

No. \_\_\_\_\_

**12207**

Supreme Court of Illinois

People, ex. rel.

---

vs.

Stevens

---

71641  7

~~F~~  
Proprietary  
J. P. Stevens

IRD

17407

1855

1855

The People of the State of  
Illinois ex. d. Peter Butter

vs      } Application to strike Attorney's name from  
Isaac P. Stevens - } the Roll.

On motion of the  
Relator by Van H. Higgins his Counsel it is ordered  
by the Court that Isaac P. Stevens an Attorney and  
Counsellor at law in & for the State of Illinois show cause  
by Monday morning the third day of July next why  
his name should not be stricken from the Roll of  
attorneys & counsellors at law in & for said State  
of Illinois - and it is further ordered that a copy  
of the foregoing order & also a copy of the grounds  
of complaint & charges exhibited against the said  
Isaac P. Stevens shall be served upon him at least  
two days before the said third day of July A.D. 1854.

State of Illinois } In the Supreme Court  
} of the Third Circuit Division  
} of Illinois

The People of the State of Illinois  
in the relation of Peter Button vs Isaac  
I Stevens an Attorney & Counsellor at Law

And the said Peter Button being  
first duly sworn upon his oath deposes and  
says That one Isaac I. Stevens of the City of  
Galena County of Jo Daviess and State of  
Illinois is an attorney and Counsellor at  
Law in and for the State of Illinois & as such  
has been practising for several years at said  
City of Galena. And that some time in the  
year 1850 between the first day of January  
and the latter part of the month of March  
A.D. 1850 this affiant placed in the hands of  
said Stevens a claim for collection against  
one Fuller Hamham amounting with the  
interest at the time it was collected to about  
one hundred & eighty dollars. Said claim  
was a bond or articles of agreement signed  
by this affiant & said Hamham and was in  
the words and figures following, to wit:

Galena Sept 29th 1849

" Articles of Agreement made and entered  
" into this 29th day day of September A.D. 1849  
" between Peter Button of the City of Galena of the  
" first party and Fuller Hamham of same  
" Precinct of the second party witnesseth that the  
" said party of the first part for and in consideration

" of the Covenants hereinafter mentioned does agree  
" to rent the place with the lime kilns and lots thereto  
" situated on Seine River  $\frac{1}{2}$  below Gear's Landing for the  
" term of one year with the privilege of three if wanted -  
" possession to be given during the winter of 1850 in  
" season for the next summer's business at  
" burning lime

" In consideration of the above agreement  
" the said party of the second part does agree to  
" pay the sum of thirty dollars per year in three  
different payments, - ten dollars the first day  
" of April August and December during his  
possession and that he will pay all taxes on the  
same that shall be apesed from time to time,  
Also to pay two hundred and seventy five  
" barrels of lime per year to be delivered to the orders  
of said Button in quantities as called for And  
further, the said party of the second part  
does covenant and agree that he will not alter  
or destroy any thing that will violate the lease  
of Birchfield & Pierce to the said party of the  
first part nor suffer the same to be done by  
others without the consent of the Buttons being  
first obtained

In witness whereof we have  
hereunto set our hands and  
seals day and date first  
above written

Peter Button   
Fuller Hamham 

Witness  
Crastus Hamham  
John Walters

The original articles of Agreement affiant can

This affiant further says that on or about the 2nd, day of October A.D. 1852 this affiant again addressed a letter to said Stevens requesting him to pay over the money so collected by him for this affiant and that said Stevens in reply addressed to this affiant a letter of which the following is a true copy,

"Galena Oct 6th 1852

Mr Peter Bullock

Dear Sir

By Mr Higgins

I received your note of the 2nd inst - in reply to which I would say that I have heretofore on two occasions fully written you upon the matter since which time there has been no steps taken whatever Please write me fully upon the matter and what you think I had better do

I am Sir

Very truly

Yours &c

I. P. Stevens"

The original letter now in the possession of this affiant he is ready to produce as the Hon. Court may direct which letter is also in the proper hand writing of said Isaac P. Stevens

