13538

## Supreme Court of Illinois

People

VS.

Wilson.

71641



Na. 180

# PROPLE'S CAUSES.

and the state of t

Pruple

# IN THE SUPREME COURT,

APRIL TERM, A. D. 1861.

FRANCIS M. WILSON,

Plaintiff in Error, Writ of Error to Iroquois. THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

Defendants in Error.

This was an action of Bastardy, tried at the November term, A. D. 1860, of the Iroquois Circuit Court.

November 5th, 1860. Affidavit filed in the Circuit Court.

Rec. p. 2 State of Illinois,  $\left. \begin{array}{c} \text{Rec. p. 2 State of Illinois,} \\ \text{Iroquois County.} \end{array} \right\}$  ss.

The complaint of Lucy Francis, of said County, an unmarried woman, made before William F. Keady, Esq., one of the Justices of the Peace in and for said County, under oath, who says, that on the 19th day of last July, A. D. 1860, at the residence of Curtis Orms, in Ash Grove, in the County aforesaid, she was delivered of a female bastard child, and that Francis Wilson, of Ash Grove, in said County, is the father of the said child.

- Justice's warrant, in usual form.
- Appeal bond from the Justice, in the usual form.
- Justice's transcript, certified to the Circuit Court, showing that the defendant was bound over to answer the charge.
- James Fletcher appointed State's Attorney to prosecute this case, 8 November 22d, 1860.

Issue made of whether Francis Wilson is the father of a female bastard child of which Lucy Francis was delivered, on 19th day of July, 1860.

Defendant pleads not guilty.

Trial by jury. Verdict, that they find the defendant guilty.

- 11 Motion for a new trial.
- Motion for new trial overruled, November 30th, 1860. Defendant excepted.
- Judgment of the Court, that Francis Wilson do pay the sum of fifty dollars yearly, for seven years, for the support and maintenance of the said bastard child of the said Lucy Francis, together with costs.

Order to defendant to file bond in the sum of \$800, with County Judge, with James Wilson as security, conditioned for payment of judgment. Thirty days allowed to file bill of exceptions.

December 1st, 1860. Bond filed and approved; defendant discharged. December 27th, 1860, bill of exceptions filed.

### BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.

Shows that Lucy Francis was sworn, and testified that she was an unmarried woman, and gave birth to a female child 19th July, 1860. That Francis Wilson was the father of it. Had been in Ash Grove about four years. Stopped first at home, at Curtis Orms'; then went to Wilson's about a year; then to Mr. Willoughby's, and resided two weeks; then went a second time to Mr. Wilson's, and resided about two years; then, May 1st, 1859, went to Mr. Harvey's, and resided five months; then went direct to Mr. Wilson's again. Did house work at Harvey's; his wife was not living. Last fall first had intercourse with a man. It was with Francis Wilson. He is sixteen years old. He told me he would marry me. I didn't let him have intercourse the first time he asked me. He asked me half a dozen times, probably.

Details six times that she was solicited before intercourse occurred in the dining room. I stated to no person but my mother, for four days after the child was born, whose child it was. I did not within six days, nor any other time. I signed a paper within six days after, saying that it was not Francis Wilson's child. I did state to John B. Clark that the child was not Francis Wilson's; it was within four days I stated that.

15

16

17

22 I never told Edward Wilson, under the promise of privacy, that the child was Wesley Harvey's; I never told him any such thing. I never told him that just before I left Mr. Harvey's, he came and got into bed with me. The plaintiff then proposed to re-examine the witness as to whether 23 intercourse took place subsequent to the time about which she had been examined. Defendant objected. Objection overruled, and defendant excepted. Witness then stated that defendant had had intercourse some half a dozen times, and that Francis had threatened to penitentiary her in case she did not clear him of the charge. Francis didn't state that there was a rumor around that he was the father of the child, and that if 24 I swore to a lie it would penitentiary me. Francis said that there was a report that he was the father of the child. And he also said if I swore false it would penitentiary me. 25 Details subsequent interviews, and all in the dining room. Was sure that Mrs. Wilson did not occupy the lounge there. Nancy Orms sworn, and testified that the child was born 19th July, 26 1860. She was at Harvey's from the first of May, 1859, till the 2d of October after; then went to Wilson's, and staid till July 15th, 1860. Melissa Francis testified to Francis telling Lucy that she was a nice 27 girl, and threatened to penitentiary her if she did not clear him. Did not hear distinctly all that was said. Harvey came two days after the child was born, and wanted to know if Lucy swore the child on to him. He came again in about a week and a half. John B. Clark sworn on the part of the defendant. I went to Mr. 29 Orms' house some time in July last. Edward Wilson went with me. I had an affidavit written out, exonerating Francis Wilson from being the father of the child. She said she was willing to sign the affidavit and be qualified to it. She signed it and I qualified her to it: STATE OF ILLINOIS, ss. Before me, John B. Clark, a Justice of the Peace, personally came Lucy Francis, who being by me duly sworn, deposeth and saith, that the child of which she was lately delivered does not belong to Francis M. Wilson. Signed and sworn to July 24th, 1860. 30 She seemed calm and quiet. She had some conversation with Mr. Edward Wilson. He told her that he was friendly to her, and if his brother was guilty he did not wish her to exculpate him. She signed the affidavit voluntarily, and I swore her to it.

John H. Stidham testified that he visited Lucy Francis, and that he cautioned her as to what she might talk about the matter, and advised her to send for the father of the child, and settle with him privately. She then told me it was not Frank's child, and that she never said it was. Edward Wilson said, since you have cleared Frank, would you have any objection if we brought Squire Clark here and have him take your affidavit to that effect. She said "No." She understood herself at the time, and had the possession of her faculties, if I am any judge.

31

38

Edward Wilson and I went out and left Francis in with Lucy awhile, and I think this conversation occurred after we went in.

Edward Wilson testified to about the same as Esq. Stidham, and then goes on to say, that after Stidham had left, he had a long conversation with Lucy, and she told him that the child was Wesley Harvey's. Mrs. Orm told Lucy the next day that she didn't want any more of her lying. I told her not to sign the affidavit unless it was true. My mother occupied the dining room from the sixth or eighth of October till about the 20th of that month.

Wesley Harvey swore that he never had had sexual intercourse with Lucy Francis.

Bill of exceptions contains all the evidence.

The Court gave the following instruction for the People:

That if the jury believe from the evidence that the People have proven the child of Lucy Francis to be the child of Francis Wilson, and that the defendant, Francis, has failed to show by evidence that such is not the fact, then the law is for the plaintiff, the People.

To the giving of which instruction the defendant excepted.

The Court refused to give the following instruction, to which the defendant excepted:

The jury are further instructed, that the defendant is not bound to prove that Wesley Harvey, or anybody else, is the father of the child, and if the jury believe from the evidence that Francis Wilson had sexual intercourse with Lucy Francis, and also that any other person had sexual intercourse with her, within the period of the duration of pregnancy immediately prior to her delivery, then they must be satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the evidence for the prosecution excludes the probability of that other person being the real father of the child, else the law is for the defendant.

Affidavit of defendant, showing that he can prove by his mother, who occupied the dining room at the time the girl swore intercourse took place, she being then sick there, that it could not possibly have been done. And that he could not foresee that the girl would have sworn so as to render this testimony necessary.

Affidavit of juror, Daniel Lawrence, that the Court sent two or three messages to them in relation to their duty, the last one of which was, "That a verdict of the jury to disagree would not be accepted by the Court," and then added, "that the jury would have to be all agreed before they could give in a verdict and be discharged;" which induced affiant to agree to a verdict against his conscience, and supposing he was complying with a legal requirement of the Court, else he would not have done so.

47 Affidavit of Westbrook does not controvert affidavit of Lawrence.

Defendant excepts to overruling motion for a new trial.

### ERRORS ASSIGNED.

The Court erred in allowing the prosecuting witness to examine to new matter.

The Court erred in making the giving of the bond part of the judgment of the Court.

The verdict is against the evidence.

The verdict and judgment are contrary to law.

The jury were unlawfully tampered with by messages from the Court.

The Court erred in overruling motion for a new trial on affidavit of new discovered evidence.

The Court erred in granting the 4th instruction for the People.

The Court erred in refusing the 4th instruction for defendant.

The Court erred in overruling motion for a new trial, and rendering judgment against the defendant.

Francis M. Wilson
Pltf. in Error
Us
The Perfee
Abstracts

Filed Upv. 25-1841 L. Lelund Clruk

### IN THE SUPREME COURT.

FRANCIS M. WILSON,

Plaintiff in Error,

ads.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, Defendant in Errors.

#### POINTS OF PLAINTIFF IN ERROR.

1st. The court erred in allowing The People, in re-examination, to permit the prosecuting witness to detail new instances of intercourse with the defendant.

"On the primary examination of the witness, or, as it is generally called, his examination in chief, you are bound at your peril to ask all material questions in the first instance; and if you omit this, it cannot be done in reply. No new question can be put in reply unconnected with the subject of the cross-examination, and which does not tend to explain it.

This rule is adhered to with the greatest strictness in criminal cases.

Rex vs. Beezley, 4 Car. & Payne, 218. 3 90 Rex vs. Stimpson, 2 Car. & Payne, 415. 1 Peters' Cir. Court Rep., 85, 89. 3 Washington Cir. Court Rep., 85, S. C.

2nd. The court erred in making the giving of the bond part of the judgment of the court.

The Bastardy Statute, Sec. 5, provides that the court, if the defender and be found guilty, may give judgment against him for not exceeding fifty dollars a year, and for the costs. The bond is a separate matter.

3rd. The verdict is against the evidence. The prosecutrix not only contradicted herself from beginning to end, but has sworn to two affidavits diametaicaally opposed to each other.

4th. The jury were unlawfully tampered with by messages from the court.

Affidavits of a juror are allowable where they show a misdirection by the court. It was so understood in *Sargent vs.*——, 5 Cowen, 122, and *ex parte* Caykendall, 6 Cowen, 53.

The affidavit of the juror Lawrence, shows that they were misled by a message from the court sent to them, which is vastly more reprehensible than a misdirection in court could be.

In Guykowski vs. The People, 1 Scam., 476, the affidavit of the juror was admitted to prove himself an alien. It could never be known in a majority of instances that a jury were tampered with, if they were not allowed to state the fact.

But aside from the affidavit of the juror, the affidavit of the officer Westbrook, shows clearly that the thing was done, and it is morally certain that the jury never would have convicted the defendant had they not been wrongly instructed out of court in violation of law.

If the Judge, who sits on a trial, can send word to the jury what they must do, then no man is safe.

Crabtree vs. Hagerbough, 23 Ill., 349.

Fisher vs. The People, 23 Ill., 295.

Surgert vs. Robert /3 mal 218

Surgert vs. Robert / P. M. 1827

5th. The affidavit of the defendant for a new trial brings him within the law, and a new trial should have been granted.

Mulford vs. Shepard, 1 Scammon, 583. Schlencker vs. Risley, 3 Scam., 486. Crozier vs. Cooper, 14 Ill., 141.

6th. The fourth instruction for The People is bad, because it requires the defendant to prove a negative absolutely, whereas he is only required to raise a reasonable doubt of his guilt by the evidence.

Pute w The People 3 Film. 662

7th. The fourth instruction for the defendant should have been given. If it be probable, upon the evidence, that some other person was the real father of the child, the defendant ought not to have been convicted. And that that fact was not probable the jury ought to have been satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt, and hence the instruction was wrongly refused.

Sth. The facts made out in this case, and the exceeding suspicious character of the testimony of the prosecutrix falling little short of downright perjury, and the fact that the time and place were pointed out where these interviews took place, which can be shown to have been false on another trial, all serve to bring us within the principle of the case of *The People vs. Lincoln*, 20 Ill., 365, and ought to induce the court to hesitate before pronouncing this youth of sixteen guilty of a charge which is the most easy to prove and the most difficult to disprove of any in the law.

CHARLES H. WOOD, for Plaintiff in Error.

Francis M. Wilson
us
The People Porits of Petyl. in Error Filed apr. 16 th 1861

Leland

Clenk

Jameson & Morse, Printers, 14 La Salle St., Chicago.

### IN THE SUPREME COURT.

FRANCIS M. WILSON,

Plaintiff in Error,

ads.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, Defendant in Errors.

#### POINTS OF PLAINTIFF IN ERROR.

1st. The court erred in allowing The People, in re-examination, to permit the prosecuting witness to detail new instances of intercourse with the defendant.

"On the primary examination of the witness, or, as it is generally called, his examination in chief, you are bound at your peril to ask all material questions in the first instance; and if you omit this, it cannot be done in reply. No new question can be put in reply unconnected with the subject of the cross-examination, and which does not tend to explain it.

