all the proceedings in the foregoing Case
as appears from the files and Records now in
my office

In testimony whereof I have hereunto
set my hand and affixed the seal of the
said Court at my office in Monmouth
this 18th day of April A.D. 1857
Wm Safety Clerk



133.
Pillsbury

" 50

Kellogg et al.

Record

Filed April 21, 1857
S. Leland
Clerk



Know all Men by These Presents, That *William Kellogg,*
William S. Moss, Richard Gregg & Harvey A Sightrier
as principal, and *Charles A Ballance*
as security, are held and firmly bound unto *Pharmer P. Pillsbury* —

penal sum of *six hundred dollars* in the
and lawful money of the United States, for the payment of which, well and truly to be made, the said

William Kellogg, William S. Moss, Richard Gregg, Harvey A
Sightrier & Charles Ballance
bind themselves, their heirs, executors, and administrators, jointly, severally, and firmly by
these Presents.

Witness, *our hands & Seals* —

this *23^d* — day of *April* A. D. 185*7*

The Condition of the abobe Obligation is such, That, whereas, the above named
Pharmer P. Pillsbury
did, at the *March* Term of the *Circuit* Court,
held in and for the County of *Warren* in the State of Illinois, A. D. 185*7* recover a
judgment against the above bounden *William Kellogg, William S. Moss,*
Richard Gregg & Harvey A Sightrier —

Twenty cents damages & costs of Court for the sum of *Three hundred & twenty two dollars &*
William S. Moss, Richard Gregg & Harvey A Sightrier to reverse which said judgment, the said *William Kellogg*
have sued out a Writ of
Error from the Supreme Court, within and for the Third Grand Division of said State. Now if the said
William Kellogg, William S. Moss, Richard Gregg & Harvey A Sightrier
shall duly prosecute said Writ of Error, and pay, or cause to be paid, all judgments, costs, interest and
damages which the said Supreme Court shall adjudge against *the said William Kellogg, William*
S. Moss, Richard Gregg & Harvey A Sightrier and abide the order and judgment of said
Supreme Court in this behalf, then this obligation is to be void, otherwise to remain in full force and effect.

Wm Kellogg [SEAL.]

Wm S. Moss [SEAL.]

Rich Gregg [SEAL.]

Harvey Sightrier [SEAL.]

C A Ballance [SEAL.]

Killogg et al

vs
Pillsbury

Supds Bond

Filed May 6, 1857
L. Leland
Clerk

judges

The condition of the above obligation is such, that whereas the above named

this day of April A. D. 1857

in the State of Illinois v. D. 1857 recover a
Court

Guaranty Court in this behalf then this obligation is to be void, otherwise to remain in full force and effect.
damages which the said Guaranty Court shall adjudge against
shall duly prosecute and With of them, and pay, or cause to be paid, all judgments, costs, interest and
from the Guaranty Court, within and for the Third Circuit Division of said State. Now if the said
to receive which said judgment, the said
for the sum of
and one a With of

[Seal]
[Seal]
[Seal]
[Seal]
[Seal]

100 50.

William Kellogg

or

Arthur P. Pillsbury

July 322 72

1858

50

.858

12616

W. Kellogg