This affiant further states on his oath that he caused several other verbal demands to be made for said money upon the said Stevens by the said James Keeler and by John W Campbell Esq - and that he was willing that said Stevens should retain his reasonable fees out of the same as well as

his expenses and that his said agents so advised & informed said Stevens. Affiant further says that his said agents were duly authorized to receive said money and to make said allowance of said reasonable fees and expenses - but that the said Stevens refused to pay over said money pretending at first that he had not collected the money and afterwards that he had compromised the said claim for a trifle and when pressed for the money with the charge that he had collected \$180<sup>f</sup> said he had other fees against this affiant and then and there neglected to pay over said money so collected after deducting his reasonable fees and expenses contrary to law and his duty as an attorney of the courts of this state.

This affiant further says that he caused an action at law to be brought to recover the said money so collected by said Stevens & that upon the trial of the same which took place in Jo Daviess County at the May term A.D. 1854 of the Circuit Court of said County, This affiant having proved the collection of said money by said Stevens & the demand made upon him for the same rested his case. And thereupon the said Stevens introduced as a defense to said action and read in evidence on the trial thereof between this affiant and said Stevens so commenced as aforesaid, a bill of sale of which the following is a true copy.

produce to the Court here having procured  
the same from said Hamham

This affiant further states upon  
his oath that the said bond or articles of agree-  
ment remained in the hands of said Stevens  
for collection, and that the same was, during  
all the time that said Stevens had possession  
of the same, the property of this affiant, & that  
he never sold assigned nor in any manner  
authorized any person whatever to sell or assign  
the said claim or demand to said Isaac  
P. Stevens.

Affiant further says that he never  
did sell or assign said claim to Isaac P.  
Stevens, nor in any manner whatever transfer  
the same to him - but that the said bond or  
articles of agreement and the said claim  
of this affiant against said Fuller Hamham  
and the money collected on the same except  
the fees due for collecting the same was and is  
the proper money of this affiant.

This affiant further says that he removed  
from the City of Galena about the tenth or eleventh  
day of March A.D. 1851 - and that he did  
not return to Jo Daviess County until the  
month of May A.D. 1854. But this affiant  
further says that he wrote several letters to  
said Isaac P. Stevens requesting him to ~~for~~  
collect and pay over said money to this affiant,  
and also requested him (said Stevens)  
to pay said money over to one James Keeler of  
Galena the agent of this affiant.

This affiant further says that the  
said Stevens collected of said Hamham on the

30<sup>th</sup> day of July A.D. 1851 in full of said articles  
of agreement the sum of one hundred and  
eighty dollars which said G. Farnham paid  
voluntarily without suit or legal proceeding  
except that in the Spring of 1850 before Farnham  
went to California said Stevens talked about  
getting out a writ of H. C. Exeat which Judge  
Shelden Affiant understood refused to  
allow because Farnham's family and prop-  
erty remained in Illinois.

This affiant further says  
that after said money was collected by said  
Stevens he addressed him another letter and  
that he received from said Stevens in reply,  
a letter of which the following is a copy,

"Galena Oct 19th / 51

Mr P Button

Dear Sir

Your letter reached  
here in my absence - It has just been read  
and I hasten to return you an answer.  
I have been out to F's once - he has returned  
from California. He says that he has learned  
that you let out the place to Starr before the  
year was up - Is this so - If such be the case  
you cannot recover from him - Write me  
at once about this matter & tell me what to do

Respectfully yours &c

J. P. Stevens"

The original of which this affiant has ready  
to produce to this Honorable Court in the proper  
hand writing of said Stevens.

"For fees & for moneys due S. P. Stevens I hereby sell to him my demand against Dr. Farnham now in said Stevens' hands for collecting.