Second Ed. Philips' Evidence, page 711.

Note 500, Cowen & Hills Notes. 4 5 8 . 22 1 1 1 Starkie's Evidence, 150.

Page 878 Note 570

This rule is adhered to with the greatest strictness in criminal cases.

Rex vs. Beezley, 4 Car. & Payne, 218. 5 9 0 Rex vs. Stimpson, 2 Car. & Payne, 415. 1 Peters' Cir. Court Rep., 85, 89. 3 Washington Cir. Court Rep., 85, S. C.

2nd. The court erred in making the giving of the bond part of the judgment of the court.

The Bastardy Statute, Sec. 5, provides that the court, if the defendant be found guilty, may give judgment against him for not exceeding fifty dollars a year, and for the costs. The bond is a separate matter.

The verdict is against the evidence. The prosecutrix not only contradicted herself from beginning to end, but has sworn to two affidavits diametaicaally opposed to each other. The jury were unlawfully tampered with by messages from the court. Affidavits of a juror are allowable where they show a misdirection by the court. It was so understood in Sargent vs. —, 5 Cowen, 122, and ex parte Caykendall, 6 Cowen, 53. The affidavit of the juror Lawrence, shows that they were misled by a message from the court sent to them, which is vastly more reprehensible than a misdirection in court could be. In Guykowski vs. The People, 1 Scam., 476, the affidavit of the juror was admitted to prove himself an alien. It could never be known in a majority of instances that a jury were tampered with, if they were not allowed to state the fact. But aside from the affidavit of the juror, the affidavit of the officer Westbrook, shows clearly that the thing was done, and it is morally certain that the jury never would have convicted the defendant had they not been wrongly instructed out of court in violation of law. If the Judge, who sits on a trial, can send word to the jury what they must do, then no man is safe. Crabtree vs. Hagerbough, 23 Ill., 349. History vs. The People, 23 Ill., 295.

Sargent is Roberts 13 map 218

5th. The affidavit of the defendant for a new trial brings him within the law, and a new trial should have been granted. Mulford vs. Shepard, 1 Scammon, 583. Schlencker vs. Risley, 3 Scam., 486. Crozier vs. Cooper, 14 Ill., 141. The fourth instruction for The People is bad, because it requires the defendant to prove a negative absolutely, whereas he is only required to raise a reasonable doubt of his guilt by the evidence. 7th. The fourth instruction for the defendant should have been given. If it be probable, upon the evidence, that some other person was the real father of the child, the defendant ought not to have been convicted. And that that fact was not probable the jury ought to have been satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt, and hence the instruction was wrongly refused.

Sth. The facts made out in this case, and the exceeding suspicious character of the testimony of the prosecutrix falling little short of downright perjury, and the fact that the time and place were pointed out where these interviews took place, which can be shown to have been false on another trial, all serve to bring us within the principle of the case of *The People vs. Lincoln*, 20 Ill., 365, and ought to induce the court to hesitate before pronouncing this youth of sixteen guilty of a charge which is the most easy to prove and the most difficult to disprove of any in the law.

CHARLES H. WOOD, for Plaintiff in Error.

Francis M. Wilson The Perfer Points of Petff. in Error

Filed offeril 16.1861
Willand
Olik

Supreme Court of the State of Illiums April Term A.D. 1461 The Perfle & e Point and authorities submitted by Defor in Euro In wear not ever to allow the re examination of the retriefs as to the new matter but a court of assertion with the court of discounts 5. aus-le mondres- 8 lean, 69 This is not a criminal case, for if it is, the defended must be inented get 13 See, 10 State Court and the rules once not be adhered to os stretty as in eases of that notine Although the sletute gloes not regume that the giving of the borna shall be made pert of the judgement, get, it requies the borne to be ground Basterey a et See 5) and that

being made pert of the judgement would not sugndree or expect the afendants rights mus therefore would not rition—it is surely surflusage

Thise testimony was amply sufficient to authorize the judgement, There, is no conflict except so for as the affectant is concerned, that was oblamed four derys after the confinement of the prosecuting a gul of Eighteen georo-while she was meek and feeble-sunerer the influence of fear caused by Theseaso of the defenent "that if she did not clear him he would pententiang her? of flatting and ossessmen friendship Whistered in her ear of the species Courger of the nitrefo Strethern, who tells her that she mes always an annable and honew gue and model for others that although unfuturate She would always here brienes and that he dad not mant her

to robote her conscience in ony thing she said or did, nor to tell him any of her secreto give then evolly asks her if The would have any objection to their sending for say a maistra and taking her offedavid that the defendent was not the ferther of her child, and this low while her friends were all absent for the rellemons purpose of Entrathing and pegning om unproleeted week and unfutunete gil Ih is not strange that the Evedence should show, as it does, that she was restless and slept onne the following might The everence stoes not Even cena to show and it is not fretended, that she had had intercourse with any othe person then the dependent & Horvey, The Come Celiver the buth and the day when The left Heorneys & last has an apprelunit for entercourse with trun, is greater than the

period of aregnancy but what places the matter beyond doubt is, that Hoervey swears absolutely and unagurveally that he never had intercourse much her The abstract was not set out The endence fully, but onnto a large food which is computant for the people The affrerent of newly asservered Evadence in suffort of the motive fin a new trial, is not suffecient, It does not show sufficient dilligener - the inhul Evenuer is commitative one Cestifying to the same thing on the creal and A goes to confrench the presecutivy 14 Ill 141 The pray meri not empropers communicated will by the court. They were polled and each answere that is was her werehoo. The approximat of the Officer in charge of the Jung shows that he did not deliver

the neepage mentioned on the

purous afficient, but that he was requested by the sury to ingrue of the court if the court if the court of the court that the sury had agreed to disapre which he out and returned to them the answer of the court which mas that he would not affidavite of sures comot be received to impeach their verdio or explain the gunnes of it

The fourth instruction asked by the representation that if only freezent it accounts it accounts that if only freezent hair intercents with the prosecutive during freezenty that that herson was the pether even if he had had but one ensured or before the birth and because it requires the first and because it requires the function and a hearonable doubt. This not being a criminal foresecution

Degenes Sentes any Nelson 9-P.L. The People Finite & authoritis Filed May 4-1861 Le Leland Clark

## IN THE SUPREME COURT,

APRIL TERM, A. D. 1861.

FRANCIS M. WILSON,

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

Defendants in Error.

Plaintiff in Error, Writ of Error to Iroquois.

This was an action of Bastardy, tried at the November term, A. D. 1860, of the Iroquois Circuit Court.

November 5th, 1860. Affidavit filed in the Circuit Court.

Rec. p. 2 STATE OF ILLINOIS, ss. Iroquois County.

> The complaint of Lucy Francis, of said County, an unmarried woman, made before William F. Keady, Esq., one of the Justices of the Peace in and for said County, under oath, who says, that on the 19th day of last July, A. D. 1860, at the residence of Curtis Orms, in Ash Grove, in the County aforesaid, she was delivered of a female bastard child, and that Francis Wilson, of Ash Grove, in said County, is the father of the said child.

- Justice's warrant, in usual form. 3
- Appeal bond from the Justice, in the usual form.
- Justice's transcript, certified to the Circuit Court, showing that the 6 defendant was bound over to answer the charge.
- James Fletcher appointed State's Attorney to prosecute this case, November 22d, 1860.

Issue made of whether Francis Wilson is the father of a female bastard child of which Lucy Francis was delivered, on 19th day of July, 1860.

Defendant pleads not guilty.

Trial by jury. Verdict, that they find the defendant guilty.

11 Motion for a new trial.

15

16

17

- Motion for new trial overruled, November 30th, 1860. Defendant excepted.
- Judgment of the Court, that Francis Wilson do pay the sum of fifty dollars yearly, for seven years, for the support and maintenance of the said bastard child of the said Lucy Francis, together with costs.

Order to defendant to file bond in the sum of \$800, with County Judge, with James Wilson as security, conditioned for payment of judgment. Thirty days allowed to file bill of exceptions.

December 1st, 1860. Bond filed and approved; defendant discharged. December 27th, 1860, bill of exceptions filed.

### BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.

Shows that Lucy Francis was sworn, and testified that she was an unmarried woman, and gave birth to a female child 19th July, 1860. That Francis Wilson was the father of it. Had been in Ash Grove about four years. Stopped first at home, at Curtis Orms'; then went to Wilson's about a year; then to Mr. Willoughby's, and resided two weeks; then went a second time to Mr. Wilson's, and resided about two years; then, May 1st, 1859, went to Mr. Harvey's, and resided five months; then went direct to Mr. Wilson's again. Did house work at Harvey's; his wife was not living. Last fall first had intercourse with a man. It was with Francis Wilson. He is sixteen years old. He told me he would marry me. I didn't let him have intercourse the first time he asked me. He asked me half a dozen times, probably.

Details six times that she was solicited before intercourse occurred in the dining room. I stated to no person but my mother, for four days after the child was born, whose child it was. I did not within six days, nor any other time. I signed a paper within six days after, saying that it was not Francis Wilson's child. I did state to John B. Clark that the child was not Francis Wilson's; it was within four days I stated that.

22 I never told Edward Wilson, under the promise of privacy, that the child was Wesley Harvey's; I never told him any such thing. I never told him that just before I left Mr. Harvey's, he came and got into bed with me. 23 The plaintiff then proposed to re-examine the witness as to whether intercourse took place subsequent to the time about which she had been examined. Defendant objected. Objection overruled, and defendant excepted. Witness then stated that defendant had had intercourse some half a dozen times, and that Francis had threatened to penitentiary her in case she did not clear him of the charge. Francis didn't state that 24 there was a rumor around that he was the father of the child, and that if I swore to a lie it would penitentiary me. Francis said that there was a report that he was the father of the child. And he also said if I swore false it would penitentiary me. 25 Details subsequent interviews, and all in the dining room. Was sure that Mrs. Wilson did not occupy the lounge there. Nancy Orms sworn, and testified that the child was born 19th July, 26 1860. She was at Harvey's from the first of May, 1859, till the 2d of October after; then went to Wilson's, and staid till July 15th, 1860. Melissa Francis testified to Francis telling Lucy that she was a nice 27 girl, and threatened to penitentiary her if she did not clear him. Did not hear distinctly all that was said. Harvey came two days after the child was born, and wanted to know if Lucy swore the child on to him. He came again in about a week and a half. John B. Clark sworn on the part of the defendant. I went to Mr. 29 Orms' house some time in July last. Edward Wilson went with me. I had an affidavit written out, exonerating Francis Wilson from being the

father of the child. She said she was willing to sign the affidavit and be qualified to it. She signed it and I qualified her to it:

STATE OF ILLINOIS, } ss. Iroquois County. } ss.

30

Before me, John B. Clark, a Justice of the Peace, personally came Lucy Francis, who being by me duly sworn, deposeth and saith, that the child of which she was lately delivered does not belong to Francis M. Wilson.

Signed and sworn to July 24th, 1860.

She seemed calm and quiet. She had some conversation with Mr. Edward Wilson. He told her that he was friendly to her, and if his brother was guilty he did not wish her to exculpate him. She signed the affidavit voluntarily, and I swore her to it.

John H. Stidham testified that he visited Lucy Francis, and that he cautioned her as to what she might talk about the matter, and advised her to send for the father of the child, and settle with him privately. She then told me it was not Frank's child, and that she never said it was. Edward Wilson said, since you have cleared Frank, would you have any objection if we brought Squire Clark here and have him take your affidavit to that effect. She said "No." She understood herself at the time, and had the possession of her faculties, if I am any judge.

Edward Wilson and I went out and left Francis in with Lucy awhile, and I think this conversation occurred after we went in.

Edward Wilson testified to about the same as Esq. Stidham, and then goes on to say, that after Stidham had left, he had a long conversation with Lucy, and she told him that the child was Wesley Harvey's.

Mrs. Orm told Lucy the next day that she didn't want any more of her lying. I told her not to sign the affidavit unless it was true. My mother occupied the dining room from the sixth or eighth of October till about the 20th of that month.

Wesley Harvey swore that he never had had sexual intercourse with Lucy Francis.

Bill of exceptions contains all the evidence.

The Court gave the following instruction for the People:

That if the jury believe from the evidence that the People have proven the child of Lucy Francis to be the child of Francis Wilson, and that the defendant, Francis, has failed to show by evidence that such is not the fact, then the law is for the plaintiff, the People.

To the giving of which instruction the defendant excepted.

The Court refused to give the following instruction, to which the defendant excepted:

The jury are further instructed, that the defendant is not bound to prove that Wesley Harvey, or anybody else, is the father of the child, and if the jury believe from the evidence that Francis Wilson had sexual intercourse with Lucy Francis, and also that any other person had sexual intercourse with her, within the period of the duration of pregnancy immediately prior to her delivery, then they must be satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the evidence for the prosecution excludes the probability of that other person being the real father of the child, else the law is for the defendant.

38

31

Affidavit of defendant, showing that he can prove by his mother, who occupied the dining room at the time the girl swore intercourse took place, she being then sick there, that it could not possibly have been done. And that he could not foresee that the girl would have sworn so as to render this testimony necessary.

Affidavit of juror, Daniel Lawrence, that the Court sent two or three messages to them in relation to their duty, the last one of which was, "That a verdict of the jury to disagree would not be accepted by the Court," and then added, "that the jury would have to be all agreed before they could give in a verdict and be discharged;" which induced affiant to agree to a verdict against his conscience, and supposing he was complying with a legal requirement of the Court, else he would not have done so.

Affidavit of Westbrook does not controvert affidavit of Lawrence.

Defendant excepts to overruling motion for a new trial.

47

### ERRORS ASSIGNED.

The Court erred in allowing the prosecuting witness to examine to new matter.

The Court erred in making the giving of the bond part of the judgment of the Court.

The verdict is against the evidence.

The verdict and judgment are contrary to law.

The jury were unlawfully tampered with by messages from the Court.

The Court erred in overruling motion for a new trial on affidavit of new discovered evidence.

The Court erred in granting the 4th instruction for the People.

The Court erred in refusing the 4th instruction for defendant.

The Court erred in overruling motion for a new trial, and rendering judgment against the defendant.

Francis M. Wilson
Melson
Melson
Whe People

Abstracts

Filed apr. 25-1861
L'Leland
Clark

## IN THE SUPREME COURT,

APRIL TERM, A. D. 1861.

FRANCIS M. WILSON,

Plaintiff in Error,

ADS.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

Defendants in Error.

This was an action of Bastardy, tried at the November term, A. D. 1860, of the Iroquois Circuit Court.

November 5th, 1860. Affidavit filed in the Circuit Court.

Rec. p. 2 State of Illinois, ss. Iroquois County.

The complaint of Lucy Francis, of said County, an unmarried woman, made before William F. Keady, Esq., one of the Justices of the Peace in and for said County, under oath, who says, that on the 19th day of last July, A. D. 1860, at the residence of Curtis Orms, in Ash Grove, in the County aforesaid, she was delivered of a female bastard child, and that Francis Wilson, of Ash Grove, in said County, is the father of the said child.

- Justice's warrant, in usual form.
- 4 Appeal bond from the Justice, in the usual form.
- Justice's transcript, certified to the Circuit Court, showing that the defendant was bound over to answer the charge.
- James Fletcher appointed State's Attorney to prosecute this case, November 22d, 1860.

Issue made of whether Francis Wilson is the father of a female bastard child of which Lucy Francis was delivered, on 19th day of 10 July, 1860. Defendant pleads not guilty. Trial by jury. Verdict, that they find the defendant guilty. 11 Motion for a new trial. Motion for new trial overruled, November 30th, 1860. Defendant 12 excepted. Judgment of the Court, that Francis Wilson do pay the sum of fifty 13 dollars yearly, for seven years, for the support and maintenance of the said bastard child of the said Lucy Francis, together with costs. Order to defendant to file bond in the sum of \$800, with County Judge, with James Wilson as security, conditioned for payment of judgment. Thirty days allowed to file bill of exceptions. 14 December 1st, 1860. Bond filed and approved; defendant discharged. December 27th, 1860, bill of exceptions filed. BILL OF EXCEPTIONS. 15 Shows that Lucy Francis was sworn, and testified that she was an unmarried woman, and gave birth to a female child 19th July, 1860. That Francis Wilson was the father of it. Had been in Ash Grove about four years. Stopped first at home, at Curtis Orms'; then went to Wilson's about a year; then to Mr. Willoughby's, and resided two weeks; 16 then went a second time to Mr. Wilson's, and resided about two years; then, May 1st, 1859, went to Mr. Harvey's, and resided five months; then went direct to Mr. Wilson's again. Did house work at Harvey's; his wife was not living. Last fall first had intercourse with a man. It was with Francis Wilson. He is sixteen years old. He told me he would marry me. I didn't let him have intercourse the first time he asked me. He asked me half a dozen times, probably. 17 Details six times that she was solicited before intercourse occurred in the dining room. I stated to no person but my mother, for four days 18 - 20after the child was born, whose child it was. I did not within six days, nor any other time. I signed a paper within six days after, saying that it was not Francis Wilson's child. I did state to John B. Clark that the child was not Francis Wilson's; it was within four days I stated that.

I never told Edward Wilson, under the promise of privacy, that 22 the child was Wesley Harvey's; I never told him any such thing. I never told him that just before I left Mr. Harvey's, he came and got into bed with me. The plaintiff then proposed to re-examine the witness as to whether 23 intercourse took place subsequent to the time about which she had been examined. Defendant objected. Objection overruled, and defendant excepted. Witness then stated that defendant had had intercourse some half a dozen times, and that Francis had threatened to penitentiary her in case she did not clear him of the charge. Francis didn't state that there was a rumor around that he was the father of the child, and that if 24 I swore to a lie it would penitentiary me. Francis said that there was a report that he was the father of the child. And he also said if I swore false it would penitentiary me. Details subsequent interviews, and all in the dining room. Was 25 sure that Mrs. Wilson did not occupy the lounge there. Nancy Orms sworn, and testified that the child was born 19th July, 1860. She was at Harvey's from the first of May, 1859, till the 2d of 26 October after; then went to Wilson's, and staid till July 15th, 1860. Melissa Francis testified to Francis telling Lucy that she was a nice 27 girl, and threatened to penitentiary her if she did not clear him. Did not hear distinctly all that was said. Harvey came two days after the child was born, and wanted to know if Lucy swore the child on to him. He came again in about a week and a half. John B. Clark sworn on the part of the defendant. I went to Mr. 29 Orms' house some time in July last. Edward Wilson went with me. I had an affidavit written out, exonerating Francis Wilson from being the father of the child. She said she was willing to sign the affidavit and be qualified to it. She signed it and I qualified her to it: STATE OF ILLINOIS, } ss. Iroquois County. } Before me, John B. Clark, a Justice of the Peace, personally came Lucy Francis, who being by me duly sworn, deposeth and saith, that the child of which she was lately delivered does not belong to Francis M. Wilson. Signed and sworn to July 24th, 1860. 30 She seemed calm and quiet. She had some conversation with Mr. Edward Wilson. He told her that he was friendly to her, and if his brother was guilty he did not wish her to exculpate him. She signed the affidavit voluntarily, and I swore her to it.

John H. Stidham testified that he visited Lucy Francis, and that he cautioned her as to what she might talk about the matter, and advised her to send for the father of the child, and settle with him privately. She then told me it was not Frank's child, and that she never said it 31 was. Edward Wilson said, since you have cleared Frank, would you have any objection if we brought Squire Clark here and have him take your affidavit to that effect. She said "No." She understood herself at the time, and had the possession of her faculties, if I am any judge. Edward Wilson and I went out and left Francis in with Lucy awhile, 32 and I think this conversation occurred after we went in. Edward Wilson testified to about the same as Esq. Stidham, and then goes on to say, that after Stidham had left, he had a long conversa-34 tion with Lucy, and she told him that the child was Wesley Harvey's. Mrs. Orm told Lucy the next day that she didn't want any more of her lying. I told her not to sign the affidavit unless it was true. My mother 35 occupied the dining room from the sixth or eighth of October till about the 20th of that month. Wesley Harvey swore that he never had had sexual intercourse 37 with Lucy Francis. Bill of exceptions contains all the evidence. The Court gave the following instruction for the People: That if the jury believe from the evidence that the People have proven the child of Lucy Francis to be the child of Francis Wilson, and that the defendant, Francis, has failed to show by evidence that such is not the fact, then the law is for the plaintiff, the People. 38 To the giving of which instruction the defendant excepted. The Court refused to give the following instruction, to which the 40 defendant excepted: The jury are further instructed, that the defendant is not bound to prove that Wesley Harvey, or anybody else, is the father of the child, and if the jury believe from the evidence that Francis Wilson had sexual intercourse with Lucy Francis, and also that any other person had sexual intercourse with her, within the period of the duration of pregnancy immediately prior to her delivery, then they must be satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the evidence for the prosecution excludes the probability of that other person being the real father of the child, else the law is for the defendant.

Affidavit of defendant, showing that he can prove by his mother, 41 who occupied the dining room at the time the girl swore intercourse took place, she being then sick there, that it could not possibly have been 42 done. And that he could not foresee that the girl would have sworn so as to render this testimony necessary. Affidavit of juror, Daniel Lawrence, that the Court sent two or three 44 messages to them in relation to their duty, the last one of which was, "That a verdict of the jury to disagree would not be accepted by the Court," and then added, "that the jury would have to be all agreed 45 before they could give in a verdict and be discharged;" which induced affiant to agree to a verdict against his conscience, and supposing he was complying with a legal requirement of the Court, else he would not have done so. Affidavit of Westbrook does not controvert affidavit of Lawrence. 47 Defendant excepts to overruling motion for a new trial. 48 ERRORS ASSIGNED. The Court erred in allowing the prosecuting witness to examine to new matter. The Court erred in making the giving of the bond part of the judgment of the Court. The verdict is against the evidence. The verdict and judgment are contrary to law. The jury were unlawfully tampered with by messages from the Court. The Court erred in overruling motion for a new trial on affidavit of new discovered evidence. The Court erred in granting the 4th instruction for the People. The Court erred in refusing the 4th instruction for defendant. The Court erred in overruling motion for a new trial, and rendering judgment against the defendant.

Francis M. Wilson
Pltfin Error

The People

Mostracts

Filed apr. 25-1861
L. Leland
Clark

The Stopic of the State of Illinois. Huor Yu Shat in draving caused to be inspected to Records and proceedings now remaining in the office of The deluk of The Concuit donnt of Droquers downly de find entain Ruerds and Proceedings in Thours and figures following towity · Christed States of America \* State of Illinois & D. .. " Droquois Country Heas refor The Miner all Charles & Stan Judge of The Unenticthe Judicial Current and presiding Judge of the Drogues County " Burenit Court in the State of Ollinois at a term of the durant down of said Duquois Country deque and hete at the bourt House in the form of Middleport in said troquois Country on the third Justacy the same deing the 20th day in the month of Nove mber in The year one of Que Lord Que Chousant eight hundred and Disty "Ruint" " Charles H Hord States allowing Judge of the sit judinal Mirdere Agire Daing of Recence dent Thumas limium delik of the during don't

Muit Runembered that on the 5th day of Sovember a D 1860 There was filed in the office of the Club of the dercuit donet an affidavit which reads in the words and Jegures following towet · State of Alluvies

· Dioguois Country of The complaint of Lucy deances of said Country an unmarried wo man made Gefore William F. Kludy Equire one of the fustices of the dece in and for said County under out who says that on the 19th day of last fully A. D 1860 at the Residence of Centis Orm in ash grove in the County aforesaid The was delivered of a female bastand thild and that Francis Wilson of ash grow in Said Coming is the father of the said Kied "Saken Signed and Severn this "Seh day of October Ot. 191860 Lucy Francis "M. S. Neady f. J. Und afternacedo Tomito On the 5th day of dovember A. 15 1860 then mus filed in the office of the clerk of the aireit court a Surrent " which was en Hords and Jegune following Fount

· State of Allinois for The Stocker of the State of Allinois to the Sheriff or any Constable of said Coming: Mureas Lucy Francis in the Said County an unmarried woman ha this day made complaint under outh be fore It di Keady Esquire a Justice of the Pener in and for the said County that on the 19" day of last fully (1860) at the residence of Curtis Orm in the country aforesaid She was delivered of a femule Sastard Child and that Finneis Wilson is the father of the Said Theilie Ho Reiford Command for to and the said Francis Wilson and Inng him before the Sain Justice to auswer unto the Said Complaint and to the fur the dealt with according to Frank Given under the hand and Seal of the San Justico the 8th day of October A. A. 1860 " justice of the June Aud which Sain Thurant was endored as follows homit.