April 5th 1851

P. Button"

the original of which this affiant has in his possession ready to produce as this Honorable Court shall direct. The body of said bill of sale is in the hand writing of the said Stevens but the signature this affiant believes to be his and that the said assignment was written over the signature to one of this affiant's letters addressed to said Stevens. Affiant further says that by reason of said bill of sale said Stevens on said trial obtained a verdict in his favor. This affiant further says that the said bill of sale is a forgery & that he never executed the same nor authorized any one to do it for him and that he never sold said claim to said Stevens nor in any manner transferred, assigned, or disposed of said claim or demand to said Stevens and that said bill of sale is a forgery and that the moneys collected by said Stevens from said Farnham belonged to this affiant and not to said Stevens who had no right to retain any part of the same except for his fees and expenses in collecting the same. This affiant therefore applies and seeks for a rule upon the said Isaac P. Stevens to show cause, at any time to be fixed by this Honorable Court, why his name should not be stricken from the Roll of attorneys in and for the State

of Illinois

For a second cause of striking the name of said Isaac P Stevens from the Roll of attorneys in & for the State of Illinois. This relator states that he has been informed and believes and so charges the fact to be and now here avers his readiness to produce the witnesses to establish the truth thereof as this Honorable Court shall direct.

That heretofore to wit some time in the month of January A.D. 1834 or thereabouts that said Isaac P. Stevens as an ~~acting~~ attorney at law attended before certain Justices of the Peace in Jo Daniels County to wit; Henry G Park & George Mc Mitchell Esqrs and during an examination of certain persons, who had been arrested for the crime of Counterfeiting and when then and there detained & being & being examined by said Justices for the crime of Counterfeiting or passing Counterfeit money with which crime the said persons had been charged under oath and had been arrested by warrants issued by said Justices or one of them or one of them And that the said Isaac P. Stevens appeared as the attorney for said persons charged with said crime of passing Counterfeit money and as such attorney asked to see said Counterfeit money which said Justices then had & which was

Counterfeit & had been feloniously passed  
as such by said persons. Whereupon the  
said Justices having permitted the said  
Stevens to see said Counterfeit Bills and  
to take the same in his hands; he the  
said Stevens refused to return the same  
to the said Justices and kept the same  
against the wishes & remonstrances of said  
Justices, leaving the Court room occupied  
by said Justices & taking with him the  
said Counterfeit money, in order to  
prevent and obstruct the course of justice  
& to take away the evidence of the guilt of  
said persons & so to prevent them being  
recognized to answer the offense with  
which they were charged before the said  
Justices.

And this affiant further says that  
the grand Jury of said Jo Daviess County  
found an Indictment against said Stevens  
for said offense which the said Stevens  
procured to be quashed because the said  
offense was not indictable as a crime,  
Therefore this affiant applies for and  
asks for a rule upon the said Isaac P.  
Stevens to show cause, at a time to be  
fixed by this Honorable Court why his  
name should not be stricken from the  
Roll of Attorneys in and for the State of  
Illinois.

Subscribed & sworn } Peter Button  
to before me this 10<sup>th</sup> }  
day of June AD 1854 }

I, the <sup>Wm</sup> J. Stewart Notary Public for the City  
& Chicago

State of Illinois P.

J. Lorenzo Leland Clerk of the Supreme Court of said State, 3<sup>d</sup> Grand Division, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true & correct copy of the order of said Supreme Court entered of record on the 14<sup>th</sup> day of June A.D. 1854, & also of the Petition filed, in the case of The People of the State of Illinois ex. rel. Peter Button vs Isaac P. Stevens as the same appear of record & on file in my office.

In witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand & affixed the seal of said Court, at Ottawa, this 14<sup>th</sup> day of June A.D. 1854.

J. Leland Clerk.

State of Illinois  
Iolauee County

The Neighen Sheriff of said County of Iolauee and State of Illinois appeared before me and after being duly sworn did depose & say that he had on the 20<sup>th</sup> day of June A.D. 1854. delivered to Isaac P. Stevens. a true and correct copy of the order of the Supreme Court third grand division in & for said State of Illinois entered of record on the 14<sup>th</sup> day of June A.D. 1854. together with the petition filed in the case of the people of the state of Illinois ex. rel. Peter Button vs the said Isaac P. Stevens as appears from the foregoing certified copy of the same the same being a full copy of the foregoing transcript & certificate given & subscribed before Iolauee for

me this 20<sup>th</sup> day of June  
A.D. 1854 as witness my  
hand & the Seal of the County  
Court of said Plaintiff & Co. etc  
Attest Wm H Bradley Clerk

W. H. Bradley  
W. H. Bradley  
W. H. Bradley

7

The People a Relator  
Peter Bullock

vs  
Isaac P. Stevens

Motion for Rule to  
Show cause why  
Attorneys name should  
not be stricken from  
the Roll -

Copy of Aff

Filed June 22<sup>nd</sup> 1854.