Osculed The within by arristing the within named Defendant and the is now in Custody - October the 9th 1866. Files Miliage 90 Service 23) \$118 "William Smith donestable and afternaid howil on the set day of Governber AD 1860 There was filed in the office of the bluts of The bereit court a certain Brond" which reads in the words and Jegures following Foril) "Thati of Plinion of. Thomas min by the Weents that we Francis Wilson, James Wilson and John It. Stillhaw are held and firme bound unto the people of the State of Ollivie in the Sum of Four hundred don are. to be paid to the said people for which payment well and truly to comunde con find ourselves our heirs execution and by these fueents Ouled with our Seals and dated the not day of October St. D 1860 " Hours Comment

" Thus feen made defend Welliam F. Deady Orquiro one of the fustices of the Frace in and for the Said doming of Deoguois by Lucy "Francis of ashlyrow in Said Coming and "unmarried woman that on the 19 de day of July a. D 1860 on was delivered of a Jemul Bastard Child and That France "Wilson is the father of the Said Chied "and ordered the said Francis Stilson to de brought before him to answer the said Complaint and to be futher dealt with according to law and upon a amunation of the said Lucy trucies upon outh in the presence of the Said Francis Wilson louching the said Change and upon au Consideration Cherengen had the Said Justice was of opinion that Sufficient cause appeared and did adjudge and actumine that the Said Francis Wilson enter ento a Sonie with good and Suffweint decenty to a pear at the next Circuit court to be held in and for our doming of Inquire to answer to vuch Now Therefore the Conditions elle Ooligakins a Such that if theater Commien Francis Tilson Dall aprear

" at the next circuit Court to the held in and for the said downly of droquois and answer to the said complaint and not depart the Court without leave then this obligation to be roid otherwise to remain Signed Scales and delivered " A. M. Thilson (Veac) "Su Juseur of " James Wilson Elul . John H Sticken E cent afterwards Comity On the Fifth day of Horember W. D1860 There Was filed in the office of the clerk of the Cir. euit downt a Musenfit which reads in word and figures following tomil. Dull of Ollinois So. " Lucy Francis & Nastardy ".

Francis Hilson & Sefere me 4. A. Kendy J. P. don 25 1860 Oct. 8 th Mesenally appeared before me Can 25 Lucy Trancis who upon afficiant sugo "3 Subs 45" that on the 19 th day of July last as 1.outh 64 1868 at the widence of duties Com in gudt 25° ash your bunky agreemed She was

"Hond 30 delivered of a female Hastard Chied and Juns. 25 that Francis Wilson of Osh Grove in Said weitif 23 Comby is the father of Laid Child-" \$2,364 Whereufen a Warrant ifered and was del wered to Const. William Smith to executo two subs. also ifued and delivered to Same Const. \_ Och 10th 1860 Warrant returned executes by austing the defendant and delivering him into the bustody of the court Ochloch Const. Swith of D1860 Const. Jees of 115' Three Subs. returdies ned served fees \$ 7.97/2 Case called Com Ow war, 113 placent read to the defendant who pleads Ow Subs/7,97 Not quilly Lucy Francis Swoon and examined after which the case was Submitted It is considered by the Court that the defendent is guilty as charged in the complaint and that he be neognized for this appearance at the next learn of the Elicit Court la the Sum of Sour Hundred dollars - Hond luken with James Thilsen and John H. Stidhum as his securities "M. F. Keady p. J. " Olute of Ollinois Prouvis Composs. I hotelady a fulli " of the Sue in and judan Comby de outify that the foregoing is a before me in this cause and that thewarth

I transmit are the pupus delenging to the cause The Sestimony Stehenof Inciento placomy hand and Sine this fifth day of Nove more a DAG. and afterwards bomb, Ou the 22d day of Formber a D1860 the Same being one of the regular days of the Surember tum of the Inquois County Circuit Court for the year 1860 the Said Court being then duly organized and deting in open coul for the Coursaction of Judicial Ausmis the following proceedings were had and entired of Record by said in the nords "a June following bonit "The Fight of the State of Sta that Charles 16. Wood the States allowing appointed for this term of Court, is of con need for the defendant in this course, it is

- thereford thereupon ordered that fames Flether - do and his appointed by the court to = prosecute this cause And afterwards lovely on the 23d day of Commoer a D1866 etales being one of the uguer days of the Wormen tum of Said Court for said your 1800 In Said bout being then duly organized and deliny as aforceaid cutain other proceedings were had and entered of he ord by said coul in the words and figures Jollowing tomital The Rople of the \*80 vo Harrandy" "Ond new comes fames " d'litcher allowing for the people into court and the defendant Francis Wilson also app laced in person- and therefer the Court derected the efew to be made up in this Cause and entered of Second form! Twy dranei Charge that draneis there the defendant in this cause is the gat her of a female Rastand Child of which On the Suid Ducy drawing was

delivered on the 19 th day of July as 1860 at ash your in Inquois Coming Minois And the Said defendant Francis Wilson being anaugued at the bar of this court in due form of line, and the charge against In being made Known tohim by the court, and the court having de: manded of the Said defendant, how he would acquit Imiself of the Said Change for plea says ho is not quilty in manner and from as charged which the down ordered to be intered of Accord And Therefor on motion a fury came by order of Comb lond.) Hoble & Chemens. A. H. Stone, Solon White, faich matzenbungh Hemy Lyman E.C. Kurnett. Danie Garrener John Zumwalt Jaseph Robnison, I. Gendron John Genny and A. Coughenour tireloc good and lampal men who were then and then duly and legally empumiled and Iworn to well and bruly by this cause whom the ipur joined according to land accidence and afterwards him on the Ist the day of november FAIsto it aler being on of the ugular duy of the Morember lim of said Court for said year 1860 The said Court being then duly

organized as aforesaid Certain other fire: aldings were had and entered of Record by said Court, in the words and Jegure, Jollomny tomb " In Swill of the State of Allinia & E Rustardy The Jury in this cause after hearing the ividence addressed on the trial the arguments of domisel and the custometerns of the Court retire in Charge of a Sworn officer to consider of a Wirdiel - and afternand when into Court and for a Perdict Say they find on request of defendant coursel being each of them interrogated in reference to said Midich by the Correr Severally anemered that they degred the Same and that they are still satisfied therewith as the Widet in this cause " and now come the dexendente Counsel and Order their motion for a " Her Snal in this Cause

and artimunds Comt on 12 the Bock day of November a. D 1860 it also being one of the regular days of the Hovember term of Said Court for Said year 1860 The Said Sout deing the duly organized as aforesaid certain other nocceding were had and entered of Record by said doub in the words, and figures Jollomna tomito \* The Raple of the State of Allinois.
\*80 \* Francis Wilson Hastardy" Und Comes James Fletcher allowing for the people into Court and act in this Cause - and the motion by the defendant for a new trial in this cause commy up for argument and the " Court having heard the arguments of Council thereon and having duly considered the ma " Wer ordered that the motion for a new " trial be and it is overruled to the over uling of which motion the defendant by dis Comsel then and then excepted by the court that the defendant

Fillie's Wilson do pay the Sum of Sifty dollars yearly for Seven years for the Support and maintainance of the said buston "is fultier ordered that the People do have and recover of and from the defendant "Francis Mileon their Casts and Changes "refunded in the prosecution of this Suit and that they have Execution therefor agains " the Said defendant - and it is ordered " by the Court that the defendant Frances Wilson do enter into Bond in the Sum of " Eight hundred dollars to the Compy Judge of Inquois Compa as required by law mitto " James Wilson as security on Said Fronds and on motion it is ordered that the de-" fendants comsel be allowed thuly days in cohiel to prepare and file with the " Clerk of this bourt a Nice of Exceptions " in the cause all afterwards Finil) Ou the 1st day of Allember a. D. 1866 it also bing one of the ugular days of the Cherember Som of Said Court for said year a DI 860 The Said bourt being then duly organized as aforesaid Certain

other proceedings were had and entirely

of Record By said Court in the words and figures following Torrit. "The Tiople of the State of Allinois "To Pruncie Wilson Hastardy) and non comes the defen: " dant into court in puser and by due " aumuy and files sond with fames Wilson as Steering in compliance with the previous order of this Court which upon as auin ation is approved by the Court His Therrupon ordered that the defendant be discharged " From Ouetody and that the Bond aforesaid, " be filed with the Comity Judge of Inquire Comity and afterwards comit, Ou Tyth day of December J. 18 1860 There of the Circuit Court a Rill of Exceptions Which reade in word, and Jugues follow ing Corrit.

Swith Dugues Comity buent bourt The Seaple of the " State of Filinois orthe Hovember Turn a A 1866\_ action of Husturdy Siw of Oxerficions "Traveis m. Wilson "Hoil Hammburd and that " on the trial of the above untitled laure " the people to maintain the ifew on their " part introduced the complaining Witness Lucy Francis who being Swood listified " as Jollono: " my name is Every Francis-"Duside in ash grove at deutis Orms "in moquois County Illinois - Mist came "There fine years ago this fail - I am " nineteen years old - The nineteenth of July " last dras at dutis Orms dand deen "residing before that time at my Wilson "my Willoughbys and my Harveys -" Afore the ninetienth of fully last that " usided at Mor Wilsons about mine months Hab been at some Three days from the "Timo deft me Wilsons before deras Diete " previous tigornay to morrilsons I dead " usided at my Harveys The Decond of "October lust your Iront to me mileon I am not a married woman have " Herer been manuel - Sam the mother

16 of a child down The nineteenth of July last - Francis Wilson is the father of it Thy Child is a girl-"Crop of. I draw resided here four your this face at ashlyrow - Queided there when I Just came to the Combay - I was first at hime then went to my Wilsons don't " recollect the year it was form yours this face I fust went there - useded there about a year Men & ment to mr Willeughbyo and resided then two weeks -Then & went a Second time to my Wilson " and usided their about two years - then I went to my Hawing. This was on the Suit of may 1839 wided at mr. Hawy feve menths - then went direct to mr. " Wilsons - I did house work at mit avege, "Harvey resides about for miles from Wilsons . Havey was a farmer - a couple of this never resided there one about nine leen the other about fourteen your old. "His wife was not living - & Kept House for bim - during the time I was at have he never made any advances To me - knever I just had intercourse with a man-It was with Francis Wilson - by lold " me he would many me - & didn't let

hum have intercourse the just him the asked med be wheel me haif a dayed time, probably - only once a day the first asked me in the Kitchen of this fathers house in the corning about Dix or Sever o dock. This was three or four ways after I went to mr milened - The first words he said were that ne would many me -Ho was Standing - There were others about the "House - Howauted to do Something to me then, How puthis arms around me and I around him Hi had never done any thing before - this " father and mother and Brothers and Disters were in the Hed Broom - Fart of them had you to bed . He made advances again the next day along in the forenoon. I was then in the dening Roin He said the same as the did define. Itold him I did not like to do so He one else was in the dening Room at that time - Others were in the House, in the New Som Itons the was in the ditchen I was on one side of the dining Room in the Side next to the Kelchen, I was Standing up he wanted to have intereverse with me there in the dening Soon - then was a Loungo in the dinning Run . Ho muited to have intercourse with me in " the dening Soon that forenoon- I was willing for him to have intercourse if he would marry me. His mother and disting

usual Setting Room - Francis mas doing work on the farm - He always come to the House alone and went out alone - The Sinth duy in the during Room he said he mute mary me. Itold him I would many him didn't say any thing Use - The roman of the House and her children were there - It was in the evening after Supper, my Welson was out around somewhere - He was not in the House The Woman of the House and the Thildren had gone to bed - He threw ne down I told him he might have withere with me with my consent he had it - There was no light in the Soon - It was after duite - it was at the South and of the Soom - the Room was linged niret south - It was eight or Un feet long by sil or eight feet mide - The doors afen into the Room on the west side One door opens into the Kitchen. One into the Ald Soons and one up Stairs - Muoras no one up staire. Our of the Sings had a bed up stains it was albert Hilsons, His about tweeor your old - There was no body in the Pador\_ The Red Som door was shut then was no one in the ditation. I dedn't go out to see whether any one was there -The Kilcheris the Hest side of the Druing down It is about trice fuit Sound

" the dining Norm is the longest. Im Wilson " at the time this transaction occurred was out on the farm. He was out about his Businep Doupport. am sure he was not there about oil days after I went then this occ uned - I stated in the bourt delow it was about ir ten days after I went to Wilsons. I am right now in the time. There was a candle burning in the Kilchin & made no Sautingof Chairs and had no Scuffling in the dining horn That was the first time an occurrance of this Kind huppened to me - I was not accused of being in the family way by any body, mis Wilson aid not accuse mel - I had no conversation with my mother about it - my mother ouid she had Suspecions - I stated to no person but my mother for four days after thechild was form whose Child it was - I did not within In days nor ung other time - The Child was soon the Geneteenth day of puly " I signed a puper within Six days after Daying that it was not Francis Wilson's Chied - Inever Stated that it was not the Child of Francis Meson - daid state to John Belluk that the Child was not Francis meleng - it was within for days I Stated that - it was not in the presence of any one else - I did not state in the

" presence of form Stidham that it was not the Child of Francis Welson - I did State in the presence of Edward Wilson that it was not Fouries Wilsons & dedut understand the " Gustien when I said that in the presence " of John Slidhaw - I did not only that it mus not Francis Welson's Child Inever " oaid in the presence of bornard Wilson -Frank you know that child is not yours" I d'il not ouy it. I did not ouy it in the " pueine of John Stidham - About a day " after the thild was born I said it was Frank Wilsons to my mother- I never " tulked with musley Haway about it " between the time my child was Noon and the Time Welson was bound over to come with " Julimmany trial Harvey did not Start " from Orms nor did he come in the same Conveyance - Since the trial before by, Kendy I have had no tuell with mr Harvey - I did not go to David Streams Refered acure here sinestry child was born. my of the Jacker fiet spoke to me about making a Complaint against Frank Wilson my Step " futher mr. Orm did not Say any thing to The about commencing proceedings against " Wisley Harvey, Inever told Edward Wilson under the promise of privacy that the Chief

mas Wisley Harveys . I never Told him emufsech thung I never told him when and where m. "Harvey had intercourse with me - I never hite Tim that just before I left mer havens he came and got wite Red with me. He one wer tulked with me about mr Hilsen being a Rich man No body but mr Orm latked with me about the factor of the Child down to the time the warrant mus taken out - that mr Om Spoke to me It was a couple of months before the munaut was taken out that mr Orm Spoke to me about it - Added think of Falling Welson " up till om spoke to me about it - There had been considerable latte in the neighbourhood " about it - I never had any talk about it " with Being Harvey Her many Harvey nor Cachaine Harvey nor facol Homel ner Henry Bishold nor fumes Spain nor blijah Spain " But I have with durties One and nancy om never tacked with melifea Francis nor William nor William Homer - mr Homer mas there " when I was confined. - de didn't come after wardy - I never shad any tall with mr. Orm what I should Say here nor with any other pewer I was about dighteen a year age last October Francis Wilson mas then Sinken

"Ro-Examined The plaintiff then proposed to

" rescurions this witness as to whether then was "intercourse Subsequent to the time Stated in her direct is amination - The defendant of " feeted but the bourt overweed the objection and the defendant excepted - The Witness then " priceeded! after that Francis Welson had in bereouse with me about a half dozon times I did not comprehend mr Juddocks question " (one of the Course for the defence) - I don't " recollect mr Stidham coming to mr orms. on the same day before mr. bluck came " mr Stidham Edward Wilson and Francis Wilson " come - they mere out of dons when Francis mus in Franceis told me I mus a fine girl and of "I didn't clear him he would penetentrary me "This was fine days after the child was born " when mr Stidham came in and Variand Wilson they did not say any thing "Crap Ex- I mas afraid that Francis would infused me " and that is the wasen I signed the paper on the nest day I hotel Shathum and Clark that " Francis was clear of the Church - I loved Francis and was willing to become his wife I delicion the told and the buth when he said " he would many me - I never had interence " with Histery Harvey - He never came to my " Red - I pusisted in Celling them it was not

" Francis Child because he had threatened me

"He told me when it came to do so he would many " me - mes Hilsen didnt charge me with benny " with child - There was no doctor there when any " Child was born. The Same day my child was " form I wild my Mother whose child it was Tour "days after I mus confined Francis, Wilson came I reminded from then of this promise - He said "he thought I was a nier girl and if I didn't " clear him he would pententiary me - I " can't comprehend what mr Fletcher vays but I dedn't understand all your mr Paddocks/ques " hour - Francis didn't State that there was a rumor around that he was the father of . The Chied and that if I swore to a lie it would punituliary mi - Francis said " there was a Report that he was the father " of the Child - And he also Said if I " Inme false it would puntentiary me - & " had connection with him after the first time " about a half dozen Timez - The first of this " Innes was three or four weeks after the first " in the sinning soom - it was right or nine " oclock in the evening before the family ment " to bed - He dedn't throw me down on the " floor - it was done on the floor, me Helen " mus in the Bed Som, there was no light " in the dunny down - Anunes meleen was " at home during all this intervining hime

25 " the said intercourse took place Three or four Treeks after the Second in the dring Soon I sleft down Staire- Francis Sleft up Staire-The South intercourse look place in how or three meets after the third in the during Room on the floor and the fifth and Sieth in the Some place and at about the Same interval of time between mes Wilson was not occupying the Loungs in the dining room during this time don't remember the days of the week when com: cetin occured but think it was Week days The men hyether more on Sundays than week days He were together at other times than when eve had cancetion - Mrs Wilson never told us when to go to ded He shad our own time about that I am sure mrs Wilson did not occupy. the Louise - I found out I was in the family before I ceased to have connection withour hum I never consulted with any one as to what I was to ony here "Abro dancy Our Swom: I am the mother of Query Francis - Centis Orm is the Stepfather of " Levey Francis She is not manied and never has been - She is a mother - her child was From the nineleenth day of fully last and is Still living Shoresided most of the time at Im Hilsong She Staged there the most of the " time the fuit year with the exception of his

26 weeks at mo. Willoughtys. Then She went to Wilsons again and Sluged hor your from the first of may last year until the Second of October She was at Harveys - Then She went to Wisens and remained till the fifteenth of July last and then came home and was confined the uneteenth - I Such leted defore that that time that the was in that condition - The Sint for a Physician but the child factor was about fifteen months minutes after the Child was form - She said it was Francis Wilsons child - her health mus right poor - & remained after the Child was born hil minday " monning - I then went to mr studeounts and I found her futting and Organy when I came tack The was not in a good condition I didn't ascer-Tuin the State of her mind - She appeared. frustrated she did not get any rest that night It was between day light Survive the next day before she got any rest & was not in the Room all the time when Squire black mes there - & mus out in the other Soom - I heard them reading the paper to her -

Curtis Orm Sworn: The Chied was born in fund or fully after she first made complaint the was very soon confined - It was probably a week after the came from Wilson, before she mes Sick - The

Child is a Girl - I mas at mer milsenz during

the time Lucy was there the last time occasionly 27 There mes Same function in the during Boom and a carfet on the floor- Liney was at mr Harveys before she went to wilsons the last Timo She came from Harveys and went to milsons the Same Sunday dont riecollect who came after her She came home occasionally from Wilsons - I have been acquainted with her about nine years After Quey came home there was some hell "derop. OD. in the neighbourhood about this thing I had a talk with m. Harvey about it at my house about a week after the Child mus born - Lucy. occupied the Room where we usually Sit - me latted out of doors - Hurvey was there hories. We lutted Some about the Child when he was thew He was at this place during the preliminary that mo Harvey got maned Some thro or Three years " In onthe defore the Child was born - A have talked " with mr spain about it - In the dining Noom of my Welsons House thew mas a darpet I don't recollect whether there was a doming the Carpet looked like one bought - I am Sun that Soon mus Ourpeted "Melifu Francia Snorn's & was at show when sucy child mus form I was at home and in the hours when mr Stidham Francis and Edward Wilson were there - mr Stilhum and Edward Went ont, and muncis remained in the house and he

told her that she was a nice god and he had 28 airrays talked in her favor and if the ded not clear him the would penchenting her I was off Some her feet when Francis was talking "Morof & with Lucy - did not heur districtly are that was Said - She dean't tell Frank you Know that Child is not young - Francis did not ony that there every Rumers afterat that she was Charging him with being the father - Harvey Came there two days after the child was ford: Hawey asked her if she buil that child on to firm -" she said, no Sir, About a week and ahalf " after the first time the came again - the fuit time the came he shad convenation with my " Sather mr. Orm, James Spain Sworn: I ummber the time when Sucy " mus confined - I went with me harvey on the steducing following the time the child was born to no Orm, -"I. William Horner Snow: "Thave resided at ash Grow a little over Sit years - I was sent for to allud Siey Francis, Dethat aucht get there her hary an hom after the Chied was born - Her health mus good, She mus some excited - Adidn't see her after that - I presume it would have produced some secolument to have threatened her at that line - I good instructions to Keef her quiet

29 it is nothing unusual to de sich and wested " right after such a time - It depends upon the Constitution how much w woman can talk the third or fourth day after confinement, - It depuis upon their lumperament, and moral percephons what the condition of the mind would be at such a time The Broker hew restring Their case the defendant introduced John A. Clark who benig Som testified as Joilens: & have Sun Francis Melson and Ducy Francis - & undustood the latter had a child - I went to mer ornes House Some time in puly last, Canand Wilson ment mit mu - I had an affidavit withen out exerciting Francis, Welson from Being the father of the Child - She said She mas miling to Sign the affidavil and be qualified thit-She signed it and Aqualified her to it. The afficiant Mes feroduced and the Witness recognized it as the affiducit to which he had Sword Lucy Francis - and the Court thereupon furnitted in the read to the flung - Said affidavitren. State of Alliners Inquois Comby SD. Reference John Bollete " a pustice of the Since purmally come my Francis who being by me duly Smoon deposet the Saith that the Chien of which the was lately delivered 9

"Taken Segned and Swarn to The Star M. Wilem

"Refere me this 24th day of July 1860, E Lucy & Francis

"Alland Julies of the Fearer & mark " The Wilness then proceeded: The Sumed Calm and quiet - She had some conversation with mi. Edward Stilson - He told her that he was friedly to her and if his Brother Has quilty he did not mish her to exculpate him - She " Signed the afficienty Yoluntainly and & Smore Ther hi it she ruised up in the Hed and Fook the per and made her much Crop &. - A mote the affidavit in my office at the request, of min Francis Wilson - & am not finitive as to the timo the affiduity was made - Wilson requested me to draw an affedairby - But he did not dictate it - I cavidered it part of my official duty to go and take the affiduit cohen requested John to Stidhaw - Direct, Is animation - Smit to the house Some time in sely with Edward Wilson and Francis Wilson & suppose They had given birth to a child - I hold may that I Sympathised with her - that I shad always " considered her an annuable girl and an honest got and that I that considered for a model for other girls in the neighbourhood Apallem after - that she had always

" borne a good reputation - and that she need 31 not think now that because the had met with " this misfortime she would loose all ther " friends that if she conducted herself property Quafter the would always have friends -" Itold her that I didn't munt her to " Violate her vanicience in any thing she Said or done and that I did not want her totale " me any of the Secret Secret She Then told me that it ma not Frank's Child and that The never said it may halso told that She Now who was the father of the child and & advised her to send for the father of the " Child provitely and arrange it with him " Edmard Welson said Since you have cleared "Frank would you have any objection of me brought Squire black here and have him " Take your affedavit to that effect The said no." She under stood husely atthe time and had the profession of her faculties if Jam any Judge Cross Exam. Some thing was Said at the time when we core there about her and Frank having went out of the House - I don't remember districtly whether I gave her the advice before or after I ment out of the House Alet her and Frank talk together But

" had always thought a good deal of Her. 34 I finally total her that if she had confidence in me she might tell me who the father of her Child was, - She hestated about it and Said now you went hele anybody if I tell you I told her I would not after " Some Resitation She then het me That it mus " Mesley Harveys Child - When & got ready "To leave she said "Ed you are a found of " Minis" & said yes" if you conduct yourself Straight from this on I wint back the next day with Francis and Squaw Clark . When " I ment back next day I told her mother than I had made no theats mrs Orm didn twant " mo to Fack with her - She told may that The didn't many any more of her lyning - & " told her then Seperate times not to Sign the affectavity unless Francis mas clear of to Sign it and She answered the same may to Squire Clark and he Sword hert it - my rathers dring from is melve by fifteen feet in the floor - There was a " Samuele Ruble and Chairs in it There was no

Carper on the floor One door entered into

" the Nitchen - my mother occupied the

dening Soom & think from the South or righth

of October of last year hie about the 20th of that menth - They were accustomed to ' Sit up at my Pathus at that time hee about Eight or new oclock They had no For in the Bed Room my mother could not set af much at that time They will bring her out into the driving Soon to lay on the Somus during the day hillsed time when She would go to her Room Arof Caminely- & mas married a year ago last fall and lived forty or fifty Woods from my Jathers house - When my mother was in the " dining Room a mattruf and a feather ted " were laid when the langs This was in the month of October of last year - They Carred " her back and forth from the Ked Room to the during Room - The conversation that : mr Stidhum had with huey was after traut; " and heey had had their private conversation " logether and duritty after me came back into the honer while me Stitham and I mere out of door Frank came to the door " and Suid "She is Willing to Clear me nor" after me went back into the house she such that the Child was not Frank's fremained there that day white Frank & mr Shitham Went after the fusher of the Seace - Juego mother and Step Juster were not chome