L. Leland C.R.

Filed June 14<sup>th</sup> 1854

L. Leland A.A.

Office fees

Ex. & Rec'tg — \$ 60

Defendant — 10

Copy — 25<sup>0</sup>

\$ 735.

In Supreme Court

The People upon the Relation of Peter Button Motion for  
of Peter Button Rule to Show  
Cause &c.

Isaac P. Stevens

Isaac P. Stevens the defendant above named being duly sworn says that the material statements made by the Relator in this case so far as the same impute to this affiant the commission of any crime or any improper motives in relation to the accusations made against him in his character as an attorney of this court; and further; and that he is not guilty of either of the charges made against him in said affidavit, and he believes if true for that purpose it allowed him to certify this court, that this prosecution against him by the Relator is malicious and unfounded. This affiant further states that he has reason to believe and does believe from the manner in which the Relator has already sworn in this proceeding, that he is prepared to swear to almost any state of facts that he then would be likely to produce affiants conviction either here or in the circuit court of Franklin County where a prosecution is pending against affiant for having forged the assignment in said Relators affidavit mentioned, he therefore deems it imprudent (under advice of counsel) at this time to disclose fully the ground of his defence to this proceeding, because the same may

under the circumstances before & hereinafter stated  
injustice his right in this proceeding and also  
in the trial of the criminal prosecution pending  
against him in Said County of Geo Davis

Affiant further states that a suit is  
still pending against him the Circuit Court of  
Geo Davis County in favor of the Relator Peter  
Button for the Recovery of the money which  
Said Button alleges this affiant collected  
for, and refused to pay over to him the  
Said Button. That upon a former trial of  
Said cause in Said Geo Davis Circuit  
Court a Verdict was rendered in affiants  
favor in Said cause, That a Motion was  
made for a new trial in Said cause - one  
ground of which Said Motion was the  
alleged forging of the assignment before  
referred to; that this affiant then assented  
to the Verdict being set aside and a new  
trial granted in Said cause & the same  
now stands for hearing at the next term  
of the Circuit Court of Geo Davis County  
at which time he confidently believes  
that a trial upon the merits of the whole  
question will judicially determine that  
he is not guilty of the charge of refusing  
to pay over money collected by him  
and belonging to the Relator.

and this affiant further  
states that a prosecution is pending against  
him in the Said Circuit Court of Geo

Davies County for having forged the assignment  
in the Relators affidavit mentioned - That  
a complaint was made against him for said  
forgery at the second term of the Court when  
the Civil Suit before mentioned was tried  
& that he then without demanding any in-  
vestigation voluntarily entered into a Recog-  
nizance to appear at the next Circuit  
Court to answer the said complaint &  
he expects and believes that the same will  
(if the prosecutor appears) be tried at the  
next term of the said Geo Davies Circuit  
Court & that he will be acquitted of  
the said charge; and he is advised by  
counsel and believes that his rights & interests  
may be seriously prejudiced upon the trial  
of said Criminal cause, if this proceeding  
is tried and adjudicated at the present term  
of this Court and before the trial of said  
cause.

Affiant further states that he is ad-  
vised by counsel and believes, that if the  
verdicts in said Civil & Criminal proceedings  
shall be found and returned in his favor  
that he would have the right, (whether the  
same would or would not be conclusive  
upon the questions), to use the verdicts thus  
found as evidence, to say the least  
tending to shew that he is not guilty  
of, & ought not to be struck from the  
Roll of Attorneys on account of, either of the  
said alleged offences.