" I neited then two or three hours and when I found they were not coming I went home -I went back next day with Square clark " and Frank when She Swere to the affectant, When I went back nect day they told me " that hey had not rested any all night " and that She mas more, mis o'm did not nant me to tull with heey James Davie in Chief or part of Prople Rebutting" & line " that a Short distance from me Welsons my " nife and I must in on one to attend the fair at I'me Village this was about the Seventh of September in the fall of 1839 " We me you about two meks, When me " came facts mo Wilson was at her most " my Wife and I were then Very frequently \* Amas thue almost every day - mrs Welson aid not lie in the during Room she was almays in the Ked Soom - They called it her Room I de not remember of sver " Senig her on a Somewo in the driving Noon " She now in her Room on a low Hed-Dido, F, M. Shill Smm: I am a Physician & commneed practicing about Seventeen your ago Considerable practice in Obstetricks

3 as a afineral Rule the duration of programy is two hundred and bighty days or ten limar months Then an ourses cases that exceed and Same that face short of that time of think it popible to vary a month beyond that home - Suber occurs at a thing atamenial periods and hence may be Shortened a week oreclanded three meeks "The defendant here closed his Case Meliople called Wesley Harvey who being Smoon Testified: That he was not the father of the child ofwhich hey brancis was delivered last Summer That he never had had sexual intercourse " with her " The above and foregoing was all the evidence in the case - The Court Mercupin on motion of the comesel for the people gave the following instructions " 1st That if the fury believe from the widence that the afficiavity of Sucy Bruners offered in condence in this Cause mus exterted from her by theat Made by Francis Welson then Said affidavet is no midence of the huth therein contained, and the Juny Should desregard the Sand in 2nd Mat if the Juny Believe from the cordence of the Francis said that the Wild of ther the Daid Sucy was the Child of duother

Then Francis Wilson through fear caused by the Church of Bruneis Wilson then So far as such admificer an considered concerned " should de disregarded unles the fury shall believe that such admissions are the "3rd The Juny in Vansidering this Cause and in giving due might to the testimony given in "This case Should Take all the facts and "Queumstances into Vansideration A do That if the Juny believe from the widence that The people have proven the Child of Lucy " Francis is the Child of Brancis Wilson and That the defendant mancis Welson has faited To Show by widence that such is not the fact then the last is for the Plaintiff the 5th Matit is in the province of the Jung to de-Termino whether a Withelp States the Touth or a falsehood and they are to determine that matter in their own day may from the " Queun Stances of the case and every thing " taken into consideration They Should Judge a false hood or the Truth "but dethe fung believe from the evidence "That the Child of her Francis is to "Thild of Francis Wilson then the Joney "Shull find the defendant quilty of

30 " the Chaige in this Cause against him "ustructions and each one thereof the "defendant by his Comsel then and there excepted "Mercufin motion of the defendants Somsel to Court gave the " three following Instructions to the from of the Comp mistructo the fruy for the defendants that in Considering the weight " or Oredence Abe given to a Witness exammed in a case on trial before them. they have the right to take into consideration the position of the Mitness this or her interest in the Subject matter the mode of un= swenning questions the ease with which a Withelp ansmers the Comsel Calling them the indifference or Stupidity with Which they answer or refuse to answer ale Contradictions The mitness may make also the look and action of the mitings and of from the or other appaint contraduction and inconsistencies, they believe the metrics is not entitled to belief on the material point towhich he or she is histofying they have the right if they so believe and it is their duty to reget such evidence And if the fung in

this case deliere the Witness that milfully and in = 40 linternally sworn falsely in any one particularly the fung have the right to wholly disregard her entire widence ir if they believe she has contradicted or han contradicted by other widence which the july believe to be true then the July have the right, to wholly disigned the histoning and the "2" If the hum deline " that the Chied in question is not mancis M. Wilson Child or if they believe there is a reasonable doubt of its being this Child then the last is for the defen don't and ho is inhitted ha Verdict in his faces The Juny and instructed that they are not " Simply to the question whether or not the det-" induct chad Sexual intercourse with heey Francis Ant they are not to decide whether Francis Wilson be the wal father of the child or not The hunden of proof is on the prosecution and unless they have " flowed Wilson to be the wall father of the Child beyond a reasonable doubt the law is for the defendant) · The defendant then moved the court "Hit The Jung and further instructed that the defin dunt is not down to from that muly starry or any tody else is the father of the child and if the Jung believe from the widence that

41 Francis Milson had Sexual intercourse with hier Francis and also that any other puson had Sexual intercourse with her within the period of the duration of pregnancy minediately prier to ther deleving then they must be Satisfied beyour a reasonable doubt that the endence for the proceedin excludes the probability of that other Jusen being the real father of the Child else the last is for the defendant Which S'aid instructions the Court refused to give and the defendant them and there excepted The aforesaid instructions were all the instructions in the case wherefind the Court gave the case to die fung who rehuned a Verdick of Guilty. against the defendant Francis Wilson Muncipor the defendant mired the court for a new Trial-Hudnafsaid motion and in Support thereof the defendant on the 29 th day of november attiste filed his affidavity which wals in ho mords and figure following horsts State of Mining In Inquir bir, Court Inguis Comments of the Serember From 160 Me Suffer E Thingo of Bastardy"

"Truncis M. Wilson & Things of Bastardy"

42

Francis M. Wilson the defendant in the above entitle cause deing duly Swirn deposes and Says - That Since the trial of the above entitled cause he has discovered as his advised material widence and which he verily believes would have been the menus of his obtaining an acquittal und which he would have had here at the time of his trial had he known of its existance and had he auticipated that the girl would have Somm as she did definered says that hourse " de able topine by the testimeny of his morter Margaret Welson that She occupied the during drow in the house when the said they truncis " claimed that Sixual intercourse took place from the fire part of October A. 1839 her far into the muter and during the first two or three feriods of the when the girl Sover that intercourse hor place - his Said mother dening in that down then benefor Sich - Ind deplacent further Says that by the hestuning of his Suid mother who usides in ashyrow " in this county the will be able to prime he expects that duning the puit here or three time when the girl Snive intercourse took place that it would not posibly have been done then during the first time or three times States without her Knimledges and that it was not done - And the further copiets to be

4's able to from dy this Suit mother that at the time musing Hawy Fras Conting his present mife and before the tild of the Vaid Incy Francis was born the Said Francis repe-" atetly Said to mis Wilson that Harvey never entire to and that when the said Harvey " was married the Said hey mancis Oned and book on Ferribly and would not tell mis. Welsen what The mes onjung about - And " definent says he can obtain the hestmany " of his faid mother to these faints if he can " oftain a reheaving of this cause defendent, "further Suys that he is not guilty of the Chays of the being the father of the Chile of the Said They mancis - That he Rums that injustice mas done from in finding him quelty of faid Chargo - Mat the believes that the Virdiet against "him ma in a great measure comy to frequence and the outside influence that was brought to bear against him on the trial - That he is informed and Willy believes that a. verdict of guilty muld never have been brought in against him had not one at least of the furors been univell and laboring under a misupprehensein as to a mepage he the Said furer supposed to have been Sent to the pany from

1717

the Court and which this defendant believes the Court never would have Sent - that he is not unlarefully convicted and asks that The may be afforded a fair apportunity of again presenting this case ha fung of his Municis M. Wilsen Subrenbed Sorom to before mechis " 29th day of Mounter ak 1860 "Munas Vannum Cluk " Med in Support, of Said motion on the " Ig the day of normber AD18 to the defof Daniel Gammence which read in the words and figures following Torito \* State of Alluiois Ednquis County bucuit bomb Argueis bounty Softhe November Fum "A. D1860 " State of Hining & " Francis M. Wilson & Daniel Lamrence one of the fluore who tried the above course " being duly Snow defines and Says that chile worth the ballance of the panel of Turers who trick said cause was out

deliberating upon their Vadict after the Court had Commenced its Sefein after Supper and while they the jury were under the charge of Harmon Westbrook the officed Sworn totake Charge of them the Said Westbrook brought the or three megages to them purporting to de brought from the court in relation to the duty of the Jury the last me of which this afficient understood to be in Substance as follows "That a Verdict of the Juny to disagree Would not be accepted by the Court " and him added "that the jung would have to be all agreed before they and give in a Kudick and be discharged This afficient Supposed this whole meleage Came from the Court, and so thinking the being anderies to get discharged the Boom being uncomfortable and whaving a Severe head ashe and fearing inquirous Consequences to his health if he remained there with lake in the night to which time he Supposed he Would be Kept if he did not agree he finally agreed on a Vadict mider there are cumstances which mas against his judgment and his conscience - Supposing he mas Jufilling a ligal returning of the Court in the may he understood Said Supposed Mifage the agreed at the Time in order

to be though without, further debention to Sign The Pudiet or the never would have agreed on the Verdiet which he with the others agreed Subscribe Land Smir & before me this "Daniel Larrence" It the day of nevember A. P. 1860 Themas Homen Clerky. And Athumpen on the roth day of november A.D 1868 The Coursel fir the Broken filed to affiducit of Harmen Westbrook in off action to Said motion which reads witho mordo and figures following forrila The Peoples of the C. Shato of Illinia's E Francis m. Witson \* Steele of Munis Es Duquois Count Court Duquois dounty & For Sermas, 860 State of Milion Charge of Austardy

Transis M. Hilson Harman Hest trock being " July Swood on his oall Saille - That he " Has the officer who had charge of the fung

" who tried the above entitled cause when Said July retired to Consider of their ladiet -That he has carfully read the affidurity of Daniel Farrence one of the juris who mil said cause - That he had no communication Between the juny and the court, only at the instance and requests of the fury only as delevered to him by the court and that he carried no mepage from the Court to the pery only a delivered to him by the county and do near as the and remember in the lawquage of the courty that he did not deliver a mepays to Shid may or any ond of said huy of the Character aversed by the faid same Farrence or any thing that " profibly be construed To mean as by any fair Construction as the Said Farrened avers, He further avers that The was requested by said ging to inquire of the Court of the Court muld accept of a Verdick . that the faid jung that agreed A disagree that he carried said mifrage hothe court and retirmed with fung the Would not "Subsciribed and Learn to before the Et. Mest trools "this Bock day of November ax 1860 Menus Munn Clerke

And when the rock day of november an 48 " Bot the faid motion for at new Trul coming on for a hearing the aust overruled the Vaid Motion and undered gudgment, the defendant by his Comment them and how excepted and in asmuch as the Siveral " Record of Said Cause he prays the Could to Signer and Seal this Hill of Exafitions according to the Statules in Vich ouses made and provided Charles R. Stan End Judge of the 2. the fudicial brienit of the State of Illinois State of Illinois Grognois Houndy SV. A Momas Vermun Seleck of the Corrent bourt in and for paid bounty in the State afereraid do chereby certify that the above and foregoing is a true and completo and perfect transcript of the Rocard of the proceedings of the Doub sauce enlitted therein Therein the people of the State of Mhuins and Plaintiffs and Francis Wilson is defendant-and also a true copy of all

the papers on file in this court purtaining to or forming any put of the Geord of this Your in this Cause Bu altestation of which I have unto Set my name and the Veal Inquois bounty at office in Middleport in Said Sounty this 15th day of 1sit day of famon, 116/18/1 Thomas Venum lelerk State of Illinois 3 Prognois County " The Veople of the ? State of ellinois of Retion of Bastardy. Francis M. Wilson I Charles R. Starr Undge of the 20th Indicial Circuit of the State of allinois do hereby certify that the above intitled cause was tried before me in the drognois county Crient court, and that, as I believe, the foregoing record contains a full on the trial thereof-In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand this 26th day of March A.D. 1561-Cha! R. Sturr (23)

50 And now comes the dependant ty Mood his Attorney and assigns The following causes for Error on The said record I'm The court ever in allowing the Penfless to new to re-examine prosecuting withress, to new Ind The court eved in making the giving of the bank part of the dudgment of the The rendict & against Evidence The rendict & Indement is contrary to law -The dwy were unlawfully tampered With long messages from the Court of for a new trial on affidavit of newly discovered evidence The court eved in granting the 4th Instruction for the People. The court erred in refusing the The court eved in overruly motion for new trial and render judgment against the defendantfor Oltff. in Srror Mules in Emor ly I Sprick ally

The Folks of this State of Allinois Francis 21. Wilson Record Wilest april 5 m 1861 L'aleboured Celerk \$10. Ci. Olks, Jus

STATE OF ILLINOIS, supreme court, supreme court, supreme court,
To the Clerk of the Court for the County of One Smort Greeting:
Because, In the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of
the judgments of a plea which was in the Corcuit
Court of Magneris Country, before the Judge thereof, between
The People of the State of Olivoris
plaintiffs and Monneis On Milson
plaintiffs and Of Money On Con
defendant, it is said manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the aforesaid Anomeis (In Milson
the aforesaid In nancis M. Mrlson
in land to live
as we are informed by his
complainant and we being willing
that error should be corrected, if any there be, in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if
judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly, without delay, send
to our Justices of the Supreme Courts the record and proceedings of
the plaint aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal,
so that we may have the same before our fustices aforesaid at OHawa, in
the Country of La Salle, on the first Tuesday after the third Monday
in April next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may
cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done
according to law.
Witness, The Hon. John D. Raton, Chief
Justice of our said Court, and the Seal
il la Ollana ili della dan all
inercof, at Orawa, inis duly of
thereof, at Ollawa, this 3-10- day of Our Lord
Worl in the Year of Our Lord
One Thousand Eight Hundred and Sixty Ext
One Thousand Eight Hundred and Sixty Ext
One Thousand Eight Hundred and Sixty Ext
One Thousand Eight Hundred and Sixty Ext
Worl in the Year of Our Lord

09 9.0. Trancis M. Milson
No. 18.
The Purple of the
State of Ollinsis

# IN THE SUPREME COURT,

APRIL TERM, A. D. 1861.

FRANCIS M. WILSON,

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

Writ of Error to Iroquois.

This was an action of Bastardy, tried at the November term, A. D. 1860, of the Iroquois Circuit Court.

November 5th, 1860. Affidavit filed in the Circuit Court.

Rec. p. 2 State of Illinois, Iroquois County. ss.

The complaint of Lucy Francis, of said County, an unmarried woman, made before William F. Keady, Esq., one of the Justices of the Peace in and for said County, under oath, who says, that on the 19th day of last July, A. D. 1860, at the residence of Curtis Orms, in Ash Grove, in the County aforesaid, she was delivered of a female bastard child, and that Francis Wilson, of Ash Grove, in said County, is the father of the said child.

- Justice's warrant, in usual form. 3
- Appeal bond from the Justice, in the usual form.
- Justice's transcript, certified to the Circuit Court, showing that the 6 defendant was bound over to answer the charge.
- James Fletcher appointed State's Attorney to prosecute this case, November 22d, 1860.

Issue made of whether Francis Wilson is the father of a female bastard child of which Lucy Francis was delivered, on 19th day of July, 1860.

Defendant pleads not guilty.

Trial by jury. Verdict, that they find the defendant guilty.

11 Motion for a new trial.

15

16

17

- Motion for new trial overruled, November 30th, 1860. Defendant excepted.
- Judgment of the Court, that Francis Wilson do pay the sum of fifty dollars yearly, for seven years, for the support and maintenance of the said bastard child of the said Lucy Francis, together with costs.

Order to defendant to file bond in the sum of \$800, with County Judge, with James Wilson as security, conditioned for payment of judgment. Thirty days allowed to file bill of exceptions.

December 1st, 1860. Bond filed and approved; defendant discharged. December 27th, 1860, bill of exceptions filed.

## BILL OF EXCEPTIONS.

Shows that Lucy Francis was sworn, and testified that she was an unmarried woman, and gave birth to a female child 19th July, 1860. That Francis Wilson was the father of it. Had been in Ash Grove about four years. Stopped first at home, at Curtis Orms'; then went to Wilson's about a year; then to Mr. Willoughby's, and resided two weeks; then went a second time to Mr. Wilson's, and resided about two years; then, May 1st, 1859, went to Mr. Harvey's, and resided five months; then went direct to Mr. Wilson's again. Did house work at Harvey's; his wife was not living. Last fall first had intercourse with a man. It was with Francis Wilson. He is sixteen years old. He told me he would marry me. I didn't let him have intercourse the first time he asked me. He asked me half a dozen times, probably.

Details six times that she was solicited before intercourse occurred in the dining room. I stated to no person but my mother, for four days after the child was born, whose child it was. I did not within six days, nor any other time. I signed a paper within six days after, saying that it was not Francis Wilson's child. I did state to John B. Clark that the child was not Francis Wilson's; it was within four days I stated that.

22 I never told Edward Wilson, under the promise of privacy, that the child was Wesley Harvey's; I never told him any such thing. I never told him that just before I left Mr. Harvey's, he came and got into bed with me. 23 The plaintiff then proposed to re-examine the witness as to whether intercourse took place subsequent to the time about which she had been examined. Defendant objected. Objection overruled, and defendant excepted. Witness then stated that defendant had had intercourse some half a dozen times, and that Francis had threatened to penitentiary her in case she did not clear him of the charge. Francis didn't state that there was a rumor around that he was the father of the child, and that if 24 I swore to a lie it would penitentiary me. Francis said that there was a report that he was the father of the child. And he also said if I swore false it would penitentiary me. 25 Details subsequent interviews, and all in the dining room. Was sure that Mrs. Wilson did not occupy the lounge there. Nancy Orms sworn, and testified that the child was born 19th July, 26 1860. She was at Harvey's from the first of May, 1859, till the 2d of October after; then went to Wilson's, and staid till July 15th, 1860. Melissa Francis testified to Francis telling Lucy that she was a nice 27 girl, and threatened to penitentiary her if she did not clear him. Did not hear distinctly all that was said. Harvey came two days after the child was born, and wanted to know if Lucy swore the child on to him. He came again in about a week and a half. John B. Clark sworn on the part of the defendant. I went to Mr. 29 Orms' house some time in July last. Edward Wilson went with me. I had an affidavit written out, exonerating Francis Wilson from being the father of the child. She said she was willing to sign the affidavit and be qualified to it. She signed it and I qualified her to it: STATE OF ILLINOIS, | ss. Iroquois County. Before me, John B. Clark, a Justice of the Peace, personally came Lucy Francis, who being by me duly sworn, deposeth and, saith, that the child of which she was lately delivered does not belong to Francis M. Wilson. Signed and sworn to July 24th, 1860. 30 She seemed calm and quiet. She had some conversation with Mr. Edward Wilson. He told her that he was friendly to her, and if his brother was guilty he did not wish her to exculpate him. She signed the affidavit voluntarily, and I swore her to it.

John H. Stidham testified that he visited Lucy Francis, and that he cautioned her as to what she might talk about the matter, and advised her to send for the father of the child, and settle with him privately. She then told me it was not Frank's child, and that she never said it was. Edward Wilson said, since you have cleared Frank, would you have any objection if we brought Squire Clark here and have him take your affidavit to that effect. She said "No." She understood herself at the time, and had the possession of her faculties, if I am any judge.

31

37

38

40

Edward Wilson and I went out and left Francis in with Lucy awhile, and I think this conversation occurred after we went in.

Edward Wilson testified to about the same as Esq. Stidham, and then goes on to say, that after Stidham had left, he had a long conversation with Lucy, and she told him that the child was Wesley Harvey's. Mrs. Orm told Lucy the next day that she didn't want any more of her lying. I told her not to sign the affidavit unless it was true. My mother occupied the dining room from the sixth or eighth of October till about the 20th of that month.

Wesley Harvey swore that he never had had sexual intercourse with Lucy Francis.

Bill of exceptions contains all the evidence.

The Court gave the following instruction for the People:

That if the jury believe from the evidence that the People have proven the child of Lucy Francis to be the child of Francis Wilson, and that the defendant, Francis, has failed to show by evidence that such is not the fact, then the law is for the plaintiff, the People.

To the giving of which instruction the defendant excepted.

The Court refused to give the following instruction, to which the defendant excepted:

The jury are further instructed, that the defendant is not bound to prove that Wesley Harvey, or anybody else, is the father of the child, and if the jury believe from the evidence that Francis Wilson had sexual intercourse with Lucy Francis, and also that any other person had sexual intercourse with her, within the period of the duration of pregnancy immediately prior to her delivery, then they must be satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the evidence for the prosecution excludes the probability of that other person being the real father of the child, else the law is for the defendant.

John H. Stidham testified that he visited Lucy Francis, and that he cautioned her as to what she might talk about the matter, and advised her to send for the father of the child, and settle with him privately. She then told me it was not Frank's child, and that she never said it was. Edward Wilson said, since you have cleared Frank, would you have any objection if we brought Squire Clark here and have him take your affidavit to that effect. She said "No." She understood herself at the time, and had the possession of her faculties, if I am any judge.

31

37

38

40

Edward Wilson and I went out and left Francis in with Lucy awhile, and I think this conversation occurred after we went in.

Edward Wilson testified to about the same as Esq. Stidham, and then goes on to say, that after Stidham had left, he had a long conversation with Lucy, and she told him that the child was Wesley Harvey's. Mrs. Orm told Lucy the next day that she didn't want any more of her lying. I told her not to sign the affidavit unless it was true. My mother occupied the dining room from the sixth or eighth of October till about the 20th of that month.

Wesley Harvey swore that he never had had sexual intercourse with Lucy Francis.

Bill of exceptions contains all the evidence.

The Court gave the following instruction for the People:

That if the jury believe from the evidence that the People have proven the child of Lucy Francis to be the child of Francis Wilson, and that the defendant, Francis, has failed to show by evidence that such is not the fact, then the law is for the plaintiff, the People.

To the giving of which instruction the defendant excepted.

The Court refused to give the following instruction, to which the defendant excepted:

The jury are further instructed, that the defendant is not bound to prove that Wesley Harvey, or anybody else, is the father of the child, and if the jury believe from the evidence that Francis Wilson had sexual intercourse with Lucy Francis, and also that any other person had sexual intercourse with her, within the period of the duration of pregnancy immediately prior to her delivery, then they must be satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the evidence for the prosecution excludes the probability of that other person being the real father of the child, else the law is for the defendant.

Affidavit of defendant, showing that he can prove by his mother, who occupied the dining room at the time the girl swore intercourse took place, she being then sick there, that it could not possibly have been done. And that he could not foresee that the girl would have sworn so as to render this testimony necessary.

Affidavit of juror, Daniel Lawrence, that the Court sent two or three messages to them in relation to their duty, the last one of which was, "That a verdict of the jury to disagree would not be accepted by the Court," and then added, "that the jury would have to be all agreed before they could give in a verdict and be discharged;" which induced affiant to agree to a verdict against his conscience, and supposing he was complying with a legal requirement of the Court, else he would not have done so.

Affidavit of Westbrook does not controvert affidavit of Lawrence.

Defendant excepts to overruling motion for a new trial.

#### ERRORS ASSIGNED.

The Court erred in allowing the prosecuting witness to examine to new matter.

The Court erred in making the giving of the bond part of the judgment of the Court.

The verdict is against the evidence.

47

48

The verdict and judgment are contrary to law.

The jury were unlawfully tampered with by messages from the Court.

The Court erred in overruling motion for a new trial on affidavit of new discovered evidence.

The Court erred in granting the 4th instruction for the People.

The Court erred in refusing the 4th instruction for defendant.

The Court erred in overruling motion for a new trial, and rendering judgment against the defendant.