If the verdict should be found against affiant  
in the criminal prosecution he supposes of course  
that he could make no further defense to this  
proceeding

Affiant further states that he has  
witnesses which he deems material for his  
defense in this proceeding, whose testimony  
or attendance he can not procure at the present  
term of this court. One of them Isaac L.  
Potter whose former residence was at Galena Ill.  
is now in California and is expected to return  
during the ensuing fall - One named —  
Smith and one named — Robinson whose  
Christian names affiant does not remember  
and one named R.R. Meurer, all of whom  
Reside in Walworth County Wisconsin, and  
whose testimony or attendance he has not had  
reasonable time to procure at this term.

These witnesses as before stated are  
as he is advised and believes material and  
necessary to his defense in this proceeding but  
he is advised by counsel & believes the same to be  
true, that for the reasons herein before stated  
it might prejudice his rights and interests in  
this & in the criminal prosecution aforesaid against  
him if he were to disclose at this time the  
particular facts which he expects to prove by  
said witness and further soth not.

Subscribed & sworn to  
before me this 11<sup>th</sup> day of  
July A.D. 1854

L. Leland Clerk  
By P.W. Leland Dep. Atty.

J. R. Stevens.

Leland, B.

Mr. Leland  
Clerk. Supreme Court.

Tell Cook to enter Plea  
if not guilty in the case  
of ~~the~~ people, & on complaint  
of Peter Butter vs J. P. Stevens  
former to & will be done  
Thursday next. A sick child  
prevented my being there today

J. P. Stevens,

11207-2

The People of  
Isaac P. Stevens,

B. C. Crook states on oath that  
he this morning received a telegraphic  
dispatch from Isaac Stevens stating  
that said Stevens was necessarily detained  
at home by the sickness of one of his  
children and that he would be here  
tomorrow, and that affidavit believes

~~that the fact so stated is true~~ <sup>that the dispatch</sup>  
~~is untrue and untrue~~

Subscd. I. C. Crook to  
before me July 5. 1854.

L. Leland Clk.

B. C. Crook

People v Stevens  
aff'd T. J. B. C. Cook

Filed July 5. 1854.  
Cleveland Ohio.

State of Illinois } In the Supreme Court  
 } of the Third Grand Division  
 } of Illinois

The People of the State of  
 Illinois on the relation of Peter Button vs  
 Isaac J. Stevens an Attorney & Counsellor  
 at Law

And the said Peter Button  
 being first duly sworn upon his oath deposes  
 and says, That one Isaac J. Stevens of the  
 City of Galena County of Jo Daviss and  
 State of Illinois is an Attorney and Counsellor  
 at Law in and for the State of Illinois &  
 as such has been practising for several years  
 at said City of Galena. And that some time  
 in the year 1850 between the first day of January  
 and the latter part of the month of March A.  
 D. 1850, this affiant placed in the hands of  
 said Stevens a claim for collection against one  
 Fuller Hamblin amounting with the interest  
 at the time it was collected to about one hundred  
 & eighty dollars. Said claim on a bond or  
 articles of agreement signed by this affiant &  
 said Hamblin and was in the words and  
 figures following, to wit:

"Galena Sept 29th 1849

Articles of Agreement made and entered into this  
 29th day day of September A.D. 1849 between  
 Peter Button of the City of Galena of the first  
 part and Fuller Hamblin of this Precinct  
 of the second part. Witnesseth That the said  
 party of the first part for and in consideration

of the covenant hereinafter mentioned does agree  
to rent the place with the lime kilns and  
both shents belonging situated on Penn River  
1/2 below Gean's Landing for the term of one  
year with the privilege of three of wanted -  
possession to be given during the winter of  
1850 in season for the next summer's burns  
at burning lime

In consideration of the above  
agreement the said party of the second part  
does agree to pay the sum of thirty dollars  
per year in three different payments - ten  
dollars the first days of April August and  
December during his possession and that he  
will pay all taxes on the same that shall  
be assessed from time to time Also to pay two  
hundred and seventy five barrels of lime per  
year to be delivered to the order of the said Buttm  
in quantities as called for And further, the  
said party of the second part does covenant  
and agree that he will not alter or destroy any  
thing that will violate the lease of Buckhead  
& Pine to the said party of the first  
part nor suffer the same to be done by others  
without the consent of the Buttm being first  
obtained

In witness whereof we have  
hereunto set our hands and  
seals day and date first  
above written

Peter Buttm   
Fuller Graham 

W. Stump

Crastor Graham  
John Walters

The original articles of agreement affiant  
can produce to the Court her having procured  
the same from said Hamblin

This affiant further states upon  
his oath that the said bond or articles of  
agreement remained in the hands of said  
Stevens for collection, and that the same  
was, during all the time that said Stevens  
had the possession of the same, the property  
of this affiant, & that he never sold assigned  
nor in any manner authorized any person  
whatever to sell or assign the said claim  
or demand to said Isaac I. Stevens

Affiant further says that he  
never did sell or assign said claim to  
Isaac I. Stevens, nor in any manner  
whatever transfer the same to him - but that  
the said bond or articles of agreement and  
the said claim of this affiant against said  
Fuller Hamblin and the money collected  
on the same except the fees due for collecting  
the same was and is the proper money of this  
affiant.

This affiant further says that  
he removed from the City of Galion about  
the tenth or eleventh day of March A.D.  
1851 - and that he did not return to Jo-  
Dania County until the month of May  
A.D. 1854. But this affiant further says  
that he wrote several letters to said Isaac  
I. Stevens requesting him to collect and pay  
over said money to this affiant, and also  
requested him (said Stevens) to pay said money,

over to me James Keefer of Galena the agent  
of this affair

This affiant further says that  
the said Stevens collected <sup>said</sup> of Hamlin on  
the 30th day of July A.D. 1851 in full of  
said article of agreement the sum of One  
hundred & eighty dollars which said H.  
Hamlin paid voluntarily without suit or  
legal proceeding except that in the Spring  
of 1851 before Hamlin went to California  
said Stevens talked about getting out a  
writ of H. C. Exet which Judge Sheldon  
~~Affiant~~ Punderford refused to allow because  
Hamlin's family and property remained  
in Illinois

This affiant further says that  
after said money was collected by said Stevens  
he addressed him another letter and that  
he received from said Stevens in reply a letter  
of which the following is a copy

"Galena Oct 19th 1851

Mr J. Rulon

Dear Sir

Your letter reached here in  
my absence - It has just been read - and I hasten  
to return you an answer. I have been out to St  
Louis - he has returned from California. He says  
that he has learned that you left the place to  
Blair before the year was up - Is this so - If such  
be the case you cannot recover from him - Write me  
as soon about this matter & tell me what to do

Respectfully Yours &  
J. F. Stevens 11

The original of which this affidavit has ready to produce to his Honorable Court in the proper hand writing of said Stevens.

This affidavit further says that on or about the 2nd day of October A.D. 1852 this affiant again addressed a letter to said Stevens requesting him to pay over the money so collected by him for this affiant and that said Stevens in reply addressed to his affiant a letter of which the foreining is a true copy.

" Galena Oct 6<sup>th</sup> 1852  
Mr Peter Fulton

Dear Sir

By Mr Higgins

I received your note of the 2nd inst - in reply to which I would say that I have heretofore on two occasions fully written you upon the matter since which time there has been no steps taken whatever. Please write me fully upon the matter and what you think I had better do.

I am Sir

Very truly

Yours &c  
I. P. Stevens"

The original letter now in the possession of this affiant he is ready to produce at his Hon. Court may duech which letter is also in the proper hand writing of said Isaac P. Stevens.

This affidavit further states on his oath that he caused several other verbal demands to be made for said money upon the

said Stevens by the said James Meela and  
by John W. Campbell Esq - and that he was  
willing that the said Stevens should retain his  
reasonable fees out of the same as well as  
his expenses and that his said agents so  
advised & informed said Stevens. Affiant  
further says that his said agents were duly  
authorized to receive said money and to  
make said allowance of said reasonable  
fees and expenses - but that the said Stevens  
refused to pay over said money pretending  
at first that he had not collected the money  
and afterwards that he had compromised  
the said claim for a trifle - and then  
pushed for the money with the charge that  
he had collected \$180 and he had other  
fees against this affiant and then refused  
and neglected to pay over said  
money so collected after deducting his  
reasonable fees and expenses contrary to law  
and his duty as an attorney of the courts  
of this State

This affiant further says  
that he caused an action at law to be  
brought against to recover the said money  
so collected by said Stevens & that upon  
the trial of the same which took place on  
10 Decr 1854 of the Circuit Court of said  
County. This affiant having proved the  
collection of said money by said Stevens  
& the demand made upon him for the same  
settled his case. And thereupon the said

Stevens introduced, as a defense to said action and read in evidence on the trial, thereof between this affiant and said Stevens so commenced as aforesaid, a bill of sale of which the following is a true copy.