Francis M. Wilson
Petff. in Error
The People
Abstracts Filrd afr. 25-1861 L. Laland Clark

Supreme Court of the State of Illinois April Term AD. 1860 Francis Mo Willsin } The Beple & C Fornto & authorties submitted by Defo in Error It was not ever to allow the re examination of the witness as to the new matter but a matter of discretion with the court of Binney 485 4 Wend 248. 6 hend 268-8 lein - 65- This is not a cummal case, for if in is the defendent must be conhèles art 18 Lec. 10 State lecrut and the rules new not be adhered to as stretty as in cases of that nature Although the statute does not require that the giving of the ound shall be made part of the gadgement, get ih requires the bond to be given (Sasterdy act deed) and that

and that he did not went her to violate her conscience on any thing. she said or did, nor to tell him any of her secrets and then civilly asks if she would have any objection to their sending for a magistrate and taking her affidant that the dependent was not the father of her Chila and this two while her guends were all absent-for the relamins purposes of entrapping and pergring an unhwhected weak and imputionate geil Sh is not strange that the Endences should show as body sen it does that the was restless and slept none the following night

The Evidence stoes not even tend to show, and it is not spectarded, that she has, intercourse with any facision other than the aspendent & Harvey, The Crine Cedween the brith and the stay of when she left Heavey & last had an apportunity for intercourse with him, is greater than the period of pregnancy but what places the matter beyond doubt is, that Hearvey swears absoluted and megnino early that he never had interesuse with her The abstrach does not set out the Evidence fully, but omits a loge which is important for the people

The affidavith of newly discovered Endence in support of the motion for a new trial, is not show sufficient It does not show suffecient Oulligence— the Endence is Cumulative— one sutnep testoying to the same thing on the trial and it goes to inheach the frozecular 14 Ill 141

The jury were not impropelly communicated with by the court They were foolled and each answered that ih was his werdich, The appropriet of the fury shows that he said not deliver the message mentioned

in the purges affections, and that he was requested by the fury to ingure of the court if the court it that would accept of a vertical that the pury had agreed to disagree which he did and returned to them the answer of the court which was that he would not affectants of furors common be received to impeach their versued or explain the ground of it

The foruth instruction asked by the defendent was bruperly refused because it assumes That if any person besides the deperseent has intercourse with the prosecuting during pregnang There is a purbability that that person was the bester of Even of he had had but me eineelin and that emeter oul one hour before the brith- and because it regues the jung to be satisfico beyond a ressonable devola this not herry a cumunal prisecution Of Junes Dates Othy

Arlson 9-P.D. The People

Porits Dantontés for Defts in Esson

Filed May 4-1861. L. Gland Clark Supreme Ceaut of the State of Illinois April Ferna AD 1861

> Francis Me Willson Vs The People &c

Points and authorities submitted by Deft in Errer

It was not even to allow the re examination of the witness as to the monature, but a maker of discretion with the Court of discretion with the Court of Binney 488. 4 Wend 249, EMEND 249, EMEND 249, EMEND 249, EMEND 249, EMEND 249, Emminal cose for if the fer the defendent must be inducted sit 18 See to State Cent - and the rules need out adhers we as strictly as in eises of the nature

Although the statute dees not require that the goving of the bond shall be made from of the Judgement, get, it requires the bond to be given Basterey act See, and that being made fort of the proposition made

not prejudice or effect the dependents
rights and therefore would not
minds it is shrely surplusage

The testimony was amply suffeciend to authorize the Judgement. There is no empled Except so for as The appropriet is concerned, That was obtained four days after the confinement of prosecuting - a girl of Eighteen geors - while she was weak and feeble - under the inpluence of bear Caused by the threats of the defendent That if she sid not clear him he would henitentier her's have of flattery and strong prienship whispered in her eary by the specious toungue of the virtues Stillham, who tells her that The was always an amable and honest girl and a needel for others, that although unfutunot The world always have friends one. that he did not want her to robote her conscience in any thing she said or did, nor to tell him any of her seculs and then evolly asks if she would have any objection to

Then sending for a magnitude and taking when appelanio that the defendent not the puther of her Child, and all be friends were absent for the villeneous purpose of Entrepping and personal an unprotected weak and unprotunate girl, It is not strange that the Evenence should show, as it does, that she was restless and slepto none the following ought

The Endince does not even cend to show, one to is not pittended that she had eintercourse with any person other them the defended & Horry, The time active the with and the day when she left Horry & less has an opportunity for intercourse with him, is greater than the freedolif period of frequency but what places the matter acyons doubt is, that Horry swears absolutely & mequivocally that he never has intercourse with her The abstract does not set ont the everdence fully, but omito a longe fort which is important for the perfle

The appedant of newly diseased evidence is in support of the sufferent In does not show suffered dilligence - the evidence is commutative one curtrefo testifying to the same thing on the trust one it goes to and it gaes to impeach the prosecuting 14 Ill 141

The july were not inspectly communicated with to the end the one each onswered that it was his verdrot, The affidorith of the officer on they of the full of the shows that he did not deliver the message mentioned in the jury afficient, but that he was requested by the pury to injure of the court of the court of the court of the pury to injure a celebor of a verdict that the jury

had agreed to disagree which he which he which he one or returned to the court which mes that he would not the appropriate of Jersons cannot be received to compeach their metico of seamy 51

The fourth instruction asked by the dependent was property represen because it assumes that if any person besides the defendent had intercourse north the presenting during foregrency there is a probability that theo Juson was the father ever of he had had but one enmenter and that one only on home before the birth and be course A requires the firmy to be satisfied legared as stouted - this not being a commal presecution Do Jones States allorney

Milson 9-129. Mer Peuple Forits & tuttorités for Deft ni Erron

Filed May 4-1861 Leland Olrok

## IN THE SUPREME COURT,

APRIL TERM, A. D. 1861.

FRANCIS M. WILSON,

Plaintiff in Error, Writ of Error to

Iroquois.

ADS.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

Defendants in Error.

This was an action of Bastardy, tried at the November term, A. D. 1860, of the Iroquois Circuit Court.

November 5th, 1860. Affidavit filed in the Circuit Court.

Rec. p. 2 State of Illinois, } ss.

The complaint of Lucy Francis, of said County, an unmarried woman, made before William F. Keady, Esq., one of the Justices of the Peace in and for said County, under oath, who says, that on the 19th day of last July, A. D. 1860, at the residence of Curtis Orms, in Ash Grove, in the County aforesaid, she was delivered of a female bastard child, and that Francis Wilson, of Ash Grove, in said County, is the father of the said child.

- Justice's warrant, in usual form.
- 4 Appeal bond from the Justice, in the usual form.
- Justice's transcript, certified to the Circuit Court, showing that the defendant was bound over to answer the charge.
- James Fletcher appointed State's Attorney to prosecute this case, November 22d, 1860.

Issue made of whether Francis Wilson is the father of a female bastard child of which Lucy Francis was delivered, on 19th day of 10 July, 1860. Defendant pleads not guilty. Trial by jury. Verdict, that they find the defendant guilty. Motion for a new trial. 11 Motion for new trial overruled, November 30th, 1860. Defendant 12 excepted. Judgment of the Court, that Francis Wilson do pay the sum of fifty 13 dollars yearly, for seven years, for the support and maintenance of the said bastard child of the said Lucy Francis, together with costs. Order to defendant to file bond in the sum of \$800, with County Judge, with James Wilson as security, conditioned for payment of judgment. Thirty days allowed to file bill of exceptions. December 1st, 1860. Bond filed and approved; defendant dis-14 charged. December 27th, 1860, bill of exceptions filed. BILL OF EXCEPTIONS. 15 Shows that Lucy Francis was sworn, and testified that she was an unmarried woman, and gave birth to a female child 19th July, 1860. That Francis Wilson was the father of it. Had been in Ash Grove about four years. Stopped first at home, at Curtis Orms'; then went to Wilson's about a year; then to Mr. Willoughby's, and resided two weeks; 16 then went a second time to Mr. Wilson's, and resided about two years; then, May 1st, 1859, went to Mr. Harvey's, and resided five months; then went direct to Mr. Wilson's again. Did house work at Harvey's; his wife was not living. Last fall first had intercourse with a man. It was with Francis Wilson. He is sixteen years old. He told me he would marry me. I didn't let him have intercourse the first time he asked me. He asked me half a dozen times, probably. 17 Details six times that she was solicited before intercourse occurred in the dining room. I stated to no person but my mother, for four days 18-20 after the child was born, whose child it was. I did not within six days, nor any other time. I signed a paper within six days after, saying that it was not Francis Wilson's child. I did state to John B. Clark that the child was not Francis Wilson's; it was within four days I stated that.

22 I never told Edward Wilson, under the promise of privacy, that the child was Wesley Harvey's; I never told him any such thing. I never told him that just before I left Mr. Harvey's, he came and got into bed with me. 23 The plaintiff then proposed to re-examine the witness as to whether intercourse took place subsequent to the time about which she had been examined. Defendant objected. Objection overruled, and defendant excepted. Witness then stated that defendant had had intercourse some half a dozen times, and that Francis had threatened to penitentiary her in case she did not clear him of the charge. Francis didn't state that 24 there was a rumor around that he was the father of the child, and that if I swore to a lie it would penitentiary me. Francis said that there was a report that he was the father of the child. And he also said if I swore false it would penitentiary me. 25 Details subsequent interviews, and all in the dining room. Was sure that Mrs. Wilson did not occupy the lounge there. Nancy Orms sworn, and testified that the child was born 19th July, 26 1860. She was at Harvey's from the first of May, 1859, till the 2d of October after; then went to Wilson's, and staid till July 15th, 1860. Melissa Francis testified to Francis telling Lucy that she was a nice 27 girl, and threatened to penitentiary her if she did not clear him. Did not hear distinctly all that was said. Harvey came two days after the child was born, and wanted to know if Lucy swore the child on to him. He came again in about a week and a half. John B. Clark sworn on the part of the defendant. I went to Mr. 29 Orms' house some time in July last. Edward Wilson went with me. I had an affidavit written out, exonerating Francis Wilson from being the father of the child. She said she was willing to sign the affidavit and be qualified to it. She signed it and I qualified her to it: STATE OF ILLINOIS, ss. Before me, John B. Clark, a Justice of the Peace, personally came Lucy Francis, who being by me duly sworn, deposeth and saith, that the child of which she was lately delivered does not belong to Francis M. Wilson. Signed and sworn to July 24th, 1860. 30 She seemed calm and quiet. She had some conversation with Mr. Edward Wilson. He told her that he was friendly to her, and if his brother was guilty he did not wish her to exculpate him. She signed the affidavit voluntarily, and I swore her to it.

John H. Stidham testified that he visited Lucy Francis, and that he cautioned her as to what she might talk about the matter, and advised her to send for the father of the child, and settle with him privately. 31 She then told me it was not Frank's child, and that she never said it was. Edward Wilson said, since you have cleared Frank, would you have any objection if we brought Squire Clark here and have him take your affidavit to that effect. She said "No." She understood herself at the time, and had the possession of her faculties, if I am any judge. 32 Edward Wilson and I went out and left Francis in with Lucy awhile, and I think this conversation occurred after we went in. Edward Wilson testified to about the same as Esq. Stidham, and 34 then goes on to say, that after Stidham had left, he had a long conversation with Lucy, and she told him that the child was Wesley Harvey's. Mrs. Orm told Lucy the next day that she didn't want any more of her lying. I told her not to sign the affidavit unless it was true. My mother 35 occupied the dining room from the sixth or eighth of October till about the 20th of that month. Wesley Harvey swore that he never had had sexual intercourse 37 with Lucy Francis. Bill of exceptions contains all the evidence. The Court gave the following instruction for the People: That if the jury believe from the evidence that the People have proven the child of Lucy Francis to be the child of Francis Wilson, and that the defendant, Francis, has failed to show by evidence that such is not the fact, then the law is for the plaintiff, the People. 38 To the giving of which instruction the defendant excepted. 40

The Court refused to give the following instruction, to which the defendant excepted:

The jury are further instructed, that the defendant is not bound to prove that Wesley Harvey, or anybody else, is the father of the child, and if the jury believe from the evidence that Francis Wilson had sexual intercourse with Lucy Francis, and also that any other person had sexual intercourse with her, within the period of the duration of pregnancy immediately prior to her delivery, then they must be satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt that the evidence for the prosecution excludes the probability of that other person being the real father of the child, else the law is for the defendant.

Affidavit of defendant, showing that he can prove by his mother, who occupied the dining room at the time the girl swore intercourse took place, she being then sick there, that it could not possibly have been done. And that he could not foresee that the girl would have sworn so as to render this testimony necessary.

Affidavit of juror, Daniel Lawrence, that the Court sent two or three messages to them in relation to their duty, the last one of which was, "That a verdict of the jury to disagree would not be accepted by the Court," and then added, "that the jury would have to be all agreed before they could give in a verdict and be discharged;" which induced affiant to agree to a verdict against his conscience, and supposing he was complying with a legal requirement of the Court, else he would not have done so.

Affidavit of Westbrook does not controvert affidavit of Lawrence.

Defendant excepts to overruling motion for a new trial.

47

### ERRORS ASSIGNED.

The Court erred in allowing the prosecuting witness to examine to new matter.

The Court erred in making the giving of the bond part of the judgment of the Court.

The verdict is against the evidence.

The verdict and judgment are contrary to law.

The jury were unlawfully tampered with by messages from the Court.

The Court erred in overruling motion for a new trial on affidavit of new discovered evidence.

The Court erred in granting the 4th instruction for the People.

The Court erred in refusing the 4th instruction for defendant.

The Court erred in overruling motion for a new trial, and rendering judgment against the defendant.

Francis M. Wilson
Petff. in Error
Dre Perfle
Abstracts

Filed Olp. 25-1861 L. Leland Clark