"For fees & for money due I. P. Stevens I  
hereby sell to him my Demand against A.  
Graham now in said Stevens hands for  
collection

April 5th 1851

I. Fulton

the original of which this affiant has in his possession ready to produce at this Court shall direct. The body of said bill of sale is in the hand writing of the said Stevens but the signature this affiant believes to be his and that the said signature especially was written over the signature to me of this affiant's letter addressed to said Stevens. Affiant further says that by reason of said bill of sale said Stevens on said trial obtained a verdict in his favor. This affiant further says that the said bill of sale is a forgery & that he never executed the same nor authorized any one to do it for him - and that he never sold said claim to said Stevens nor in any manner transferred, assigned, or disposed of said claim or demand to said Stevens - and that said bill of sale is a forgery - and that the money collected by said Stevens from said Graham belonged to this affiant and not to said Stevens, who had no right

to retain any part of the same except for his fees and expense in collecting the same. This affidavit therefore applies and acts from and upon the said Isaac P. Stevens to show cause, at a time to be fixed by this Honorable Court, why his name should not be struck from the Roll of Attorneys in and for the State of Illinois.

For a second cause of striking the name of said Isaac P. Stevens from the Roll of Attorneys in and for the State of Illinois. This relator states that he has been informed and believes and doth charge the fact to be and know her and his undying to produce the witnesses to establish the truth thereof as this Honorable Court shall direct.

That he before to wit some time in the month of January at or about 1854, or thereabouts that said Isaac P. Stevens as an attorney at law attended before the certain Justices of the Peace in St. Louis County to wit Henry C. Part & George M. Mitchell Esq; and during an examination of certain persons who had been arrested for the crime of counterfeiting and when then and there detained of being examined by said Justices for the crime of committing of counterfeiting or passing counterfeit money with which sum the said persons had been charged under oath and had been arrested by warrant

issued by said Justices or one of them or  
one of them And that the said Isaac P.  
Stevens appeared as the attorney for said  
persons charged with said crime of passing  
counterfeit money and as such attorney  
asked to see said counterfeit money  
which said Justices then had & which was  
counterfeit & had been feloniously passed as  
such by said persons. Whether the said  
Justices having permitted the said Stevens  
to see said counterfeit bills and to take  
the same in his hands; he the said Stevens  
refused to return the same to the said Justices  
and kept the same against the Justices &  
remonstrances of said Justices leaving  
the Court room occupied by said Justices &  
taking with him the said counterfeit  
money in order to prevent & obviate the  
hand of justice & to take away the evidence  
of the guilt of said persons & to prevent  
them being compelled to answer the offence with  
which they were charged before the said Justices

And this affiant further says  
that the Grand Jury of said Jo Davis  
County found an Indictment against said  
Stevens for said offence which the said  
Stevens procured to be quashed because  
the said offence was not indictable as  
a crime. Wherefore this affiant applies  
for and asks for a rule upon the said  
Isaac P. Stevens to show cause, at a time  
to be fixed by this Coramille Court why his name  
should not be stricken from the Roll of attorneys

in and for the State of Illinois -

Subscribed & sworn  
to before me this 10<sup>th</sup> }  
day of June A.D. 1854 }  
Peter Butkov

Wm H. Stuart  
Notary Public for  
the City of Chicago



The People & relation  
Peter Bullock -  
H. P. {  
Isaac P. Stevens -

Motion for Rule  
to show cause why  
attorneys name should  
not be stricken from  
the Roll -

---

Filed June 14, 1854.  
L. Celand Clk.

State of Ontario - Ottawa County  
The People & relations, for the Supreme Court at Ottawa  
Zack Butter - }  
Isaac P. Stevens }  
24  
Third Grand division -  
from Term M.C. 1854 -

The said defendant Stevens will be required to answer on oath the following interrogatories -

First, as what date did you buy the claim against Hawkhorn from Button how much did you pay him for the same & was it in money or in services or if both what amount of each? -

Second - Did you taste the bill of sale at the time of the purchase or afterwards and did Button make the same to you personally or did he send it to you in a letter? How was it delivered to you through the Post Office? or by the hand of a third person & if so whom? or was it delivered by you personally & if so who was present? -

Third - State how you came to write the two letters addressed to Button copies of which are set out in the relation of this matter - Why did you pretend therein that the money was not collected? & Why did you ask Button what you should do? -

Fourth - State whether the facts in regard to your conduct before Justices Mitchell & Lantz are not correctly set forth & if not state wherein they are not & what the facts were - State whether the indictment against you was not quashed for the reasons set out in said relation -

If you received the Bill of sale aforesaid through the Post office where was the letter mailed in which the Bill of sale came enclosed to you? -

Fifth - Did you said Stevens tell A. W. Campbell that you had a claim against Buttner & that there was about seventeen or eighteen dollars coming to Buttner and to settle the matter you wanted pay him twenty five dollars -

Sixth - State the date at which you bought the said claim demanded against Hauck and did you pay for the same at that time or afterwards & if afterwards at what time & what part was money & what part was services? - How long was it before you obtained the Bill of sale? Was the Bill of sale written by you at the time it was signed or at another time - Did Buttner sign the Bill of sale after it was written, by you, or was it signed before? What word is written & partly erased in said Bill of sale, over which, the word "Demand" is written - Why was that word erased or partly erased, & was that word under the word "Demand" erased before you wrote the Bill of sale or afterwards - State when it was made & why you used such a piece of paper, & did Buttner sign this paper as the time you wrote it with the same pen since?

Hippins & Shatto  
Atty's for Plaintiff.

The People & sel-  
Peter Button  
~~of~~  
Isaac P. Stevens -

Interrogatories to be  
answered by said  
P. Stevens -

The People on <sup>3</sup>  
Religion of P. Bullock } In Supreme court of  
vs. } the State of Illinois -  
Isaac P. Stevens } At Ottawa -  
N. H. Purple Esqr -  
Dear Sir:

Not knowing what  
steps may be taken in the above matter  
and the health of my family being such  
that I can not leave home - I deem it  
proper to write you -

The Criminal Case here is still pend-  
ing; and I am advised by my council, and  
also myself believe that no steps in the  
way of a defence can be taken by me in  
the above cause without serious prejudice  
to me in the ~~case~~ Criminal Case which  
is still untried as above stated - Therefore  
in view of the above I have come to the  
determination to allow, and ask that  
my name may be stricken from the  
Roll of Attorneys for the State of Illinois.  
For with suspitions of such a character  
made against me I have no wish to  
Practice Law & I could -

These are my deliberate views and wishes  
in the above case standing as I at present  
do - And you are hereby authorized by me  
to present this to the Supreme Court, for  
the above specified purpose - and to  
be filed if necessary -

I am Sir truly etc.

Galena July 9th 1858 }

I. P. Stevens -

People vs Stevens  
Stipulation

Filed July 18. 1858.  
L. C. Leland Clerk.

The People of the State  
of Illinois upon the  
Relation of Peter Button

of the Supreme  
Court

and  
Isaac P Stevens.

Rule to the Court why  
the Defendant Should not be Stricken  
from the Roll of Attorneys after trial

And now comes the said de-  
fendant and for answer to the  
several charges & accusations made  
against him in the affidavit filed  
in the above entitled proceeding says  
that he is not guilty of any all  
or either of the charges or accusations  
made & prefers against him in this  
proceeding - he respectfully submits his  
cause to the consideration of the court  
and pray to be dismissed with his  
costs etc.

John Purple Sconce  
for Dft.

People ex R. Button  
vs  
J. P. Stevens

Answer of  
Defl

Filed July 17<sup>th</sup> 1854.  
L. Leland Ch.  
By P. K. Leland Atty.